

Writer's Direct Dial Number: (850) 521-1706 Writer's E-Mail Address: bkeating@gunster.com

August 5, 2022

VIA E-PORTAL

Mr. Adam Teitzman Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 20220003-GU - Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) True Up.

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

Attached for filing, please find Florida Public Utilities Company's and Florida Public Utilities Company-Fort Meade's Petition for Approval of 2023 PGA Factor, along with the Testimony and Exhibit of Company witness Robert Waruszewski and the Testimony of Jeffrey Bates.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing. As always, please don't hesitate to let me know if you have any questions whatsoever.

Sincerely,

Beth Keating

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706

MEK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Purchased Gas Adjustment)	Docket No. 20220003-GU
(PGA) True-Up)	
)	Filed: August 5, 2022

PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF THE PURCHASED GAS (PGA) FACTOR FOR FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY

Florida Public Utilities Company and Florida Public Utilities Company – Fort Meade (together "FPUC" or "the Company") hereby files this petition for approval of the Purchased Gas Adjustment ("PGA") factor to be applied for service to be rendered by the Company during the projected period of January 1, 2023 through December 31, 2023. In support of this Petition, FPUC states:

1. The Company is a natural gas utility with its principal office located at:

Florida Public Utilities Company 208 Wildlight Avenue, Yulee, Florida 32097

2. The name and mailing address of the persons authorized to receive notices are:

Beth Keating, Esq Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 S. Monroe St., Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1839 (850) 521-1706 bkeating@gunster.com Mike Cassel, VP/Regulatory and Governmental Affairs
Florida Public Utilities Company
208 Wildlight Avenue,
Yulee, Florida 32097
mcassel@fpuc.com

Pursuant to the requirements in this docket, FPUC, concurrently with the filing of this petition, files testimony and Schedules E-1, E-1R, E-2, E-3, E-4, and E-5 (Exhibit RCW-2) for its consolidated gas division to support the calculation of the PGA recovery (cap)

factor for the period January 2023 through December 2023.

4. As indicated in the testimony of Mr. Robert C. Waruszewski, FPUC has calculated its total net true-up (including interest and applicable regulatory assessment fees) for the

- period January 2021 through December 2021 to be an under-recovery of \$4,347,350, inclusive of interest.
- 5. Schedule E-4 also shows the projected true-up for the current period January 2022 through December 2022 is an over-recovery of \$3,982,145 inclusive of interest.
- 6. The total net true-up as shown on Schedule E-4 is an under-recovery of \$365,205 to be collected during the projected period.
- 7. Consistent with the prior year, the Company's projected period costs include amounts associated with anticipated capacity costs for extending service to unserved areas Palm Beach, Polk, and Nassau counties, as well as costs associated with limited use of compressed natural gas and renewable natural gas in certain instances, as explained in the testimony of Mr. Jeffrey B. Bates. In addition, the Company has included costs allocated from the Company's sister utility, the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, in accordance with Order PSC-2015-0321-PAA-GU, issued August 10, 2015, in Docket No. 20150117-GU. These costs are reflected in Schedules E-1 and E-3, which are incorporated in composite Exhibit RCW-2 to the Direct Testimony of Mr. Waruszewski.
- 8. The Company has forecasted the 2023 weighted average cost of gas using the projected monthly pipeline demand costs, less the projected cost of capacity temporarily relinquished to third parties, the projected pipeline usage and no-notice costs, and the projected supplier commodity costs, while also incorporating projected costs associated with the Company's purchased gas functions. Consistent with Commission Order No. PSC-2016-0422-TRF-GU, a portion of the intrastate capacity costs is now allocated to certain transportation service customers outside the PGA, which has resulted in a decrease to the costs to be allocated to customers subject to the PGA. As explained in

the testimony of Company witness Jeffrey Bates, the sum of the costs to be allocated

through the PGA mechanism is then divided by projected therm sales to traditional, non-

transportation service customers. In addition, included in the calculation is the allocation

of certain Covid-related costs consistent with the approved settlement in Docket No.

20200194-PU.

9. In calculating the costs to be allocated, the Company has included costs for outside

consulting expenses associated with the ongoing review and modification to the

Company's PGA and capacity cost allocation process, as well as a software tool used to

assess usage and customer demand. The costs included are directly tied to the gas

purchase function of the Company and were not otherwise contemplated in the

Company's last rate case.

10. Based on the estimated therm purchases for resale during the projected period, Schedule

E-1 reflects that the maximum purchased gas cost recovery factor is 141.91¢ per therm.

This rate includes not only the projected cost of gas purchased, but also the prior period

true-up and revenue tax factors.

WHEREFORE, FPUC respectfully requests that the Commission enter its Order

approving the Company's proposed PGA cost recovery factor cap of 141.91 cents per therm to

be applied to customer's bills for the period January 2023 through December 2023.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 5th day of August, 2022.

Beth Keating

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A.

215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706

Attorneys for Florida Public Utilities Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of FPUC's Petition for Approval of PGA Factor, in Docket No. 20220003-GU, along with the Testimony and Exhibit RCW-2 of Robert Waruszewski and the Testimony of Jeffrey Bates, have been furnished by electronic mail to the following parties of record this 5th day of August, 2022:

Florida Public Utilities Company Mike Cassel 208 Wildlight Ave Yulee, Florida 32097 mcassel@fpuc.com	J. Jeffry Wahlen Malcolm Means Virginia Ponder Ausley & McMullen P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 jwahlen@ausley.com mmeans@ausley.com vponder@ausley.com
Ryan Sandy, Esquire Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399 rsandy@psc.state.fl.us	Office of Public Counsel Patricia Christensen c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 Christensen.Patty@leg.state.fl.us
Peoples Gas System Paula Brown/Kandi Floyd P.O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111 regdept@tecoenergy.com kfloyd@tecoenergy.com	St. Joe Natural Gas Company, Inc. Andy Shoaf/Debbie Stitt P.O. Box 549 Port St. Joe, FL 32457-0549 Andy@stjoegas.com dstitt@stjoegas.com
Christopher T. Wright Senior Attorney Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard (JB/LAW) Juno Beach, Florida 33408 Christopher.Wright@fpl.com	

