100133-GU

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF MATTHEW KIM
4		
5	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION AND BUSINESS
6		ADDRESS.
7	Α.	My name is Matthew Kim. I serve as Assistant Vice President and Corporate
8		Controller of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation ("Chesapeake"). My business
9		address is 909 Silver Lake Boulevard, Dover, Delaware.
10	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
11		PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.
12	Α.	I graduated with a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration degree,
13		with a major in Accounting, Magna Cum Laude, from Georgetown University
14		in Washington, DC in 1998. I am a Certified Public Accountant, licensed in
15		the District of Columbia. I have 13 years of professional accounting
16		experience. I joined Chesapeake in 2009 as the Corporate Controller and in
17		2010, I was appointed as Assistant Vice President by Chesapeake's Board of
18		Directors. Prior to joining Chesapeake, I was Vice President and Assistant
19		Controller at The Carlyle Group, a global private equity firm, from 2005 to
20		2009. I also held various audit positions with public accounting firms for over
21		seven years, from Staff Auditor to Senior Manager. Prior to leaving public
22		accounting, I was a Senior Manager with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLC.
23	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR CURRENT RESPONSIBILITIES.
		02970  APR  29 =

02970 APR 29 =

A. As Assistant Vice President and Corporate Controller, I am responsible for
 accounting, financial reporting and tax compliance functions within
 Chesapeake. This includes daily oversight, management, compliance and
 policy. I am also involved in the financial planning and budgeting functions
 within Chesapeake.

6 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TESTIMONY BEFORE THE 7 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OR ANY OTHER 8 REUGATORY BODY?

9 A. Yes. In 2010, I previously provided testimony before the Federal Energy 10 Regulatory Commission ("FERC") in Docket Number RP11-1670. I have not 11 previously provided testimony before the Florida Public Service Commission 12 (the "Commission").

### 13 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe the acquisition by Chesapeake of Florida Public Utilities Company ("FPUC") and support the calculation of and the request for recovery of the positive acquisition premium and transaction/transition costs (the "Regulatory Assets") resulting from the acquisition.

### 19 Q. ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY EXHIBITS TO YOUR TESTIMONY?

- 20 A. Yes. I am sponsoring the following Exhibits to my testimony:
- 21
- Exhibit (MK-1) Calculation of Natural Gas Premium;
- 22
- Exhibit (MK-2) Calculation of Income Tax Gross-Up;

- Exhibit (MK-3) Transaction and Transition Costs Summary
   Detail;
  - Exhibit (MK-4) Proposed Amortization Schedule; and
- Exhibit (MK-5) Calculation of Acquisition Premium and
   Regulatory Assets Revenue Requirements and Comparison to
   Operating Savings

### 7 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ACQUISITION TRANSACTION.

3

On October 28, 2009, Chesapeake acquired FPUC, whereby FPUC became 8 Α. 9 a wholly owned subsidiary of Chesapeake. Pursuant to the transaction, 10 FPUC shareholders received 0.405 shares of Chesapeake common stock in 11 exchange for each outstanding share of FPUC common stock. Chesapeake issued 2.487.910 shares of its common stock to redeem all outstanding 12 13 FPUC common shares. The market price of Chesapeake stock at the time of 14 the transaction was \$30.42 per share. Thus, the value of consideration exchanged for FPUC common shares, inclusive of a minor amount of cash 15 16 paid in lieu of issuing fractional shares, was \$75,698,624. In addition, 17 Chesapeake assumed all of the outstanding short-term and long-term debt of 18 FPUC at the time of the acquisition, which represented \$4,249,000 and \$47,812,431, respectively. These amounts represented the outstanding 19 20 principal balances, net of any remaining discount and unamortized debt 21 issuance costs at the time of the transaction. Thus, the total value of 22 Chesapeake stock issued, cash paid and FPUC debt assumed in the acquisition was \$127,760,055. The transaction between Chesapeake and 23

FPUC, which was an exchange of stock rather than a sale of assets, was treated as a tax-free reorganization for income tax purposes in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code Section 368(a). Under a tax-free reorganization, the premium paid for the acquisition is considered to be capitalized as part of the investment basis and therefore, it is not deducted or amortized for income tax purposes for the Company.

# Q. DID THE ACQUISITION BY CHESAPEAKE RESULT IN A PURCHASE PRICE GREATER THAN THE BOOK VALUE OF THE ACQUIRED ASSETS FOR THE FPUC NATURAL GAS BUSINESS UNIT?

10 Α. Yes. We determined that the amount paid for the FPUC natural gas business 11 in the acquisition was \$88,276,234. The book value of the FPUC natural gas 12 business at the time of the acquisition was \$53,596,487. Thus, the purchase 13 price paid by Chesapeake exceeded the book value of the acquired assets of 14 the FPUC natural gas business by \$34,679.747. The book value of the FPUC 15 natural gas business at the time of the acquisition of \$53,596,487 includes the 16 effect of certain adjustments recorded after the acquisition was completed based on Chesapeake's review of FPUC's accounting records and other 17 18 adjustments as required by generally accepted accounting principles in the 19 United States of America ("US GAAP"). See Exhibit (MK-1).

### 20 Q. HOW DID THE COMPANY DETERMINE THE PURCHASE PRICE FOR THE

21

### FPUC NATURAL GAS BUSINESS UNIT?

A. The purchase price for the FPUC natural gas business is based on the fair
 value of the FPUC natural gas business as determined from a bottom-up

1 valuation calculation prepared by the independent valuation experts from Ernst & Young ("E&Y"). After the acquisition of FPUC was completed, the 2 Company engaged experts from E&Y to perform valuation services for the 3 4 sole purpose of accounting for the FPUC acquisition in accordance with US 5 GAAP. Under this engagement, E&Y calculated the fair value of the Total Invested Capital ("TIC") of each of FPUC's businesses based on the fair value 6 7 standard/premise as defined in US GAAP. TIC is essentially the value of 8 equity plus debt. The sum of TIC's from FPUC's businesses based on the 9 E&Y valuation was compared and reconciled to the total value of Chesapeake shares issued, cash paid and FPUC debt assumed in the acquisition. 10 The 11 purchase price for the FPUC natural gas business was based on the TIC 12 calculated by E&Y for the FPUC natural gas business (\$88,700,000), plus a 13 slight adjustment to account for an Excess Paid above the valuation amount 14 (\$111,260), less an adjustment to reallocate costs to cover "common" plant 15 (\$535,026).

16Q.PLEASE DESCRIBE THE VALUATION METHODOLOGY ERNST &17YOUNG UTILIZED TO DETERMINE "FAIR VALUE."

A. E&Y utilized the Fair Value standard/premise as defined in US GAAP as the primary basis for their valuation. The US GAAP standard/premise of fair value is defined in Accounting Standard Codification 820 as "the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date." In a valuation of the TIC of a company, three different approaches may be used to

1 determine fair value: 1) the Income Approach, 2) the Market Approach, and 3) the Cost Approach. As more fully described in the E&Y valuation report, E&Y 2 3 considered all three approaches in its valuation of the FPUC natural gas business and determined that the Discounted Cash Flow Method ("DCF") of 4 the Income Approach and the Guideline Company Method ("GCM") and 5 Similar Transaction Method ("STM") of the Market Approach were the most 6 7 appropriate for this transaction. The Cost Approach, which is usually not 8 utilized when valuing an on-going, profitable operation, was not used by E&Y. 9 E&Y determined the fair value of the FPUC natural gas business under each 10 method and applied the following weight to each method based on its 11 assessment of accuracy and application of each method to the business based on size, risk and relevance: DCF Method - 80%; GCM - 10%; and 12 STM – 10%. The resulting fair value of the FPUC natural gas business as 13 14 calculated by E&Y was \$88,700,000.

## 15 Q. IS THE COMPANY SEEKING APPROVAL OF THE NATURAL GAS 16 PURCHASE PREMIUM OF \$34,679,747?

A. No. Although the premium (the purchase price in excess of the book value of assets) for the FPUC natural gas business as determined through the bottom-up, independent valuation by E&Y was \$34,679,747, the total purchase premium for the acquisition was determined to be \$34,192,493. The Company is seeking recovery of the total purchase premium amount of \$34,192,493 (the lower amount).

## 1Q.WHAT REGULATORYTREATMENT OFTHISACQUISITION2ADJUSTMENT IS BEING REQUESTED BY FPUC?

FPUC is requesting that the total purchase premium or positive acquisition 3 Α. 4 adjustment of \$34,192,493 be approved by the Commission for FPUC's natural gas business unit and amortized over 30 years. FPUC is also 5 requesting that the amortization of the positive acquisition adjustment be 6 7 allowed to be recorded in Account 406, Amortization of Gas Plant Acquisition 8 Adjustment, as an above-the-line expense to be included for ratemaking and FPUC is also requesting that the 9 earnings surveillance purposes. 10 amortization of the positive acquisition adjustment be allowed to be "grossed-11 up" for income taxes as shown in Exhibit (MK-2).

## 12Q.ISFPUCSEEKINGANYOTHERREGULATORYTREATMENT13ASSOCIATED WITH THE ACQUISITION?

14 A. Yes, FPUC is requesting approval to recover the Regulatory Assets (as 15 previously defined) attributable to the natural gas business unit and to 16 amortize the Regulatory Assets over five years.

### 17 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE TRANSACTION COSTS.

A. Transaction costs were those costs necessary to consummate the acquisition transaction. The transaction costs consisted primarily of fees paid by Chesapeake to attorneys, Chesapeake's financial advisor, accounting firms and other consultants for their services related to the acquisition. The transaction costs also included the costs associated with obtaining necessary regulatory approvals and shareholder approval, which were required to

consummate the transaction. As shown on Exhibit (MK-3), the total of all 1 transaction costs incurred by Chesapeake were \$2,375,033. The total 2 3 transaction costs did not include approximately \$4.6 million of similar costs incurred by FPUC in connection with the transaction, which were expensed 4 by FPUC in 2008 and 2009. Chesapeake's transaction costs also exclude 5 approximately \$1.2 million of related costs incurred by Chesapeake during the 6 7 initial acquisition discussions with FPUC in 2007 and 2008. Chesapeake 8 expensed those amounts in 2008 upon termination of the initial discussions.

9 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE TRANSITION COSTS.

