#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Request for rate increase by Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation. DOCKET NO. 000108-GU
ORDER NO. PSC-00-2263-FOF-GU
ISSUED: November 28, 2000

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

J. TERRY DEASON, Chairman
E. LEON JACOBS, JR.
LILA A. JABER

#### APPEARANCES:

WAYNE SCHIEFELBEIN, ESQUIRE, Post Office Box 15856, Tallahassee, Florida 32317-5856

On behalf of Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities

Corporation.

ROBERT V. ELIAS, ESQUIRE, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
On behalf of the Commission Staff ("Staff").

#### ORDER GRANTING RATE INCREASE

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

Pursuant to Sections 366.06 and 366.071, Florida Statutes, the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Company ("Chesapeake" or "Company") filed on May 15, 2000, a Petition for Rate Increase along with its Minimum Filing Requirements ("MFRs"). In its Petition, Chesapeake requests authority to increase its rates and charges to generate \$1,826,569 in additional annual revenues based on a 13-month average rate base of \$21,321,700 for a projected test-year ending December 31, 2001. Chesapeake's requested overall rate of return is 8.89% based on a 12.00% return on equity.

By Order No. PSC-00-1416-PCO-GU, issued August 3, 2000, this Commission suspended Chesapeake's requested permanent rate increase, but granted an interim revenue increase of \$591,579 based DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

15221 NOV 28 R

on an interim rate base of \$18,209,060 and a 7.78% overall rate of return.

Customer Service Hearings were held in Winter Haven, Florida, and St. Cloud, Florida, on August 31, 2000, and in Plant City, Florida, and Crystal River, Florida, on September 27, 2000. The purpose of these hearings was to allow the public to present testimony concerning Chesapeake's requested permanent rate increase and the quality of service provided by Chesapeake.

In its Petition, Chesapeake asked that its request for a permanent rate increase be scheduled for a formal hearing, without recourse to proposed agency action procedures. Accordingly, an administrative hearing in this docket was held before this Commission in Tallahassee, Florida, on October 16, 2000. The hearing resulted in our approval of stipulations of all issues in the case. At our November 7, 2000, Agenda Conference, we voted to approve final rates that reflect the approved stipulations. This Order memorializes our approval of the stipulations and final rates for Chesapeake.

With the stipulated adjustments discussed in the body of this Order, Chesapeake's use of a historical test-year ending December 31, 1999, and a projected test-year ending December 31, 2001, is appropriate. Further, as stipulated, Chesapeake's quality of service is satisfactory.

#### I. PROJECTED TEST-YEAR RATE BASE

A utility's rate base is the investment upon which it is entitled to earn a return. Once a rate base has been established, the test-period expense and rate of return are determined, and the utility's revenue requirement can be calculated by multiplication. The appropriate projected test-year rate base for Chesapeake is \$21,088,311, based on the stipulated adjustments to the MFRs set forth below. These calculations are shown in Attachment 1 to this Order, which is incorporated herein by reference.

A. No adjustment to Plant, Accumulated Depreciation, or Depreciation Expense is necessary for canceled or delayed projects.

- B. No adjustment to plant retirements is necessary for the projected test-year.
- C. No adjustment is necessary to remove inactive service lines that have been inactive for more than five years.
- D. Certain invoices included in Accounts 376, Mains, and 381, Meters, were erroneously charged twice for sales tax. To correct this error, Accounts 376, Mains, and 381, Meters, should be reduced by \$2,324 and \$575, respectively.
- E. Thirty-six percent of the second story of Chesapeake's Winter Haven office building should be allowed in rate base. Adjustments should be made to reduce Plant, Depreciation Reserve, and Depreciation Expense by \$82,805, \$22,166, and \$2,450, respectively, for the non-utility portion of the second story.
- F. Plant should be reduced by \$202,851, Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by \$98,203, and Depreciation Expense should be reduced by \$3,916 to reflect non-utility operations. Further, operating and maintenance (O&M) expenses should be reduced by \$70,646.
- G. The appropriate amount of Construction Work in Progress (CWIP) for the projected test-year is zero. However, the company should include CWIP in its future earnings surveillance reports.
- H. No adjustment to the costs allocated by Chesapeake Utilities Corporation to its Florida Division is necessary.
- I. The appropriate Total Plant for the projected test-year is \$31,559,900.
- J. The appropriate Depreciation Reserve for the projected test-year is \$10,491,814.
- K. No adjustment to allocate Working Capital based on updated factors is necessary.

- L. Working Capital should be reduced by \$58,688 to reflect the appropriate allocation of Materials and Supplies to non-utility operations.
- M. Accounts Receivable-Services should be increased by \$1,982. This represents an increase in the portion of non-regulated activity in this account from 62.9% to 71%, offset by an error made by the Company in trending the test-year amount.
- N. Chesapeake has not removed the appropriate amount of Miscellaneous Current Liabilities from Working Capital. In addition to the \$525,478 removed by Chesapeake, an additional \$10,305 of Flex Rate Liability should be removed, thus increasing Working Capital allowance.
- O. The appropriate accounting and ratemaking treatment for the Flexible Rate Adjustment is as filed by the Company in its MFRs.
- P. Chesapeake is currently recording conservation as a Miscellaneous Deferred Debit, but the Company should record conservation as revenues and expenses. In addition, over and under recoveries should be netted so that there is a balance in only one account at any particular time. Net over recoveries should be recorded in Account 253, Other Deferred Credits, and net under recoveries should be recorded in Account 186, Miscellaneous Deferred Debits. For ratemaking purposes, net over recoveries should remain in working capital and the interest expense recorded below-the-line; net under recoveries should be removed from working capital and the interest income recorded below-the-line.
- Q. No adjustment to health insurance reserve and expense is necessary.
- R. The Company is using the appropriate allocation methodology to allocate health insurance costs.
- S. Account 925, Injuries and Damages, should be reduced by \$12,995, for ratemaking purposes. This adjustment is based on average actual charges to the self insurance reserve. No adjustment to the reserve is necessary.

- T. The pensions and benefits expense is overstated and should be reduced by \$31,080 related to the \$138,579 of non-utility payroll removed by the Company. In addition, expenses should be decreased by \$4,923 for a portion of the non-utility payroll related to the amounts in paragraph F, above. No adjustment the pensions and benefits reserve is necessary.
- U. No adjustment to include Customer Deposits-Refunds in Working Capital is necessary. In the projected test-year, Customer Deposits-Refunds were combined with other Customer Deposits and appropriately included in the capital structure. The effective interest rate of Customer Deposits was then derived taking into consideration the combined accounts. Thus, no adjustments to the balance, its location, or the effective interest rate are required.
- V. Interest Accrued should be increased in the same proportion as 2001 interest expense reconciled to rate base exceeds 1999 interest expense reconciled to rate base. This results in an \$18,802 increase to Interest Accrued and a decrease to working capital.
- W. The appropriate Working Capital Allowance for the projected test-year is \$288,053.

#### II. CAPITAL STRUCTURE, COST OF CAPITAL, AND RELATED ISSUES

The appropriate weighted average cost of capital for the projected test-year is 8.60%, based on the stipulations set forth below. Calculations of the stipulated Working Capital and Cost of Capital are shown in Attachments 1A and 2, respectively, which are incorporated herein by reference.

