1	FLORIDA	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	FHORIDA TODDIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
3		
4		
5	In the Matter of:	
6		DOCKET NO. 20230047-TP
7	Commission approval of Florida Telecommunications Relay, Inc.'s fiscal year 2023-2024 proposed	
8	budget.	/
9		
10		
11	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 2
12	COMMICCIONEDC	
13	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN ANDREW GILES FAY
14		COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER GARY F. CLARK
15		COMMISSIONER MIKE LA ROSA COMMISSIONER GABRIELLA PASSIDOMO
16	DATE:	Tuesday, July 11, 2023
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
18		4075 Esplanade Way
19		Tallahassee, Florida
20	REPORTED BY:	DEBRA R. KRICK Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for
21		the State of Florida at Large
22		PREMIER REPORTING
23		112 W. 5TH AVENUE TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA
24		(850) 894-0828
25		
1		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN FAY: All right. Commissioners, we
3	are going to give everybody just a few minutes to
4	get set up for Item 2 here. We've got some
5	technical staff, and just bear with us for a few
6	minutes so we can get everybody settled.
7	All right. Commissioners, we are all settled
8	in for Item No. 2. I will recognize Mr. Williams
9	to present a summary on this item.
10	MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and
11	Commissioners. Curtis Williams, with the Office of
12	Industry Development and Market Analysis.
13	Item 2 addresses Florida Telecommunications
14	Relay, Incorporated's, proposed budget and the TRS
15	surcharge for fiscal year 2023-2024. FTRI has also
16	proposed a tablet distribution pilot project for
17	approval.
18	In Issue 1, staff recommends denial of the
19	tablet pilot at this time based on the absence of
20	statutory authority to provide these devices.
21	In Issue 2, staff recommends several
22	modifications to FTRI's proposed budget amounting
23	to a net degrease of \$61,676, and resulting in a
24	recommended total budget expense of 3.6 million.
25	In Issue 3, staff recommends the Commission

1	reduce the TRS surcharge from 10 cents to nine
2	cents effective September 1st, 2023.
3	Mr. Cecil Bradley, FTRI's Executive Director,
4	is present along with Denay Brown, FTRI's legal
5	counsel, and they would like to address the
6	Commission this morning.
7	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. Thank you Mr.
8	Williams.
9	Commissioners, we will have Mr. Bradley and
10	his team present to us this morning. We have a
11	sign language interpreter, Betty Dean, with us this
12	morning, so I want to make sure, for purposes of
13	televising this and having the information public,
14	that she's also recognized.
15	With that, Mr. Bradley, I would like, you
16	know, either you or Ms. Brown, whatever order you
17	would like, you can present some information this
18	morning to the Commission on this item.
19	MR. BRADLEY: Good morning, Commissioners.
20	Thank you for allowing me to present, and the
21	privilege of being here today. I will be doing
22	most of the talking, and I will ask Denay to add
23	some supplemental information.
24	I would like to respond, and I am very
25	appreciative of the PSC staff and their analysis.

We have been back and forth a few times in
exchanging information about questions and answers,
and I am not going to go in-depth this morning, but
there are just a few points I would like to
clarify, or possibly some type of rebuttal on the
specific sections. I am not in opposition, just
have some concern.

So again, the number one issue, we were denied the iPad pilot by the staff. So we did talk about that last year, and I did recall that you asked me to come back this year with a proposal for the pilot project, and as well as using our interest from the investments to support the program. However, I couldn't find any information in the transcript in reference to the funding, so I also looked at the video on the -- the videos on the PSC website, and I have to tell you that unfortunately, the website is not ADA accessible. So I couldn't understand what the video person was saying from last year, July 7th, 2022, and I couldn't find any options to click on captioning or the P4 to click on for captioning. So what I had to do was I had to copy the link and transfer it to my phone, and my phone is awesome, so I could have a caption option to view it.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So I do recommend that you consider adding an app, or some type of captioning feed in your videos. So I just wanted to let you know that if you -- if you could research or view that.

And last year, I know I had some limited information, but I do recall that we discussed this issue last year, so I was prepared to discuss it this year. And we have done so much analysis, and surveys in investigating this issue, as you had asked me to do last year. And I did glean some wonderful information, and it was really amazing that two states are -- they rely on landline to support their pilot program, which is Missouri and Georgia. It really blew my mind when I found that out.

And I had a survey that I did, and 14 states confirmed that they do have a way to provide iPads, and the company that they are in contact with is Teltext. And 25 states have distributed 20,000 plus iPads all over the country.

And honestly, I am embarrassed to live in Florida at this point. It really feels like we don't have anything to offer, and I feel like we are the last state in the country to offer these technological options. It's embarrassing. I mean

2.

Florida is supposed to be one of the top states in the country, but yet we are behind in these technology options.