10 Transition costs were incurred after the completion of the transaction to Α. 11 facilitate the integration of Chesapeake and FPUC and, as a requirement of 12 the transaction, to improve business efficiencies and to lower overall costs to serve. The transition costs included: severance related expenses, "run-off" 13 insurance for former directors and officers of FPUC, legal fees associated 14 15 with severance and other integration-related matters, costs associated with shareholder litigation and consulting expenses related to integration of 16 17 operations. The total transition costs incurred were \$957,159, as shown on 18 Exhibit (MK-3).

## 19Q.ARE ALL OF THE REGULATORY ASSETS DEDUCTIBLE FOR TAX20PURPOSES?

A. No, certain costs are not deductible for income tax purposes as they are capitalized in the investment basis. Income tax deductibility for the transaction and transition costs were determined based on the nature and

1 timing of the costs in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 263(a). Tax regulations consider certain costs incurred in a transaction, such as 2 drafting an acquisition document, to be closely related to facilitating the 3 transaction and prescribe that those costs must be capitalized as part of the 4 investment basis rather than being deducted or amortized for income tax 5 purposes. Tax regulations also require the costs incurred after the parties 6 agree to a transaction, which in the case of this acquisition was the approval 7 of the transaction by each company's Board of Directors, to be capitalized as 8 9 part of the investment basis rather than being deducted or amortized for The Company analyzed each transaction and 10 income tax purposes. transition cost incurred in the acquisition for tax-deductibility with the 11 assistance from external tax consultants and determined that \$908,512 of the 12 13 transaction costs and all of the transition costs are deductible for income tax 14 purposes, as also shown on Exhibit MK-3.

15Q.HOWDIDTHECOMPANYDETERMINETHEPORTIONOF16TRANSACTION COSTS AND TRANSITION COSTS TO BE INCLUDED IN17THE REGUALTORY ASSETS?

A. The Company analyzed each type of transaction and transition cost based on the nature and type of those costs for appropriate assignment or allocation to different businesses. First, any costs that benefitted a specific business were assigned to that business. All other costs, which benefitted the overall transaction and integration were allocated to FPUC businesses based on the TIC determined by the E&Y valuation. As a result of these assignments and

allocation, the Company determined that \$1,650,983 of the transaction costs
 and \$556,175 of the transition costs are related to the FPUC natural gas
 business and thus included in the Regulatory Assets.

4 Q. WHAT IS THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF THE REGULATORY ASSETS THAT 5 THE COMPANY IS SEEKING RECOVERY?

6 Α. The total amount of Regulatory Assets that the Company is seeking to 7 recover is \$2,207,158. The Company is proposing that the Commission 8 approve the Regulatory Assets in rate base and allow them to be amortized 9 over a five-year period as an "above-the-line" expense in the cost to serve to 10 be included for ratemaking and earnings surveillance purposes. The 11 Company is also requesting approval to gross-up the Regulatory Assets for income taxes as shown in Exhibit \_\_ (MK-2). 12

## 13Q.IS FPUC REQUESTING AN ADJUSTMENT TO ITS CURRENTLY14APPROVED RATES IN THIS PROCEEDING?

A. No. The Company is seeking Commission approval to place the positive
 acquisition adjustment and Regulatory Assets into rate base and the
 associated amortization amounts be recorded "above-the-line" in the cost of
 service.

## 19Q.WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE AMORTIZATION POLICY OF20THE COMMISSION?

A. The Commission has authorized positive acquisition adjustments in several
 natural gas cases, including Peoples Gas' acquisition of Southern Gas
 Company (Order No. 23858); FPUC acquisition of South Florida Natural Gas

1 (Order No. PSC-04-1110-PAA-GU); AGL acquisition of NUI (Order No. PSC-2 07-0913-PAA-GU); and Chesapeake's acquisition of Central Florida Gas 3 (Order No. 18716). In most of these cases, the Commission has approved a 4 30-year amortization period (other than the Chesapeake acquisition of Central 5 Florida Gas where the amortization period was 15-years), beginning at the 6 acquisition closing date using the straight-line method. In addition, in the AGL 7 case, the Commission authorized a five-year amortization period for 8 transaction/transition costs.

9

### Q. IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING SIMILAR TREATMENT?

10 The Company is proposing some slight variations to the Commission's past Α. practices. First, the Company is proposing that the amortization begin on 11 12 November 1, 2009 instead of the transaction closing date of October 28, 13 2009. The Company believes that beginning the amortization period at the 14 start of a calendar month makes for less confusion over the life of the 15 acquisition asset and the accounting would be somewhat simpler than if the 16 Commission practice was strictly adhered to. Second, the Company is 17 proposing to modify the straight-line amortization approach. The Company 18 proposes that for the first three-years, the amortization expense be modified 19 such that it provides for a better matching of the operating savings that 20 support the acquisition related revenue requirements.

## 21Q.PLEASEELABORATEONTHECOMPANY'SPROPOSED22AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE.

1 Α. Certainly. The Company is proposing an amortization schedule, which allows the Company to gradually increase the total amortization expense 2 (amortization of both the positive acquisition adjustment and Regulatory 3 4 Assets) during the first three years of the amortization period as shown in Exhibit (MK-4). After three years, the total amortization will remain 5 constant each year, consistent with the traditional straight-line schedule. The 6 Company's proposed amortization schedule does not vary from the 7 8 Commission's past practices of a 30-year amortization period for positive acquisition premium and a five-year amortization period for the Regulatory 9 Assets. Except for during the first three years, the Company's proposed 10 amortization schedule does not vary from amortizing the same amount each 11 period during the life of the asset, which is the general concept of straight-line 12 amortization. 13

### 14 Q. WHY DOES THE COMPANY BELIEVE THAT THE MODIFIED STRAIGHT-

15

### LINE APPROACH IS APPROPRIATE?

Amortization is a way to distribute or recognize expense over a period of time Α. 16 17 during which the associated benefits from the asset are realized. By proposing the modified approach, the Company is simply trying to better 18 match the revenue requirements of the acquisition and integration of FPUC 19 (premium and transaction/transition costs) with the timing of when the 20 21 benefits of the acquisition are realized. Under the Commission's past practice 22 of a more traditional straight-line amortization approach, the total revenue 23 requirements for the positive acquisition adjustment and transaction/transition

1 costs are higher during the first five years after the acquisition than the next 2 25 vears. As normally occurs in acquisition transactions, companies 3 immediately seek to achieve synergies and savings that exist due to a variety 4 of circumstances: duplicative services, changes in operations philosophy, etc. 5 Once identified, companies begin to harvest these savings. However, all of 6 the synergies and savings do not occur at the same point in time; rather, they 7 are achieved systematically over time as companies continue to identify and 8 harvest these savings in a systematic fashion such that operational 9 requirements are maintained and the quality of customer service is not 10 degraded. In effect, there is a ramping up of savings that typically occurs 11 over the first three to five years of the post-acquisition period. The Company 12 believes that Chesapeake and FPUC moved quickly to identify the areas 13 where cost savings can be achieved and harvest those savings. The fact that 14 the Company is able to discuss the positive impact of the acquisition in less 15 than 18 months after the completion of the transaction speaks to just how 16 quickly the Company has been able to achieve those benefits and savings. 17 The annual savings of \$941,266 attributable to the permanent turn-back of 18 FGT capacity as discussed in the testimony of Mr. Geoffroy is just one of the 19 examples of the savings achieved and shared with its customers in the 18 20 months since the transaction. Accounting principles would prescribe that 21 there should be a "matching" of the costs (revenue requirements) of the 22 acquisition with the operating savings required to justify and support recovery 23 of the costs. Thus, the Company believes that it is appropriate to modify the

amortization of the positive acquisition adjustment and Regulatory Assets
 over the first three years of the post-acquisition period.

## Q. HOW WILL THE MODIFIED AMORTIZATION APPRAOCH AFFECT THE 4 CUSTOMERS?

5 Α. Since the Company is not proposing an adjustment to FPUC's current 6 approved rates, there is no immediate impact to customers. In the early 7 years, the revenue requirements are lower under the Company's proposed 8 method, compared to the revenue requirements under the more traditional 9 straight-line method. The revenue requirements after year 5 are higher under 10 the Company's proposed method. As synergies are fully implemented and 11 sustained in those years, higher cost savings are expected in those years as 12 well.

## Q. WHAT WAS THE TIMING OF THE SAVINGS DEMONSTRATED HEREIN ON THE COMPANY'S FINANCIAL STATEMENTS?

A. As described herein, the Company was reluctant to reduce costs in a manner that would result in a reduction of service quality to customers. The Company needed to retain most employees to ensure safe and reliable service. As a result, as shown on Exhibit \_\_(MK-5), the actual and projected savings amounts in the first few years after the acquisition escalate as the Company deliberately proceeded with its post-acquisition actions.

## 21Q.ARE THE SAVINGS ACHIEVED BY THE COMPANY PERMANENT OR22TEMPORARY?

The savings achieved by the Company are permanent. The Commission's Α. 1 2 practice has been to continue to review the permanency of the cost savings supporting the approval of the positive acquisition adjustment in all future rate 3 proceedings. It is important to note that while the level of the net operating 4 savings is approximately equal to the revenue requirements of the positive 5 6 acquisition adjustment and Regulatory Assets by 2011, under the proposed 7 modified straight line amortization schedule; this will not be the case over the 8 amortization life of the positive acquisition adjustment. The level of savings 9 over the 30 year amortization period grows while the revenue requirements of 10 the positive acquisition adjustment and Regulatory Assets decline. For 11 example, the savings related to the eliminated positions grow over time 12 because the Company will have avoided pay increases, benefit cost 13 increases and other employee related cost increases that would otherwise have been incurred. Inflation would have also impacted the costs of certain 14 15 savings, such as vehicle fuel, uniforms and corporate costs (insurance, legal 16 fees, etc.). As shown on Exhibit (MK-5), the accumulated savings over the 17 30 year period are projected to be more than \$270 million while the total 18 revenue requirements over the 30 year period are projected to be 19 approximately \$119 million in the Company-proposed amortization method. 20 Thus, over the 30 year life of the acquisition premium, savings are expected 21 to exceed revenue requirements by over \$150 million.

22

Q. WHAT HAPPENS TO THE \$150 MILLION EXCESS SAVINGS?

A. The excess savings accrues to the benefit of customers. In other words, because the acquisition occurred, the overall cost of service over the 30 year period is projected to be \$150 million lower than it would have been if the two companies operated independently. Because customer rates are set on the cost of service, the acquisition produces rates that are \$150 million lower than they would have otherwise been over the 30 year period.

# Q. ACCORDING TO THE COMPANY, WHAT IS THE STRUCTURE OF THE AMORTIZATION METHOD THAT BENEFITS BOTH CUSTOMERS AND THE COMPANY?

