BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor

DOCKET NO.: 20230001-EI FILED: October 6, 2023

PREHEARING STATEMENT OF NUCOR STEEL FLORIDA, INC.

Pursuant to the Florida Public Service Commission's *Order Establishing Procedure*, Order No. PSC-2023-0066-PCO-EI, issued February 3, 2023, Nucor Steel Florida, Inc. ("Nucor") hereby files its Prehearing Statement in this case.

A. APPEARANCES

Peter J. Mattheis Michael K. Lavanga Joseph R. Briscar Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007 (202) 342-0800 (202) 342-0807 (fax)

Email: pjm@smxblaw.com mkl@smxblaw.com jrb@smxblaw.com

B. WITNESSES

Nucor does not plan to call any witnesses at this time.

C. EXHIBITS

Nucor does not plan to offer any exhibits at this time.

D. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

Nucor's basic position is that Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF") bears the burden of proof

to justify the costs it seeks to recover through the fuel clause and any other relief DEF requests

in this proceeding.

E. STATEMENT ON SPECIFIC ISSUES

> I. **FUEL ISSUES**

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

ISSUE 1A: Should the Commission approve DEF's 2024 Risk Management Plan?

Nucor: Agree with OPC.

ISSUE 1B: What is the appropriate subscription bill credit associated with DEF's Clean Energy Connection Program, approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0059-S-EI, to be included for recovery in

2024?

Nucor: Agree with OPC.

ISSUE 1C: What is the impact on this docket, if a decision is issued in Case SC22-94 before January

1, 2024?

Nucor: Agree with OPC.

ISSUE 1D: If the decision in Case SC22-94 requires the return of replacement power costs to

customers, what interest amount should be applied?

Nucor: Agree with OPC.

ISSUE 1E: What is the appropriate Clean Energy Impact (CEI) credit, approved by Order No. PSC-

2023-0191-TRF-EI, to be included in the fuel clause in 2024?

• Nucor: Agree with OPC.

2

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 2A: What was the total gain under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446-AS-EI that FPL may recover for the period January 2022 through December 2022, and how should that gain to be shared between FPL and customers?

• Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 2B: What is the appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for Personnel, Software, and Hardware costs for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

• Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 2C: What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Attributable to Off-System Sales under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

• Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 2D: What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Avoided due to Economy Purchases under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

• Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 2E: What is the appropriate subscription credit associated with FPL's SolarTogether Program approved by Order No. PSC-2020-0084-S-EI, to be included for recovery in 2024?

• **Nucor:** No position.

ISSUE 2F: Should the Commission approve FPL's 2024 Risk Management Plan?

• Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 2G: Are the 2024 SoBRA units (12 total) proposed by FPL cost effective?

• Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 2H: What are the revenue requirements associated with the 2024 SoBRA Project?

Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 2I: What is the appropriate base rate percentage increase for the 2024 SoBRA Project to be effective when all 2024 units are in service, currently projected to be January 31, 2024?

• Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 2J: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs for FPL reflecting the base rate percentage increases for the 2024 SoBRA Project determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?

• Nucor: No position.

Florida Public Utilities Company

ISSUE 3A: Should the Commission modify the previously ordered (Order No. PSC-2023-0026-FOF-EI) recovery schedule for FPUC's under-recovery of 2022 fuel costs from three years to two years?.

• Nucor: No position.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 4A: What was the total gain under TECO's Optimization Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2017-0456-S-EI that TECO may recover for the period January 2022 through December 2022, and how should that gain to be shared between TECO and customers?

Nucor: No position.

ISSUE 4B: Should the Commission approve TECO's 2024 Risk Management Plan?

• Nucor: No position.

GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

ISSUE 5: What are the appropriate actual benchmark levels for calendar year 2023 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

• **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 6: What are the appropriate estimated benchmark levels for calendar year 2024 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

Nucor: Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 7: What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

• **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 8: What are the appropriate fuel adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

• **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 9: What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January 2024 through December 2024?