- A. The appropriate return on common equity is 11.50%, with a range of plus or minus 100 basis points, for all regulatory purposes.
- B. The appropriate flex rate liability amount is \$57,185, and the appropriate cost rate is 5.16%.
- C. Chesapeake included \$1,392,213 of accumulated deferred taxes in its capital structure. Analysis of the Miscellaneous Deferred Debits and the Miscellaneous Current Liabilities disclosed that Chesapeake had adjusted out of its projected

> working capital allowance, \$120,404 of Miscellaneous Deferred Debits and \$276,379 of Miscellaneous Current Liabilities, both FAS 109 balance sheet Regulatory Tax Accounts. Although these amounts were correctly adjusted to its capital structure, they were incorrectly reconciled pro rata over investor sources of The net \$155,975 credit (\$276,379 less \$120,404) should have been included in the capital structure as an increase to accumulated deferred income taxes at a zero cost To correct this error, an adjustment was made in the capital structure, reversing the company's adjustment and correctly reflecting the net FAS 109 Regulatory Tax Liability as an increase to accumulated deferred income taxes. result is an increase of \$155,975 to Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes, concluding in total accumulated deferred income taxes of \$1,548,188. With this adjustment, FAS 109 is now appropriately reflected in the capital structure such that it is revenue neutral.

- D. The appropriate amount of unamortized investment tax credits to include in the capital structure is \$306,978, and the appropriate cost rate is zero, as filed in the Company's MFRs. Investment Tax Credits are specifically identified for the Florida Division of Chesapeake. Chesapeake is an Option 1 company and, as such, its cost rate for Investment Tax Credits is zero.
- E. Rate base and capital structure have not been appropriately reconciled. \$292,311 should be removed directly from common equity for non-regulated propane capital investment. This amount is the 13-month average for 2001 propane capital investment on the Florida Division's books. This amount should be added to common equity, long-term debt, and short-term debt on a pro rata basis and then removed solely from common equity. In addition, adjustments to the reconciliation of rate base and capital structure are appropriate to be consistent with the stipulated adjustments to rate base and capital structure.
- F. It is appropriate for the Florida Division to adjust its capital structure to reflect that of its parent Chesapeake Utilities Corporation's capital structure.
- G. Chesapeake's long-term debt cost rate should be 7.75%.

#### III. PROJECTED TEST-YEAR NET OPERATING INCOME

The appropriate projected test-year Net Operating Income for Chesapeake is \$1,036,692, based on the stipulated adjustments to the MFRs set forth below. The calculation of this amount is shown in Attachment 3 to this Order, which is incorporated herein by reference. Attachment 3A, also incorporated herein by reference, contains the stipulated O&M forecast for the projected test-year.

- A. Chesapeake has not removed purchased gas adjustment (PGA) revenues and expenses from the projected test-year. An adjustment should be made to remove \$5,790,925 from PGA Revenues and \$5,790,925 from Cost of Gas. Additionally, the Company has not projected a PGA over or under recovery. However, for ratemaking purposes, net over recoveries should remain in working capital and the interest expense recorded below-the-line; net under recoveries should be removed from working capital and the interest income recorded below-the-line.
- B. An adjustment should be made to remove \$2,627 for conservation advertising for the projected test-year.
- C. An adjustment should be made to increase revenues by \$20,000 for the amount of interest earned on cash in working capital.
- D. The appropriate Total Operating Revenues for the projected test-year is \$7,711,069.
- E. Chesapeake removed 100% of its chamber of commerce dues. Expenses should be increased by \$6,666 to add back 95% of chamber of commerce dues allowed by Rule 25-7.042, Florida Administrative Code. In addition, expenses should be reduced by \$1,124 to remove civic club dues and by \$5,049 to remove 45.10% of American Gas Association dues. The net adjustment is a \$493 increase to expenses.
- F. The Company has removed the appropriate amount for lobbying expenses. Thus, no adjustment is necessary for lobbying expenses.
- G. The appropriate amount of rate case expense is \$266,000, and the appropriate amortization period is 4 years, beginning 30 days after this Commission's vote on November 7, 2000, to approve new rates and charges for Chesapeake. Accordingly, expenses should be increased by \$5,625.

- H. Account 904, Bad Debt Expense, should be reduced by \$8,229 based on a four year average of net write-offs as a percent of revenues.
- I. The Company removed the appropriate amount for charitable contributions. Thus, no adjustment is necessary for charitable contributions.
- J. No adjustment is necessary to remove image building or other inappropriate advertising expenses.
- K. An adjustment should be made to remove \$1,534 for social activities.
- L. No adjustment to Account 923, Outside Services, is necessary; the Company removed the appropriate amounts.
- M. Expenses should be reduced by \$32,517 for the non-utility portion of new employees' salaries and benefits, and by \$10,181 to reflect vacated positions being filled at lower salaries.
- N. The trend rates used by Chesapeake to calculate projected O&M expenses are appropriate.
- O. The \$211,450 and the \$2,000 "other trended" amounts in Account 920, Administrative & General Salaries, should be trended by payroll and by inflation only, respectively. The "other trended" amounts in Accounts 928, Regulatory Commission Expenses, and 932, Maintenance of General Plant, should be trended by inflation only. O&M expense should be reduced by \$19,031 as a result of these changes.
- P. An adjustment should be made to increase Account 881, Rents, by \$82,490 for an omission by the Company in the projected test-year related to the lease of new pipeline capacity.
- Q. Account 878, Meters and House Regulator Expenses, should be reduced by \$7,484, based on the number of meters changed out in the historic test-year times the projected test-year change out price.
- R. No adjustment is necessary for odorizing costs.
- S. Chesapeake has justified its benchmark variances.

- T. The appropriate O&M Expense for the projected test-year is \$4,474,937.
- U. An adjustment should be made to remove \$424 in franchise and consent amortization. Chesapeake made an adjustment to remove the Accumulated Amortization, based on its last rate case, but failed to remove the amortization expense.
- V. Environmental clean-up costs should be reclassified from Account 362, Gas Holders, to Account 253, Other Deferred Credits. Future accruals and actual clean-up costs should be recorded in Account 253. The Company should continue its \$71,114 annual accrual to recover estimated clean-up costs.
- W. The appropriate amount of amortization expense for Miscellaneous Intangibles is \$100,262, and the appropriate amortization period is 10 years.
- X. The appropriate Depreciation and Amortization Expense for the projected test-year is \$1,366,358.
- The appropriate amount of Taxes Other Than Income Taxes is Υ. Payroll taxes should be reduced by \$15,674. \$613,154. Regulatory assessment fees should be recalculated at .005 of revenues prior to increase that are subject to regulatory assessment fees and that number should be compared to the Company-filed amount of \$79,957 to determine the appropriate adjustment, resulting in a \$41,502 decrease. This adjustment corrects for the \$5.8 million in adjustments made to remove PGA revenues and to account for other company errors. Property taxes should be reduced by \$1,003 and \$2,457, respectively, consistent with the stipulated adjustments in paragraphs I.E. and I.F., of this Order. Gross Receipts Taxes should be reduced by \$272,938 to zero. Franchise Fees should be reduced by \$200,922 to zero. Miscellaneous Taxes should be reduced by \$7,568 to \$19,311. The revenues associated with the Gross Receipts Taxes and Franchise Fees were excluded from revenues by the Company. However, the Company failed to remove the associated expense. Since these items are separately stated on the customers' bills, it is appropriate to make the above referenced adjustments.
- Z. The appropriate Income Tax Expense, including current and deferred income taxes, ITC amortization and interest synchronization is \$219,928. Income Tax Expense should be increased by \$217,321 to reverse the Company's Interest Synchronization Adjustment, and by \$1,097 to reflect other

adjustments and a 34% federal income tax rate. Further, Income Tax Expense should be decreased by \$4,134 for the Interest Synchronization Adjustment to reconcile capital structure and rate base. Income Tax Expense should be increased by \$267,735 for the tax effect of the adjustments to revenues and expenses. Total adjustments increase tax expense by \$482,019, from (\$262,091) to \$219,928.