Beth Keating

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	DO	CKET NO. 20220003-GU - In Re: Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) True-Up.
3		(Actual/Estimated and Projections)
4		DIRECT TESTIMONY
5		OF ROBERT C. WARUSZEWSKI
6		On behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	A.	Robert C. Waruszewski, 500 Energy Lane, Suite 100, Dover, Delaware 19901.
9	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
10	A.	I am employed by Chesapeake Utilities Corporation as Regulatory Manager,
11		South.
12	Q.	Can you please provide a brief overview of your educational and
13		employment background?
14	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in mathematics and economics from
15		St. Vincent College, Latrobe, Pennsylvania. After graduation, I worked as a
16		junior accounting clerk for the Bank of New York Mellon, assisting in the
17		preparation of audits as well as gathering local tax data for the bank's
18		employees before joining Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania in November 2011 in
19		the Regulatory Department. There, I prepared rate case and gas cost filings and
20		in 2013, I was promoted to Senior Regulatory Analyst. I joined Peoples
21		Natural Gas in December 2017, as the Senior Rates and Regulatory Analyst,
22		where I was responsible for assisting in budget preparation and compiling
23		regulatory filings for the Company's Pennsylvania and West Virginia
24		affiliates. I was subsequently promoted to Finance and Rates Analyst IV. In

- January 2022, I joined Chesapeake Utilities Corporation where my
- 2 responsibilities include monthly filing of the Purchase Gas Adjustment (PGA),
- and other regulatory filings and analysis.
- 4 Q. Are you familiar with the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) clause of the
- 5 Company?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Have you ever testified in the PGA Docket before?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this docket?
- 10 A. My testimony will establish the PGA "true-up" collection amount, based on
- actual January 2022 through June 2022 data and projected July 2022 through
- December 2023 data. My testimony will summarize the computations that are
- contained in composite exhibit RCW-2 supporting the January through
- December 2023 projected PGA recovery (cap) factor for the FPUC
- 15 consolidated gas division.
- Q. Which schedules have you included in your Exhibit RCW-2?
- 17 A. The Company has previously filed True-Up schedules A-1, A-2, A-3, A-4, A-
- 5, A-6 and A-7 in this proceeding. Exhibit RCW-2, which is included with
- my testimony, contains Schedules E-1, E-1/R, E-2, E-3, E-4, and E-5 for the
- FPUC consolidated gas division. These schedules support the calculation of the
- PGA recovery (cap) factor for January through December 2023.
- Q. Were these schedules completed by you or under your supervision?
- 23 A. Yes, these schedules were completed by me.

1 (Q.	What is	the	projection	period	for	this	filing?	•
-----	----	---------	-----	------------	--------	-----	------	---------	---

- 2 A. The projection period is January through December 2023.
- 3 Q. What is the appropriate final PGA true-up amount for the period
- 4 January through December 2021?
- 5 A. As shown on Schedule E-4, the final PGA true-up amount for the period
- January through December 2021 is an under-recovery of \$4,347,350 inclusive
- 7 of interest.
- 8 Q. What is the projected PGA true-up amount for the period January
- 9 through December 2022?
- 10 A. As also shown on Schedule E-4, the projected PGA true-up amount is an over-
- recovery of \$3,982,145 inclusive of interest, for the period January through
- December 2022.
- Q. What is the total projected PGA true-up amount to be collected from or
- refunded to customers for the period January through December 2023?
- As shown on Schedule E-4, the total net under-recovery to be collected for the
- period January through December 2023 is \$365,205.
- Q. What is the appropriate PGA recovery (cap) factor for the period January
- through December 2023?
- 19 A. As shown on Schedule E-1, the PGA recovery (cap) factor is 141.91¢ per
- therm for the period January through December 2023.
- 21 Q. What should be the effective date of the PGA recovery (cap) factor for
- billing purposes?
- 23 A. The PGA recovery (cap) factor should be effective for all meter readings

DOCKET NO. 20220003-GU

- applying to the period of January 1, 2023 through December 31, 2023.
- 2 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 3 A. Yes.

Docket No. 20220003-GU
Page 1 of 6
Exhibit No. _____
Robert C. Waruszewski (RCW-2)
Projection Filings and Testimony

COMPANY:

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY"

PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT

COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION

SCHEDULE E-1

ESTIMATED FOR THE PROJECTED PERIOD JANUARY 2023 THROUGH DECEMBER 2023

ESTIMATED FOR THE PROJECTED PERIOD JANUARY 2023 THROUGH DECEMBER 2023														
		JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	אטנ	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
COST OF GAS PURCHASED	2						645555	644 346			214 254		420.250	4404004
1 COMMODITY (Pipeline)		\$23,499	\$20,568	\$17,953	\$16,727	\$14,318	\$12,337	\$11,310			\$11,351	\$14,725	\$20,369	\$184,891
2 NO NOTICE SERVICE		\$10,156	\$7,262	\$6,6861	\$4,472	\$1,896	\$1,835	\$1,879	\$1,879	- ,	\$3,554	\$5,782	\$8,040	\$55,243
3 SWING SERVICE		\$01	\$01	\$0	\$0	\$01	\$01	\$0	\$0	and the second property and the second property of the second proper	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$20,660,030 ZU
4 COMMODITY (Other)		\$5,315,007	\$4,582,671		\$2,067,257	\$1,706,073	\$1,489,902	\$1,393,735			\$1,427,359		\$2,768,304	\$28,668,079
5 DEMAND		\$3,296,046	\$3,199,017		\$3,213,455		\$2,756,829	\$2,771,437	\$2,771,084	\$2,755,556	\$2,876,708		\$3,287,143	\$36,306,869
6 OTHER		\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,416	\$508,982
LESS END-USE CONTRACT:														
COMMODITY (Pipeline)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$01	\$0
3 DEMAND - SWING SERVICE CREDIT		\$1,710,690	\$1,710,690	\$1,710,690	\$1,710,690		\$1,710,690	\$1,710,690	\$1,710,690	\$1,710,690	\$1,710,690	\$1,710,690	\$1,710,690	\$20,528,280
9 COMMODITY (Other)		\$0	\$0	\$01	\$0	\$0'	\$0'	\$0	\$0	\$0' \$01	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 50	\$0 \$0
10 Second Prior Month Purchase Adj.	(OPTIONAL)	\$0	\$01	\$01	\$0		\$01	\$0	\$0	· ·	\$0			
11 TOTAL COST	(+1+2+3+4+5+6+10)-(7+8+9)	\$6,976,433	\$6,141,243	\$4,964,703	\$3,633,636						\$2,650,697		\$4,415,582	\$45,195,783
12 NET UNBILLED 13 COMPANY USE		\$0	\$0;	\$0	\$0 \$1,011	\$0 \$5 7 2	\$0	\$0 \$889	\$0 \$723	\$0 \$805	\$0 \$755	\$0 \$623	\$0 \$463	\$0 \$8,472
14 TOTAL THERM SALES		\$1,249	\$302	\$450			\$629	1		*				
'	:	\$6.975.184	\$6.140.941	\$4.964.253	\$3.632.625	\$2.883.352	\$2.591.999	\$2.509.197	\$2.453.260	\$2.463.660	\$2.649.942	\$3,507,780	\$4,415,118	\$45.187,311
THERMS PURCHASED	_	i												
15 COMMODITY (Pipeline)		4,125,960	3,610,0 1 0	3,140,780	2,924,710	2,495,150	2,145,070	1,960,850	1,862,620	1,903,630	1,968,200	2,569,090	3,570,010	32,276,080
16 NO NOTICE SERVICE			-	-	~	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
17 SWING SERVICE		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
18 COMMODITY (Other)	1	4,125,960	3,610,0 10	3,140,780	2,924,710	2,495,150	2,145,070	1,960,850	1,862,620	1,903,630	1,968,200	2,569,090	3,570,010	32,276,080
19 DEMAND		13,480,970	12,169,3 60	13,464,230	12,250,200	6,119,400	5,038,800	5,195,910	5,190,330	5,018,700	6,858,440	12,901,500	13,340,540	111,028,380
20 OTHER		-		-	-	-	-	-]		-	-	-		
LESS END-USE CONTRACT:			[į			· Trombers
21 COMMODITY (Pipeline)		- 1	- 1	- 1	-	<u>- </u>	- [<u>-1</u>	-	-	-		-	-
22 DEMAND - SWING SERVICE CREDIT	1	-,				_				-	- <u>i</u>	<u>- i</u>	-	-
23 COMMODITY (Other)	1	- 1	•	'	- 1	-	1 -1	- 1		- [-	-	-	-
24 TOTAL PURCHASES	(+17+13+20)-(21+23)	4,125,960	3,610,010	3,140,780	2,924,710	2,495,150	2,145,070	1,960,850	1,862,620	1,903,630	1,968,200	2,569,090	3,570,010	32 <u>,</u> 276,080
25 NET UNBILLED	1			-			-	-						
26 COMPANY USE		1,249	302	450	1,011	572	629	889	723	805	755	623	463	8,472
27 TOTAL THERM SALES	(For Estimated, 24 - 26)	4,124,711	3,609,708	3,140,330	2,92 <u>3,6</u> 99	2,494,578	2,144,441	1,959,961	1,861,897	1,902,825	1,967,445	2,568,467	3,569,547	32,267,608
CENTS PER THERM			1	į				•		į	į	į.		ĺ
28 COMMODITY (Pipeline)	(1/15)	0.570	0.570	0.572	0.572	0.574	0.575	0.577	0.577	0.577	0.577	0.573	0.571	0.573
29 NO NOTIŒ SERVICE	(2/16)	0.00 0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
30 SWING SERVICE	(3/17)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
31 COMMODITY (Other)	(4/18)	128.819	126.943	105.495	7 0.682	68.376	69.457	71.078	71.863	71.674	72.521	74.014	77.543	88.821
32! DEMAND	(5/19)	24.450	26.287	24.472	26.232	46.245	54.712	53.339	53.389	54.906	41.944	25.227	24.640	32.701
33 OTHER	(6/20)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
LESS END-USE CONTRACT:											0.000			0.000
34 COMMODITY Pipeline	(7/21)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
35 DEMAND - SWINGSERVICE CREDIT	(3/22)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
36 COMMODITY Other	(9/23)	0.000	0.000 }	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
37 TOTAL COST OF PURCHASES 38 NET UNBILLED	(11/24)	169.086	170.117	158.072	124.239	115.581	120.864	128.010	131.749	129.461	134.676	136.562	123.685	140.029
39; COMPANY USE	(12/25)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000 1	0.000	0.000	0.000
TOTAL COST OF THERM SOLD	(13/26)	100.000	100,000	100.000	100.000	100.000	100.000	100.000	1 00.000	100.000	100.000	10().00	100.000	100.000 140.065
41 TRUE-UP (REFUND)/RECOVER	<u>-</u>	169.138	170.131	158.095	124.282	115.608	120.900	128.068	131.800	129.516	134.728	136.595	123.701	
42:TOTAL COST OF GAS	(E-4)	1.132	1.132	1.132 1	1.132	1.132	1.132	1.132	1.132	1.132	1.132	1.132	1.132	1.132
43 REVENUE TAX FACTOR	(40+41))	170.270 ;	171.263	159.227	125.414	116.740	122.032	129.200	132.932	130.648	135.860	137.727	124.833	141.197
4 PGA FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES	(42x43)	1.00503 ¹ 171.12511 ¹	1.00503 ¹ 172.12391 ¹	1.00503 ¹ 160.02705 ¹	1.00503 ¹ 126.04437 ¹	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503 i 136.54258 i	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503_ 141.90701
								,						
45 PGA FACTOR	(ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001)	171.125	172.124	160.027	126.044	117.326	122.645	129.849	133.600	131.305	136.543	138.419	125.461	141.907

Docket No. 20220003-GU
Page 2 of 6
Exhibit No. _____
Robert C. Waruszewski (RCW-2)

Projection Filings and Testimony

SCHEDULE E-1/R

COMPANY:

