Christopher S. Huther 202.719.7197 chuther@wiley.law

## **CONFIDENTIALITY REQUEST**

April 19, 2021

## **BY UPS OVERNIGHT**

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 9050 Junction Drive Annapolis Junction, MD 20701

## Re: BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a AT&T Florida v. Duke Energy Florida, LLC, Proceeding Number 20-276, Bureau ID Number EB-20-MD-003

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a AT&T Florida ("AT&T"), enclosed for filing is the confidential version of AT&T's Reply Supplemental Brief and Exhibits thereto in connection with this pole attachment complaint proceeding against Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("Duke Florida"). The public version of AT&T's Reply Supplemental Brief and Exhibits is being filed electronically via the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System, as required by Section 1.734(b) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.734(b).

Pursuant to Sections 0.459(a) and 1.731(a) of the Commission's rules,<sup>1</sup> AT&T requests confidential treatment of limited information concerning pole attachment rental rates, rental amounts, and rate calculation inputs and AT&T's operational deployment in Florida that is marked with a red box in the Reply Supplemental Brief and supporting Exhibits (the "Marked Confidential Rate and Operational Information").<sup>2</sup> Additional information concerning Duke Florida's pole attachment license agreements and other discovery responses is also marked with a red box because Duke Florida considers the information confidential (the "Marked Duke Florida Information").<sup>3</sup> AT&T does not oppose public disclosure of the Marked Duke Florida Information, but understands that Duke Florida intends to submit its own Request for Confidential Treatment of such information.

The Marked Confidential Rate and Operational Information should be withheld from public inspection under Subsection 0.457(d) of the Rules and FOIA Exemption 4, which protect "trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential" from public inspection.<sup>4</sup> Where information "relates to business or trade, it is



DOCUMENT NO. 04596-2022

Wiley Rein LLP 1776 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006 Tel: 202.719.7000

wiley.law

FILED 7/8/2022

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.459(a), 1.731(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Reply Suppl. Br. at 1, 2, 4, 8; Ex. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Reply Suppl. Br. at 6, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4); 47 C.F.R. 0.457(d).

'commercial' as that term is used in Exemption 4.<sup>\*5</sup> Last year, the Supreme Court held Exception 4 "[a]t least" applies to "commercial or financial information [that] is both customarily and actually treated as private by its owner and provided to the government under an assurance of privacy," although the Court did not "reach the issue of whether government assurances of privacy were necessary.<sup>6</sup> The Marked Confidential Rate and Operational Information falls well within this standard.<sup>7</sup> It is confidential commercial information that AT&T treats as confidential and it is being submitted with the understanding that it, like similar information submitted in previous complaint proceedings, will be provided confidential treatment.

In accordance with Section 0.459, this request is supported by the following:

- (1) <u>Identification of the specific information for which confidential treatment is sought</u>: Confidential treatment is sought for information concerning pole attachment rental rates, rental amounts, and rate calculation inputs, including information that, if paired with public information, would disclose the rates. Confidential treatment is also sought for certain AT&T operational information about its deployment in Florida. The specific information is marked with red boxes in the Reply Supplemental Brief and Exhibits and referred to in this request as the "Marked Confidential Rate and Operational Information."<sup>8</sup>
- (2) <u>Identification of the Commission proceeding in which the information was submitted or a description of the circumstances giving rise to the submission</u>: The Marked Confidential Rate and Operational Information is being submitted in connection with AT&T's Reply Supplemental Brief in support of its Pole Attachment Complaint seeking just and reasonable terms and conditions for use of Duke Florida's utility poles.
- (3) <u>Explanation of the degree to which the information is commercial or financial, or contains</u> <u>a trade secret or is privileged</u>: The Marked Confidential Rate and Operational Information is commercial information protected by Section 0.457(d). The Commission has broadly defined commercial information, stating that "'[c]ommercial' is broader than information regarding basic commercial operations, such as sales and profits; it includes information about work performed for the purpose of conducting a business's commercial operations."<sup>9</sup> The Marked Confidential Rate and Operational Information falls within this definition because it concerns the rates, terms, and conditions that apply

<sup>6</sup> Order on Reconsideration, *In the Matter of Am. Broadband & Telecommunications Co.*, 2020 WL 1872491, at \*2 & n.22 (FCC Apr. 13, 2020) ("*Am. Broadband Order*") (quoting *Food Mktg. Inst. v. Argus Leader Media*, 139 S. Ct. 2356, 2363, 2366 (2019)).

<sup>7</sup> As the Commission recently recognized, the Supreme Court overruled longstanding lower court precedent requiring a showing of substantial competitive harm to qualify for FOIA Exception 4. *See id.* (citing *Food Mktg. Inst.*, 139 S. Ct. at 2364-65). Section 0.459(b) of the Commission's rules, however, still requires a showing of substantial competitive harm. While the showing cannot be required to qualify for confidential treatment, this request nonetheless addresses all requirements of Section 0.459(b).

