

Hiep Nguyen

From: Asha Maharaj-Lucas
Sent: Thursday, March 2, 2023 10:23 AM
To: Braulio Baez; Mark Futrell; Apryl Lynn; Keith Hetrick; Mary Anne Helton; Adam Teitzman; CLK - Agenda Staff; Emily Knoblauch; Marissa Ramos; Laura King; Eddie Phillips; Takira Thompson; Mark Cicchetti; Elisabeth Draper; Bill McNulty; Walter Trierweiler; Matthew Jones; Cindy Muir; Commissioners & Staffs
Cc: Kate Hamrick; Jacqueline Moore; Nancy Harrison
Subject: RE: Request for approval of an oral modification to Item 1 on the March 28, 2023 Special Agenda Conference - Docket No. 20220069-GU
Attachments: 20220069 Oral Mod..pdf

Hello:

Please see the approval of the oral modification to Item 1 on the March 28, 2023 Special Agenda Conference-20220069GU.

Thanks
Asha

From: Braulio Baez
Sent: Thursday, March 02, 2023 10:17 AM
To: Tom Ballinger <TBalling@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Cc: Mark Futrell <MFutrell@PSC.STATE.FL.US>; Kate Hamrick <KHamrick@psc.state.fl.us>; Braulio Baez <BBaez@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Subject: RE: Request for approval of an oral modification to Item 1 on the March 28, 2023 Special Agenda Conference - Docket No. 20220069-GU

Approved.

From: Tom Ballinger <TBalling@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Sent: Wednesday, March 01, 2023 11:46 AM
To: Braulio Baez <BBaez@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Cc: Mark Futrell <MFutrell@PSC.STATE.FL.US>; Kate Hamrick <KHamrick@psc.state.fl.us>; Asha Maharaj-Lucas <AMaharaj@psc.state.fl.us>
Subject: Fwd: Request for approval of an oral modification to Item 1 on the March 28, 2023 Special Agenda Conference - Docket No. 20220069-GU

Please see the attached request for oral modification. Let me know if you have any questions or need any additional information.

Begin forwarded message:

From: Marissa Ramos <mramos@psc.state.fl.us>
Date: March 1, 2023 at 11:40:18 AM EST
To: Tom Ballinger <TBalling@psc.state.fl.us>
Cc: Laura King <LKing@psc.state.fl.us>, Emily Knoblauch <eknoblau@psc.state.fl.us>

Subject: Request for approval of an oral modification to Item 1 on the March 28, 2023 Special Agenda Conference - Docket No. 20220069-GU

Staff requests approval to make an oral modification to staff's post-hearing recommendation filed in Docket No. 20220069-GU, Petition for rate increase by Florida City Gas, scheduled for the Special Agenda Conference on March 28, 2023.

This item was deferred from the February 28, 2023, to the March 28, 2023, Special Agenda Conference at the request of the Company. Several fall-out issues refer to March 28, 2023, as the date for the Commission to vote on staff's rates recommendation. However, the rates Special Agenda Conference is now scheduled for April 25, 2023. The issues identified below should be modified to reflect this scheduling change, no other changes are being made to the recommendation as filed.

Issue 60 (P. 188)

Issue 61 (P. 189)

Issue 62 (P. 190)

Issue 65 (P. 193)

Issue 66 (P. 194)

These corrections are reflected in the attached pdf.

Issue 60: Are FCG's proposed Customer Charges appropriate?

Recommendation: This is a fall-out issue and will be decided at ~~the March 28, 2023~~ a subsequent Commission Conference. (Hampson)

Position of the Parties

FCG: Yes. The appropriate customer charges are those shown in 2023 Test Year MFRs E-2 and H-1 (1 of 2). (*DuBose*)

OPC: No position.

FEA: No position.

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

Staff Analysis:

PARTIES' ARGUMENTS

FCG

FCG did not provide an argument. (FCG BR 87)

OPC

OPC did not provide an argument. (OPC BR 59)

FEA

FEA did not provide an argument. (FEA & FIPUG BR 29)

FIPUG

FIPUG adopted the position of OPC; but did not provide an argument. (FEA & FIPUG BR 29)

ANALYSIS

This is a fall-out issue and will be decided at ~~the March 28, 2023~~ a subsequent Commission Conference.

CONCLUSION

This is a fall-out issue and will be decided at ~~the March 28, 2023~~ a subsequent Commission Conference.