So I talked with Teltext yesterday, and we talked about the equipment. And they did bring to my attention something very amazing, which is the iPads can be designed for, like, an augmented communication, alternate augmented communication. That's a way that if a person cannot speak, but they can hear on the phone, they can tap different symbols, and the symbols represent words, and then that means a person can talk on the phone through this particular touch screen with symbols on it.

We have the capa -- they have the capability with the iPad, and it can be connected to a landline. It actually blew my mind what they said. I learned something new yesterday. And it's called an iBox, and it's like an iPad. It has a symbol on it. The iBox connects to a regular phone, it could be an amplified phone. And the person can pick up the telephone, dial their number, click on the screen, listen to what's being said and then tap the iPad screen, the symbols that would represent words, and that was totally new to me. This could be -- a speech impaired person could have this

1 option.

2.

So I am thinking it's similar for deaf and hard of hearing, but not the same function. And of course, deaf and hard of hearing cannot use wired telephones, but that was amazing to me for the speech disability population.

And as you know, I have been trying to secure funding, research funding. And one correction to the staff is that we are not able to pursue funding through Voc Rehab, Department of Education. They do not provide group funding. Their focus is employment of people with disabilities, and they provide individual support to that disabled person.

It was also mentioned FAAST, F-A-A-S-T, there would not be funding there, but I am off -- I am looking at the Office of Broadband, but since their business focus is infrastructure, I am not sure if they will have funding for the iPad pilot. But next year, we -- there may be grants going out again and we can apply, but I am not sure if we can use that funding for devices. I think their focus is funding for setting up infrastructure and internet connection all over Florida.

Now, Section 427 -- they -- they have \$427.8 million for this funding. So that's related to

1	Item No. 1, or question No. 1. And I am hoping
2	that we can think about this iPad project a little
3	deeper.
4	So Item No. 2, and as you know, our revenue
5	has decreased. And I do project, based on your
6	report, and as the data report, I am looking at a
7	document right now. Facts and figures, Florida
8	industry, regulated industry, there the
9	landlines are dropping at an increased rate. And
10	within five to 10 years, we may not have any
11	landlines left.
12	I want us to just be proactive and not
13	reactive. I am trying to convince the legislators
14	to be open minded. We'll see how we can prepare
15	for that time when landlines have disappeared or
16	depleted.
17	Customers do rely on landlines, but they are
18	being depleted. So now we are providing services
19	for relay and equipment, and we will continue to do
20	that until the very last person has one more
21	landline left in Florida.
22	Issue 3 and 4, I have no comments on.
23	I did want to mention about the legislators.
24	I think it's important that you be aware of my
25	efforts to contact legislators. I have talked

contacted legislators and staff, one Senate committee person on Regulated Industries, and a House committee person.

Forgive me, I did forget the name of the committee. I have contacted four different people as of January. I put that on hold because of the legislative session. I was finally able to get in contact with someone last month, and unfortunately, they did not contact me back formally. But I was able to meet with a staff -- a Senate committee person on Regulated Industries twice, and it was an awesome discussion. It was great.

So they did say that we needed a legislator to support the bill. So unfortunately, I have not gotten the type of positive vibes or response that I was looking for, so I think it's critical that we are able to change the law, so that's what I discussed with them.

I have experienced some frustrations of moving ahead on this. I am going to continue to work on it and see if even next year we can have some changes in the law. And as you know, the law has many ambiguities. It's a lot of old information.

And one thing I would like to know that you will support me in discussing these topics with the

2.

1	Legislature within the next year to two years? And
2	also would you defend our budget and secure our
3	savings budget? Because I know remember, we
4	talked, Curtis, about the FCC, that at some point
5	they may ask Florida to contribute money towards
6	the national internet. And he is saying, I mean
7	interstate captioning services. We don't know when
8	that's going to happen from the FCC, but we want
9	those fundings to be secured.
10	There is a couple other points about TASA that
11	I could bring up maybe at another time.
12	Denay, did you want to add anything from a
13	legal perspective?
14	CHAIRMAN FAY: Ms. Brown, go ahead.
15	MS. BROWN: Thank you. Good morning.
16	So Cecil is obviously a great advocate for
17	FTRI, and he can provide a lot of personal
18	experience being a member of the community.
19	So I think we can all agree, as Cecil
20	mentioned, that it would benefit everyone for the
21	statutes to be updated, and he is obviously putting
22	a lot of effort into that front. But I did just
23	want to point out that there is authority under the
24	existing statutes, specifically with regards to the
25	legislative intent, that do support that modern