10 Α. As is shown above, the benefits to consumers over the 30-year life of the 11 acquisition premium outweigh the revenue requirements of the transaction (by 12 over \$150 million). However, under the current practice, the utility is harmed 13 by the mis-matching of early year savings (which escalate) with the total 14 revenue requirements, based on the straight line amortization method, of the 15 positive acquisition adjustment and Regulatory Assets. It is the Company's 16 belief, therefore, that it is appropriate for the Commission to approve the 17 Company-proposed modified straight-line amortization expense thus 18 providing a better matching of savings with costs (revenue requirements).

### 19 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

20 A. Yes.

### EXHIBIT\_\_\_(MK-1)

### Calculation of Natural Gas Premium

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DAT 02970 APR 29 = FPSC-COMMISSION CLEF

Chesapeake Utilities Corporation Calculation of Natural Gas Premium		Exhibit(MK-1) Page 1 of 3
	NG	_
Fair value - enterprise value Excess paid	\$ 88,700,000 111,260	
Reallocation to cover "common" plant	(535,026)	- C
Purchase price	\$ 88,276,234	-
	NG	-
Purchase price	\$ 88,276,234	
Net asset value Adjustments - pre-merger adjustments:	55,859,889	D
Loss on reacquired debt	100,949	E
Bonus accrual reversal	40,610	F
Income tax contingency, net	(42,728)	G
Income tax amendments		G
Income tax true-up	-	Н
Adjustments - elimination of pre-merger goodwill and intangible assets:		
Existing goodwill	(552,803)	ł
Existing intangible assets	(1,900,000)	I
Subtotal - net assets	53,505,917	. *
Fair value adjustments:	-	J
Deferred tax liability step-up	(290,459)	к
Regulatory asset on deferred tax step-up	381,029	N.
Revised net assets - After FV adjustments	53,596,487	
Purchase premium	\$ 34,679,747	

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 0 2 9 7 0 APR 29 =

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

### Chesapeake Utilities Corporation Calculation of Natural Gas Premium Notes

А

Based on the "total invested capital" (i.e., enterprise value - value of equity plus debt) as recommended by the E&Y Valuation report.

B Calculation of the consideration paid in excess of the valuation:

Consideration paid	\$ 75,698,624 See below
ST Debt - FPU at merger	4,249,000 Value of the debt
LT Debt - FPU at merger	47,812,431 Value of the debt
Total	\$ 127,760,055
Total fair value per valuation	127,600,000
Excess paid	\$ 160,055

The "excess paid" represents the amount of consideration paid by Chesapeake above the sum of the fair value of each of the reporting units and their collective debt at the acquisition date. This should be allocated to each of the reporting units based on its respective ratio of the value compared to the sum of their values as follows:

	Fair Value of Reportin Unit		Allocation of "excess paid"
Natural gas	\$ 88,700,0	000 70%	\$ 111,260
Electric	\$ 30,500,0	000 24%	\$ 38,258
Propane	\$ 8,400,0	000 7%	\$ 10,537
	\$ 127,600,0	000	\$ 160,055

Calculation of the consideration paid by Chesapeake in the merger

Total CPK shares to be issued	2,487,910
Shares price on October 27, 2009 (one-day prior to effective date)	 \$30.42
Value of consideration exchanged	 \$75,682,222
Cash for fractional shares	\$ 16,402
Total	 \$75,698,624

С

In preparing the valuation for each reporting unit of FPU, we did not specifically value the remaining "other" net assets, which are primarily consisted of merchandise and other jobbing related assets, due to lack of materiality. Instead, we assumed that there is no fair value adjustment (i.e., book value = fair value) and allocated the value from the natural gas reporting unit. Chesapeake Utilities Corporation Calculation of Natural Gas Premium Notes

D

I

Exhibit\_\_\_(MK-1) Page 3 of 3

These amounts represent the book value of net assets from the FPU natural gas reporting unit, prior to any adjustments based on Chesapeake's review of the accounting records, adjustments allowed/required to be made pursuant to application of US GAAP acquisition accounting (such as fair value adjustment, re-assessment of effective tax rate used in the calculation of deferred taxes, assessment of pre-merger contingencies within the measurement period).

The book value of net assets was prepared by FPU, using the general ledger information and FPU's internal allocation of its "common" assets. Since FPU's general ledger does not maintain the assets and liabilities by each reporting unit on the fully-allocated basis (i.e., including the impact of allocating "common" items), we used the information provided by FPU based on its internal allocation.

- E In FPU's calculation described in Note D above, FPU did not include "unamortized loss on required debt" in account 189. This adjustment establishes the book value of that asset. The allocation was done based on the ratio of net book value between natural gas and electric reporting units.
- F During the measurement period of the acquisition accounting, we noted that FPU did not pay certain bonuses accrued as of the acquisition date. This adjustment reverses the over-accrual of the bonus expense. The allocation was done based on how the bonus accrual was allocated (based on payroll allocation done by FPU).
- G During the measurement period (in September 2010), we amended FPU's income tax return for 2006, 2007 and 2008 as we identified an error in the amount of depreciation deduction included in those returns. As a result, FPU was required to make additional income tax payments for those years. Since the correction involves depreciation (timing difference), this results in adjustments between current tax and deferred tax balances and have no net effect on the book value of net assets. However, it is likely that FPU will be assessed for interest by the IRS on the additional tax payments and we established the contingent liability for such exposure. This adjustment is net of the related deferred tax impact.
- H During the measurement period (in September 2010), we finalized FPU's income tax return for the premerger period in 2009. All of the adjustments to true-up the income tax accruals to the actual tax return involve timing difference (between current and deferred taxes) and have no net effect on the book value of net assets.
- Any remaining book value of FPU's goodwill and intangible assets (excluding the portion included in its rate base, which are considered a regulatory asset) was reversed for the calculation. Intangible assets, which meets the US GAAP definition to be valued at acquisition, if any, will be valued. Goodwill, if any, will be established by reporting unit based on the calculation.
- 3 There is no fair value adjustment for assets and liabilities subject to rate regulation for FPU.
- K After the merger, FPU will file as part of Chesapeake's consolidated federal income tax return. FPU's deferred taxes were previously estimated using its then effective federal income tax rate of 34%. Deferred taxes are supposed to be estimated using the tax rate expected to be in effect when they are utilized/ recognized. Since the effective federal income tax is 35% for FPU after the merger, we have to adjust FPU's deferred tax balance to reflect the increase in the expected tax rate.

### EXHIBIT\_\_\_(MK-2)

### Calculation of Income Tax

### Gross Up

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

### FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY CALCULATION OF INCOME TAX GROSS-UP FOR ACQUISITION PREMIUM AND REGULATORY ASSETS AMORTIZATION EXPENSE

	Total	
	Premium	
	\$34,192,493	
Non-Deductible	\$32,317,493	
Deductible	\$1,875,000	

Amortization Expense (tax deductible)	\$62,500
Amortization Expense (not tax deductible)	\$1,077,250
Tax Gross-up	37.63% \$ 649,943
Return of Capital	\$1,789,693

	Total
	Regulatory Assets
	\$2,207,158
Non-Deductible	\$1,019,439
Deductible	\$1,187,719

Amortization Expense (tax deductible)		\$237,544
Amortization Expense (not tax deductible)		\$203,888
Tax Gross-up	37.63%	\$123,013
Return of Capital		\$564,445

### DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 0 2 9 7 0 APR 29 =

EXHIBIT\_\_\_(MK-2)

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

### EXHIBIT\_\_\_(MK-3)

### **Transaction and Transition Costs**

### **Summary Detail**

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 02970 APR 29 = FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

#### Transaction and Transition Costs - Summary Detail

Exhibit\_\_\_(MK-3) Page 1 of 2

		2009	YTD	2010 September	Total To-Date
Transaction costs					
Legal - corporate counsel	\$	809,342	\$	-	\$ 809,342
Financial advisor		809,132		-	809,132
Accounting and valuation consultation		148,937		-	148,937
Other due diligence matters		168,631		-	168,631
Regulatory approval		62,306		-	62,306
Shareholder approval		366,338		-	366,338
Other		10,347		-	10,347
Subtotal - transaction costs		2,375,033			 2,375,033
Transition costs - subject to recovery					
Severance related		28,044		423,528	451,572
D&O insurance (run off for FPU)		252,832		-	252,832
Legal - mostly HR related matters		58,403		477	58,880
Consulting		47,572		(6,739)	40,833
System conversion		44,867		(48,749)	(3,882)
Subtotal - transition costs subject to recovery		431,718		368,517	 800,235
Transition costs - not subject to recovery					
Shareholder litigation		154,229			154,229
Propane customer transfer - mostly marketing		2,695		-	2,695
Subtotal - transition costs not subject to recovery		156,924		*	 156,924
Transition costs - Total	<u>-</u>	588,642		368,517	 957,159
Total transaction and transition costs	\$	2,963,675	\$	368,517	\$ 3,332,192

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 02970 APR 29 = FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

#### Transaction and Transition Costs - Summary Detail

#### Exhibit\_\_\_(MK-3) Page 2 of 2

CONSOLIDATED	Tax Deductibl	e	Non Deductible	Total	Allocated t Utilities	to or	on-recoverable r Allocated to Propane	Total	% Allocated to Utilities	% Allocated to Non- recoverable and Propane	
Transaction Costs											
2009	\$ 908,5	12 \$	1,466,521	\$ 2,375,033	3 \$ 2,218,68	33\$	156,350	\$ 2,375,033	93.4%	6.6%	
Transition Costs											
2009 - transition costs	431,7	18	-	431,718	8 403,22	25	28,493	431,718	93.4%	6.6%	
2009 - shareholder litigation	154,2	29	-	154,229	) -		154,229	154,229	0.0%		
2009 - propane transfer marketing costs	2,6	95	-	2,695	5 -		2,695	2,695	0.0%	100.0%	
2010 (YTD Sept)	368,5	17	-	368,517	7 344,19	<del>3</del> 5	24,322	368,517	93.4%	6.6%	
	957,1	59	-	957,159	747,41	19	209,740	957,159			
Total transaction and transition costs	\$ 1,865,6	71 \$	1,466,521	\$ 3,332,192	2 \$ 2,966,10	02 \$	366,090	\$ 3,332,192			

Break-down of transaction/transition costs allocated to utilities	Natural Gas	Electric	Total Utilities	Allocate to Natural Gas	Allocate to Électric
ransaction Costs					·
2009	\$ 1,650,983	\$ 567,700	\$ 2,218,683	74.4%	25.6%
ransition Costs					
2009 - transition costs	300,051	103,174	403,225	74.4%	25.6%
2009 - shareholder litigation	- -	-			
2009 - propane transfer marketing costs	-	-	-		
2010 (YTD Sept)	256,125	88,070	344,195	74.4%	25.6%
	556,175	191,244	747,419	74.4%	25.6%
tal transaction and transition costs	\$ 2,207,158	\$ 758,944	\$ 2,966,102	74.4%	25.6%
	······		Total	····· ,.	