Issue 61: Are FCG's proposed per therm Distribution Charges appropriate?

Recommendation: This is a fall-out issue and will be decided at ~~the March 28, 2023~~ a subsequent Commission Conference. (Hampson)

Position of the Parties

FCG: Yes. The appropriate per therm Distribution Charges are those shown in 2023 Test Year MFRs E-2 and H-1 (1 of 2). (*DuBose*)

OPC: No position.

FEA: No position.

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

Staff Analysis:

PARTIES' ARGUMENTS

FCG

FCG did not provide an argument. (FCG BR 87)

OPC

OPC did not provide an argument. (OPC BR 59)

FEA

FEA did not provide an argument. (FEA & FIPUG BR 29-30)

FIPUG

FIPUG adopted the position of OPC; but did not provide an argument. (FEA & FIPUG BR 29-30)

ANALYSIS

This is a fall-out issue and will be decided at ~~the March 28, 2023~~ a subsequent Commission Conference.

CONCLUSION

This is a fall-out issue and will be decided at ~~the March 28, 2023~~ a subsequent Commission Conference.

Issue 62: Are FCG's proposed Demand Charges appropriate?

Recommendation: This is a fall-out issue and will be decided at ~~the March 28, 2023~~ a subsequent Commission Conference. (Hampson)

Position of the Parties

FCG: Yes. The appropriate Demand Charges are those shown in 2023 Test Year MFRs E-2 and H-1 (1 of 2). (*DuBose*)

OPC: No position.

FEA: No position.

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

Staff Analysis:

PARTIES' ARGUMENTS

FCG

FCG did not provide an argument. (FCG BR 87)

OPC

OPC did not provide an argument. (OPC BR 59)

FEA

FEA did not provide an argument. (FEA & FIPUG BR 30)

FIPUG

FIPUG adopted the position of OPC; but did not provide an argument. (FEA & FIPUG BR 30)

ANALYSIS

This is a fall-out issue and will be decided at ~~the March 28, 2023~~ a subsequent Commission Conference.

CONCLUSION

This is a fall-out issue and will be decided at ~~the March 28, 2023~~ a subsequent Commission Conference.

Issue 65: What is the appropriate effective date for FCG's revised rates and charges?

Recommendation: This is a fall-out issue and will be decided at ~~the March 28, 2023~~ a subsequent Commission Conference. (Hampson)

Position of the Parties

FCG: Pursuant to the statutory eight-month suspension period in Section 366.06(3), F.S., FCG's filing requested a February 1, 2023 effective date for new base rates. (*DuBose*)

OPC: The effective date of FCG's revised rates and charges should allow for time for implementation promptly after the Commission's final order in this matter.

FEA: No position.

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

Staff Analysis:

PARTIES' ARGUMENTS

FCG

FCG did not provide an argument. (FCG BR 87)

OPC

OPC did not provide an argument. (OPC BR 59)

FEA

FEA did not provide an argument. (FEA & FIPUG BR 30)

FIPUG

FIPUG adopted the position of OPC; but did not provide an argument. (FEA & FIPUG BR 30)

ANALYSIS

This is a fall-out issue and will be decided at ~~the March 28, 2023~~ a subsequent Commission Conference.

CONCLUSION

This is a fall-out issue and will be decided at ~~the March 28, 2023~~ a subsequent Commission Conference.

Issue 66: Should the Commission give staff administrative authority to approve tariffs reflecting Commission approved rates and charges?

Recommendation: This issue will be decided at ~~the March 28, 2023~~ a subsequent Commission Conference. (Hampson)

Position of the Parties

FCG: Yes. The Commission should approve tariffs reflecting the Commission’s approved rates and charges. The Commission should direct staff to verify that the revised tariffs are consistent with the Commission’s decision. (*DuBose*)

OPC: No position.

FEA: No position.

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

Staff Analysis:

PARTIES’ ARGUMENTS

FCG

FCG did not provide an argument. (FCG BR 87)

OPC

OPC did not provide an argument. (OPC BR 59)

FEA

FEA did not provide an argument. (FEA & FIPUG BR 30)

FIPUG

FIPUG adopted the position of OPC; but did not provide an argument. (FEA & FIPUG BR 30)

ANALYSIS

This issue will be decided at ~~the March 28, 2023~~ a subsequent Commission Conference.

CONCLUSION

This issue will be decided at ~~the March 28, 2023~~ a subsequent Commission Conference.