1	equipment can be distributed. There is language in
2	there that states that they want state-of-the
3	state-of-the-art technology distributed. They want
4	the incorporation of new developments in
5	technology, and that the characteristics and
6	standards regarding distribution be updated.
7	So while we obviously agree there needs to be
8	some movement, we do think there is the possibility
9	under the current statutes for the iPad program to
10	be approved.
11	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great.
12	Commissioners, before I have got some
13	questions and comments before we get into that.
14	Mr. Williams, did you have anything you and
15	your team wanted to ad before we go into any of
16	these issues any deeper?
17	MR. WILLIAMS: Not at this time, Commissioner.
18	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great.
19	So I just want to start by thanking you, Mr.
20	Bradley. I knew when you took this job you were
21	the right person for it, and there is no doubt
22	about that from the work that you have done to try
23	to get us in a better posture. I am not sure if
24	you might be the only person that is probably more
25	frustrated than I am that we are limited in what
i e	

can be provided under the statute. And there is
some language as to the intent, as Ms. Brown

provided, but to a large extent, that language

itself that speaks to the basic telecommunications

services is very limiting, and many states have

changed it to be able to -- to adapt accordingly,

and I think that might be where we are going.

Before I go to that point, I just want to say that I am greatly appreciative of the work that you have been able to do within the purview legally that you have, in particular providing these individuals who might need these services with access to a live chat, a communication that they can get directly on your website now that wasn't available before; being more mindful about the current funds that you are sitting on, and how to increase potentially the interest or return off of those funds to make your budget more financially stable; knowing that there is a lot of uncertainty about what we might have to contribute to the FCC in the future. And what that looks like, none of us really know at this point.

But I do think, when it comes down to accepting some -- a program like this, I think that the statutes are very limiting. And without some

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

additional creativity, I think that limitation, from the way I read the statute, does prohibit the ability to distribute under the program as provided here in the structure.

I did want to ask you -- you said no voca, and I know you know a lot about that from your previous role, and so if that's not a funding mechanism that's available, or something through another agency that's available, but private funding, or private donations for devices, you are a statutory created nonprofit, is there any prohibition for entities that were to provide you, for example, old devices, or maybe even new devices to provide that service?

MR. BRADLEY: Thank you, Commissioner Fay.

It's a very delicate thing, and the reason is the old equipment we have has had to be discarded. If it's over five to 10 years old, it's not even worth distributing. Once it's old and broken, it can't necessarily be refurbished, but the manufacturers sometimes don't even make those type of phones anymore. I know two companies have stopped manufacturing some of the phones.

We have been fortunate to refurbish as many as we can. But I do want to let you know that

2.

1 eventually, we are going to be running out of 2. regular telephones. 3 So back to your point of private funding. 4 can continue to explore that. It is burdensome. Т 5 know that I have been contacting DEO, Office of Broadband extensively, and we were not sure, but 6 7 they would be the perfect avenue. We could use 8 that as a separate project, their particular 9 funding, and it would not affect any of our other 10 funding. 11 So I don't know if you remember. A long time 12 ago, we had the capability to connect with --13 through some deaf/blind funding that Helen Keller 14 National Center had. It would be the same concept 15 with DEO for the iPad, but that's not a quarantee 16 that we will be able to do that. So we are 17 continuing to investigate that issue. The problem is that we are talking about, 18 19 like, the budget said about \$200,000 to start this 20 I am not sure about donations. project. I would 21 have to see if there would be a very large sponsor 22 for that, but I am willing to continue to explore 23 it. 24 CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. And then let me just 25 ask you, is it still your opinion, from all the

1 research you have done and everyone you have talked 2. to, is the smart device road, either a tablet or 3 some sort of smart device, do you -- I know you are 4 presenting it as a pilot here, but do you see that 5 as being sort of where the future is going? Ι mean, is it the best option for individuals that 6 7 need these services to fulfill those, or do you 8 think there is maybe other avenues that are not included in this? 9 10 You know, the trend is towards MR. BRADLEY: 11 smart phones, obviously, and tablets. I think 12 that's very clear, and there is no question about 13 The internet is the future, our smart that. 14 And landlines are obsolete -- becoming device. 15 obsolete, and VOIP, V-O-I-P, there is issues with 16 connecting with TTYs, there is a lot of problems 17 with that, so we believe that the internet is the 18 future. 19 And you are aware that the FCC has ordered, I 20 think it was back in 2016 or 20 -- and 2021, to --21 and ordered us to start researching off-the-shelf 22 devices. The FCC wants us to use this type of 23 smart phone to provide accessibility for

24

25

communication. And it makes sense, because

currently, specialized amplified phones, or TTYs,

are no longer really being -- are a part of the business anymore.

And there was one from Clarity that was very popular, but a lot of companies have shut down.

Some of them don't use iPads. But it's a lot easier and cheaper to create accessibility with a smart phone, and you can put 20 or more apps that refer directly to communication — telecommunications, and it's a much easier alternative choice. But, I mean, nothing else at this point really uses the internet, and that is the future.