	Natural Gas	Electric	Utilities	Propane	Total
Base - enterprise value assessed at			•		
acquisition	\$ 88,700,000	\$ 30,500,000	\$ 119,200,000	\$ 8,400,000	\$ 127,600,000
% allocation between NG and Electric	74.4%	25.6%	100.0%		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
% allocation from total	69.5%	23.9%	93.4%	6.6%	100.0

### EXHIBIT\_\_\_(MK-4)

### **Proposed Amortization Schedule**

02970 APR 29 =

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

#### FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY PROPOSED AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE

\$ 34,192,493 Acquisition Premium \$ 2,207,158 Regulatory Assets

\$ 36,399,651 Total

Per the Commission	Practice	(Straight	Line
--------------------	----------	-----------	------

			An	ort	ization Exper	ıse				Remain	ning	Balance - at ye	ar-	end
Calendar	No of		Reg Asset		q Premium		Total							
Year	Months		nortization	Ar	nortization	An	nortization			Reg Asset	A	cq Premium		Total
									<u> </u>			24 4 02 402	~	26 200 65
									\$	2,207,158	\$	34,192,493		36,399,65
2009	2	\$	73,572	\$	189,958	\$	263,530		\$	2,133,586	\$	34,002,535		36,136,12 34,554,93
2010	12	\$	441,432	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,581,181		\$	1,692,154	\$	32,862,785		
2011	12	2 \$	441,432	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,581,181		\$	1,250,723	\$	31,723,035		32,973,7
2012	12	2\$	441,432	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,581,181		\$	809,291	\$	30,583,285		31,392,5
2013	. 13	2\$	441,432	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,581,181		\$	367,860	\$	29,443,536		29,811,3
2014	13	2\$	367,860	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,507,609		\$	-	\$	28,303,786		28,303,7
2015	1	2 \$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	27,164,036		27,164,0
2016	1	2\$		\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	26,024,286		26,024,2
2017	1	2\$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	24,884,537		24,884,5
2018	1	2\$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	23,744,787		23,744,7
2019	1	2\$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	22,605,037		22,605,0
2020		2\$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	21,465,287		21,465,2
2021	1	z \$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750	1.1	\$	-	\$	20,325,538		20,325,5
2022	1	z \$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	19,185,788		19,185,7
2023	. 1	2\$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	18,046,038		18,046,0
2024		2 \$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	16,906,288		16,906,2
2025		2\$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	15,766,538		15,766,5
2026		2\$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	14,626,789		14,626,7
2027		2\$	-	\$	1,139,750		1,139,750		\$	-	\$	13,487,039		13,487,0
2028		2\$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	12,347,289	-	12,347,2
2029		2\$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	11,207,539	-	11,207,5
2030		2\$	-	\$	1,139,750		1,139,750		\$	-	\$	10,067,790		10,067,7
2031		2\$	-	\$	1,139,750	\$	1,139,750		\$	-	\$	8,928,040	\$	
2032		2\$	-	\$	1,139,750		1,139,750		\$	-	\$	7,788,290	\$	, .
2033		2 \$		\$	1,139,750		1,139,750		\$	-	\$	6,648,540	\$	
2034		2 \$	-	\$	1,139,750		1,139,750		\$	-	\$	5,508,791	Ş	
2035		2 \$	-	Ş	1,139,750		1,139,750		\$	-	\$	4,369,041		
2036		2 \$	-	\$			1,139,750		\$	•	\$	3,229,291		
2037		.2 \$		\$	1,139,750		1,139,750		\$	-	\$	2,089,541		
2038		.2 \$		\$			1,139,750		\$	-	\$	949,791		
2039		.0\$		\$			949,791		\$	-	\$	0		5
						-	26.200.654							
	36	D \$	2,207,158	Ş	34,192,493	Ş	36,399,651							

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

Exhibit\_\_\_(MK-4)

Page 1 of 3

02970 APR 29 =

FPSC-COMPASSION OUTRK

### FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY PROPOSED AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE

 \$ 34,192,493
 Acquisition Premium

 \$ 2,207,158
 Regulatory Assets

 \$ 36,399,651
 Total

			Ar	nor	tization Expe	nse				Remai	ning	Balance - at ye	ear	-end
alendar	No of	I	Reg Asset	A	cq Premium		Total							
Year	Months	Ar	nortization	A	mortization	A	mortization	_	R	eg Asset	A	cq Premium		Total
								[	5	2,207,158	\$	34,192,493	\$	36,399,65
2009	2	\$	36,549	\$	16,667	\$	53,216	[0,	_	2,170,609	\$	34,175,826		36,346,43
2010	12	\$	272,511	\$	100,000	\$	372,511	Ş	5	1,898,098	\$	34,075,826	\$	35,973,92
2011	12	\$	543,227	\$	148,579	\$	691,806	Ś	5	1,354,871	\$	33,927,247		35,282,13
2012	12	\$	545,580	\$	465,522	\$	1,011,101	ę	\$	809,291	\$	33,461,725	\$	34,271,0
2013	12	\$	441,432	\$	835,749	\$	1,277,181	ş		367,860	\$	32,625,976		32,993,8
2014	12	\$	367,860	\$	909,321	\$	1,277,181	ć		•	\$	31,716,655		31,716,6
2015	12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	ç		· _	\$	30,439,474		30,439,4
2016	12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	ę		-	\$	29,162,293		29,162,2
2017	12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	ç	;	-	\$	27,885,113		27,885,1
2018	12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	Ş		-	\$	26,607,932		26,607,93
2019	12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181		1,277,181	\$		-	\$	25,330,751		25,330,7
2020	. 12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	Ş		-	\$	24,053,571		24,053,51
2021	12	\$		\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$			\$	22,776,390	-	22,776,39
2022	12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$		-	\$	21,499,209		21,499,20
2023	12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	Ş		-	\$	20,222,028		20,222,02
2024	12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$		-	\$	18,944,848		18,944,84
2025	12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$		-	\$	17,667,667		17,667,66
2026	12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$		-	\$	16,390,486		16,390,48
2027	12		-	\$	1,277,181	ŝ	1,277,181	\$		-	\$	15,113,305		15,113,30
2028	12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$		-	\$	13,836,125		13,836,12
2029	12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181		1,277,181	\$		-	\$	12,558,944		12,558,94
2030	12		-	\$	1,277,181		1,277,181	\$		-	\$	11,281,763		11,281,70
2031	12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181		1,277,181	\$		-	\$	10,004,582		10,004,58
2032	12		-	\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$		-	\$	8,727,402	\$	8,727,40
2033	12	\$		\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$		-	\$	7,450,221	\$	7,450,22
2034	12	\$.	-	\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$		-	\$	6,173,040	\$	6,173,04
2035	12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$		-	\$	4,895,859	\$	4,895,8
2036	12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$		-	\$	3,618,679	\$	3,618,6
2037	12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$		-	\$	2,341,498	\$	2,341,49
2038	12	\$	-	\$	1,277,181	\$	1,277,181	\$		-	\$	1,064,317	\$	1,064,31
2039	10	\$	-	\$	1,064,317	\$	1,064,317	\$		-	\$	0	\$	
_	360	\$	2,207,158	ć	24 102 402	ŕ	26 200 651							
		4	2,207,130	7	34,192,493	<u> </u>	36,399,651							

Exhibit\_\_\_(MK-4) Page 2 of 3

### FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY PROPOSED AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE

Exhibit\_\_\_(MK-4) Page 3 of 3

 \$ 34,192,493
 Acquisition Premium

 \$ 2,207,158
 Regulatory Assets

 \$ 36,399,651
 Total

	Difference between the Prope	osed Amortization and Straight Line
--	------------------------------	-------------------------------------

				tization Exper	ise			Re	ema	inir	ng Balance - a	at ye	ar-end
Calendar		Reg Asset		cq Premium		Total							
Year	Ar	nortization	A	mortization	Α	mortization	F	leg Asse	et	Ac	q Premium		Total
							<b></b>						
2000		(07.000)	-	/		( <b>a</b> - <b>a</b> - · · · <b>b</b>	\$			\$	-	\$	-
2009		(37,023)		(173,292)		(210,314)	\$	37,02		\$	173,292	\$	210,31
		(168,921)	\$	(1,039,750)		(1,208,670)	\$	•		\$	1,213,041	\$	1,418,98
2011		101,795	\$	(991,170)	-	(889,375)	\$	104,14		\$	2,204,212	\$	2,308,36
2012		104,148	\$	(674,228)		(570,080)	\$	-		\$	2,878,440	\$	2,878,44
2013		-	\$	(304,001)	\$	(304,001)	- \$	-		\$	3,182,440	\$	3,182,44
		-	\$	(230,429)	\$	(230,429)	\$	-		\$	3,412,869	\$	3,412,86
2015	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	3,275,438	\$	3,275,43
	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	3,138,007	\$	3,138,00
2017		-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	3,000,576	\$	3,000,57
2018	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	2,863,145	\$	2,863,14
2019	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	2,725,714	\$	2,725,71
2020	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	2,588,283	\$	2,588,28
2021	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	2,450,852	\$	2,450,85
2022	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	2,313,421	\$	2,313,42
2023	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	2,175,990	\$	2,175,99
2024	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	2,038,559	\$	2,038,55
2025	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	1,901,128	\$	1,901,12
2026	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	· -		\$	1,763,697	\$	1,763,69
2027	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	1,626,266	\$	1,626,26
2028	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	1,488,836	\$	1,488,83
2029	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$.	1,351,405	\$	1,351,40
2030	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	1,213,974	\$	1,213,97
2031	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	1,076,543	\$	1,076,54
2032	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	939,112	\$	939,11
2033	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	801,681	\$	801,68
2034	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	664,250	\$	664,25
2035	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	526,819	\$	526,81
2036	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	389,388	\$	389,38
2037	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	251,957	\$	251,95
2038	\$	-	\$	137,431	\$	137,431	\$	-		\$	114,526	\$	114,52
2039	\$	-	\$	114,526	\$	114,526	\$	-		\$	(0)	\$	(
-	\$	(0)	è	(0)	ć	(0)							

