# NEW REGULATORY FINANCE

Roger A. Morin, PhD

2006 PUBLIC UTILITIES REPORTS, INC. Vienna, Virginia

#### © Public Utilities Reports, Inc. 2006

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional service. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional person should be sought. (From a Declaration of Principles jointly adopted by a Committee of the American Bar Association and a Committee of Publishers.)

First Printing, June 2006

### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Morin, Roger A.

New regulatory finance/Roger A. Morin.

p. cm.

Rev. ed. of: Regulatory finance. 1994.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-0-910325-05-9

ISBN-10: 0-910325-05-7

- 1. Public utilities—United States—Finance. 2. Public utilities—Rate of return.
- 3. Public utilities—Law and legislation—United States. 4. Capital costs—United States. I. Morin, Roger A. Regulatory finance. II. Public Utilities Reports, Inc. III. Title.

HD2766.M62 2006 363.6068'1—dc22

2006018026

Printed in the United States of America

## Chapter 6 Alternative Asset Pricing Models

#### 6.1 Empirical Validity of the CAPM

The last chapter showed that the practical difficulties of implementing the CAPM approach are surmountable. Conceptual and empirical problems remain, however.

At the conceptual level, the CAPM has been submitted to criticisms by academicians and practitioners. Contrary to the core assumption of the CAPM, investors may choose not to diversify, and bear company-specific risk if abnormal returns are expected. A substantial percentage of individual investors are indeed inadequately diversified. Short selling is somewhat restricted, in violation of CAPM assumptions. Factors other than market risk (beta) may also influence investor behavior, such as taxation, firm size, and restrictions on borrowing.

At the empirical level, there have been countless tests of the CAPM to determine to what extent security returns and betas are related in the manner predicted by the CAPM. The results of the tests support the idea that beta is related to security returns, that the risk-return tradeoff is positive, and that the relationship is linear. The contradictory finding is that the risk-return tradeoff is not as steeply sloped as predicted by the CAPM. With few exceptions, the empirical studies agree that the implied intercept term exceeds the risk-free rate and the slope term is less than predicted by the CAPM. That is, low-beta securities earn returns somewhat higher than the CAPM would predict, and high-beta securities earn less than predicted. This is shown pictorially in Figure 6-1. A CAPM-based estimate of cost of capital underestimates the return required from low-beta securities and overstates the return required from high-beta securities, based on the empirical evidence. Brealey, Myers, and Allen (2006), among many others, provide recent empirical evidence very similar to the relationship depicted in Figure 6-1. This is one of the most

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For a summary of the empirical evidence on the CAPM, see Jensen (1972) and Ross (1978). The major empirical tests of the CAPM were published by Friend and Blume (1975), Black, Jensen, and Scholes (1972), Miller and Scholes (1972), Blume and Friend (1973), Blume and Husic (1973), Fama and Macbeth (1972), Basu (1977), Reinganum (1981B), Litzenberger and Ramaswamy (1979), Banz (1981), Gibbons (1982), Stambaugh (1982), Shanken (1985), Black (1993), and Brealey, Myers, and Allen (2006). Evidence in the Canadian context is available in Morin (1980, 1981).