So I wanted to prepare people and let everybody know it's not fair for our population to be left in the cold when landlines are obsolete, or phone companies say, bye-bye, we are not going to serve you anymore. I mean, they could cut off using standard phones. So when that time comes, what is going to happen to this population? They are going to be completely stuck.

So that's why I am being proactive in preparing. I know that there are limitations with the iPad funding, we feel like we can qualify to receive that equipment. And I am not talking necessarily about amplified phones. I mean, we

1	give those out for free. There is no financial
2	qualification. IPad would have a financial
3	qualification. But down the road, if we don't have
4	any issues, we are going to have to have the
5	internet and we are going to have to use these
6	devices more in a loaner program.

CHAIRMAN FAY: And just one last follow-up, and then if my colleagues have any questions, I want to make sure I give them the opportunity.

If -- Mr. Bradley, if the Legislature looked at the current structure and determined that it's not sufficient as to what devices can be provided, and I think you have some pretty substantive research and support for that, I think then the question becomes how the statute is structured to allow for that.

And what I would -- what I would ask you is if

-- if there was no discussion about change in

revenue, because we know currently there is a

significant amount of funds that are sitting there

available that, to your point, can't be used

because of the statutory restriction. If we were

to leave everything else in place, we know the

landlines are reducing, we know that's reducing

revenues annually, but if we don't touch that

revenue side, if we look at the expense side and allow for you to move forward with purchasing of smarter devices, which is a very narrow change in the statute, would that give you the ability to at least get started and do what you are presenting would be better for the individuals that need these services?

MR. BRADLEY: Actually, I do believe that we can give people options. You know, that people today, they have smart phone, and they use it to wake up in the morning, to watch the news, to check the weather, alarms for things, I mean everything. So could this population that we are talking about have limited access? Yeah. And they need communication access to go to work, to call their boss, I am running late, or if they are terminated, or if it's an emergency, 911, and relay service. But down the road, there could be some challenges.

I know that there is a lot of features that can't be beat, and I'm hoping that the Legislature will understand that, again, from what I understand, there is a very -- it can be a very broad definition on the equipment wireless. Does it have to be specific? I am trying to avoid very, very specific language. I am trying to make sure

2.

1	it's broad, because I do think the law has that
2	flaw, that it's too specific. For example, TDDs,
3	why didn't it say text phones rather than TDD?
4	That's a very, very narrow and very specific to the
5	law.
6	So we've got to be very clear with the
7	Legislature, that accessibility is not necessarily
8	something that we can just use, but it's necessary
9	for daily life.
10	For example, we have options. We can restrict
11	the apps on the iPad, for example. We can limit it
12	to only communication, telecommunications, weather,
13	an alarm, an emergency situation, and no
14	entertainment at all. Nothing else. We can do
15	that. The company told me they can do that for us.
16	But again, we want the people to be aware
17	of that there are options, and some can go from
18	landline to internet capability. But again, I
19	mean, there is new things coming up and coming out
20	in the future, and I feel like we are denying these
21	current devices, because we know that current
22	devices are going to disappear.
23	CHAIRMAN FAY: Commissioners, I wanted to make
24	sure, if you had any questions or comments,
25	Commissioner Clark, and Commissioner Passidomo, I
1	

1	will go to you next.
2	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3	Thank you, Mr. Bradley. I appreciate you
4	being here today. I just have a couple
5	observations.
6	You are in an unenviable position. I
7	certainly understand that. And trying to
8	understand and I guess I kind of sympathize with
9	the plight that you are in. It's like hitting a
10	moving target. Every time you get to a certain
11	point, it seems like something is going to change,
12	something is going to happen. And it doesn't
13	matter what you do right now, we don't know what's
14	going to happen in the future from a technology
15	perspective.
16	So I am certainly an advocate for seeing you
17	get the funding that you need. I have got some
18	questions, though, about the specifically about
19	the iPad pilot programs.
20	You know, there are a lot of a lot of other
21	funding sources that actually will fund the
22	equipment. Lifeline, I think, would certainly be
23	an application where a lot of individuals would be
24	eligible to receive funding for certain kinds of
25	equipment, not to mention SSI, or other things like

1	that that would help supplement those.
2	My understanding is, is the technology
3	compatibility, it's I realize that equipment is
4	an important part of it, but how do we bridge and
5	interface a technology component that allows, you
6	know, whatever device that you have to be able to
7	just call up my business and say, hey, I need this,
8	and be able to have that direct link of
9	communication?
10	Is it not more critical that we establish this
11	interim, or this communication channel prior to
12	figuring out what is the best type of equipment?
13	And on the second the back part of that, I
14	am really just kind of curious what the market is
15	what the market need is for the specific
16	equipment. The clientele that you serve, what is
17	the demand? Are there, you know, 60 percent of the
18	hearing impaired population does not have access to
19	a tablet or a smart phone? Do we have any kind of
20	numbers that would give us any clue as to what the
21	demand is?
22	We are talking about a pilot program that
23	would put 200 devices out there. You know, if
24	there is five million people needing one, that's
25	kind of a drop in the bucket, maybe it's not worth

going that route. Maybe we need to look at other options.