### Composite EXHIBIT\_\_\_(MK-5)

Calculation of Acquisition Premium Revenue Requirements – Straight Line Method And Company-Proposed Method

02970 APR 29 =

FPSC-COL: HISCIGN CLERK

#### FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY CALCULATION OF ACQUISITION PREMIUM **REVENUE REQUIREMENTS - STRAIGHT LINE METHOD** AND COMPARISON TO OPERATING SAVINGS

				2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
	\$	34,192,493	Average Premium	\$ 34,050,024	\$ 33,432,660	\$ 32,292,910	\$ 31,153,160	\$ 30,013,410	\$ 28,873,660	\$ 27,733,910	\$ 26,594,160
	Ś	32,317,493	Non-Deductible	\$ 32,137,951	\$ 31,060,701	\$ 29,983,451	\$ 28,906,201	\$ 27,828,951	\$ 26,751,701	\$ 25,674,451	\$ 24,597,201
	\$	1,875,000	Deductible	\$ 1,864,583	\$ 1,802,083	\$ 1,739,583	\$ 1,677,083	\$ 1,614,583	\$ 1,552,083	\$ 1,489,583	\$ 1,427,083
	Cost Rate	Ratio	Weighted Cost								
Equity	10.85%	46.67%	5.06%	\$ 287,365	\$ 1,692,928	\$ 1,635,214	\$ 1,577,501	\$ 1,519,788	\$ 1,462,074	\$ 1,404,361	\$ 1,346,647
LT Debt	6.96%	30.76%	2.14%	\$ 121,496	\$ 715,758	\$ 691,358	\$ 666,957	\$ 642,556	\$ 618,155	\$ 593,754	\$ 569,353
ST Debt	1.76%	0.00%	0.00%	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Cust Deposits	6.21%	10.85%	0.67%	\$ 38,237	\$ 225,264	\$ 217,585	\$ 209,905	\$ 202,226	\$ 194,546	\$ 186,867	\$ 179,187
Deferred Inc Tax	0.00%	11.60%	0.00%	\$ -	\$ 1.2	\$ 0 <b>-</b> 2	\$ -	\$ 	\$ ÷	\$ -	\$ -
ITC	9.01%	0.12%	0.01%	\$ 614	\$ 3,615	\$ 3,492	\$ 3,368	\$ 3,245	\$ 3,122	\$ 2,999	\$ 2,875
Subtotal		100.00%	7.89%	\$ 447,712	\$ 2,637,565	\$ 2,547,648	\$ 2,457,731	\$ 2,367,814	\$ 2,277,897	\$ 2,187,980	\$ 2,098,063
Income Taxes		37.63%	3.06%	\$ 173,377	\$ 1,021,403	\$ 986,582	\$ 951,761	\$ 916,941	\$ 882,120	\$ 847,300	\$ 812,479
Pre-tax Return on C	Capital		10.94%	\$ 621,089	\$ 3,658,968	\$ 3,534,230	\$ 3,409,493	\$ 3,284,755	\$ 3,160,018	\$ 3,035,280	\$ 2,910,543
Amortization Exper	nse (tax deductible	e)		\$ 10,417	\$ 62,500	\$ 62,500	\$ 62,500	\$ 62,500	\$ 62,500	\$ 62,500	\$ 62,500
Amortization Exper	and the second second second second second second			\$ 179,542	\$ 1,077,250	\$ 1,077,250	\$ 1,077,250	\$ 1,077,250	\$ 1,077,250	\$ 1,077,250	\$ 1,077,250
Tax Gross-up				\$ 108,324	\$ 649,943	\$ 649,943	\$ 649,943	\$ 649,943	\$ 649,943	\$ 649,943	\$ 649,943
Revenue Requirem	ent (Premium)			\$ 919,371	\$ 5,448,661	\$ 5,323,923	\$ 5,199,185	\$ 5,074,448	\$ 4,949,710	\$ 4,824,973	\$ 4,700,235
Revenue Requirem				\$ 133,327	\$ 773,794	\$ 725,483	\$ 677,171	\$ 628,860	\$ 484,564	\$ -	\$ -
			2	\$ 1,052,698	\$ 6,222,455	\$ 6,049,406	\$ 5,876,357	\$ 5,703,307	\$ 5,434,275	\$ 4,824,973	\$ 4,700,235
O&M Savings		3.00%	6	\$ -	\$ 2,077,727	\$ 3,247,818	\$ 4,983,797	\$ 5,133,311	\$ 5,287,310	\$ 5,445,930	\$ 5,609,307
Fuel Savings		3.00%		\$ -	\$ 392,194	\$ 969,504	\$ 998,589	\$ 1,028,547	\$ 1,059,403	\$ 1,091,185	\$ 1,123,921
Cost of Capital Savi	ings	0.00%		\$ -	\$ 330,124	\$ 330,124	\$ 330,124	\$ 330,124	\$ 330,124	\$ 330,124	\$ 330,124
Total Savings	0		17 C	\$ -	\$ 2,800,045	\$ 4,547,446	\$ 6,312,510	\$ 6,491,982	\$ 6,676,837	\$ 6,867,239	\$ 7,063,352

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 02970 APR 29 = FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Exhibit (MK-5)

Schedule 1

Page 1 of 4

#### FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY CALCULATION OF ACQUISITION PREMIUM REVENUE REQUIREMENTS - STRAIGHT LINE METHOD AND COMPARISON TO OPERATING SAVINGS

Exhibit\_\_\_(MK-5) Schedule 1 Page 2 of 4

						2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		2023		2024
		\$	34,192,493	Average Premium	\$	25,454,410	\$	24,314,660	\$	23,174,910	\$	22,035,160	\$	20,895,410	\$	19,755,660	\$	18,615,910	\$	17,476,160
		\$	22 217 402	Nee Deductible	~	22 540 054	~	22 442 704		24 265 454										
		ې ۲		Non-Deductible	\$	23,519,951	\$	22,442,701		21,365,451		20,288,201	- R)	19,210,951	1	18,133,701		17,056,451		15,979,201
	Cost Data	Ş		Deductible	\$	1,364,583	\$	1,302,083	\$	1,239,583	\$	1,177,083	Ş	1,114,583	\$	1,052,083	\$	989,583	\$	927,083
Equity	Cost Rate 10.85%		Ratio	Weighted Cost	-	4 200 024	~													
Equity LT Debt	6.96%		46.67%			1,288,934		1,231,220		1,173,507		1,115,793	12	1,058,080		1,000,366		942,653		884,939
ST Debt			30.76%		1 B -	544,952		520,552		496,151		471,750	\$	447,349		422,948	\$	398,547	\$	374,146
	1.76%		0.00%			1	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Cust Deposits	6.21%		10.85%		8 15	171,508	\$	163,829	\$	156,149	\$	148,470	\$	140,790	\$	133,111	\$	125,431	\$	117,752
Deferred Inc Tax	0.00%		11.60%			-	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	-
ITC	9.01%		0.12%	0.01%	\$	2,752	\$	2,629	\$	2,506	\$	2,382	\$	2,259	\$	2,136	\$	2,013	\$	1,890
120 A 10 A						-	-10													
Subtotal			100.00%	7.89%	\$	2,008,146	\$	1,918,229	\$	1,828,312	\$	1,738,395	\$	1,648,478	\$	1,558,561	\$	1,468,644	\$	1,378,727
Income Taxes			37.63%	3.06%	\$	777,659	\$	742,838	\$	708,018	\$	673,197	\$	638,377	\$	603,556	\$	568,735	\$	533,915
Pre-tax Return on C	apital			10.94%	\$	2,785,805	\$	2,661,067	\$	2,536,330	\$	2,411,592	\$	2,286,855	\$	2,162,117	\$	2,037,380	\$	1,912,642
Amortization Expen	se (tax deduct	tible)			\$	62,500	\$	62,500	Ś	62,500	Ś	62,500	Ś	62,500	Ś	62,500	Ś	62,500	Ś	62,500
Amortization Expension	se (not tax de	ducti	ble)		\$	1,077,250	\$		\$	1,077,250		1,077,250		and the second second second	\$	1,077,250		1,077,250	\$	1,077,250
Tax Gross-up					\$	649,943	\$	649,943		649,943		649,943		649,943		649,943		649,943		649,943
Revenue Requireme	ent (Premium)	6			\$	4,575,498	\$	4,450,760	\$	4,326,022	\$	4,201,285	\$	4,076,547	\$	3,951,810	\$	3,827,072	\$	3,702,335
Revenue Requireme	ent (T&T)				\$	-	\$		\$	7	\$	· · · · ·	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	
					\$	4,575,498	\$	4,450,760	\$	4,326,022	\$	4,201,285	\$	4,076,547	\$	3,951,810	\$	3,827,072	\$	3,702,335
								-										10		
O&M Savings			3.00%		Ś	5,777,587	ć	5,950,914	ć	6,129,442	ć	6 313 335	ć	6 500 705	ć	6 607 006	~	C 000 7 11		
Fuel Savings			3.00%		ç	1,157,638						6,313,325		6,502,725		6,697,806		6,898,741		7,105,703
Cost of Capital Savin	ARC		0.00%		ç			1,192,368		1,228,139	- A	1,264,983	Ş	1,302,932		1,342,020			Ş	1,423,749
Total Savings	igs		0.00%		ç	330,124	ç	330,124		330,124		330,124	\$	330,124		330,124		330,124		330,124
Total Savings					>	7,265,349	>	7,473,406	\$	7,687,704	\$	7,908,432	\$	8,135,781	Ş	8,369,951	\$	8,611,145	\$	8,859,576

#### FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY CALCULATION OF ACQUISITION PREMIUM REVENUE REQUIREMENTS - STRAIGHT LINE METHOD AND COMPARISON TO OPERATING SAVINGS