AA. The appropriate level of Total Operating Expenses for the projected test-year is \$6,674,377.

#### IV. REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

The appropriate projected test revenue expansion factor to be used in calculating the revenue deficiency is 1.6114. The elements of this factor are: (1) Regulatory Assessment Fees of .005; (2) State Income Taxes of .055; and (3) Federal Income Taxes of .34. Calculation of this factor is shown in Attachment 4, which is incorporated herein by reference. Using this factor, the appropriate projected test-year revenue deficiency is \$1,251,900. The calculation of this amount is shown in Attachment 5 to this Order, which is incorporated herein by reference.

No portion of the interim revenue increase approved in Order No. PSC-00-1416-PCO-GU, issued August 3, 2000, must be refunded, because Chesapeake earned below the midpoint of its rate of return authorized herein for the historic base year plus one.

### V. RATE DESIGN, INTERIM INCREASE, AND TARIFF CHARGES AND MODIFICATIONS

In its Petition, Chesapeake proposed adjusting its rate structure to eliminate certain existing rate classes and replace them with new rate classes. As stipulated, the proposed rate classes are appropriate, with the following adjustments: (1) the GS-1/TS-1 rate classes shall be applicable to customers using between zero and 500 therms annually; and (2) the GS-2/TS-2 rate classes shall be applicable to customers using between 501 and 3000 therms annually. Chesapeake's new rate classes are listed in Attachment 6 to this Order, which is incorporated herein by reference.

The test-year customer and therm forecast for the large volume industrial class should be reduced by two customers and 4,280,500 therms. This adjustment accounts for the loss of two large volume industrial customers and corrects two small errors in the test-year therm forecast. In addition, the customer and therm forecasts for

the GS-1/TS-1 and GS-2/TS-2 classes should be adjusted to reflect the redefinition of these classes as discussed above. The customer and therm forecasts for the remaining rate classes, as filed in the Company's MFRs, are appropriate.

The appropriate billing determinants are reflected in the rate design calculations shown on page 1 of Attachment 6. These billing determinants reflect the above adjustments to the customer and therm forecasts.

The appropriate cost of service methodology to be used in allocating costs to the various rate classes is Staff's cost of service model adjusted for market conditions, value of service, and customer acceptance considerations. This methodology accounts for the stipulated adjustments to rate base, O&M expense, and net operating income discussed above. The results of this methodology, i.e., the revenues to be collected from each rate class, are shown on page 2 of Attachment 6.

Chesapeake's proposed Transportation Cost Recovery mechanism is not approved in this rate case. While a transportation cost recovery mechanism is appropriate for the recovery of non-recurring costs related to transportation service, such costs should be recovered from all non-residential customers except for special contract customers, not just from the transportation-only customers. We approve of the concept of a recovery clause, but the specifics regarding how the costs should be recovered from the rate classes and the level of costs to be recovered should be addressed in a subsequent proceeding.

Chesapeake's new rates and charges are designed to recover from each customer class the allocated costs in the cost of service study. The appropriate new customer and energy charges for Chesapeake, by rate class, are set forth in Attachment 6. The appropriate new miscellaneous charges and fees for Chesapeake are set forth on page 5 of Attachment 6. These new rates and charges shall become effective for all meter readings taken on or after December 7, 2000, 30 days from the date of our vote approving such rates and charges. As stipulated, the new rates do not result in an increase to any class that is greater than that reflected in the Company's initial filing in this docket.

The following stipulated tariff modifications are also approved:

- A. Chesapeake's proposed General Sales Service (GSS) rate adjustment is appropriate. Chesapeake has proposed no change to its existing GSS rate adjustment.
- B. The Residential Annual Contract Service (RACS) rate schedule has been closed to new customers for several years, and shall be eliminated.
- C. Customers who take service under special contracts shall not be subject to a change in rates.
- D. Chesapeake's existing Load Profile Enhancement Rider (Rider LE) shall be expanded to be available to customers under all rate schedules.
- E. Chesapeake's Residential Load Enhancement Sales Service Rider (Rider RLES) shall be eliminated. With the expansion of Rider LE to include residential customers, Rider RLES is no longer necessary.
- F. Chesapeake's current interruptible classification of customers shall be eliminated. However, certain customers who lack alternative fuel capabilities and who may provide system reliability benefits if interrupted shall be offered interruptible service pursuant to special contracts.
- G. Customers shall be required to take 100% of their service as either sales service or transportation service, rather than a combination of the two. Many customers currently split their total volumes between transportation and sales service. These customers essentially use sales gas to balance their own usage requirements. This practice leads to additional costs being incurred by the Company and the general body of ratepayers, and should be eliminated.
- H. Chesapeake's Maximum Allowable Construction Cost (MACC) shall be modified from five years to six years. A six year MACC is appropriate and is consistent with other investor-owned gas utilities.
- I. Chesapeake's proposed Contract Sales Service and Contract Transportation Service riders are appropriate.

As stipulated, Chesapeake shall submit, within 75 days of the issuance date of this Order, a description of all entries or adjustments to its future annual reports to this Commission, rate of return reports, published financial statements, and books and records that are required as a result of this Order.

In addition, as Chesapeake agreed at our October 16, 2000, hearing, if the Company requests a limited rate proceeding within the next twelve months, any rates resulting from such a proceeding shall not become effective sooner than twelve months from the effective date of the rates and charges approved herein.

#### VI. NATURAL GAS TRANSPORTATION SERVICE TARIFF

Chesapeake's proposed natural gas transportation service tariff, filed as part of this rate case to comply with Rule 25-7.0335, Florida Administrative Code, is approved as it is reflected in Chesapeake's MFRs. Individual transportation service shall become effective for all meter readings taken on or after December 7, 2000, 30 days from the date of our vote approving Chesapeake's new rates and charges. The appropriate effective date for Chesapeake's proposed aggregated transportation service is the first calendar day of the month following 70 days after the issuance date of this Order.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the stipulations set forth in the body of this Order are hereby approved. It is further

ORDERED that the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation is authorized to collect additional annual revenues of \$1,251,900. It is further

ORDERED that the rates and charges approved in this Order and set forth in Attachment 6, which is incorporated herein by reference, shall become effective for all meter readings taken on or after December 7, 2000, 30 days from the date of our vote approving such rates and charges. It is further

ORDERED that the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation shall submit, within 75 days of the issuance date of this Order, a description of all entries or adjustments to its future annual reports to this Commission, rate of return reports, published financial statements, and books and records that are required as a result of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that if the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation requests a limited rate proceeding within the next twelve months, any rates resulting from such a proceeding shall not become effective sooner than twelve months from the effective date of the rates and charges approved herein. It is further

ORDERED that the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation's proposed natural gas transportation service tariff, filed as part of this rate case to comply with Rule 25-7.0335, Florida Administrative Code, is approved. Individual transportation service shall become effective for all meter readings taken on or after December 7, 2000, 30 days from the date of our vote approving the new rates and charges set forth herein. Aggregated transportation service shall become effective on the first calendar day of the month following 70 days after the issuance date of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that this docket shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 28th Day of November, 2000.

> BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director Division of Records and Reporting

By: Kay Flynn, Chief

Bureau of Records

(SEAL)

WCK

#### NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water and/or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

#### COMPARATIVE AVERAGE RATE BASES

FLORIDA DIVISION OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION DOCKET NO. 000108-GU PTY 12/31/01

ATTACHMENT 1 23-Oct-2000

			COMP	ANY	COMMISSION VOTE	
ISSUE NO.	-	TOTAL PER BOOKS	COMPANY ADJS.	COMPANY ADJUSTED	COMM. ADJS.	COMM. ADJUSTED
	PLANT IN SERVICE					
	UTILITY PLANT	31,980,292				
7 8	Sales tax on Mains & Meters (376 & 381) Remove 2nd story office building (390)		(23,702)	·	(2,899) (82,805)	
	Total Plant-In-Service	31,980,292	(23,702)	31,956,590	(85,704)	31,870,886
9	COMMON PLANT ALLOCATED	0	(108,135)	(108,135)	(202,851)	(310,986)
	ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT	632,831	(632,831)			
	Total Acquisition Adjustment	632,831	(632,831)	0	0	0
	PLANT HELD FOR FUTURE USE	0				
	Total Plant Held For Future Use	0	0	0	0	0
	CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS	1,822,064	(1,822,064)			
	Total Construction Work In Progress	1,822,064	(1,822,064)	0	0	0
	TOTAL PLANT	34,435,187	(2,586,732)	31,848,455	(288,555)	31,559,900
	DEDUCTIONS					
	ACCUM. DEPR PLANT IN SERVICE	10,686,984				
8	Remove franchise/consent Remove 2nd story office building		(5,926) (8,593)		0 (22,166)	
	Total Accum. Depr Plant In Service	10,686,984	(14,519)	10,672,465	(22,166)	10,650,299
9	ACCUM DEPR COMMON PLANT	0	(60,282)	(60,282)	(98,203)	(158,485)
	ACCUM. DEPR ACQUISITION ADJ.	632,831	(632,831)			
	Total Accum. Depr Acquisition Adj.	632,831	(632,831)		0	0
	CUSTOMER ADVANCES FOR CONSTR.	267,828		267,828	0	267,828
	TOTAL DEDUCTIONS	11,587,643	(707,632)	10,880,011	(120,369)	10,759,642
	NET UTILITY PLANT	22,847,544	(1,879,100)	20,968,444	(168,166)	20,800,258
	WORKING CAPITAL ALLOWANCE	(10,662,111)	11,015,367	353,256	(65,203)	288,053
	TOTAL RATE BASE	12,185,433	9,136,267	21,321,700	(233,389)	21,088,311

#### COMPARATIVE WORKING CAPITAL COMPONENTS

#### FLORIDA DIVISION OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION DOCKET NO. 000108-GU PTY 12/31/01

ATTACHMENT 1A 23-Oct-2000

		co	MPANY AS FILI	COMMISSION VOTE		
ISSUE		TOTAL	COMPANY	COMPANY	COMM.	COMM.
NO.	_	PER BOOKS	ADJS.	ADJUSTED	ADJS.	ADJUSTED
	WORKING CAPITAL	(10,662,111)				
16	Accounts receivable - service		(107,396)		1,982	
	Accounts receivable - misc.		(470,142)		0	
	Receivable assoc. companies		8,705,661		0	
15	Materials & supplies		0		(58,688)	
	Misc. deferred debits		(120,404)		o o	
25 17	Customer deposits Interest accrued Misc. current liabilities Customer advances for construction Accum. deferred income taxes Deferred ITCs Health insurance reserve Self insurance reserve	•	789,257 (99,611) 525,478 267,828 1,392,213 306,978 (44,290) (130,205)		0 (18,802) 10,305 0 0 0	
	TOTALS	(10,662,111)	11,015,367	353,256	(65,203)	288,053

ATTACHMENT 2 23-Oct-2000

#### COMMISSION VOTE

	COMPANY	COMPANY	COMPANY	SPECIFIC		COMM.				•	00.	1001011 1012
	PER	SPECIFIC		COMM.		NON-UTILITY					COST	WEIGHTED
	BOOKS	ADJ.	BALANCE	ADJ.	BALANCE	ADJ.	BALANCE	PRO RATA	BALANCE	RATIO	RATES	COST
COMMON EQUITY	11,822,978	(1,533,682)	10,289,296	(85,428)	10,203,868	(132,212)	10,071,656	(131,742)	9,939,914	47.13%	11.50%	5.42%
LONG TERM DEBT	0	6,377,973	6,377,973	(52,954)	6,325,019	99,240	6,424,259	(84,032)	6,340,227	30.07%	7.75%	2.33%
SHORT TERM DEBT		2,119,103	2,119,103	(17,594)	2,101,509	32,973	2,134,482	(27,920)	2,106,562	9.99%	6.03%	0.60%
USTOMER DEPOSITS	789,257	0	789,257		789,257		789,257	0	789,257	3.74%	6.44%	0.24%
DEFERRED TAXES	1,392,213		1,392,213	155,975	1,548,188		1,548,188	0	1,548,188	7.34%	0.00%	0.00%
FLEX RATE LIABILITY	0	46,880	46,880	10,305	57,185		57,185	0	57,185	0.27%	5.16%	0.01%
TAX CREDIT	306,978	0	306,978	·	306,978		306,978	0	306,978	1.46%	0.00%	0.00%
TOTAL	14,311,426	7,010,274	21,321,700	10,305	21,332,005	(0)	21,332,005	(243,694)	21,088,311	100.00%		8.60%

EQUITY RATIO - 54.06%

ATTACHMENT 3 Page 1 of 2

#### COMPARATIVE NOIS

FLORIDA DIVISION OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION DOCKET NO. 000108-GU PTY 12/31/01

ATTACHMENT 3 Page 1 of 2

23-Oct-2000

			COMPANY		COMMISSION VOTE		
ISSUE NO.		TOTAL PER BOOKS	COMPANY ADJS.	COMPANY ADJUSTED	COMM. ADJS.	COMM. ADJUSTED	
	OPERATING REVENUES	13,481,994					
36 38	Remove PGA revenues Interest on cash		0		(5,790,925) 20,000		
	TOTAL REVENUES	13,481,994	<u>_</u>	13,481,994	(5,770,925)	7 711 060	
		13,401,334		13,101,334	(3,770,925)	7,711,069	
	OPERATING EXPENSES:				÷		
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE EXPENSE	10,408,500					
9	Non-utility O&M expenses (920, 921)		. 0		(70,646)		
22 23	Self insurance reserve (925) Pension expense (926)		0		(12,995) (36,003)		
36	Remove PGA expenses		Ö		(5,790,925)		
37	Conservation advertising (912)		0		(2,627)		
40	Memberships & dues (909, 921)		0		493		
42 43	Rate case expense (928) Bad debt expense (904)		0		5,625 (8,229)		
46	Social events (912)		ŏ		(1,534)		
48	New employees (903, 912, 920, 926)		ŏ		(42,698)		
51	Change in trend factors		0		(19,031)		
52	Rent expense (881)		0		82,490		
53 56	Meter change out (878) Expenses related to lost customers (various)	•	0		(7,484) (30,000)		
-	,		·		(-3,000)		
	TOTAL O & M EXPENSE	10,408,500	0	10,408,500	(5,933,563)	4,474,937	

#### **COMPARATIVE NOIS**

FLORIDA DIVISION OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION DOCKET NO. 000108-GU PTY 12/31/01