And I will give you a chance to respond to that, but I would conclude, I certainly would advocate us being -- supporting the pilot program, but I have the same concerns that the Chair has expressed, and the staff has been pretty thorough in their examination of the statute. I think we really, really need some help from a legislative perspective to get some things changed to give you, and us as well, the flexibility we need to give you some additional resources. I would wholeheartedly support any effort on my part of this commission could do to make sure you get that support.

MR. BRADLEY: Thank you, Commissioner Clark.

I will respond to the comments.

The specific equipment is based on popularity. We know that two of the devices, iPads and Androids, are typically the most ordered all over the country, is the most accessible.

And there is a company, Teltext, and they handle this type of equipment. They have a contract with Apple and other companies, and they work with 25 states. And there is no other company that does this business. They are the only ones.

And there is two devices that we are -- those are the two devices we are looking at. But to be very clear, I know that we have to be very careful with the phrasing in the law, and say wireless tablet or smart phone. We've got to be general.

And also in reference to the numbers, you are correct. We've got to start somewhere. So we thought 200 is a small number, but I wanted 400, thought maybe that was too much, so I thought we got to start somewhere. We really do not know how many people would qualify. And it would be based on the 200 percent federal poverty level.

I think there is \$29,000 that most people would follow of that federal poverty level of \$29,600. So this Lifeline, those requirements would be focused on people who receive SSI, or some type of welfare components. So those are two different avenues, and we do not know how many people would qualify for those avenues.

But the reason I brought that up was in reference to broadband, it's going to be put everywhere, all over the state. And I am sure people would love to have accessibility once broadband has been established in their community.

My understanding is broadband was designed to

2.

assure that a larger rural population that has lack
of internet could have accessibility to the
internet. Possibly there will be better access in
the future.

So in reference to the numbers, there is estimates, it's why we called it a pilot program, trial program, to see if there really was a need for it. We can drop it in a year if there is not a need. But it was more of a testing the community reaction. And if we get five people that request it, that would be an awesome start.

We also have a unique community of people in Florida. Sometimes they don't always tell you until they -- right at the last minute when they need it. So, you know, the saying, so you can't predict until the need is really there, then you know.

So for example, we have three million people with hearing loss in Florida. If I had -- we've had 571,000 devices, I think we've served 333 devices for this one population. So I know that everything will depend on -- we would have to do outreach in the community, and we would see how many people really want it. And that would be a challenge we would face.

1	CHAIRMAN FAY: Are you good?
2	Commissioner Passidomo, you are recognized.
3	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Thank you, Mr.
4	Chairman.
5	And thank you, Mr. Bradley for being here. I
6	am not going to belabor too much into the pilot
7	project, because I think we've discussed it pretty
8	thoroughly. And I it's frustrating, because I
9	agree with staff's analysis, that I think the
10	statute, in its current form, does not support
11	deployment of this type of equipment. But clearly,
12	the current model does not adequately serve FTRI's
13	clients' needs. And so that's really what we
14	should be looking at the forefront here.
15	So with that being said, I really I just
16	want to implore FTRI to continue to aggressively
17	seek legislative changes to modernize the TASA
18	statute, as well as looking at funding
19	opportunities, you know, from organizations within
20	the broader disability community. I think there is
21	more opportunities there for overlap and
22	collaboration that could be really helpful.
23	The only other part I actually wanted to
24	move into some line items from the actual
25	expenditure request in this budget. Specifically

1 one I had some questions about were those proposed 2. legal expenses. It seems like last year, the 3 Commission approved budget included a little over 4 \$30,000 for legal expenses, yet only about 28,755 5 was actually expended. Now there is an increase for this year's proposed budget 37,790. 6 And so I 7 just am curious about why there is such a large 8 increase in these anticipated legal expenses. 9 a follow-up being, you know, do we think that you 10 all can accomplish what you need to at last year's Commission approved budget? 11

MR. BRADLEY: Thank you, Commissioner.

If you recall, years back, we had a flat fee, and we paid just a block of funding no matter how many hours of service we received. So we've converted to an hourly rate. At this point, we felt that was more appropriate. And basically hours vary depending on the need of the circumstances involved.