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY

PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION

ACTUAL JANUARY 2022 THROUGH JUNE 2022 ESTIMATED JULY 2022 THROUGH DECEMBER 2022

1	•	ESTIMATED JULY 2022 THROUGH DECEMBER 2022												
1					TUAL			PROJECTED				PROJECTED		
		JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	אחנ	INF	AUG ¦	SEP	; 0	NoV ;	DEC	TOTAL
COST OF GAS PURCHAS	<u>ED</u> .													
1 COMMODITY (Pi pe li ne)		\$11,081	\$10,380	\$20,612	\$12,915	\$7,827	2,944	\$7,813	\$7,976	\$7,933		\$10,674		\$123,187
2 NO NOTICE SERVICE		\$0;	\$0:	\$0;			\$0	\$1.645	\$1,645	\$1,577		\$5,062	\$7,039	\$20,080
3 ISWING SERVICE			\$0	\$0			50	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0		\$0
4 COMMODITY (Other)		\$689,3271	\$2,313,178;	\$2,730,3521	\$1,623,198		\$1,108,499	\$1,194,913	\$1,220,519	\$1,211,956		\$1,649,825		\$18,906,134
s DEMAND		\$3,008,061	\$2,898,700	\$2,086,789	\$2,850,899	\$2,548,028	\$2,304,863	\$2,568,328	\$2,568,113	\$2,553,287	\$2,655,011	\$2,975,445	\$3,005,598	\$32,023,123
6 OTHER		\$14,127	\$7,576	\$7,863	\$24,494	\$14,901	\$20,183	\$44,867	\$44,867	\$44,8 <u>6</u> 7	\$44,867	\$44,867	\$44,858	\$358,337
LESS END-USE CONTRACT:														
7 COMMODITY (Pipelie)		\$01	\$0i	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$01	\$01	\$0		\$01	\$0	\$0
* DEMAND - SWING SERVICE CREDIT		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,639,743	\$1,639,743	\$1,639,743		\$1,639,743	S1,639,743	\$9,838,459
9 COMMODITY (Other)		So	\$0	\$0	\$0	1	\$0	\$0	50	\$0		SO.	\$0	\$0
Second Prior Month Purchase Adj.	(OPTIONAL)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0 '	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0
11 TOTAL COST	(+1+2+3+4+5+6+1 0)-(7+8+0)	\$3,722,596	\$5,229,834	\$4,845,617	\$4,511,506		\$3,436,4901	\$2,177,823	\$2,203,377	\$2,179,877	\$2,343,971	\$3,046,130	\$3,743,330	
12 NET UNBILLED		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
13 COMPANY USE		\$1,168	\$298	\$434	\$976	\$575	\$645	\$700	\$700	\$700	\$600	\$600	\$1,000	\$8,396
14 TOTAL THERM SALES		55,415,830	\$5,610,290	S5,05 <u>4,9</u> 34	S4,257,803	\$4,225,946	\$4,218,838	\$2,177,123	S2,202,677	S2,179,177	S2,343,371	\$3,045,530	\$3,742,330	\$44,473,849
THERMS PURCHASED		1							1			1		
15 COMMODITY (Pi pe li ne)	Ţ	1,989,180	1,879,510	3,652,300	2,372,840	1,467,970	713,420	1,905,540	1,945,420	1,934,930	2,025,830	2,603,360	3,591,880	26,082,180
16 NO NOTICE SERVICE			-			- [- [-1	- 1		-1	-	0
17 SWING SERVICE			- [- 1	-)	-1	-	- 1	-1	- [-1	- [0
+;COMMODITY (Othe r)		4,040,902	3,174,615	3,074,606	2,236,185	2,495,045	1,511,826	1,905,540	1,945,420	1,934,930	2,025,830	2,603,360	3,591,880	30,540,139
19 DEMAND		9,191,546	6,707,624 :	8,588,730	12,485,940	7,914,170	5,185,080	5,206,450	5,202,420	5,031,600	6,836,430	12,969,900	13,428,890	98,748,780
20 OTHER			-	- [- 1	-	- [- 1	+ [- 1	-	- :		0
LESS END-USE CONTRACT:				1	1									
21 COMMODITY (Pi peli ne)			- 1		- :	- 1		-	-;	- 1	-	- 1	-	0
22 DEMAND - SWING SERVICE CREDIT	1	- [- 1	- i	- 1	-	-1	-7	-			-	-	0
23 COMMODITY (Othe r)		-	-	- !		- ;		- [-	- 1	-		0
24 TOTAL PURCHASES	(+17+18+20)-(21+23)	\$4,040,902	\$3,174,615	\$3,074,606	\$2,236,185	\$2,495,045	\$1,511,826	1,905,540	1,945,420	1,934,930	2,025,830	2,603,360	3,591,880	30,540,139
25; NET UNBILLED		0	0	0:	0:	0;	0				<u> </u>			0
26 COMPANY USE	i	1,249	302	450	1,011	572	629	1,116	1,062	1,060	927	882	1,494	10,754
27 TOTAL THERM SALES	(For Estimated, 24 - 26)	3,883,766	3,806,601	3,224,610	2,906,041	2,471,090	2,243,851	1,904,424	1,944,358	1,933,870	2,024,903	2,602,478	3,590,386	32,536,379
: CENTS PER THERM				i			l				Ī			
28 COMMODITY (Pi pe li ne)	(1/15)	0.557	0.552	0.564	0.544	0.533	0.413	0.410	0.410	0.410	0.410	0.410	0.410	0.472
291 NO NOTICE SERVICE	(2/16)	0.000	0.000	0.00.0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
30 SWING SERVICE	(3/17)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000 i	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
31:COMMODITY (Othe)	(4/18)	17.059	72.865 1	88.803 1	72.588 }	63.369	73.322	62.707	62.738	62.636	62.810	63.373	64.335	61.906
32 DEMAND	(5/19)	32.726	43.215	24.297	22.833	32.196	44.452	49.330	49.364	50.745	38.836 ¦	22.941	22 .3 82	32.429
33¦OTHER	(6/20)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0,000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
LESS END USE CONTRACT:		-							·					
34 COMMODITY Pi pe li ne	(7/21)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0,000	0.000	0.000
35 DEMAND - SWING SERVICE CREDIT	(8/22)	0.000	0.000 :	0.000 :	0.000 :	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000 :	0.000 1	0.000 ‡	0.000	0.000	0.000
36 COMMODITY Othe r	(9/23)	0.000	0.000 ;	0.000 :	0.000 :	0.000 :	0.000	0.000	0.000 1	0.000 :	0.000 1	0.000	0.000	0.000
37 TOTAL COST OF PURCHASES	(11/24)	92,123	164.739	157.601	201.750	166.404	227.307	114.289	113.260	112.659	115.704	117.008	104.216	136.189
38 I NET UNBILLED	(12/25)	0.000 :	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000 :	0.000	0.000	0.000 :	0.000	0.000
391 COMPANY USE	(13/26)	93.530 :	98.505 1	96.514 :	96.515 :	100.494	102.485	62.723	65.913 :	66.055	64.758	68.064	66.919	78.075
40 TOTAL COST OF THERM SOLD	(11/27)	95.850	137,389	150.270 :	155.246	168.017 :	153.151	114.356	113.322	112.721	115.757	117.047	104.260	127.834
41 TRUE-UP	(E-4)	(5.892);	(5.892):	(5.892);	(5.892)	(5.892)	(5.892)	(5.892)	(5.892):	(5.892);	(5.892):	(5.892):	(5.892)	(5.892)
42 ITOTALCOST OF GAS	[40+41]	89.958 1	131.497	144.378	149.354	162.125	147.259	108.464	107.430	106.829	109.865	111.155 ;	98.368	121.942
43 : REVENUETAX FACTOR		1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503	1.00503
44! PGA FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES	(42°×43)	90.41020	132.15731	145.10335	150.10427	162.93975	147.99939	109.00902	107.96940 :	107.36576	110.41724	111.71382	98.86214	122.55429
45 PGA FACTOR	ROUNDED TO NEAREST .001	90.410	132.157	145.103	150.104	162.940	147.999	109.009	107.969	107.366	110.417	111.714	98.862	122.554
Ii														