ATTACHMENT 3 Page 2 of 2

23-Oct-2000

			COMPANY		COMMIS	SION VOTE
ISSUE NO.	-	TOTAL PER BOOKS	COMPANY ADJS.	COMPANY	COMM. ADJS.	COMM. ADJUSTED
	DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION	1,377,195				
8 9 57	Remove 2nd story office building Remove common plant depreciation Franchise & consent amortization		(4,047) 0		(2,450) (3,916) (424)	
	TOTAL DEPRECIATION & AMORT.	1,377,195	(4,047)	1,373,148	(6,790)	1,366,358
	TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME	1,155,218				
9, 61 8, 61 61 61 9, 61			0 0 0 0		(2,457) (1,003) (41,502) (473,860) (15,674) (7,568)	
	TOTAL TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME	1,155,218	0	1,155,218	(542,064)	613,154
62 62	Income taxes - current & deferred Change from 35% to 34% & misc.	(44,770)	0		267,735 1,097	
62 62	Interest Synch/Rec. Adj. Interest Synch/Rec. Adj. TOTAL INCOME TAXES	(44,770)	0 (217,321) (217,321)	(262,091)	(4,134) 217,321 482,019	219,928
	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	12,896,143	(221,368)	12,674,775	(6,000,398)	6,674,377
	NET OPERATING INCOME	585,851	221,368	807,219	229,473	1,036,692

ſ

FLORIDA DIVISION OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION DOCKET NO. 000108-GU
O&M FORECAST WORKSHEET - PROJECTED TEST YEAR CALCULATION

Schedule 3A 23-Oct-2000

# 1 # 2 # 3 # 4 #5	Payroll Only Customer Growth x Inflation Inflation Only (CPI-U) Customer Growth Inflation x Customer Growth	BASE YEAR + 1 12/31/00 4.00% 7.62% 2.50% 5.00% 0.00%	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 12/31/01 4.00% 7.62% 2.50% 5.00% 7.62%	CON	MISSION VOTE
ACCOUNT		BASE YEAR 1999	BASE YEAR + 1 2000	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 2001	TREND BASIS APPLIED
	TION EXPENSE				
870	Operation Supervision & Engineering Payroll trended Other trended Total	130,273 20,243	135,484 21,786	140,903 23,446	1 2
		150,516	157,269	164,349	
871	Distribution Load Dispatching Payroll trended Other trended Other trended	70,565 15,334	73,388 16,502 42,700	76,323 17,760 44,408	1 2 1
	Total	85,899	132,590	138,491	
872	Compressor Station Labor & Expense Payroll trended Other trended Total	0	0 0	0	
873	Compressor Station Fuel & Power Payroll trended Other trended	0	0	0	
	Total	0	0	0	
874	Main & Service Expense Payroll trended Other trended	132,556 65,549	137,858 70,544	143,373 75,919	1 2
	Total	198,105	208,402	219,292	
875	Measuring & Regulating Station General Payroll trended Other trended	0	0	0 0	
	Total	0	0	0	
	Measure & Regulating Station Industrial Payroll trended Other trended	0	0	0	
	Total	0	0	0	

Schedule 3A 23-Oct-2000 COMMISSION VOTE

# 1 # 2 # 3 # 4 #5	TREND RATES:  Payroll Only Customer Growth x Inflation Inflation Only (CPI-U) Customer Growth Inflation x Customer Growth	BASE YEAR + 1 12/31/00 4.00% 7.62% 2.50% 5.00% 0.00%	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 12/31/01 - 4.00% 7.62% 2.50% 5.00% 7.62%	COMI	WISSION VOTE
		BASE YEAR 1999	BASE YEAR + 1 2000	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 2001	TREND BASIS APPLIED
ACCOUNT		1000		2001	7111
	Measure & Regulating Station City Gate				
	Payroll trended	886	921	958	1
	Other trended	21,478	6,972	7,503	. 2
	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	22,364	7,893	8,462	
-		•			
878	Meter & House Regulator Expense	0.15.0.10	000 010	222 527	
	Payroll trended	215,040	223,642	232,587	1
	Other trended	79,678	85,749	92,284	2
	Staff adjustment	0	0	(12,484)	
	Total	294,718	309,391	312,387	
879	Customer Service Expense				
0/0	Payroll trended	4,271	4,442	4,620	1
	Other trended	6,859	7,382	7,944	2
	Other trended	0,000	0	0	_
	Other trended	O .	· ·		
	Total	11,130	11,823	12,564	
880	Other Expense Maps & Records				
	Payroll trended	61,140	63,586	66,129	1
	Payroll not trended	17,122	17,550	17,989	3
	Other trended	0	0	0	-
	Total	78,262	81,136	84,118	H
881	Rents				
	Payroll trended	0	0	0	
	Other trended	30,449	31,210	31,990	3
	Staff adjustments	0	0	82,490	
	Total	30,449	31,210	114,480	
Total Dist	ribution Expense	871,443	939,715	1,054,142	

Schedule 3A 23-Oct-2000

# # P.				COM	MISSION VOTE
	TREND RATES:	BASE YEAR + 1 12/31/00	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 12/31/01	00111	MISSION VOTE
# 1 # 2 # 3 # 4 #5	Payroll Only Customer Growth x Inflation Inflation Only (CPI-U) Customer Growth Inflation x Customer Growth	4.00% 7.62% 2.50% 5.00% 0.00%	4.00% 7.62% 2.50% 5.00% 7.62%		
A 0.00 LINE		BASE YEAR 1999	BASE YEAR + 1 2000	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 2001	TREND BASIS APPLIED
MAINTEN/	ANCE EXPENSE				
885	Maintenance Supervision & Engineering Payroll trended Other trended Other not trended	0	0	0	
		THE WEST			
	Total	0	0	0	
886	Maintenance of Structures & Improvements Payroll trended Other trended Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	0	0	0	
887	Maintenance of Mains Payroll trended Other trended Other not trended	59,356 38,501	61,730 41,435	64,199 44,592	1 2
	Total	97,857	103,165	108,792	
888	Maintenance of Compressor Station Equip. Payroll trended Other trended Other not trended	0 0	0	0	
	Total	0	0	0	
889	Maintenance of Meas. & Reg. Station General Payroll trended Other trended Other not trended	5,154 7,062	5,360 7,600	5,575 8,179	1 2
	Total	12,216	12,960	13,754	
890	Maintenance of Meas. & Reg. Station Industrial Payroll trended Other trended Other not trended	32,829 23,383	34,142 9,022	35,508 9,709	1 2
	Total	56,212	43,164	45,217	

Schedule 3A 23-Oct-2000

# 1 # 2 # 3 # 4 #5	TREND RATES:  Payroll Only Customer Growth x Inflation Inflation Only (CPI-U) Customer Growth Inflation x Customer Growth	BASE YEAR + 1 12/31/00 4.00% 7.62% 2.50% 5.00% 0.00%	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 12/31/01 4.00% 7.62% 2.50% 5.00% 7.62%	СОМ	MISSION VOTE
ACCOUNT		BASE YEAR 1999	BASE YEAR + 1 2000	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 2001	TREND BASIS APPLIED
	Maintenance of Meas. & Reg. Station City Gate Payroll trended Other trended Other not trended	11,642 8,744	12,108 9,410	12,592 10,127	1 2
	Total	20,386	21,518	22,719	
892	Maintenance of Services Payroll trended Other trended Other not trended	17,639 14,845	18,345 15,976	19,078 17,194	1 2
	Total .	32,484	34,321	36,272	
893	Maintenance of Meters & House Regulators Payroll trended Other trended Staff adjustments	5,169 64,096	5,376 68,980	5,591 74,236 (5,000)	1 2
	Total	69,265	74,356	74,827	
894	Maintenance of Other Equipment Payroll trended Other trended Other not trended	5,759 12,538	5,989 13,493	6,229 14,522	1 2
	Total	18,297	19,483	20,751	
Total Mair	ntenance Expense	306,717	308,967	322,332	
CUSTOME	R ACCOUNT EXPENSE				
901	Supervision Payroll trended Other trended Other not trended	75,433 9,794	78,450 10,540	81,588 11,343	1 2
	Total	85,227	88,991	92,932	