So I am the Executive Director that started two years ago. And we have been dealing with RDC contracts. We've had to deal with vendors, and I handled that part. But with the RDCs, sometimes we also have employment issues replacing staff, and the interpretation of different employment

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	contracts or office, legal, lease leases. So I
2	do rely on the legal department for help us
3	understand these contracts in an appropriate
4	manner.
5	So the hours did increase, if you but if
6	you go back to last year, I am not sure if it was
7	very restrictive. It's just the house vary. So,
8	for example, we have we had 20 RDCs two years
9	ago. Last year, we had 18. Now we have 15 RDCs.
10	Some of the RDCs were not doing things correctly.
11	We had to kind of pursue them, look at their
12	contracts. Basically the need varies every year.
13	I feel that we have justified our numbers with
14	the legal and the council that they give us, and we
15	pay them by the hour.
16	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Well, I appreciate
17	the hearing you know, how hearing how the fees
18	are broken down. That was a question I had for
19	staff, and I know that they had asked you whether
20	it was a flat fee or hourly, and I think it's
21	hourly is a much more manageable way of being able
22	to oversee legal expenses. It just was just a
23	concern for me just that jump.
24	I mean, like I said, what we are trying you
25	know, what your mandate is and what we want to, as
İ	

1	a commission, try to advocate for is really, like,
2	how best can we serve your clients' needs how
3	best can you serve your clients' needs? And we
4	want to major sure the budget appropriately
5	reflects that.
6	So if I don't want to change too much. I
7	know that your board approved this budget, and so I
8	am not going to I am not going to try to seek
9	the Commission to alter anything, especially for
10	one line item, but I just want to make sure that,
11	you know, we are over you know we are overseeing
12	every element of this, so at the end of the day,
13	those Floridians who are deaf and hard of hearing
14	get the best services that they can, again, within
15	the confines of this limited statute. So hopefully
16	in the future they will have more opportunities
17	when that legislative change can happen.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Thank you, Commissioner
20	Passidomo.
21	Commissioner La Rosa, you are recognized.
22	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Thank you, Chairman.
23	And I really I don't know where to start, but
24	maybe I will start with this, is I certainly agree
25	with my colleagues, and especially in the questions
i	

that they've had today. I had a good discussion
yesterday with staff on this, and, you know, I

don't think there is any doubt that a new

technology, whether it be in the form of a pilot

program or some sort, will benefit the customers

that ultimately need this equipment to really have
a typical or acceptable way of life.

So with that said, there is great discussion that's happening here now, but I don't think we should just walk away today without actually doing something, and this is -- this is kind of the point that I am getting to.

So going back and looking at the statute, it simply says, the Public Service Commission shall establish, implement, promote and oversee the administrative of the statewide Telecommunication Access System to provide access to telecommunication relay service by persons who are deaf, hard of hearing, speech impaired. So specific words, shall establish, implement and promote.

I think that we have a role in helping this move forward, and not necessarily just focusing on what we think our purview, is. And I agree with staff in what they are saying of what our purview

1	is. But I think it's also to assist in talking to
2	other state agencies, or at least putting in
3	communications from our agency to I mean, these
4	folks have already spoken to the Department of
5	Education. It sounds like they've also spoken to
6	DEO and the Office of Broadband, establishing what
7	the relay system does, what our history here at the
8	PSC is, and give them, I guess, I am going to say
9	the backup and information necessary so that maybe
10	they can dig a little bit deeper, right? So that's
11	one. So I am going to say interaction with other
12	state agencies.
13	But the second note that I wrote down here and
14	I just simply wrote legislative awareness. So the
15	Legislature meets on all topics across the board.
16	There is almost unlimited amount of items that are
17	put in front of them. They meet for 60 days. Of
18	course they've got committee weeks. They have one
19	job, and that is to pass the budget.
20	It's very simple for an item like this, which
21	I believe most legislators absolutely would care
22	for, and all probably have constituents within
23	their districts that they would want to help, maybe
24	falls through the cracks, right?
25	So I would like to ask and I don't know how

1	really to even do this is can we, as the Public
2	Service Commission, put out something to the
3	appropriate legislative committees, both in the
4	House and Senate, and, one, provide some
5	background, which a lot of us frankly here before
6	us today, and give an explanation of what has been
7	asked of us today and what our interpretation, so
8	that if they wanted to go ahead and act and
9	clarify, or maybe redirect us, there can be some
10	action taken. Because what I would like to avoid
11	is a meeting next year, where we are all we all
12	want to help, and maybe we just haven't necessarily
13	taken the right step forward.
14	And although that's not a lot of substance. I
15	think that is a direction that maybe can help these
16	folks, and maybe either, one, find some funding in
17	the meantime, or two, maybe some back with some
18	different authority that we may have moving
19	forward.
20	I don't know exactly how to frame that,
21	Chairman.
22	CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah.
23	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: I am trying to off the
24	cuff, speaking off the top of my head, but those
25	are my thoughts and comments.
i .	

CHAIRMAN FAY: I think it's a great question, right, it's just our structure, in general, begs the question of what the Legislature, that role, and how we are intertwined.

