

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery
clause with generating performance incentive
factor.

DOCKET NO. 20220001-EI
ORDER NO. PSC-2022-0022-CFO-EI
ISSUED: January 12, 2022

ORDER GRANTING TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY'S
REQUEST FOR SPECIFIED CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT AND
MOTION FOR TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER (DOCUMENT NO. 03800-2021)

On April 30, 2021, pursuant to Section 366.093, Florida Statutes (F.S.), and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), Tampa Electric Company (TECO) filed a Request for Specified Confidential Treatment and Motion for Temporary Protective Order (Request) of portions of its Form 423 Fuel Reports for the months of December 2020, January 2021, and February 2021 (Document No. 03800-2021).

Request for Confidential Classification

TECO contends that designated portions of the information contained in its 423 Report for the months of December 2020 through February 2021, as more specifically described in the attachment to its Request, constitute proprietary confidential business information entitled to protection under Section 366.093, F.S., and Rule 25-22.006, F.A.C. The Exhibit attached to TECO's Request contains a detailed matrix providing justification and support for confidential classification of the information in each section of the 423 Reports (Forms 423-2, 423-2(a), and 423-2(b)) on a line-by-line, column-by-column basis. TECO asserts that this information is intended to be and is treated by TECO as private and has not been publicly disclosed.

TECO seeks protection of the information contained in the 423 Reports for a period of two years. TECO claims this time period is necessary to allow it to negotiate future contracts without its competitors having access to information which would adversely affect the ability of TECO to negotiate future contracts. The period of time requested will ultimately protect TECO and its customers.

The information at issue relates to actual purchase amounts pursuant to confidential contracts negotiated by and between the Company and certain energy providers. As such, the relevant information if disclosed would impair the efforts of TECO to contract for goods and services on favorable terms.

TECO alleges disclosure of the effective purchase price "would impair the efforts of Tampa Electric to contract for goods or services on favorable terms" and should be protected under Section 366.093(3)(d), F.S. Additionally, disclosure of the purchase price would enable one to ascertain the total transportation charges by subtracting the effective price from the delivered price at the transfer facility. Any competitor with knowledge of the total transportation charges would be able to use that information in conjunction with the published delivered price at the United Bulk Terminal Transfer Facility to determine the segmented transportation costs. The disclosure of the

segmented transportation costs would have a direct impact on TECO's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided.

TECO also contends that disclosure of the value of shorthaul and loading charges, and other rail charges, on a \$/Ton basis, which is a negotiated price, would adversely impact TECO in future negotiations for this component of the overall price. This would in turn adversely impact customers.

TECO further asserts disclosure of the rail rate per ton would adversely affect its ability to negotiate favorable rail rates. Disclosure of the rail rates paid would effectively eliminate any negotiating leverage and could lead to higher rail rates. This would work to the ultimate detriment of TECO and its customers. Accordingly, TECO argues disclosure of this information "would impair the efforts of TECO to contract for goods or services on favorable terms" and should be protected by Section 366.093(3)(d), F.S.

TECO also contends that the disclosure of the delivered price could impair the effort of Tampa Electric to contract for goods and services on favorable terms, as in this instance, the effective purchase price and the delivered price are the same, no transportation charges apply.

Ruling

Section 366.093(1), F.S., provides that the records the Commission has found to contain proprietary confidential business information shall be kept confidential and shall be exempt from Section 119.07(1) F.S. Section 366.093(3), F.S., defines proprietary confidential business information as information that is intended to be and is treated by the company as private, in that disclosure of the information would cause harm to the company's ratepayers or business operations, and has not been voluntarily disclosed to the public. Section 366.093(3), F.S., provides that proprietary confidential business information includes, but is not limited to:

- (d) Information concerning bids or other contractual data, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the public utility or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms.

Upon review, it appears the information and data provided in this request satisfies the criteria set forth in Section 366.093(3), F.S., for classification as proprietary confidential business information. The purchase amounts appear to be "information concerning bids or other contractual data, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the public utility or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms." Thus the information identified in Document No. 03800-2021 shall be granted confidential classification.

Pursuant to Section 366.093(4), F.S., confidential classification may only extend for up to 18 months from the issuance of an Order granting confidential classification unless "the Commission finds, for good cause, that the protection from disclosure shall be for a specified longer period." TECO appears to have provided sufficient information concerning the harm which could arise from not protecting this information for a minimum of two years. Accordingly, the

information identified in Document No. 03800-2021, shall be granted confidential classification for a period of two years from the issuance of this Order.

Motion for Temporary Protective Order

TECO also seeks protection of the documents as provided in Section 366.093(2), F.S., and Rule 25-22.006(6), F.A.C. Section 366.093(2), F.S., directs that all records produced pursuant to a discovery request for which proprietary confidential status is requested shall be treated by any party subject to the public records law as confidential and exempt from the public records law. Section 119.07(1), F.S. Rule 25-22.006(6), F.A.C., codifies the Commission's policy regarding the protection of confidential information from public disclosure during the discovery process in a manner that is not overly burdensome to both parties. Rule 25-22.006(6)(a), F.A.C., in pertinent part, states:

In any formal proceeding before the Commission, any utility or other person may request a protective order protecting proprietary confidential business information from discovery. Upon a showing by a utility or other person and a finding by the Commission that the material is entitled to protection, the Commission shall enter a protective order limiting discovery in the manner provided for in Rule 1.280, Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

Upon consideration of TECO's assertions of the confidential nature of the information contained in portions of the 423 Reports, Document No. 03800-2021, TECO's Motion for Temporary Protective Order is hereby granted. As a result, this information shall be protected from disclosure pursuant to Rule 25-22.006(6), F.A.C.

Based on the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED by Commissioner Mike La Rosa, as Prehearing Officer, that Tampa Electric Company's Request for Confidential Classification of Document No. 03800-2021 is granted, as set forth herein. It is further

ORDERED that Tampa Electric Company's Motion for Temporary Protective Order of the information in Document No. 03800-2021 is granted. It is further

ORDERED that the information in Document No. 03800-2021, for which confidential classification is granted, shall remain protected from disclosure for a period of two years from the date of issuance of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that this Order will be the only notification by the Commission to the parties concerning the expiration of the confidentiality time period.

By ORDER of Commissioner Mike La Rosa, as Prehearing Officer, this 12th day of January, 2022.



MIKE LA ROSA
Commissioner and Prehearing Officer
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
(850) 413-6770
www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.

SFO

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code; or (2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.