\$ 34,192,493       Average Premium       \$ 16,336,410       \$ 15,196,660       \$ 14,056,910       \$ 12,917,160       \$ 11,777,410       \$ 10,637,660       \$ 9,497,910       \$ 8,358,160         \$ 32,317,493       Non-Deductible       \$ 14,901,951       \$ 13,824,701       \$ 12,747,451       \$ 11,670,201       \$ 9,515,701       \$ 8,438,451       \$ 7,361,200         \$ 1,875,000       Deductible       \$ 864,583       \$ 802,083       \$ 739,583       \$ 677,083       \$ 614,583       \$ 552,083       \$ 489,583       \$ 427,080         Cost Rate       Ratio       Weighted Cost       *	01 33
\$ 1,875,000 Deductible \$ 864,583 \$ 802,083 \$ 739,583 \$ 677,083 \$ 614,583 \$ 552,083 \$ 489,583 \$ 427,08	33
\$ 1,875,000 Deductible \$ 864,583 \$ 802,083 \$ 739,583 \$ 677,083 \$ 614,583 \$ 552,083 \$ 489,583 \$ 427,08	33
	12
Equity 10.85% 46.67% 5.06% \$ 827,226 \$ 769,512 \$ 711,799 \$ 654,086 \$ 596,372 \$ 538,659 \$ 480,945 \$ 423,23	
LT Debt 6.96% 30.76% 2.14% \$ 349,746 \$ 325,345 \$ 300,944 \$ 276,543 \$ 252,142 \$ 227,741 \$ 203,340 \$ 178,94	10
ST Debt 1.76% 0.00% 0.00% \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	
Cust Deposits 6.21% 10.85% 0.67% \$ 110,072 \$ 102,393 \$ 94,713 \$ 87,034 \$ 79,354 \$ 71,675 \$ 63,995 \$ 56,31	6
Deferred Inc Tax 0.00% 11.60% 0.00% \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	
ITC 9.01% 0.12% 0.01% \$ 1,766 \$ 1,643 \$ 1,520 \$ 1,397 \$ 1,273 \$ 1,150 \$ 1,027 \$ 90	)4
Subtotal         100.00%         7.89%         1,288,810         1,198,893         1,108,976         1,019,059         929,142         839,225         749,308         659,39	1
Income Taxes 37.63% 3.06% \$ 499,094 \$ 464,274 \$ 429,453 \$ 394,633 \$ 359,812 \$ 324,992 \$ 290,171 \$ 255,35	0
Pre-tax Return on Capital 10.94% \$ 1,787,904 \$ 1,663,167 \$ 1,538,429 \$ 1,413,692 \$ 1,288,954 \$ 1,164,217 \$ 1,039,479 \$ 914,74	1
Amortization Expense (tax deductible) \$ 62,500 \$	00
Amortization Expense (not tax deductible) \$ 1,077,250 \$ 1,070,200\$ \$ 1,077,250	0
Tax Gross-up \$ 649,943 \$ 649,943 \$ 649,943 \$ 649,943 \$ 649,943 \$ 649,943 \$ 649,943 \$ 649,943 \$ 649,943 \$ 649,943	13
Revenue Requirement (Premium) \$ 3,577,597 \$ 3,452,859 \$ 3,328,122 \$ 3,203,384 \$ 3,078,647 \$ 2,953,909 \$ 2,829,172 \$ 2,704,43	4
Revenue Requirement (T&T)         \$         -         \$ <td></td>	
\$ 3,577,597 \$ 3,452,859 \$ 3,328,122 \$ 3,203,384 \$ 3,078,647 \$ 2,953,909 \$ 2,829,172 \$ 2,704,43	4
O&M Savings 3.00% \$ 7,318,874 \$ 7,538,440 \$ 7,764,593 \$ 7,997,531 \$ 8,237,457 \$ 8,484,581 \$ 8,739,118 \$ 9,001,29	2
Fuel Savings         3.00%         \$ 1,466,462 \$ 1,510,456 \$ 1,555,769 \$ 1,602,442 \$ 1,650,516 \$ 1,700,031 \$ 1,751,032 \$ 1,803,56	3
Cost of Capital Savings         0.00%         \$ 330,124 \$ 300,124 \$ 300,124 \$ 300,124 \$ 300,124 \$ 300,124	4
\$ 9,115,460         \$ 9,379,020         \$ 9,650,487         \$ 9,930,097         \$ 10,514,736         \$ 10,820,274         \$ 11,134,97	9

Exhibit\_\_\_(MK-5) Schedule 1 Page 3 of 4

CAL REVENUE	LORIDA PUBLIC U CULATION OF AC REQUIREMENTS COMPARISON TO	QUISITION PRI - STRAIGHT LI	EMIUM NE METHOD											Sch	nibit(MK-5) nedule 1 ge 4 of 4		
					2033		2034		2035		2020		2027		2020		2020
	\$.	34,192,493	Average Premium	\$	7,218,410	\$	6,078,660	\$		\$	2036 3,799,160	\$	2037 2,659,410	\$	2038 1,519,660	\$	2039 401,830
	\$	32 317 493	Non-Deductible	\$	6,283,951	Ś	5,206,701	\$	4,129,451	Ś	3,052,201	Ś	1,974,951	ć	897,701	ć	(0)
	Ś		Deductible	Ś	364,583		302,083	\$		\$	177,083		114,583		52,083		(0)
	Cost Rate	Ratio	Weighted Cost	4	504,505	4	502,005	4	200,000	Ŷ	177,005	4	114,505	Ŷ	52,005	Ļ	(0)
Equity	10.85%	46.67%		Ś	365,518	Ś	307,805	\$	250,091	Ś	192,378	Ś	134,664	Ś	76,951	Ś	16,956
LT Debt	6.96%	30.76%	2.14%	\$	154,539		130,138	\$	a constant and see	\$	81,336	Ś	56,935		32,534		7,169
ST Debt	1.76%	0.00%	0.00%	\$	_	\$	-	Ś	-	Ś		Ś		Ś	,	Ś	
Cust Deposits	6.21%	10.85%	0.67%	\$	48,637	\$	40,957	\$	33,278	\$	25,598	\$	17,919	Ś	10,239	Ś	2,256
Deferred Inc Tax	0.00%	11.60%	0.00%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
ITC	9.01%	0.12%	0.01%	\$	780	\$	657	\$	534	\$	411	\$	288	\$	164	\$	36
Subtotal		100.00%	7.89%	\$	569,474	\$	479,557	\$	389,640	\$	299,723	\$	209,806	\$	119,889	\$	26,418
Income Taxes		37.63%	3.06%	\$	220,530	\$	185,709	\$	150,889	\$	116,068	\$	81,248	\$	46,427	\$	10,230
Pre-tax Return on Ca	apital		10.94%	\$	790,004	\$	665,266	\$	540,529	\$	415,791	\$	291,054	\$	166,316	\$	36,648
Amortization Expension	se (tax deductible	2)		\$	62,500	\$	62,500	\$	62,500	\$	62,500	\$	62,500	\$	62,500	Ś	52,083
Amortization Expense	se (not tax deduc	tible)		\$	1,077,250	\$	1,077,250	\$	1,077,250	\$	1,077,250	\$	1,077,250	\$	1,077,250	\$	897,701
Tax Gross-up				\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	649,943	\$	541,615
Revenue Requireme	nt (Premium)			\$	2,579,696	\$	2,454,959	\$	2,330,221	\$	2,205,484	\$	2,080,746	\$	1,956,009	\$	1,528,047
Revenue Requireme	nt (T&T)			\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-
				\$	2,579,696	\$	2,454,959	\$	2,330,221	\$	2,205,484	\$	2,080,746	\$	1,956,009	\$	1,528,047
													Total Reven	ue F	Requirement:	\$	113,238,319
O&M Savings		3.00%		Ś	9,271,331	Ś	9,549,470	\$	9,835,955	\$	10,131,033	\$	10,434,964	\$	10,748,013	Ś	11,070,453
Fuel Savings		3.00%		ŝ	1,857,670	- 22	1,913,400	\$		\$	2,029,926		2,090,824			\$	2,218,155
Cost of Capital Savin	es	0.00%		ŝ	330,124		330,124	ŝ	330,124	\$	330,124		330,124			\$	330,124
Total Savings	0-	0.5070		\$	11,459,124	\$	11,792,994	\$	12,136,881	\$	12,491,083	\$	12,855,912	\$	13,231,686	Ś	13,618,732
				-	-,,	+		+		Ŧ	,,	-	_ #/000/012	T		Ŷ	

Total Savings: \$ 273,420,317

#### FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY CALCULATION OF ACQUISITION PREMIUM REVENUE REQUIREMENTS - COMPANY PROPOSED METHOD AND COMPARISON TO OPERATING SAVINGS

Exhibit\_\_\_(MK-5) Schedule 2 Page 1 of 4

					2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		2014		2015	2016
	\$	34,192,493	Average Premium	\$	34,179,993	\$	34,125,826	\$	34,001,537	\$	33,694,486	\$	33,043,851	\$	32,171,316	\$	31,078,065	\$ 29,800,884
	Ś	32 317 /03	Non-Deductible	\$	32,301,741	Ś	32,207,230	Ś	32,066,806	Ś	31,626,836	Ś	30,836,960	Ś	29,977,550	Ś	28,770,472	\$ 27,563,393
	Ś		Deductible	Ś	1,874,085		1,868,596	\$	1,860,441	\$	1,834,889	\$	1,789,016	\$	1,739,105	\$	1,669,003	\$ 1,598,900
	Cost Rate	Ratio	Weighted Cost	, î														
Equity	10.85%	46.67%	5.06%	\$	288,486	\$	1,728,176	\$	1,721,882	\$	1,706,332	\$	1,673,383	\$	1,629,197	\$	1,573,833	\$ 1,509,155
LT Debt	6.96%	30.75%	2.14%	\$	121,968	\$	730,651	\$	727,990	\$	721,415	\$	707,485	\$	688,804	\$	665,397	\$ 638,051
ST Debt	1.76%	0.00%	0.00%	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$ -
Cust Deposits	6.21%	10.85%	0.67%	\$	38,420	\$	230,153	\$	229,314	\$	227,243	\$	222,855	\$	216,971	\$	209,598	\$ 200,984
Deferred Inc Tax	0.00%	11.60%	6 0.00%	\$	~	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
ITC	9.01%	0.12%	6 0.01%	\$	601	\$	3,597	\$	3,584	\$	3,552	\$	3,483	\$	3,391	\$	3,276	\$ 3,142
Subtotal		100.00%	6 7.89%	\$	449,475	\$	2,692,576	\$	2,682,770	\$	2,658,543	\$	2,607,207	\$	2,538,363	\$	2,452,104	\$ 2,351,332
Income Taxes		37.63%	6 3.06%	\$	174,054	\$	1,042,669	\$	1,038,871	\$	1,029,490	\$	1,009,611	\$	982,951	\$	949,549	\$ 910,526
Pre-tax Return on C	apital		10.95%	\$	103,922	\$	3,735,245	\$	3,721,641	\$	3,688,033	\$	3,616,818	\$	3,521,314	\$	3,401,652	\$ 3,261,858
Amortization Expen	se (tax deductible	2)	3.33%	\$	915	\$	5,489	\$	8,155	\$	25,552	\$	45,873	\$	49,911	\$	70,102	70,102
Amortization Expen			3.33%	\$	15,752	\$	94,511	\$	140,424	\$	439,970	\$	789,876	\$	859,410		1,207,078	1,207,078
Tax Gross-up			2.01%	\$	9,504	\$	57,022	\$	84,723	\$	265,449	\$	476,560	\$	518,512	\$	728,272	\$ 728,272
Revenue Requireme	ant (Premium)		16.28%	Ś	130,092	Ś	3,892,267	Ś	3,954,943	\$	4,419,004	\$	4,929,127	\$	4,949,147	\$	5,407,106	\$ 5,267,312
Revenue Requirem			101201	Ś	86,452		570,864		872,428		815,918	\$	628,792	\$	484,549	\$	-	\$ -
Nevenue Requirem	chic(rocr)			\$	216,544		4,463,132	\$	4,827,371		5,234,922	\$	5,557,919	\$	5,433,697	\$	5,407,106	\$ 5,267,312
O&M Savings		3.009	16	\$	-	\$	2,077,727	\$	3,247,818	\$	4,983,797	\$	5,133,311	\$	5,287,310	\$	5,445,930	\$ 5,609,307
Fuel Savings		3.009	16	\$	14	\$	392,194	\$	969,504	\$	998,589	\$	1,028,547	\$	1,059,403	\$	1,091,185	\$ 1,123,921
Cost of Capital Savi	ngs	0.009	%	\$	. –	\$	330,124	\$	330,124	\$	330,124	\$	330,124	\$	330,124	\$	330,124	\$ 330,124
Total Savings				\$	-	\$	2,800,045	\$	4,547,446	\$	6,312,510	\$	6,491,982	\$	6,676,837	\$	6,867,239	\$ 7,063,352

#### FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY CALCULATION OF ACQUISITION PREMIUM REVENUE REQUIREMENTS - COMPANY PROPOSED METHOD AND COMPARISON TO OPERATING SAVINGS

2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 Ś 34,192,493 Average Premium \$ 28,523,703 \$ 27,246,522 \$ 25,969,342 \$ 24,692,161 \$ 23,414,980 \$ 22,137,799 \$ 20,860,619 \$ 19,583,438 Ś 32,317,493 Non-Deductible \$ 26,356,315 \$ 25,149,237 \$ 23,942,159 \$ 22,735,080 \$ 21,528,002 \$ 20,320,924 \$ 19,113,846 \$ 17,906,767 Ś 1,875,000 Deductible \$ 1,528,798 \$ 1,458,695 Ś 1,388,593 Ś Ś 1,318,490 Ś 1.248.388 1,178,285 \$ 1,108,183 \$ 1,038,080 Cost Rate Weighted Cost Ratio Equity 10.85% 46.67% 5.06% \$ 1,444,477 \$ 1,379,799 \$ 1,315,121 \$ 1,250,443 \$ 1,185,765 \$ 1.121.087 \$ 1,056,409 \$ 991,731 LT Debt 6.96% 30.75% 2.14% \$ 610.706 \$ 583,361 \$ 556,016 \$ 528,671 \$ 501,326 \$ 473,981 \$ 446,636 \$ 419,291 ST Debt 1.76% 0.00% 0.00% \$ \$ 2 \$ \$ \$ Ś \$ 20 12 ---\$ -**Cust Deposits** 6.21% 10.85% 0.67% \$ 192.371 \$ 183,757 \$ 175,143 \$ 166,530 \$ 157,916 \$ 149,302 \$ 140,689 \$ 132,075 Deferred Inc Tax 0.00% 11.60% 0.00% \$ -Ś \_ \$ \$ \$ Ś Ś  $\sim 10^{-1}$ -Ś -ITC 9.01% 0.12% 0.01% \$ 3,007 \$ 2,872 \$ 2,738 \$ 2,603 \$ 2,468 \$ 2,334 \$ 2,199 \$ 2,064 Subtotal 100.00% 7.89% \$ 2,250,561 \$ 2,149,790 \$ 2,049,018 \$ 1,948,247 \$ 1,847,475 \$ 1,746,704 \$ 1,645,933 \$ 1,545,161 Income Taxes 37.63% 3.06% \$ 871,504 \$ 832,481 \$ 793,458 \$ 754,436 \$ 715,413 \$ 676,391 \$ 637,368 \$ 598,346 Pre-tax Return on Capital 10.95% \$ 3,122,064 \$ 2,982,271 \$ 2,842,477 \$ 2,702,683 \$ 2,562,889 \$ 2,423,095 \$ 2,283,301 \$ 2,143,507 Amortization Expense (tax deductible) 3.33% \$ 70,102 \$ 70,102 Ś 70.102 \$ 70,102 \$ 70,102 \$ 70,102 \$ 70,102 \$ 70,102 Amortization Expense (not tax deductible) 3.33% \$ 1,207,078 \$ 1,207,078 \$ 1,207,078 \$ 1,207,078 \$ 1,207,078 \$ 1,207,078 \$ 1,207,078 \$ 1,207,078 Tax Gross-up 2.01% \$ 728,272 \$ 728,272 \$ 728,272 \$ 728,272 \$ 728,272 \$ 728,272 \$ 728,272 \$ 728,272 Revenue Requirement (Premium) 16.28% \$ 5,127,518 \$ 4,987,724 \$ 4,847,930 \$ 4,708,136 \$ 4,568,342 \$ 4,428,548 \$ 4,288,754 \$ 4,148,960 Revenue Requirement (T&T) \$ Ś \$ Ś \$ \$ Ś Ś Ś 5,127,518 \$ 4,987,724 \$ 4,847,930 \$ 4,708,136 \$ 4,568,342 \$ 4,428,548 \$ 4,288,754 \$ 4,148,960 **O&M** Savings 3.00% \$ 5,777,587 \$ 5,950,914 \$ 6,129,442 \$ 6,313,325 \$ 6,502,725 \$ 6,697,806 \$ 6.898.741 \$ 7,105,703 **Fuel Savings** 3.00% \$ 1,157,638 \$ 1,192,368 \$ 1,228,139 \$ 1,264,983 \$ 1,302,932 \$ 1,342,020 \$ 1,382,281 \$ 1,423,749 **Cost of Capital Savings** 0.00% Ś 330,124 \$ 330,124 \$ 330,124 \$ 330,124 \$ 330,124 \$ 330,124 \$ 330,124 \$ 330,124 **Total Savings** \$ 7,265,349 \$ 7,473,406 \$ 8,369,951 7,687,704 \$ 7,908,432 \$ 8,135,781 \$ \$ 8,611,145 \$ 8,859,576

Exhibit\_\_\_(MK-5) Schedule 2 Page 2 of 4

Exhibit (MK-5)

Schedule 2

Page 3 of 4

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY CALCULATION OF ACQUISITION PREMIUM REVENUE REQUIREMENTS - COMPANY PROPOSED METHOD AND COMPARISON TO OPERATING SAVINGS

2032 2029 2030 2031 2025 2026 2027 2028 11.920.354 \$ 10,643,173 \$ 9,365,992 14,474,715 \$ 13,197,534 \$ \$ 34.192.493 Average Premium Ś 18.306.257 \$ 17.029.076 \$ 15,751,896 \$ 8,250,141 14,285,532 \$ 13,078,454 \$ 11,871,376 \$ 10,664,298 \$ 9,457,219 \$ 32,317,493 Non-Deductible 15,492,611 \$ Ś Ś 16,699,689 \$ 757,670 \$ 687,568 \$ 617,465 \$ 547,363 \$ 477,261 Ś 967,978 \$ 897,875 \$ 827,773 \$ 1,875,000 Deductible Ś Weighted Cost **Cost Rate** Ratio 538,984 \$ 474,306 862,374 \$ 797,696 \$ 733,018 \$ 668.340 \$ 603,662 \$ 10.85% 46.67% 5.06% \$ 927,052 \$ Equity 309,911 \$ 282,566 \$ 255,221 \$ 227,876 \$ 200,530 337,256 \$ 2.14% \$ 391,946 \$ 364,601 \$ LT Debt 6.96% 30.75% \$ Ś \$ Ś \$ Ś \$ ---0.00% \$ 1.76% 0.00% -4 -ST Debt 114,848 \$ 106,234 \$ 97,621 \$ 89,007 \$ 80,394 \$ 71,780 \$ 63,166 0.67% \$ 123,462 \$ 6.21% 10.85% **Cust Deposits** - \$ Ś - \$ Ś --0.00% \$ Ś Ś \$ -0.00% 11.60% --Deferred Inc Tax 1,122 \$ 987 1,391 \$ 1,257 \$ 1,661 \$ 1,526 \$ 9.01% 0.12% 0.01% \$ 1,930 \$ 1,795 \$ ITC 1,142,076 \$ 1,041,304 \$ 940,533 \$ 839,762 \$ 738,990 7.89% \$ 1,444,390 \$ 1,343,618 \$ 1,242,847 \$ 100.00% Subtotal 325,188 \$ 286,165 442,256 \$ 403,233 \$ 364,210 \$ 37.63% 3.06% \$ 559,323 \$ 520,301 \$ 481,278 \$ Income Taxes 1,304,743 \$ 1,164,949 \$ 1,025,155 1,863,919 \$ 1,724,125 \$ 1,584,331 \$ 1,444,537 \$ 10.95% \$ 2,003,713 \$ Pre-tax Return on Capital 70,102 \$ 70,102 70,102 \$ 70,102 \$ 3.33% \$ 70,102 \$ 70,102 \$ 70,102 \$ 70,102 \$ Amortization Expense (tax deductible) 1,207,078 \$ 1,207,078 1,207,078 \$ 1,207,078 \$ 1,207,078 \$ 1,207,078 \$ 1,207,078 \$ 1.207,078 \$ Amortization Expense (not tax deductible) 3.33% \$ 728,272 728,272 \$ 728,272 \$ 728,272 \$ 728,272 \$ 728,272 \$ 728,272 \$ 728,272 \$ 2.01% \$ Tax Gross-up 3,170,403 \$ 3,030,609 3,869,372 \$ 3.729,578 \$ 3,589,784 \$ 3,449,991 \$ 3,310,197 \$ 16.28% \$ 4.009,166 \$ Revenue Requirement (Premium) Ś Ś Ś Ś Ś Ś -Ś Ś Revenue Requirement (T&T) 3,449,991 \$ 3,310,197 \$ 3,170,403 \$ 3.030,609 3,729,578 \$ 3,589,784 \$ Ś 4,009,166 \$ 3,869,372 \$ 8,739,118 \$ 9,001,292 7,318,874 \$ 7,538,440 \$ 7,764,593 \$ 7,997,531 \$ 8,237,457 \$ 8,484,581 \$ Ś **O&M** Savings 3.00% Ś \$ 1,751,032 \$ 1,803,563 1,510,456 \$ 1,555,769 \$ 1,602,442 \$ 1,650,516 1,700,031 3.00% \$ 1,466,462 \$ **Fuel Savings** 330,124 \$ 330,124 \$ 330,124 \$ 330,124 330,124 \$ 330,124 \$ Ś 330,124 \$ 330,124 \$ Cost of Capital Savings 0.00% 9,930,097 \$ 10,218,097 \$ 10,514,736 \$ 10.820,274 \$ 11,134,979 9,379,020 \$ 9,650,487 \$ 9,115,460 \$ Ś **Total Savings** 

