

**BEFORE THE
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery
clause with generating performance incentive
factor

DOCKET NO.: 20220001-EI
FILED: October 6, 2022

**PREHEARING STATEMENT OF
NUCOR STEEL FLORIDA, INC.**

Pursuant to the Florida Public Service Commission's *Order Establishing Procedure*, Order No. PSC-2022-0052-PCO-EI, issued February 7, 2022, Nucor Steel Florida, Inc. ("Nucor") hereby files its Prehearing Statement in this case.

A. APPEARANCES

Peter J. Mattheis
Michael K. Lavanga
Joseph R. Briscar
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington, D.C. 20007
(202) 342-0800
(202) 342-0807 (fax)
Email: pjm@smxblaw.com
mkl@smxblaw.com
jrb@smxblaw.com

B. WITNESSES

Nucor does not plan to call any witnesses at this time.

C. EXHIBITS

Nucor does not plan to offer any exhibits at this time.

D. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

Nucor’s basic position is that Duke Energy Florida, LLC (“DEF”) bears the burden of proof to justify the costs it seeks to recover through the fuel clause and any other relief DEF requests in this proceeding.

E. STATEMENT ON SPECIFIC ISSUES

I. FUEL ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

ISSUE 1A: Should the Commission approve DEF’s 2023 Risk Management Plan?

- **Nucor:** Agree with OPC.

ISSUE 1B: What is the appropriate subscription bill credit associated with DEF’s Clean Energy Connection Program, approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0059-S-EI, to be included for recovery in 2023?

- **Nucor:** Agree with OPC.

ISSUE 1C: Has DEF made appropriate adjustments, if any are needed, to account for replacement power costs associated with the January 2021 to April 2021 outage in Bartow CC Unit 4A and/or the May 2021 to July 2021 outage in Bartow CC Unit 4C? If appropriate adjustments are needed and have not been made, what adjustments should be performed?

- **Nucor:** Agree with OPC.

ISSUE 1D: What is the impact on this docket, if a decision is issued in Case SC20-1601 before January 1, 2023?

- **Nucor:** Agree with OPC.

ISSUE 1E: What is the impact on this docket, if a decision is issued in Case SC22-94 before January 1, 2023?

- **Nucor:** Agree with OPC.

ISSUE 1F: If the decision in Case SC22-94 requires the return of replacement power costs to customers, what interest amount should be applied?

- **Nucor:** Agree with OPC.

ISSUE 1G: Has DEF made appropriate adjustments, if any are needed, to account for replacement power costs associated with the March 2022 outage at Hines Unit 4? If appropriate adjustments are needed and have not been made, what adjustments should be performed?

- **Nucor:** Agree with OPC

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 2A: What was the total gain under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL may recover for the period January 2021 through December 2021, and how should that gain to be shared between FPL and customers?

- **Nucor:** No position.

ISSUE 2B: What is the appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for Personnel, Software, and Hardware costs for the period January 2021 through December 2021?

- **Nucor:** No position.

ISSUE 2C: What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Attributable to Off-System Sales under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for the period January 2021 through December 2021?

- **Nucor:** No position.

ISSUE 2D: What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Avoided due to Economy Purchases under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for the period January 2021 through December 2021?

- **Nucor:** No position.

ISSUE 2E: What is the appropriate subscription credit associated with FPL's SolarTogether Program approved by Order No. PSC-2020-0084-S-EI, to be included for recovery in 2023?

- **Nucor:** No position.

ISSUE 2F: Should the Commission approve FPL’s 2023 Risk Management Plan?

- **Nucor:** No position.

ISSUE 2G: What is the proper methodology for FPL to calculate replacement power costs associated with an unplanned outage?

- **Nucor:** No position.

ISSUE 2H: Were each of the unplanned outages that occurred during 2020 the result of FPL actions or decisions that were prudent? If not, what adjustments should be made?

- **Nucor:** No position.