I think, from a staff perspective, we do have what Mr. Bradley has mentioned as far as the data of the reduction of landlines, the reduction of revenue that's coming in. Broadband expansion is obviously a huge topic from DEO and statewide. I think the Governor put out some information yesterday about the expansion in certain counties of broadband. That access is improving.

Within our resources, we should be able to help provide some guidance, I think, to FTRI; which, by design, is sort of how they are created in the statute, and as an agency, what we have done historically. But I really the -- and I am really thankful to my colleagues here who made the comments that they made today.

I think historically, some of what's occurred is we are very -- this is a very unique item. We actually review this entity's budget and give approval based on what's put before us. There is no other petition or item that comes before us that's anywhere close to this. It's very unique.

2.

1	And so our role as those who oversee the budget,
2	like Commissioner Passidomo said, is the money
3	being used to get these individuals the best
4	services, or is it going towards other things?
5	And since that limitation is really what I
6	think is apparent in this, we should be able to use
7	our resources to help Mr. Bradley, and that team,
8	and TASA, to come up with what they need as for
9	them to advocate for what they believe is the best
10	change and what would be most appropriate. And I
11	don't even think it's all that complicated as far
12	as what's being advocated. I think there could be
13	other ideas, but in general, the section that
14	changes that landline component, or that
15	telecommunication component, would allow some
16	leeway for at least Mr. Bradley to get started.
17	I know, Mr. Bradley, it might not be perfect.
18	It might not do everything that you would be
19	interested in doing, but I know you won't give up
20	on that either, and so I think we need to make sure
21	that where we can make strides, we do so, and so
22	and I will let you respond, Mr. Bradley.
23	But, Mr. Williams, I know, from a docket
24	perspective, and budget, Commissioners would be
25	somewhat limited in our role with the entity

ı		
	1	itself. But from a staff perspective, do are we
	2	able do we have the resources to at least help
	3	with some legal guidance? Because if we don't,
	4	then we, as Commissioner Passidomo pointed out, we
	5	need to look at the legal budget for this. If they
	6	need if they need Ms. Brown to help them draft
	7	language to be able to go to the Legislature to do
	8	what they need, we shouldn't leave here today
	9	without at least giving some of that consideration,
	10	so and it might be a question for Mr. Fogelman
	11	man too. But is there do we have the ability to
	12	support them going forward in a way that would
	13	allow them to advocate what they need to?
	14	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, Chairman Fay, we do work
	15	with FTRI, just in a broad sense, working with
	16	other agencies and other programs. And with your
	17	directive, staff, you know, we are capable of doing
	18	more, you know, working with the Office of
	19	Broadband and researching different opportunities
	20	for them.
	21	Specifically, in regards to the statute.
	22	Staff has looked at, you know, some suggested
	23	changes to the statutes. Mr. Fogelman has done a
	24	lot of work on that, so I will let him go into the
	25	specifics. But we also would cite to a section in
- 1		

1	the statute that kind of directs the Commission be
2	prepared to bring suggestions before them, so in
3	terms of how the program can be improved.
4	CHAIRMAN FAY: Commissioner La Rosa, is that
5	and I will let you respond, Mr. Fogelman, but
6	then I also want to give Mr. Bradley a chance. Is
7	that sort of what you were envisioning?
8	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Yeah. That's
9	certainly, you know, a step in that direction, is
10	being able to put those resources together and make
11	them known to those that have the ability to make
12	changes.
13	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great.
14	And, Mr. Fogelman, anything you want to add
15	before Mr. Bradley?
16	MR. FOGLEMAN: Sure. Just the point that this
17	what Curtis was talking about was essentially
18	the annual report, the annual relay report. Part
19	of the requirements for that annual report that we
20	publish related to the Relay Program we are
21	supposed to provide it to the Legislature, asks for
22	proposals for improvements or changes to the
23	Telecommunications Access System.
24	So the Legislature is specifically asking for
25	that. And I believe in last year's report, we had

1	also indicated that, you know, that it was time.
2	That, you know, the law passed in '91 is kind of
3	long in the tooth, and some reforms could should
4	be made.
5	So we didn't provide specificity. So, you
6	know, to the extent that maybe you would want to
7	have this relay report brought to Internal Affairs
8	before it's published to kind of take a look at
9	what information is provided, and additional
10	details, then, you know, we would be happy to do
11	that.
12	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. And based on the
13	funding, if we just adjusted sort of the available
14	devices, that would arguably be sufficient to at
15	least get them started, correct? Because I know
16	there is a discussion about the revenue, and how
17	all that works, but just putting that aside
18	MR. FOGLEMAN: There is right. I mean, I
19	have been working on a number of proposed changes,
20	but I think last year, I had tweaked a couple of
21	sections that would just do that, that would
22	provide, I think, some that would address the
23	issues that we've identified in the in our
24	recommendation.
25	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great.