2033 2034 2035 2036 2037 2038		2039
\$ 34,192,493 Average Premium \$ 8,088,811 \$ 6,811,631 \$ 5,534,450 \$ 4,257,269 \$ 2,980,088 \$ 1,702,90	\$\$	450,288
\$ 32,317,493 Non-Deductible \$ 7,043,063 \$ 5,835,985 \$ 4,628,906 \$ 3,421,828 \$ 2,214,750 \$ 1,007,67	\$	1,773
\$ 1,875,000 Deductible \$ 407,158 \$ 337,056 \$ 266,953 \$ 196,851 \$ 126,748 \$ 56,64	\$	(1,773)
Cost Rate Ratio Weighted Cost		
Equity 10.85% 46.67% 5.06% \$ 409,628 \$ 344,950 \$ 280,272 \$ 215,594 \$ 150,916 \$ 86,23	\$	19,003
LT Debt 6.96% 30.75% 2.14% \$ 173,185 \$ 145,840 \$ 118,495 \$ 91,150 \$ 63,805 \$ 36,46	\$	8,034
ST Debt 1.76% 0.00% 0.00% \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	\$	- 1
Cust Deposits         6.21%         10.85%         0.67%         \$ 54,553         45,939         \$ 37,326         \$ 28,712         \$ 20,098         \$ 11,48	\$	2,531
Deferred Inc Tax 0.00% 11.60% 0.00% \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$	S
ITC 9.01% 0.12% 0.01% \$ 853 \$ 718 \$ 583 \$ 449 \$ 314 \$ 18	)\$	40
Subtotal         100.00%         7.89%         \$ 638,219         \$ 537,447         \$ 436,676         \$ 335,905         \$ 235,133         \$ 134,366	\$	29,607
Income Taxes 37.63% 3.06% \$ 247,143 \$ 208,120 \$ 169,098 \$ 130,075 \$ 91,053 \$ 52,03	\$	11,465
Pre-tax Return on Capital         10.95% \$         885,362 \$         745,568 \$         605,774 \$         465,980 \$         326,186 \$         186,39	\$	41,072
Amortization Expense (tax deductible) 3.33% \$ 70,102 \$ 70	\$	58,419
Amortization Expense (not tax deductible) 3.33% \$ 1,207,078 \$ 1,208,078 \$ 1,208,078 \$ 1,208,078 \$ 1,208,078 \$ 1,208,078 \$ 1,208,078 \$ 1,208,078 \$ 1,208,078 \$ 1,208,078 \$ 1,208,078 \$ 1,208,078 \$ 1,208,078 \$ 1,208,078 \$ 1,20		1,005,899
Tax Gross-up         2.01% \$         728,272 \$         <	\$	606,894
Revenue Requirement (Premium) 16.28% \$ 2,890,815 \$ 2,751,021 \$ 2,611,227 \$ 2,471,433 \$ 2,331,639 \$ 2,191,84	Ś	1,712,283
Revenue Requirement (T&T) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	\$	
\$ 2,890,815 \$ 2,751,021 \$ 2,611,227 \$ 2,471,433 \$ 2,331,639 \$ 2,191,84		1,712,283
Total Revenue Requiremen	\$	118,633,276
O&M Savings 3.00% \$ 9,271,331 \$ 9,549,470 \$ 9,835,955 \$ 10,131,033 \$ 10,434,964 \$ 10,748,01	\$	11,070,453
Fuel Savings 3.00% \$ 1,857,670 \$ 1,913,400 \$ 1,970,802 \$ 2,029,926 \$ 2,090,824 \$ 2,153,54	2 B.	2,218,155
Cost of Capital Savings 0.00% \$ 330,124 \$ 330,124 \$ 330,124 \$ 330,124 \$ 330,124 \$ 330,124 \$ 330,124 \$		330,124
Total Savings \$ 11,459,124 \$ 11,792,994 \$ 12,136,881 \$ 12,491,083 \$ 12,855,912 \$ 13,231,68	\$	13,618,732

Total Savings: \$ 273,420,317

#### FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY CALCULATION OF REGULATORY ASSETS **REVENUE REQUIREMENTS - STRAIGHT LINE METHOD**

Exhibit\_\_\_(MK-5) Schedule 3 Page 1 of 1

				 2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
		\$ 2,207,158	Average Reg Assets	\$ 2,151,979	\$ 1,912,870	\$ 1,471,439	\$ 1,030,007	\$ 588,575	\$ 155,633
		\$ 1,019,439	Non-Deductible	\$ 985,458	\$ 781,570	\$ 577,682	\$ 373,794	\$ 169,907	\$ ÷
		\$ 1,187,719	Deductible	\$ 1,148,128	\$ 910,585	\$ 673,041	\$ 435,497	\$ 197,953	\$ 2
	Cost Rate	Ratio	Weighted Cost						
Equity	10.85%	46.679	6 5.06%	\$ 18,162	\$ 96,862	\$ 74,509	\$ 52,156	\$ 29,804	\$ 6,567
LT Debt	6.96%	30.769	6 2.14%	\$ 7,679	\$ 40,953	\$ 31,502	\$ 22,051	\$ 12,601	\$ 2,777
ST Debt	1.76%	0.009	6 0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 	\$ -	\$ 2	\$ -
Cust Deposits	6.21%	10.859	6 0.67%	\$ 2,417	\$ 12,889	\$ 9,914	\$ 6,940	\$ 3,966	\$ 874
Deferred Inc Tax	0.00%	11.609	6 0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ ·	\$ 
ITC	9.01%	0.129	6 0.01%	\$ 39	\$ 207	\$ 159	\$ 111	\$ 64	\$ 14
Subtotal		100.009	6 7.89%	\$ 28,296	\$ 150,910	\$ 116,085	\$ 81,259	\$ 46,434	\$ 10,232
Income Taxes		37.639	6 3.06%	\$ 10,958	\$ 58,440	\$ 44,954	\$ 31,468	\$ 17,982	\$ 3,962
Pre-tax Return on (	Capital		10.94%	\$ 39,253	\$ 209,350	\$ 161,039	\$ 112,727	\$ 64,415	\$ 14,194
Amortization Expen	nse (tax deduc	tible)		\$ 39,591	\$ 237,544	\$ 237,544	\$ 237,544	\$ 237,544	\$ 197,953
Amortization Expen	nse (not tax de	ductible)		\$ 33,981	\$ 203,888	\$ 203,888	\$ 203,888	\$ 203,888	\$ 169,907
Tax Gross-up				\$ 20,502	\$ 123,013	\$ 123,013	\$ 123,013	\$ 123,013	\$ 102,511
Revenue Requirem	ent			\$ 133,327	\$ 773,794	\$ 725,483	\$ 677,171	\$ 628,860	\$ 484,564

Total Revenue Requirement: \$

3,423,199

#### FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY CALCULATION OF REGULATORY ASSETS REVENUE REQUIREMENTS - COMPANY PROPOSED METHOD

Exhibit\_\_\_(MK-5) Schedule 4 Page 1 of 1

					2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
		\$	2,207,158	Average Reg Assets	\$ 2,179,746	\$ 2,034,353	\$ 1,626,484	\$ 1,082,081	\$ 588,575	\$ 155,633
		\$	1,019,439	Non-Deductible	\$ 1,002,558	\$ 876,691	\$ 625,786	\$ 373,794	\$ 169,907	\$ .a
		\$	1,187,719	Deductible	\$ 1,168,051	\$ 1,021,407	\$ 729,085	\$ 435,497	\$ 197,953	\$ · · · -
	Cost Rate		Ratio	Weighted Cost						
Equity	10.85%		46.67%	5.06%	\$ 18,383	\$ 102,938	\$ 82,300	\$ 54,753	\$ 29,782	\$ 6,563
LT Debt	6.96%		30.75%	2.14%	\$ 7,774	\$ 43,535	\$ 34,807	\$ 23,157	\$ 12,596	\$ 2,775
ST Debt	1.76%		0.00%	0.00%	\$ 2 <b>-</b> 2	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Cust Deposits	6.21%		10.85%	0.67%	\$ 2,434	\$ 13,630	\$ 10,897	\$ 7,250	\$ 3,943	\$ 869
Deferred Inc Tax	0.00%		11.60%	0.00%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
ITC	9.01%		0.12%	0.01%	\$ 36	\$ 203	\$ 163	\$ 108	\$ 59	\$ 13
Subtotal			100.00%	7.88%	\$ 28,627	\$ 160,307	\$ 128,167	\$ 85,268	\$ 46,380	\$ 10,220
Income Taxes			37.63%	3.05%	\$ 11,091	\$ 62,106	\$ 49,655	\$ 33,035	\$ 17,968	\$ 3,959
Pre-tax Return on (	Capital			10.93%	\$ 39,718	\$ 222,413	\$ 177,821	\$ 118,303	\$ 64,348	\$ 14,179
Amortization Expen	nse (tax deduc	tible)			\$ 19,668	\$ 146,644	\$ 292,322	\$ 293,588	\$ 237,544	\$ 197,953
Amortization Expen	nse (not tax de	ductib	ole)		\$ 16,881	\$ 125,867	\$ 250,905	\$ 251,992	\$ 203,888	\$ 169,907
Tax Gross-up					\$ 10,185	\$ 75,940	\$ 151,380	\$ 152,035	\$ 123,013	\$ 102,511
Revenue Requirem	ient				\$ 86,452	\$ 570,864	\$ 872,428	\$ 815,918	\$ 628,792	\$ 484,549

Total Revenue Requirement: \$

t: \$ 3,459,004