FLORIDA DIVISION OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION

DOCKET NO. 000108-GU

O&M FORECAST WORKSHEET - PROJECTED TEST YEAR CALCULATION

Schedule 3A 23-Oct-2000 COMMISSION VOTE

				COM	MISSION VOTE
		BASE YEAR	PROJECTED		
	TOTAL DATES	+ 1	TEST YEAR		
	TREND RATES:	12/31/00	12/31/01		
# 1	Payroll Only	4.00%	4.00%		
#2	Customer Growth x Inflation	7.62%	7.62%		
#3	Inflation Only (CPI-U)	2.50%	2.50%		
# 4	Customer Growth	5.00%	5.00%		
#5	Inflation x Customer Growth	0.00%	7.62%		
				PROJECTED	TREND
		BASE YEAR	BASE YEAR + 1	TEST YEAR	BASIS
		1999	2000	2001	APPLIED
ACCOUNT					
902	Meter Reading Expense				
	Payroll trended	15,787	16,418	17,075	1
	Other trended	74,805	80,505	86,640	2
	Staff adjustments			(10,000)	
	Total	90,592	96,924	93,715	
	Total	90,592	30,324	93,713	
903	Customer Records & Collections				
300	Payroll trended	175,807	182,839	190,153	1
	Other trended	168,405	181,237	195,048	2
	Other 2000	0	42,000	43,680	1
	Other 2001		,	W Day	
	Staff adjustments			(24,254)	
	,				
	Total	344,212	406,077	404,627	
904	Uncollectible Accounts	0			
	Payroll trended	0	0	0 29,245	2
	Other trended	25,250	27,174		2
	Staff adjustments			(8,229)	
	Total	25,250	27,174	21,016	
	Total	20,200		21,010	
909	Infor. & Instructional Advertising				
	Payroll trended	0	0	0	
	Other trended	0	0	0	
	Other 2001	0	0	5,000	
	Staff adjustments	(4)		4,635	
	Total	0	0	9,635	
¥I.		515.004	040 405	004.004	
Total Cus	tomer Account Expense	545,281	619,165	621,924	
SALES EXI	PENSE				
911	Supervision				
	Payroll trended	0	0	0	
	Other trended	0	0	0	
	Other not trended				¥
			<u></u>		
	Total	0	0	0	

Schedule 3A 23-Oct-2000 COMMISSION VOTE

				COM	MISSION VOTE
		BASE YEAR	PROJECTED		
	TREND RATES:	+ 1 12/31/00	TEST YEAR 12/31/01		
	The total of the t	1201100	1201101		
# 1	Payroll Only	4.00%	4.00%		
#2	Customer Growth x Inflation	7.62%	7.62%		
#3	Inflation Only (CPI-U)	2.50%	2.50%		
#4	Customer Growth	5.00%	5.00%		
#5	Inflation x Customer Growth	0.00%	7.62%		
				PROJECTED	TREND
		BASE YEAR	BASE YEAR + 1	TEST YEAR	BASIS
	*	1999	2000	2001	APPLIED
ACCOUNT			To the second se		-
912	Selling & Demonstrating Expense				
	Payroll trended	274,470	285,449	296,867	1
	Payroll not trended	72,871	74,693	76,560	3
	Other 2001	0	0	. 63,000	
	Staff adjustment	047.044	202 440	(10,461)	
	Total	347,341	360,142	425,966	
913	Advertising Expense				
3.5	Payroll trended	0	0	0	
	Other trended	0	0	0	
	Other not trended				
	Total	0	0	0	
916	Miscellaneous Sales Expense				
	Payroll trended	980	1,019	1,060	1
	Other trended	225	242	261	2
	Other trended				
	-1.00	1 005	4 004	4 004	
	Total	1,205	1,261	1,321	
Total Sale	es Expense	348,546	361,403	427,286	
		•	All		
ADMINISTE	RATIVE & GENERAL EXPENSES				
920	Administrative & General Salaries				
	Payroll trended	346,269	360,120	374,525	1
	Other trended	211,450	219,908	228,704	1
	Other 2000	0	161,418	167,875	1
	Other 2000		2,000	2,050	3
	Other 2001			70,000	
	Staff adjustment			(65,902)	
	Total	557,719	743,446	777,252	
921	Office Supplies & Expenses				
521	Payroll trended	0	0	0	
	Other trended	223,501	240,532	258,860	2
	Other not trended	82,344	84,403	86,513	3
	Staff adjustments		2.110	(29,183)	
	Total	305,845	324,934	316,190	
		0.00			

# FLORIDA DIVISION OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION DOCKET NO. 000108-GU O&M FORECAST WORKSHEET - PROJECTED TEST YEAR CALCULATION

Schedule 3A 23-Oct-2000

		07.12002 111011		COM	MISSION VOTE
	TREND RATES:	BASE YEAR + 1 12/31/00	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 12/31/01		
# 1 # 2 # 3 # 4 #5	Payroll Only Customer Growth x Inflation Inflation Only (CPI-U) Customer Growth Inflation x Customer Growth	4.00% 7.62% 2.50% 5.00% 0.00%	4.00% 7.62% 2.50% 5.00% 7.62%		
ACCOUNT		BASE YEAR 1999	BASE YEAR + 1 2000	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 2001	TREND BASIS APPLIED
	Administrative Exp. Transferred-Credit Payroll trended Other trended Other not trended	0 (58,810)	(63,291)	0 (68,114)	2
	Total	* (58,810)	(63,291)	(68,114)	
923	Outside Services Employed Payroll trended Other trended Other trended	0 260,414	0 266,924	0 273,597	3
	Total	260,414	266,924	273,597	
924	Property Insurance Payroll trended Other not trended Other not trended	0 10,674	0 10,949	0 11,233	
	Total	10,674	10,949	11,233	
925	Injuries & Damages Payroll trended Other not trended Staff adjustments	112 141,173	116 144,019	121 146,894 (12,995)	1
	Total	141,285	144,135	134,020	
926	Employee Pensions/Benefits Payroll trended Other trended Staff adjustment	78,335 312,407	81,468 320,217	84,727 328,223 (37,850)	1 3
	Total	390,742	401,686	375,100	
921	7 Franchise Requirements Payroll trended Other trended Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	0	0	0	

# FLORIDA DIVISION OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION DOCKET NO. 000108-GU O&M FORECAST WORKSHEET - PROJECTED TEST YEAR CALCULATION

Schedule 3A 23-Oct-2000

# 1	TREND RATES:	BASE YEAR + 1 12/31/00 4.00%	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 12/31/01 4.00%	СОМ	IMISSION VOTE
# 2 # 3 # 4 #5	Customer Growth x Inflation Inflation Only (CPI-U) Customer Growth Inflation x Customer Growth	7.62% 2.50% 5.00% 0.00%	7.62% 2.50% 5.00% 7.62%		
		BASE YEAR 1999	BASE YEAR + 1 2000	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 2001	TREND BASIS APPLIED
ACCOUNT 928	Regulatory Commission Expense Other trended Other 2001 Staff adjustments	3,120 0	3,198 0	3,278 60,875 5,625	3
	Total	3,120	3,198	69,778	
929	Duplicate Charges Payroll trended Other trended Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	0	. 0	0	
930.1	General Advertising Expenses Payroll trended Other trended Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	0	0	0	
930.2	Miscellaneous General Expenses Payroll trended Other trended Other not trended	76,174 0	78,078 0	0 80,030 0	3
	Total	76,174	78,078	80,030	
931	Rents Payroll trended Other trended Other not trended	0 54,161 0	55,515 0	56,903 0	3
	Total	54,161	55,515	56,903	
932	Maintenance of General Plant Payroll trended Other trended Other not trended	893 21,224 0	929 21,755 0	966 22,298 0	1 3
	Total	22,117	22,683	23,264	
Total Adm	ninistrative & General Expenses	1,763,441	1,988,258	2,049,253	
TOTAL OPI	ERATION & MAINTENANCE EXPENSES	\$3,835,428	\$4,217,508	\$4,474,937	