Page 3 of 6

Exhibit No. _____Robert C. Waruszewski (RCW-2)

OMPANY:

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY

PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION OF TRUE-UP AMOUNT ACTUAL JANUARY 2022 THROUGH JUNE 2022 ESTIMATED JULY 2022 THROUGH DECEMBER 2022

SCHEDULE E-2

	Γ.	ACTUAL ACTUAL ACTUAL ACTUAL PROJECTED PROJECTED PROJECTED										PROJECTED	PROJECTED		
		JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT							NOV	DEC	TOTAL				
TRUE-UP CALCULATION 1 PURCHASED GAS COST 2 TRANSPORTATION COST		\$689,327 \$3,033,269	\$2,313,178 \$2,916,656	\$2,730,352 \$2,115,265	\$1,623,198 \$2,888,308	\$1,581,097 \$2,570,756	\$1,108,499 \$2,327,991	\$1,194,913 \$982,910	\$1,220,519 \$982,858	\$1,211,956 \$967,921	\$1,272,419 \$1,071,552	\$1,649,825 \$1,396,305	\$2,310,852 \$1,432,478	\$18,906,134 \$22,686,268	
3 TOTAL		\$3,722,596 \	\$5,229,834	\$4,845,617 ¦	\$4,511,506 \	\$4,151,853	\$3,436,490	\$2,177,823	\$2,203,377	\$2,179,877	\$2,343,971 \	\$3,046,130	\$3,743,330	\$41,592,402	
FUEL REVENUES (NET OF REVENUE TAX)		\$5,415,830	\$5,610,290	\$5,054,934	\$4,257,803	\$4,225,946	\$4,218,838	\$2,065,614	\$2,088,815	\$2,065,933	\$2,224,664	\$2,892,792	\$3,531,785	\$43,653,244	
TRUE-UP - (COLLECTED) OR REFUNDED		\$160,078	\$160,083	\$160,083	\$160,083	\$160,083	\$160,083	\$160,083	\$160,083	\$160,083	\$160,083	\$160,083	\$160,083	\$1,920,991	
PERIOD	ldynes 5₊6	\$5,575,908	\$5,770,373	\$5,215,017	\$4,417,886	\$4,386,029	\$4,378,921	\$2,225,697	\$2,248,898	\$2,226,016	\$2,384,747	\$3,052,875	\$3,691,868	\$45,574,235	
PERIOD	6- Line 3	\$1,853,312	\$540,540	\$369,400	(\$93,620)	\$234,176	\$942,432	\$47,874	\$45,521	\$46,139	\$40,776	\$6,745	(\$51,462)	\$3,981,833	
PERIOD	ine 21	(\$79)	(\$71)	(\$62)	(\$100)	(\$209)	\$68	\$520	\$374	\$227	\$77	(\$99)	(\$334)	\$312	
BEGINNING OF PERIOD TRUE-UP AND INTEREST		(\$2,426,362)	(\$733,207)	(\$352,822)	(\$143,567)	(\$397,368)	(\$323,484)	\$458,933	\$347,244	\$233,056	\$119,339	\$109	(\$153,328)	(\$2,426,362)	
	verse of Line 6	(\$160,078)	(\$160,083)	(\$160,083)	(\$160,083)	(\$160,083)	(\$160,083)	(\$160,083)	(\$160,083)	(\$160,083)	(\$160,083)	(\$160,083)	(\$160,083)	(\$1,920,991)	
10a FLEX RATE REFUND (if applicable)]	į						
UP 8.9	9 + 10 + 10a	(\$733,207)	(\$352,822)	(\$143,567)	(\$397,369)	(\$323,484)	\$458,933	\$347,244	\$233,056	\$119,339	\$109	(\$153,328)	(\$365,207)		
The Incommunity who is	line 9	(\$2,426,362)	(\$7 33,207)	(\$352,822)	(\$143,567)	(\$3 9 7,368)	(\$323,484)	\$458,933	\$347,244	\$233,056	\$119,339	\$109	(\$153,328)	(\$3,371,456)	
13 INTEREST -7	7 + 10	(\$733,128)	(\$352,751)	(\$143,505)	(\$397,269)	(\$323,275)	\$458,865	\$346,724	\$232,682	\$119,112	\$32	(\$153,229)	(\$364,873)	(\$1,310,614)	
14 TOTAL (12+13)	Lines 12 + 13	(\$3,159,490)	(\$1,085,958)	(\$496,326)	(\$540,836)	(\$720,643)	\$135,381	\$805,657	\$579,926	\$352,168	\$119,371	(\$153,119)	(\$518,201)	(\$4,682,071)	
	6 of Line	(\$1,579,745)	(\$542,979)	(\$248,163)	(\$270,418)	(\$360,322)	\$67,691	\$402,829	\$289,963	\$176,084	\$59,686	(\$76,560)	(\$259,100)	(\$2,341,035)	
INTEREST RATE - FIRST DAY OF IMONTH		0.05%	0.06%	0.25%	0.35%	0.54%	0.86%	1.55%	1.55%	1.55%	1.55%	1.55%	1.55%		
INTEREST RATE - FIRST DAY OF SUBSEQUENT MONTH		0.06%	0.25%	0.35%	0.54%	0.86%	1.55%	1.55%	1.55%	1.55%	1.55%	1.55%	1.55%		
	Lines 16 + 17	0.11%	0.31%	0.60%	0.89%	1.40%	2.41%	3.10%	3.10%	3.10%	3.10%	3.10%	3.10%		
19 AVERAGE 50%	of Line 18	0.055%	0.155%	0.300%	0.445%	0.700%	1.205%	1.550%	1.550%	1.550%	1.550%	1.550%	1.550%		
	19/12 mos.	0.005%	0.013%	0.025%	0.037%	0.058%	0.100%	0.129%	0.129%	0.129%	0.129%	0.129%	0.129%		
	15 x Line 20	(\$79)	(\$71)	(\$62)	(\$100)	(\$209)	\$68	\$520	\$374	\$227	\$77	(\$99)	(\$334)	\$312	