1	And, Mr. Bradley, I want to give you an
2	opportunity, if you want to add anything before
3	Commissioner La Rosa
4	MR. BRADLEY: I mean, you you have hit the
5	jackpot basically. I was going to mention that the
6	TASA law is very clear in paragraph 9, 427.704, the
7	last part of the paragraph states: And propos
8	improvements and changes to Telecommunication
9	Access System.
10	So I have looked in the past five year of the
11	annual report, the last five years, and nothing has
12	been mentioned about this at all. And even when
13	we've discussed with the Advisory Committee, with
14	TASA, they have expressed for 15 years plus things
15	that maybe we could change, but I haven't seen it
16	mentioned. So the report talks about the Advisory
17	Committee will prepare a report, and put in
18	appropriate recommendations.
19	So I am sad to say it's not been mentioned. I
20	think it would be awesome if we could add it for
21	next year. I would really appreciate it.
22	CHAIRMAN FAY: Thank you, Mr. Bradley.
23	Commissioner La Rosa, go ahead.
24	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Thank you, Chairman.
25	Just I don't have it in front of me. When

1	is when does the report get drafted and when is
2	it due to the Legislature?
3	MR. WILLIAMS: The report is drafted in the
4	fall, early in the fall. We will get started on
5	that in about a month. And the Legislature directs
6	the Commission to provide the report annually.
7	There is not a specific date. So we will we
8	will have time to develop it and bring it to you
9	before the end of the year, December 31st.
10	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Excellent. And thank
11	you for that.
12	So, Chairman, I think that's a step in the
13	right direction. Legislative meetings start a
14	little earlier than maybe they do in other years
15	this year, this year coming, so that would give us
16	time to talk about it again in an IA, and then, of
17	course, you know, direct it as we see necessary.
18	But I think I think that's a good start to
19	moving the ball in the right direction. I think we
20	can have some more detail, and I think we are all
21	saying something similar, that, you know, the time
22	has come for there to be some type of change.
23	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Thank you, Commissioner
24	La Rosa.
25	Commissioners, any other comments or questions

before we move forward on the item?

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I just -- I want to thank Mr. Bradley, our staff and my colleagues. As many of you know, this has been an issue and a priority of mine since I have been at the Commission, and I think, as a community, Mr. Bradley represents the struggle really well.

This program has been around for a while. And based on the statutory limitations, we are not giving individuals the resources they need to be able to communicate, to work and engage with society, and so we have to make a change here. And I think that's something that we can do within our purview. And based on Commissioner La Rosa's, I think quidance, I think we are really able to move forward with some directive to staff, get you what you need, Mr. Bradley, both technically and legally to move forward. And then I think knowing you very well, you are a great advocate, and so you will inform and educate others the situation that the program is in and see what we can do to improve it.

So I know it's not something that will be fixed overnight, but I appreciate your patience.

And I don't think legally I can make you promise you won't leave this job until we get this

1	resolved, but I do really want to thank you for
2	your commitment to this, because I know you came
3	in, and there were a lot of moving parts, and it
4	was not an easy it was not easy to follow the
5	person before you that maybe didn't set you up for
6	success necessarily. And I appreciate that,
7	because I think you have worked really hard on
8	this.
9	And so, Commissioners, if we took a motion on
10	staff's recommendation, including Commissioner La
11	Rosa's guidance to have our staff move forward with
12	that annual report, with some legal guidance as to
13	the statute, Commissioner La Rosa, is that that
14	makes it and I will allow you to put the motion
15	forward, but I just I want to make sure that
16	that would be inclusive of what you were thinking.
17	COMMISSIONER LA ROSA: Yes, it does. So I
18	will motion to approve staff's recommendation with
19	the comments you just made.
20	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great.
21	And do we have a second?
22	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. We have a motion and a
24	second.
25	All that approve staff's recommendation,

```
including the direction for staff in the relay
1
2
          ports say aye.
 3
                (Chorus of ayes.)
 4
               CHAIRMAN FAY:
                                Showing that item passed
5
          unanimously.
 6
               Thank you again, Mr. Bradley and Ms. Brown,
7
          for being here. We look forward to continuing to
8
          work with you.
 9
                (Agenda item concluded.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF LEON)
3	COUNTY OF ELON ,
4	
5	I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby
6	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
7	time and place herein stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
9	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
10	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
11	and that this transcript constitutes a true
12	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
15	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	DATED this 21st day of July, 2023.
19	
20	
21	
22	$\Omega \cup A \cup A \cup A$
23	Lebbre & Frice
24	DEBRA R. KRICK NOTARY PUBLIC
25	COMMISSION #HH31926 EXPIRES AUGUST 13, 2024