BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| In re: Petition for rate increase by Florida Power & Light Company. | DOCKET NO. 20210015-EI  ORDER NO. PSC-2021-0189-PCO-EI  ISSUED: May 26, 2021 |

ORDER GRANTING WALMART, INC.’S

PETITION TO INTERVENE

On March 12, 2021, Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) filed a petition, minimum filing requirements, and testimony for a base rate increase effective January 2022. Pursuant to Order No. PSC-2021-0116-PCO-EI, issued March 24, 2021, the hearing for the FPL rate case is scheduled on August 16 through August 27, 2021.

Petition for Intervention

By petition dated May 11, 2021, Walmart, Inc. (Walmart) requested permission to intervene in this proceeding. Walmart is a national retailer of goods and services throughout the United States with its principal office located at 2608 SE J Street, Bentonville, Arkansas 72716. Walmart states that it is both a retail and commercial customer of FPL and Gulf Power Company, referred to collectively as the “Companies,” with 177 retail units and four distribution centers located in the Companies’ service territory. Walmart purchases more than 750 million kWh per year from the Companies and alleges that the cost of electricity is a significant element of the cost of operation for Walmart’s stores and facilities in the Companies’ service territory which will be substantially and directly impacted by the rates set in this proceeding. Walmart represents that it has contacted the parties regarding its intervention and all parties, including the Companies, have indicated that they either do not object or take no position on Walmart’s intervention. No written objections have been filed as of this date and the time for doing so has expired.

Standard for Intervention

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.205, F.A.C., persons, other than the original parties to a pending proceeding, who have a substantial interest in the proceeding and who desire to become parties may move for leave to intervene. Motions for leave to intervene must be filed at least twenty (20) days before the final hearing, must comply with Rule 28-106.204(3), F.A.C., and must include allegations sufficient to demonstrate that the intervenor is entitled to participate in the proceeding as a matter of constitutional or statutory right or pursuant to Commission Rule, or that the substantial interests of the intervenor are subject to determination or will be affected through the proceeding. Intervenors take the case as they find it.

To have standing, the intervenor must meet the two-prong standing test set forth in Agrico Chemical Company v. Department of Environmental Regulation, 406 So. 2d 478, 482 (Fla. 2d DCA 1981). The intervenor must show that (1) he will suffer injury in fact which is of sufficient immediacy to entitle him to a Section 120.57, F.S., hearing, and (2) the substantial injury is of a type or nature which the proceeding is designed to protect. The first prong of the test addresses the degree of injury. The second addresses the nature of the injury. The “injury in fact” must be both real and immediate and not speculative or conjectural. International Jai-Alai Players Assn. v. Florida Pari-Mutuel Commission, 561 So. 2d 1224, 1225-26 (Fla. 3rd DCA 1990). See also, Village Park Mobile Home Assn., Inc. v. State Dept. of Business Regulation, 506 So. 2d 426, 434 (Fla. 1st DCA 1987), rev. den., 513 So. 2d 1063 (Fla. 1987) (speculation on the possible occurrence of injurious events is too remote).

Analysis & Ruling

It appears that Walmart has met the two-prong standing test in Agrico. Walmart is a commercial customer of the Companies and, thus, will be substantially affected by the rates that are set in this proceeding. Therefore, Walmart has demonstrated that it meets the two-prong standing test of Agrico, and accordingly, Walmart’s petition for intervention shall be granted as set forth herein. Pursuant to Rule 28-106.205, F.A.C., Walmart takes the case as it finds it.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Chairman Gary F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, that the Petition to Intervene filed by Walmart, Inc. is hereby granted as set forth in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that Walmart, Inc. takes this case as it finds it. It is further

ORDERED that all parties to this proceeding shall furnish copies of all testimony, exhibits, pleadings and other documents which may hereinafter be filed in this proceeding, to:

Stephanie U. Eaton Barry A. Naum

SPILMAN THOMAS & BATTLE, PLLC SPILMAN THOMAS & BATTLE, 110 Oakwood Drive, Suite 500 PLLC

Winston-Salem, NC 27103 110 Bent Creek Boulevard, Suite 101

Phone: (336) 631-1062 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050

Fax: (336) 725-4476 Phone: (717) 795-2742

[seaton@spilmanlaw.com](mailto:seaton@spilmanlaw.com) Fax: (336) 725-4476

[bnaum@spilmanlaw.com](mailto:bnaum@spilmanlaw.com)

By ORDER of Chairman Gary F. Clark, as Presiding Officer, this 26th day of May, 2021.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | /s/ Gary F. Clark |
|  | GARY F. CLARK  Chairman and Presiding Officer |

Florida Public Service Commission

2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard

Tallahassee, Florida 32399

(850) 413‑6770

www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.

SBr

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code; or (2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.