Page 4 of 6
Exhibit No.

Robert C. Waruszewski (RCW-2)

Projection Filings and Testimony

COMPANY:

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY

PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT TRANSPORTATION PURCHASES SYSTEM SUPPLY AND END USE

SCHEDULE E-3

ESTIMATED FOR THE PROJECTED	DEDIOD IANIHADY 2022	THEOLICH DECEMBED 2022
ESTIMATED FOR THE PROJECTED	PERIOD JANUARY 2023	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I

		1	1	UNITS	UNITS	UNITS	COMMOD	TY COST	1		TOTAL
MONTH	PURCHASED FROM	PURCHASED FOR	SCH TYPE	SYSTEM SUPPLY	END USE	TOTAL PURCHASED	THIRD PARTY	PIPELINE	DEMAND COST	OTHER CHARGES ACA/GRI/FUEL	CENTS PER THERM
JANUARY	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	4,125,960	0	4,125,960	\$5,315,007	\$65,914	\$1,595,512	INCLUDED IN COST	169.086
FEBRUARY	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	3,610,010	0	3,610,010	\$4,582,671	\$62,983	\$1,495,589	INCLUDED IN COST	170.117
MARCH	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	3,140,780	0	3,140,780	\$3,313,353	\$60,368	\$1,590,982	INCLUDED IN COST	158.072
APRIL	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	2,924,710	0	2,924,710	\$2,067,257	\$59,142	\$1,507,237	INCLUDED IN COST	124.239
MAY	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	2,495,150	0	2,495,150	\$1,706,073	\$56,733	\$1,121,118	INCLUDED IN COST	115.581
JUNE	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	2,145,070	0	2,145,070	\$1,489,902	\$54,752	\$1,047,974	INCLUDED IN COST	120.864
JULY	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	1,960,850	0	1,960,850	\$1,393,735	\$53,725	\$1,062,626	INCLUDED IN COST	128.010
AUGUST	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	1,862,620	0	1,862,620	\$1,338,539	\$53,171	\$1,062,273	INCLUDED IN COST	131.749
SEPTEMBER	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	1,903,630	0	1,903,630	\$1,364,404	\$53,393	\$1,046,668	INCLUDED IN COST	129.461
OCTOBER	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	1,968,200	0	1,968,200	\$1,427,359	\$53,766	\$1,169,572	INCLUDED IN COST	134.676
NOVEMBER	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	2,569,090	0	2,569,090	\$1,901,475	\$57,140	\$1,549,788	INCLUDED IN COST	136.562
DECEMBER	VARIOUS	SYS SUPPLY	N/A	3,570,010	0	3,570,010	\$2,768,304	\$62,785	\$1,584,493	INCLUDED IN COST	123.685
			; 1 1 1 1 1 1						; ; ; ;		
1 2 4 3 3 3				1	1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2						
1		TOTAL	į	32,276,080	0	32,276,080	\$28,668,079	\$693,873	\$15,833,832		140.029

Page 5 of 6

Exhibit No.

Robert C. Waruszewski (RCW-2) Projection Filings and Testimony

COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY	 ESTIMAT	CALCU	RCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT JLATION OF TRUE-UP AMOUN' D PERIOD JANUARY 2023	T THROUGH DECEMBER 2023	SCHEDULE E-4
	PRIOR PERIOD: JANUA	ARY 2021 THROUGH DECEM	BER 2021	CURRENT PERIOD: JANUARY 2022 THROUGH DECEMBER 2022	
	(1) SIX MONTHS ACTUAL PLUS SIX MONTHS PROJECTED	(2) ACTUAL	(3) (2) - (1) DIFFERENCE	(4) SIX MONTHS ACTUAL PLUS SIX MONTHS PROJECTED	(5) (3)+(4) COMBINED TOTAL TRUE-UP
1 TOTAL THERM SALES (\$)	\$41,892,410	\$45,671,208	\$3,778,798	\$45,574,235	\$49,353,034
2 TRUE-UP PROVISION FOR THE PERI OD OVER/(UNDER) COLLECTION (\$)	\$5,119,510	\$772,321	(\$4,347,189)	\$3,981,833	(\$365,355)
3 INTEREST PROVISION FOR THE PERIOD (\$)	\$616	\$455	(\$161)	\$312	\$150
4 END OF PERIOD TOTAL NET TRUE-UP (\$)	\$5,120,126	\$772,776	(\$4,347,350)	\$3,982,145	(\$365,205)
				OVER/(UNDER) RECOVERY	(\$365,205) 32,267,608
	CENTS PER THER	M NECESSARY TO REFU	ND OVERRECOVERY / (CC	OLLECT UNDERRECOVERY)	(1.132)