ISSUE 2I: Were each of the unplanned outages that occurred during 2021 the result of FPL actions or decisions that were prudent? If not, what adjustments should be made?

- **Nucor:** No position.

ISSUE 2J: Were each of the unplanned outages that occurred during 2022 the result of FPL actions or decisions that were prudent? If not, what adjustments should be made?

- **Nucor:** No position.

Florida Public Utilities Company

No company-specific fuel issues for Florida Public Utilities Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 3A, 3B, 3C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Gulf Power Company

Any company-specific fuel issues for Gulf Power Company will be addressed under Florida Power & Light Company above.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 4A: What was the total gain under TECO’s Optimization Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2017-0456-S-EI that TECO may recover for the period January 2021 through December 2021, and how should that gain to be shared between TECO and customers?

- **Nucor:** No position.

ISSUE 4B: Should the Commission approve TECO's 2023 Risk Management Plan?

- **Nucor:** No position.

ISSUE 4C: Has TECO made appropriate adjustments, if any are needed, to account for replacement power costs associated with any outages that occurred during 2021 and 2022? If appropriate adjustments are needed and have not been made, what adjustments should be performed?

- **Nucor:** No position.

GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

ISSUE 5: What are the appropriate actual benchmark levels for calendar year 2022 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 6: What are the appropriate estimated benchmark levels for calendar year 2023 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 7: What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2021 through December 2021?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 8: What are the appropriate fuel adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 9: What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January 2023 through December 2023?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 10: What are the appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost recovery amounts for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

COMPANY-SPECIFIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

No company-specific GPIF issues for Duke Energy Florida, Inc. have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 11A, 11B, 11C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Florida Power & Light Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Florida Power and Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 12A, 12B, 12C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Gulf Power Company

Any company-specific capacity issues for Gulf Power Company will be addressed under Florida Power & Light Company above.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 13A, 13B, 13C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC GPIF ISSUES

ISSUE 14: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period January 2021 through December 2021 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 15: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January 2023 through December 2023 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

FUEL FACTOR CALCULATION ISSUES

ISSUE 16: What are the appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery and Generating Performance Incentive amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 17: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period January 2023 through December 2023?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 18: What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 19: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 20: What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

II. CAPACITY ISSUES

COMPANY-SPECIFIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

ISSUE 21A: What is the appropriate amount of costs for the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) that DEF should be allowed to recover through the capacity cost recovery clause pursuant to DEF's 2017 Settlement?

- **Nucor:** Agree with OPC.

ISSUE 21B: What adjustment amounts should the Commission approve to be refunded through the capacity clause associated with the Duette SoBRA III project in Docket No. 20200245-EI?

- **Nucor:** Agree with OPC.

ISSUE 21C: What DOE Settlement Spent Fuel Claim amount should the Commission approve to be recovered through the capacity clause?

- **Nucor:** Agree with OPC.

Florida Power & Light Company

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Florida Power & Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they will be numbered 22A, 22B, 22C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Gulf Power Company

Any company-specific capacity issues for Gulf Power Company will be addressed under Florida Power & Light Company above.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they will be numbered 23A, 23B, 23C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 24: What are the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2021 through December 2021?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 25: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 26: What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected/refunded during the period January 2023 through December 2023?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 27: What are the appropriate projected total capacity cost recovery amounts for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 28: What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 29: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues and costs to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 30: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position

III. EFFECTIVE DATE

ISSUE 31: What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 32: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?

- **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 33: Should this docket be closed?

- **Nucor:** No position.

IV. CONTESTED ISSUES

OPC ISSUE C: Has FPL imprudently taken, or failed to prudently take, actions or made or failed to prudently make, decisions at or affecting the Turkey Point Units 3 & 4 and St. Lucie Units 1 & 2, such that replacement power costs have been incurred as they affect the fuel factor for 2020, 2021, 2022 and projections for 2023? If so, what adjustments should be made?

- **Nucor:** No position.