<sup>8</sup> See Reply Suppl. Br. at at 2, 4, 8; Ex. 1.

<sup>9</sup> Memorandum Opinion and Order, *Southern Company Request for Waiver of Section 90.629 of the Commission's Rules*, 14 FCC Rcd 1851, 1860 (1998) (citing *Pub. Citizen Health Research Grp. v. FDA*, 704 F.2d 1280, 1290 (D.C. Cir. 1983)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In the Matter of Michael J. Marcus on Request for Inspection of Records, 27 FCC Rcd 11558, 11562 (¶ 10) (2012) (citing *Pub. Citizen Health Research Grp. v. FDA*, 704 F.2d 1280, 1290 (D.C. Cir. 1983) and Baker & Hostetler LLP v. U.S. Dep't of Commerce, 473 F.3d 312, 319-20 (D.C. Cir. 2006)).

to AT&T's use of Duke Florida's poles and operational information about AT&T's deployment in Florida. The Commission has shielded similar information from public disclosure in the past, recognizing that the rates, terms, and conditions of an attacher's agreements in a pole attachment proceeding constitute "sensitive commercial information" that, if released, would competitively disadvantage that attacher.<sup>10</sup> The Commission has also recognized the importance of protecting "operational or technical data ... that is not routinely available for public inspection and that would customarily be guarded from competitors"<sup>11</sup>

- (4) <u>Explanation of the degree to which the information concerns a service that is subject to competition</u>: The Marked Confidential Rate and Operational Information relates to the rates, terms, and conditions for AT&T's use of Duke Florida's poles to provide telecommunications and other services in a highly competitive market.
- (5) <u>Explanation of how disclosure of the information could result in substantial competitive harm</u>: AT&T could suffer substantial competitive harm if the Marked Confidential Rate and Operational Information is disclosed to competitors and electric utilities that could use the information to AT&T's disadvantage.
- (6) <u>Identification of any measures taken by the submitting party to prevent unauthorized</u> <u>disclosure</u>: AT&T does not release the Marked Confidential Rate and Operational Information to the public in the normal course of business and does not widely distribute the information internally.
- (7) <u>Identification of whether the information is available to the public and the extent of any previous disclosure of the information to third parties</u>: AT&T has not released the Marked Confidential Rate and Operational Information to the public and seeks to maintain the confidentiality of the Marked Confidential Rate and Operational Information and similar information exchanged with electric utilities.
- (8) <u>Justification of the period during which the submitting party asserts that material should not be available for public disclosure</u>: AT&T respectfully requests that the Commission provide confidential treatment to the Marked Confidential Rate and Operational Information and withhold such information from public inspection indefinitely. AT&T would not, in the normal course of business, provide the Marked Confidential Rate and Operational Information to the public at any time.
- (9) <u>Any other information that the party seeking confidential treatment believes may be</u> <u>useful in assessing whether its request for confidentiality should be granted</u>: Public disclosure of the Marked Confidential Rate and Operational Information would not further the public interest. The Commission has authority to release "confidential information when, after balancing the factors favoring disclosure and non-disclosure, [the Commission] find[s] it in the public interest to do so,"<sup>12</sup> but this balance strongly favors

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> *Marcus Cable Assocs. v. Tex. Util. Elec. Co.*, 12 FCC Rcd 10362 (¶¶ 36-37) (1997); see also Verizon *Md. LLC v. The Potomac Edison Co.*, Memorandum Opinion and Order ¶¶ 12, 13, 20, 33, Proceeding No. 19-355, Bureau ID No. EB-19-MD-009 (Nov. 23, 2020); *BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a AT&T Fla. v. Fla. Power & Light Co.*, No. EB-19-MD-006, 2020 WL 2568977, at \*3-4 (¶¶ 6-8) (May 20, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See Mindel De La Torre, 2016 WL 7129682, at \*1-2 (OHMSV June 30, 2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See Am. Broadband Order, 2020 WL 1872491, at \*3.

non-disclosure here. Release of the information "would not serve the FOIA's core purpose," which is "to allow the public to learn about the operations of the *government.*"<sup>13</sup> Instead, disclosure would "contribute primarily to an understanding of the operations and activities of [*private parties*]."<sup>14</sup> In addition, because "competitive harms [may] arise from the disclosure to a company's competitors of proprietary information," the information should be maintained in confidence.<sup>15</sup>

For the foregoing reasons, AT&T requests that the Commission give confidential treatment to the Marked Confidential Rate and Operational Information and withhold it from public inspection.

Best regards,

Christopher S. Huther Counsel for BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC d/b/a AT&T Florida

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> In the Matter of Nat'l Ass'n of Broadcasters U.S. Elecs., Inc., 24 FCC Rcd 12320, 12326-27 (¶¶ 13-15) (2009) (emphasis in original).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> *Id.* at 12327 (¶ 15) (emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Am. Broadband Order, 2020 WL 1872491, at \*4.