Page 6 of 6

Exhibit No. _____Robert C. Waruszewski (RCW-2) Projection Filings and Testimony

COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY

PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT

SCHEDULE E-5

THERM SALES AND CUSTOMER DATA ESTIMATED FOR THE PROJECTED PERIOD JANUARY 2023 THROUGH DECEMBER 2023

-	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	Total
PGA COST													
1 Commodity costs	\$5,315,007	\$4,582,671	\$3,313,353	\$2,067,257	\$1,706,073	\$1,489,902	\$1,393,735	\$1,338,539	\$1,364,404	\$1,427,359	\$1,901,475	\$2,768,304	\$28,668,079
2 Transportation costs	\$3,329,701	\$3,226,847	\$3,319,625	\$3,234,654	\$2,846,126	\$2,771,001	\$2,784,626	\$2,783,719	\$2,768,336	\$2,891,613	\$3,275,203	\$3,315,552	\$36,547,003
3 Hedging costs													
4 (financial settlement)													
5 Other	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,415	\$42,416	\$508,982
6 Total	\$8,687,123	\$7,851,933	\$6,675,393	\$5,344,326	\$4,594,614	\$4,303,318	\$4,220,776	\$4,164,673	\$4,175,155	\$4,361,387	\$5,219,093	\$6,126,272	\$65,724,063
PGA THERM SALES											·		
7 Residential	1,691,644	1,480,104	1,287,720	1,199,130	1,023,012	879,479	803,949	763,674	780,488	806,962	1,053,327	1,463,704	13,233,193
8 Commercial	2,434,316	2,129,906	1,853,060	1,725,579	1,472,138	1,265,591	1,156,902	1,098,946	1,123,142	1,161,238	1,515,763	2,106,306	19,042,887
9 Total	4,125,960	3,610,010	3,140,780	2,924,709	2,495,150	2,145,070	1,960,851	1,862,620	1,903,630	1,968,200	2,569,090	3,570,010	32,276,080
PGA REVENUES		•	_								·	,	
10 Residential	2,860,338	2,517,908	2,035,529	1,489,789	1,182,409	1,062,978	1,029,136	1,006,133	1,010,430	1,086,786	1,438,445	1,810,388	18,530,269
11 Commercial	4,116,095	3,623,334	2,929,174	2,143,845	1,701,516	1,529,650	1,480,951	1,447,850	1,454,035	1,563,911	2,069,958	2,605,193	26,665,512
12 Total	6,976,433	6,141,242	4,964,703	3,633,634	2,883,925	2,592,628	2,510,087	2,453,983	2,464,465	2,650,697	3,508,403	4,415,581	45,195,781
NUMBER OF PGA CUSTOME	RS											•	
13 Residential	66,012	66,012	66,012	66,012	66,012	66,012	66,012	66,012	66,012	66,012	66,012	66,012	792,144
14 Commercial	4,117	4,117	4,117	4,117	4,117	4,117	4,117	4,117	4,117	4,117	4,117	4,117	49,404
50 Total	70,129	70,129	70,129	70,129	70,129	70,129	70,129	70,129	70,129	70,129	70,129	70,129	841,548

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	DO	CKET NO. 20220003-GU - In Re: Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) True-Up.
3		(Actual/Estimated and Projections)
4		DIRECT TESTIMONY
5		OF JEFFREY B BATES
6		On behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	A.	My name is Jeffrey Bates. My business address is 331 W. Central Ave, Suite
9		239, Winter Haven, FL 33880.
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
11	A.	I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company ("FPUC" or "Company")
12		as a Senior Energy Logistics Analyst.
13	Q.	Can you please provide a brief overview of your educational and
14		employment background?
15	A.	I graduated from Delaware State University in 1994 with a Bachelor's of
16		Science degree in Accounting. I graduated from Wilmington University in
17		1999 with a Masters of Business Administration. I have been employed by
18		FPUC since January of 1996.
19	Q.	Are you familiar with the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) clause of the
20		Company and the associated projected and actual revenues and costs?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	Have you ever testified before the Florida Public Service Commission
23		("FPSC")?
24	A.	Yes.

- 1 Q. Are you sponsoring any Exhibits in this case?
- 2 A. No. Numerical references made in my testimony relate to Exhibit RCW-2
- being sponsored by Robert Waruszewski.

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A.

- 5 Q. Please describe how the forecasts of pipeline charges and commodity costs
- of gas were developed for the projection period.
 - The purchases for the gas cost projection model are based on projected sales to traditional non-transportation service customers. Florida Gas Transmission Company's ("FGT") FTS-1, FTS-2, FTS-3, NNTS-1, and ITS-1 as well as Southern Natural Gas ("SONAT") and the Florida Southeast Connection ("FSC") effective charges (including surcharges) and fuel rates, based on the prices from the FGT and SONAT posted rates and FSC rates from a precedent agreement. These were used for the entire projection period. As is further explained herein, the Company has also included costs related to the various expansion projects in the counties of Palm Beach, Polk and Nassau. FPUC has entered into an Asset Management Agreement ("AMA") with Emera Energy to help facilitate the delivery of natural gas in the northeast division. Additionally, the company has included costs related to compressed natural gas which is used to bring supply to areas as a virtual pipeline for emergency and planned services. The expected costs of natural gas purchased by the Company as well as Renewable Natural Gas injections during the projection period were developed using actual prices paid during relevant historical periods and the Henry Hub natural gas futures settlements provided by the CME plus the

1	locational basis settlements at FGT Zone 3 provided by Intercontinental
2	Exchange through the end of the projection period. The forecasts of the
3	commodity costs were then adjusted to reflect the unexpected potential market
4	increases in the projection period.

- O. Please describe how the forecasts of the weighted average cost of gas are developed for the projection period.
- 7 A. The Company has forecasted the 2023 weighted average cost of gas using the projected monthly pipeline demand costs, less the projected cost of capacity 8 temporarily relinquished to third parties, the projected pipeline usage and no-9 notice costs and the projected supplier commodity costs. The weighted average 10 cost of gas also includes projected costs related to our purchased gas functions 11 and anticipated a credit for the swing service rider. The sum of these costs are 12 then divided by the projected therm sales to the traditional non-transportation 13 customers resulting in the projected weighted average cost of gas and 14 ultimately the PGA recovery (cap) factor, as shown on Schedule E-1. 15 Capacity shortfall if any, would be satisfied by gas and capacity repackaged 16 and delivered by another FGT or SONAT capacity holder. If other services 17 become available and it is economic to dispatch supplies under those services, 18 the Company will utilize those services as part of its portfolio. 19
- Q. Are the pipeline capacity and supply costs associated with expansions appropriate for recovery in the PGA docket?

1	A.	Yes. Historically, the Commission has allowed recovery, through the clause, of										
2		upstream transmission pipeline capacity, transportation and related supply										
3		costs associated with service expansions to new areas.										