OPC ISSUE E: Should the Commission establish a spin-off docket to investigate FPL's nuclear operations and its impact on historical, ongoing, and future fuel costs?

- **Nucor:** No position.

F. PENDING MOTIONS

None.

G. PENDING REQUESTS OR CLAIMS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

None.

H. OBJECTIONS TO QUALIFICATIONS OF WITNESS AS EXPERT

None at this time.

I. REQUIREMENTS OF ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE

There are no requirements of the Procedural Orders with which Nucor cannot comply.

Respectfully submitted,

STONE MATTHEIS XENOPOULOS & BREW, PC

/s/ Michael K. Lavanga

Peter J. Mattheis

Michael K. Lavanga

Joseph R. Briscar

1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW

Eighth Floor, West Tower

Washington, D.C. 20007

(202) 342-0800

(202) 342-0807 (fax)

E-mail: pjm@smxblaw.com

mkl@smxblaw.com

jrb@smxblaw.com

Attorneys for Nucor Steel Florida, Inc.

Dated: October 6, 2022

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Prehearing Statement of Nucor Steel

Florida, Inc. has been furnished by electronic mail this 6th of October 2022, to the following:

Duke Energy

Matthew R. Bernier
Stephanie A. Cuello
Robert L. Pickels
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Matt.Bernier@Duke-Energy.com
Stephanie.Cuello@Duke-Energy.com
Robert.Pickels@duke-energy.com
FLRegulatoryLegal@duke-energy.com

Duke Energy

Dianne M. Triplett
229 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
Dianne.Triplett@Duke-Energy.com

Tampa Electric Company

J. Jeffry Wahlen
Malcom N. Means
Virginia Ponder
Ausley McMullen
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302
jwahlen@ausley.com
mmeans@ausley.com
vponder@ausley.com

Tampa Electric Company

Paula K. Brown
Regulatory Affairs
P. O. Box 111
Tampa, FL 33601-0111
regdept@tecoenergy.com

Florida Power & Light Company

Maria Jose Moncada
David Lee
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420
maria.moncada@fpl.com
david.lee@fpl.com

Florida Power & Light Company

Kenneth A. Hoffman
134 W. Jefferson Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859
ken.hoffman@fpl.com

Florida Public Utilities Company

Michelle D. Napier
1635 Meathe Drive
West Palm Beach, FL 33411
mnapier@fpuc.com

Florida Public Utilities Company

Beth Keating
Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A.
215 South Monroe St., Suite 601
Tallahassee, FL 32301
bkeating@gunster.com

Florida Public Utilities Company

Mike Cassel
208 Wildlight Ave.
Yulee, FL 32097
mcassel@fpuc.com

Southern Alliance for Clean Energy

George Cavros
120 E. Oakland Park Blvd.
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33334
george@cavros-law.com

Office of Public Counsel

Richard Gentry
Mary A. Wessling
Stephanie A. Morse
Charles J. Rehwinkel
Patricia A. Christensen
The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison St., Rm 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399
gentry.richard@leg.state.fl.us
wessling.mary@leg.state.fl.us
christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
morse.stephanie@leg.state.fl.us
rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us

PCS Phosphate - White Springs

James W. Brew
Laura Wynn Baker
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC
1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW
Suite 800 West
Washington, DC 20007
jbrew@smxblaw.com
lwb@smxblaw.com

Florida Industrial Power Users Group

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Moyle Law Firm
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
jmoyle@moylelaw.com
mqualls@moylelaw.com

Florida Public Service Commission

Suzanne Brownless
Ryan Sandy
Office of General Counsel
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us
rsandy@psc.state.fl.us

Florida Retail Federation

Robert Scheffel Wright
John T. LaVia III
1300 Thomaswood Drive
Tallahassee, FL 32308
schef@gbwlegal.com
jlavia@gbwlegal.com

/s/ Michael K. Lavanga

Michael K. Lavanga