#### NET OPERATING INCOME MULTIPLIER

FLORIDA DIVISION OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION DOCKET NO. 000108-GU PTY 12/31/01

ATTACHMENT 4 23-Oct-2000

DESCRIPTION	COMPANY PER FILING	COMMISSION VOTE
REVENUE REQUIREMENT	100.0000%	100.0000%
GROSS RECEIPTS TAX RATE	2.5000%	0.0000%
REGULATORY ASSESSMENT RATE	0.5000%	0.5000%
BAD DEBT RATE	0.0000%	0.0000%
NET BEFORE INCOME TAXES	97.0000%	99.5000%
STATE INCOME TAX RATE	5.5000%	5.5000%
STATE INCOME TAX	5.3350%	5.4725%
NET BEFORE FEDERAL INCOME TAXES	91.6650%	94.0275%
FEDERAL INCOME TAX RATE	35.0000%	34.0000%
FEDERAL INCOME TAX	32.0828%	31.9694%
REVENUE EXPANSION FACTOR	59.5823%	62.0582%
NET OPERATING INCOME MULTIPLIER	1.6784	1.6114

#### COMPARATIVE REVENUE DEFICIENCY CALCULATIONS

FLORIDA DIVISION OF CHESAPEAKE UTILIT DOCKET NO. 000108-GU PTY 12/31/01	FIES CORPORATION	ATTACHMENT 5 23-Oct-2000
	COMPANY ADJUSTED	COMMISSION
RATE BASE (AVERAGE)	\$21,321,700	\$21,088,311
RATE OF RETURN	X8.89%_	X 8.60%
REQUIRED NOI	\$1,895,499	\$1,813,595
Operating Revenues	13,481,994	7,711,069
Operating Expenses:		
Operation & Maintenance	10,408,500	4,474,937
Depreciation & Amortization	1,373,148	1,366,358
Amortization of Environ. Costs	0	0
Taxes Other than Income Taxes	1,155,218	613,154
Income Taxes	(262,091)	219,928
Total Operating Expenses	12,674,775	6,674,377
ACHIEVED NOI.	807,219	1,036,692
NET NOI DEFICIENCY	1,088,280	776,902
REVENUE TAX FACTOR	1.6784 .	1.6114
REVENUE DEFICIENCY	\$1,826,569	\$1,251,900

#### FLORIDA DIVISION OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES DOCKET NO. 000108-GU APPROVED RATE DESIGN

	TOTAL	GS-1	T8-1	GS-2	TS-2	G9-3	TS-3	G8-4	TS-4	Q <b>3</b> -5	TS-5	Q8-6	TS-4	08-7	T9-7	GS-8	TS-8	08-9	TS-0
																		A+ 834 831	
TARGET REVENUES	\$8,683,070	\$2,357,585		\$469,726		\$633,641		\$419,879		\$195,989		\$230,324	,	\$1,093,871		\$646,700		\$1,034,621	
LESS, OTHER REVENUES	\$120,340	\$74,765		\$27,494		\$2,719		\$1,086		\$274									
REVENUES FROM RATES	18.558,730	\$2,282,800		\$442,232		\$531,122		\$418,611		\$195,715		\$230,324		\$1,093,871		\$846,700		\$1,034,621	
LESS CUSTOMER CHARGE REVENUES																			
APPROVED CUSTOMER CHARGES		\$10.00	\$15.00	\$17.50	\$27 50	\$32.50	\$42.50	\$40.00	\$56.00	\$100.00	\$125.00	\$175.00	\$200.00	\$250.00	\$300 00	\$350.00	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$700 00
NO OF BILLS	141,348	127,212	0	7,620	360	3,024	480	720	578	156	144	120	120	. 72	406	24	144	24	50
TOTAL CUST CHARGE REVENUE	\$1,947,930	\$1,272,120	\$0	\$133,350	\$9,900	\$98,280	\$20,400	\$28,800	\$31,680	\$15,600	\$18,000	\$21,000	\$24,000	\$18,000	\$122,400	\$8,400	\$72,000	\$12,000	\$42,000
TOTAL GS+1\$ CUST CHARGE REV	\$1,947,930	\$1,272,120		\$143,250		\$118,580		\$60,480		\$33,600		\$45,000		\$140,400	•	\$80,400		\$54,000	
INCREMENTAL TRANSP. CUST CHARGE	\$77,640		\$0.00		\$3,600.00		\$4,800.00		\$8,840.00		\$3,600 00		\$3,000 00		\$29,400.00		\$21,600.00		\$12,000 00
EQUALS PER THERM TARGET REVENUE	\$6,508,800	\$1,010,680		\$298,982		\$512,442		\$358,131		\$182,115		\$185,324		\$953,471		\$765,300		\$960,621	
NUMBER OF THERMS	116,194,644	2,293,178		1,018,482		2,026,694		1,618,148		862,203		1,259,939		9,755,000		8,785,000		12,426,000	
GS/TS RATE PER THERM		0.440733		0.293556		0.252848		0.221322		0.185024		0.147090		0.097742		0.067228		0.076917	
ROUNDED RATE PER THERM		0.44073		0.29356		0.25285		0.22132		0.18802		0.14709		0.09774		0.08723		0.07692	
PER THERM RATE REVENUES	\$6,508,835	\$1,010,672		\$296,966		\$512,450		\$358,129		\$182,111		\$185,324		\$953,454		\$766,316		\$960,680	
SUMMARY APPROVED TARIFF RATES																			
CUSTOMER CHARGES		\$10.00	\$15.00	\$17.60	\$27.50	\$32.50	\$42,50	\$40.00	\$55.00	\$100.00	\$125.00	\$175.00	\$200.00	\$250.00	\$300.00	\$350 00	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$700.00
ENERGY CHARGES- CENTS PER THERM		44,873	410.00	29,350	427.00	26.265		22.132	******	18,802		14,709		9.774		8.723		7.892	
Entered Standard Sental Entire Company		41,072		27.550		24.610													
CUSTOMER CHARGE REVENUE	\$1,947,930	\$1,272,120	\$0	\$133,350	\$9,900	\$90,280	\$20,400	\$28,800	\$31,680	\$15,600	\$16,000	\$21,000	\$24,000	\$18,000	\$122,400	\$8,400	\$72,000	\$12,000	\$42,000 \$0
ENERGY CHARGE REVENUE	\$6,608,835	\$1,010,672	\$0	\$296,985	\$0	\$512,450	\$0	\$358,129	\$0	\$162,111	\$0	\$185,324	\$0	\$953,454	\$0	\$766,316	\$0	\$980,880	20
TOTAL	\$8,556,785	12,282,792		\$442,238		\$531,130		\$418.000		<u>\$195.711</u>		\$230,324		\$1,093,854		<u>\$846,716</u>		\$1,034,880	
difference	(\$35)																		
					•														
PROPOSED																			
NOI	\$1,533,680	\$263,199		\$53,866		\$49,217		\$44,338		\$23,505		\$35,984		\$205,777		\$191,265		\$368,746	
RATE BASE	\$21,105,572	\$3,667,185		\$874,840		\$1,055,598		\$1,078,991		\$959,771		\$1,193,599		\$2,682,912		\$2,346,851		\$4,281,994	
RATE OF RETURN	7.27%	7 18%		6.16%		4.66%		4,11%		2.45%		3,01%		7,67%		8.14%		8.61%	
DOCATAT													*						
PRESENT	44 037 0	***				4004 0400		*10.40*		\$17,117		\$23,266		(\$82,914)		\$138,785		\$556,558	
NOI PATE DARE	\$1,077,660	\$88,222		\$51,341		(\$36,240)		\$19,406 \$1,076,991		\$959,771		\$1,193,599		\$2,682,912		\$2,348,651		\$4,281,994	
RATE BASE	\$21,105,572	\$3,867,185		\$874,640		\$1,055,598		#1,U/D,391		\$600,771		41,182,589		42,002,012		34,,30			
RATE OF RETURN	5.11%	2.41%		5 67%		-3.43%		1.60%		1.78%		1.95%		-2.34%		5,91%		13.00%	