- Q. Did you include costs of other expansions or interconnects related to
 Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities (CFG) in the calculations of your
 true-up and projected amounts?
- 7 Yes. There is a Local Distribution Company ("LDC") to LDC interconnect A. 8 with TECO/PGS and CFG for pressure stabilization of CFG's system in Hernando County. There is also an interconnection to CFG's facilities for 10 Gulfstream's Baseball City Gate southward through Davenport and Haines City as well as the expansion into Escambia County. There is an 11 12 interconnection to CFG's facilities from a new Gulfstream gate station in 13 Auburndale. There are expansion projects planned for Q2 2023 completion in Winter Haven and St Cloud built to serve CFG. Finally, a three phase 14 expansion in Nassau county will serve FPU Residential and Commercial 15 customers is expected to be completed by Q4 2023. 16
- 17 Q. Please explain how these costs incurred by CFG are recoverable under the PGA clause.
- 19 A. Consistent with the prior years, the modified cost allocation methodology and
 20 revised purchased gas adjustment calculation approved by the Commission by
 21 Order No. PSC-2015-0321-PAA-GU, issued August 10, 2015, had been
 22 applied to allocate these costs to the Transitional Transportation Service (TTS)
 23 pool customers, until the approval of the Swing Service Rider in 2016, which

- allocates these costs to certain transportation service customers who were not part of modified cost allocation methodology approved in 2015.
- 3 Q. Please explain the Swing Service Rider.
- A. On April 11, 2016, Docket No. 20160085-GU, Florida Public Utilities, CFG, 4 Florida Public Utilities Indiantown and Ft. Meade Divisions (the Companies) 5 filed a joint petition for approval of the Swing Service Rider with this 6 Commission. The Swing Service Rider proposed that the allocation of all 7 costs be expanded to include transportation service customers on FPUC's 8 system (i.e., customers who are not part of the current PGA mechanism) as 9 well as shippers on CFG's system that are not part of the TTS pools. The 10 Companies believe that these customers ultimately should bear their fair 11 12 portion of the intrastate capacity costs. However, the Companies recognize that shippers for the larger classes of customers provide a service under contracts 13 14 that will likely need to be amended to adjust for the revised cost allocations and systems need to be implemented to allow for billing of these charges to 15 transportation customers and/or shippers. This petition was approved 16 September 2016, Order No. PSC-2016-0422-TRF-GU. 17
- 18 O. What is the effect of Swing Service Rider on PGA costs?
- As shown on Schedule E-1, the Company has reduced PGA costs of \$20,528,280 attributable to the Swing Service Rider allocated to certain gas transportation customers.
- Q. Describe how the Company computed the Swing Service Rider and its impact on PGA costs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

A.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. The Company compiled the actual throughput volumes, based on the most recent 12-months usage data, for each affected transportation and sales rate schedule to determine the percentage split between transportation and sales service customers relative to the total throughput for the affected rate schedules. The split for allocating the annual total intrastate and LDC-to-LDC capacity costs of \$19.7 million is 71.16 percent (\$14.1 million) to transportation customers and 28.84 percent (\$5.7 million) to sales customers. Then, the transportation customers' share of the \$14.1 million would be allocated to the affected transportation rate schedules in proportion to each rate 10 schedule's share of the total throughput for the affected transportation rate schedules. The costs allocated to each rate schedule was then divided by the 11 rate schedule's number of therms to calculate the cost recovery factor to be 12 billed by rate schedule directly to the transportation customers. 13

- Has there been an effect from the COVID-19 pandemic on the Florida 14 Q. Public Utilities Purchased Gas Adjustment true-up collection? 15
 - Yes. Per a June 11, 2021, jointly-filed motion referencing Docket No. 2020-0194, Florida Public Utilities informed the Commission of a settlement agreement between Florida Public Utilities and the Florida Office of Peoples Counsel. This settlement agreement, which was approved by the Commission on July 22, 2021, allows Florida Public Utilities to recover approximately \$2.1 million over the next two years in COVID-19 related incremental expenses. This recovery is to be achieved through the use of the Company's customer usage riders, including the swing service rider and purchased gas adjustment

6 of 8

1	recovery	facto
_	1000101	Iuci

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Of this \$2.1 million in incremental expenses, approximately \$1.35 million was attributable to electric and approximately \$732k was attributable to natural gas customers. Utilizing the same allocation methodology used in the calculation of the swing service rider, 71.16% of this amount, or approximately \$520k, would be allocated to the transportation customers and, therefore, recovered through the use of the swing service rider over the next two years. The remaining 28.84% is to be recovered over the same two-year time frame through the use of the purchased gas adjustment, resulting in an aggregate increase to the recovery factor of \$211k, or about \$105k on an annual basis.

- Q. Have the appropriate related costs and credits been included in the Projections for 2023?
- 13 A. Yes, as more specifically reflected in Schedule E-1 and E-3 of Exhibit RCW-2,

 14 the Company has included the costs of existing and planned interstate and

 15 intrastate capacity agreements, as well as the costs associated with the Swing

 Service Rider as described above.
- Q. Did you include costs in addition to the costs specific to purchased gas in the calculations of your true-up and projected amounts?
- Yes, included with our purchased gas costs are consulting expenses to assist in the advancement of our PGA processes. Additionally, the Company has included costs associated with a software tool used by the Company to manage customer usage and assist in determining the gas supply needs for the rate classes subject to the PGA. This new system went live in May of 2022.

DOCKET NO. 20220003-GU

1	These c	osts	directly	influence	the	Company	's	PGA	factor	and	are	appropi	riate

- 2 for recovery through the PGA clause. The company has also included legal
- 3 expenses related to the FGT rate case.
- 4 Q. Please explain how these costs were determined to be recoverable under
- 5 the PGA clause.
- 6 A. The costs the Company has included are integrally related to the gas purchase
- function and were not anticipated or included in the cost levels used to
- 8 establish the current base rates. These costs relate to the Company's
- optimization of fuel supply in an effort to protect current fuel savings, and
- directly benefit our customers. These costs have historically been allowed for
- recovery through the PGA and are not being recovered through the
- 12 Companies' base rates.
- Q. What is the projection period for this filing?
- 14 A. The projection period is January through December 2023.
- 15 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 16 A. Yes.