-

•

FCG 003891 20220069-GU

#### FLORIDA DIVISION OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES

DOCKET NO. 000108-GU APPROVED RATE DESIGN Page 2 of 5

	TOTAL	GS-1/TS-1	GS-2/TS-2	GS-3/TS-3	GS-4/TS-4	GS-5/TS-5	GS-6/TS-6	GS-7/TS-7	GS-8/TS-8	GS-9/TS-9
PRESENT RATES										
GAS SALES OTHER OPERATING REV.	\$7,630,737 \$80,333	\$1,970,008 \$36,333	\$416,549 \$21,000	\$509,992 \$2,200	\$377,293 \$600	\$195,176 \$200	\$222,606 \$0	\$732,489 \$0	\$716,299 \$0	\$1,109,591 \$0
TOTAL	<u>\$7,711,070</u>	\$2,006,341	\$437,549	\$512,192	\$377,893	\$195,376	\$222,606	\$732,489	\$716,299	\$1,109,591
RATE OF RETURN	5.11%	2.41%	5.87%	-3.43%	1.80%	1.78%	1.95%	-2.34%	5.91%	13.00%
INDEX	100%	47%	115%	-67%	35%	35%	. 38%	-46%	116%	255%
APPROVED RATES			•							
GAS SALES OTHER OPERATING REV.	\$8,556,730 \$126,340	\$2,282,800 \$74,785	\$442,232 \$27,494	\$631,122 \$2,719	\$418,611 \$1,068	\$195,715 \$274	\$230,324 \$0	\$1,093,871 \$0	\$846,700 \$0	\$1,034,621 \$0
TOTAL	\$8,683,070	\$2,357,585	\$469,726	\$633,841	\$419,679	\$195,989	\$230,324	\$1,093,871	\$846,700	\$1,034,621
TOTAL REV. INCREASE *	<u>\$971,984</u>	\$351,244	\$32,177	\$121,649	\$41,786	\$613	\$7,718	\$361,382	\$130,401	(\$74,970)
PERCENT INCREASE	12.61%	17.51%	7.35%	23.75%	11.06%	0.31%	3.47%	49.34%	18.20%	-6.76%
RATE OF RETURN	7.27%	7.18%	6.16%	4.66%	4.11%	2.45%	3.01%	7.67%	8.14%	8.61%
INDEX	100%	99%	85%	64%	57%	34%	41%	106%	112%	119%

<sup>\*</sup> The revenue increase of \$1,251,900 approved by the Commission has been adjusted downward by \$279,916 in this schedule to reflect the revenues attributable to two lost industrial customers, per the stipulation.

Page 3 of 5

### FLORIDA DIVISION OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES DOCKET NO. 000108-GU

RATE SCHEDULE	PRESENT RATES	APPROVED RATES
GS-1 - (RESIDENTIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	\$7.00 46.905	\$10.00 44.073
GS-1 - (COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	\$15.00 22.115	\$10.00 44.073
TS-1 - (RESIDENTIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	N/A N/A	\$15.00 44.073
GS-2 - (RESIDENTIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	\$7.00 46.905	\$17.50 29.356
GS-2 - (COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	\$15.00 22.115	\$17.50 29.356
TS-2 - (COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	N/A N/A	\$27.50 29.356
GS-3 - (RESIDENTIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	\$7.00 46.905	\$32.50 25.285
GS-3 ~ (COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	\$15.00 22.115	\$32.50 25.285
TS-3 - (COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	N/A N/A	\$42.50 25.285

Page 4 of 5

### FLORIDA DIVISION OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES DOCKET NO. 000108-GU

RATE SCHEDULE	PRESENT RATES	APPROVED RATES
GS-4 - (COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	\$15.00 22.115	\$40.00 22.132
TS-4 - (COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	N/A N/A	\$55.00 22.132
GS-5 - (COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	\$15.00 22.115	\$100.00 18.802
TS-5 - (COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	N/A N/A	\$125.00 18.802
GS-6 - (COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	\$20.00 17.287	\$175.00 14.709
TS-6 - (COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	N/A N/A	\$200.00 14.709
GS-7 - (FIRM COMMERCIAL/ INDUSTRIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	\$40.00 7.889	\$250.00 9.774
GS-7 - (INTERRUPTIBLE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTR CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	<u>(IAL)</u> \$350.00 5.312	\$250.00 9.774
TS-7 - (FIRM COMMERCIAL/ INDUSTRIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	\$40.00 7.889	\$300.00 9.774
TS-7 - (INTERRUPTIBLE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRI CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	<u>(AL)</u> \$350.00 5.312	\$300.00 9.77 <b>4</b>
GS-8 - (FIRM COMMERCIAL/ INDUSTRIAL) CUSTOMER CHARGE ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	\$40.00 7.889	\$350.00 8.723

Page 5 of 5

### FLORIDA DIVISION OF CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES DOCKET NO. 000108-GU

RATE SCHEDULE	PRESENT RATES	APPROVED RATES
GS-8 - (INTERRUPTIBLE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIA	.14	
CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$350.00	\$350.00
ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	5.312	8.723
(	0.012	0.723
TS-8- (FIRM COMMERCIAL/ INDUSTRIAL)		
CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$40.00	\$500.00
ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	7.889	8.723
TS-8 - (INTERRUPTIBLE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL	.)_	
CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$350.00	\$500.00
ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	5.312	8.723
00.0 (5)014.0044450044.4040		
GS-9 - (FIRM COMMERCIAL/ INDUSTRIAL)		
CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$40.00	\$500.00
ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	7.889	7.892
GS-9 - (INTERRUPTIBLE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL	`	
CUSTOMER CHARGE	<del></del>	<b>#</b> 500.00
ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	\$350.00	\$500.00
ENERGY CHARGE (Cents/therm)	5.312	7.892
TS-9 - (FIRM COMMERCIAL/ INDUSTRIAL)		
CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$40.00	\$700.00
ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	7.889	7.892
= TETO TOTAL (CONTOURS INT)	7.009	1.092
TS-9 - (INTERRUPTIBLE COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL	)	
CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$350.00	\$700.00
ENERGY CHARGE (cents/therm)	5.312	7.892
,	3,3,3	
STIPULATED		
MISCELLANEOUS	PRESENT	APPROVED
CHARGES	RATES	RATES
CONNECTION CHARGE - RESIDENTIAL	\$22.00	\$30.00
CONNECTION CHARGE - COMMERCIAL	\$22.00	\$60.00
RECONNECTION CHARGE - RESIDENTIAL	\$22.00	\$30.00
RECONNECTION CHARGE - COMMERCIAL	\$22.00	\$60.00
COLLECTION IN LIEU OF DISCONNECT	\$9.00	\$15.00
CHANGE OF ACCOUNT	\$0.00	\$20.00
RETURNED CHECK CHARGE	\$15.00	\$25.00