• **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 10: What are the appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost recovery amounts for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

• **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

COMPANY-SPECIFIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

No company-specific GPIF issues for Duke Energy Florida, Inc. have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 11A, 11B, 11C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Florida Power & Light Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Florida Power and Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 12A, 12B, 12C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 13A, 13B, 13C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC GPIF ISSUES

ISSUE 14: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period January 2022 through December 2022 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

Nucor: Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 15: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January 2024 through December 2024 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

• **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

FUEL FACTOR CALCULATION ISSUES

ISSUE 16: What are the appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery and Generating Performance Incentive amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

Nucor: Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 17: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each investorowned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period January 2024 through December 2024?

• **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 18: What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

• **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 19: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class?

• **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 20: What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

• **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

II. CAPACITY ISSUES

COMPANY-SPECIFIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

ISSUE 21A: What is the appropriate amount of costs for the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) that DEF should be allowed to recover through the capacity cost recovery clause pursuant to DEF's 2017 Settlement for 2024?

Nucor: Agree with OPC.

ISSUE 21B: What adjustment amount should the Commission approve to be refunded through the capacity clause associated with the Solar Base Rate Adjustment true-up for Plant Sandy Creek?

• Nucor: Agree with OPC.

ISSUE 21C: What adjustment amount should the Commission approve to be refunded through the capacity clause associated with the Solar Base Rate Adjustment true-up for Plant Santa Fe?

Nucor: Agree with OPC.

ISSUE 21D: What adjustment amount should the Commission approve to be refunded through the capacity clause associated with the Solar Base Rate Adjustment true-up for Plant Twin Rivers?

• Nucor: Agree with OPC.

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 22AD: Should the Commission approve a \$7.92 million refund related to the incremental impact of the Inflation Reduction Act for years 2022 and 2023 due to the application of the Tax Provision contained in FPL'S current Rate Settlement Agreement?

• **Nucor:** Agree with OPC.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they will be numbered 23A, 23B, 23C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 24: What are the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

• **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 25: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

• **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 26: What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected/refunded during the period January 2024 through December 2024?

• **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 27: What are the appropriate projected total capacity cost recovery amounts for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

• **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 28: What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

• Nucor: Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 29: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues and costs to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2024 through December 2024.

• Nucor: Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 30: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

• Nucor: Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

III. EFFECTIVE DATE

ISSUE 31: What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

• **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 32: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?

• **Nucor:** Regarding DEF, agree with OPC. For all other utilities, Nucor takes no position.

ISSUE 33: Should this docket be closed?

• **Nucor:** No position.

F. PENDING MOTIONS

None.

G. PENDING REQUESTS OR CLAIMS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

None.

H. OBJECTIONS TO QUALIFICATIONS OF WITNESS AS EXPERT

None at this time.

I. REQUIREMENTS OF ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE

There are no requirements of the Procedural Orders with which Nucor cannot comply.

Respectfully submitted,

STONE MATTHEIS XENOPOULOS & BREW, PC

/s/ Michael K. Lavanga

Peter J. Mattheis Michael K. Lavanga Joseph R. Briscar 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007 (202) 342-0800 (202) 342-0807 (fax)

E-mail: pjm@smxblaw.com mkl@smxblaw.com jrb@smxblaw.com

Attorneys for Nucor Steel Florida, Inc.

Dated: October 6, 2023

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Prehearing Statement of Nucor Steel Florida, Inc. has been furnished by electronic mail this 6th of October 2023, to the following:

Duke Energy

Matthew R. Bernier
Stephanie A. Cuello
Robert L. Pickels
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Matt.Bernier@Duke-Energy.com
Stephanie.Cuello@Duke-Energy.com
Robert.Pickels@duke-energy.com
FLRegulatoryLegal@duke-energy.com

Duke Energy

Dianne M. Triplett 229 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33701 Dianne.Triplett@Duke-Energy.com

Tampa Electric Company

J. Jeffry Wahlen
Malcom N. Means
Virginia Ponder
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302
jwahlen@ausley.com
mmeans@ausley.com
vponder@ausley.com

Tampa Electric Company

Paula K. Brown Regulatory Affairs P.O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111 regdept@tecoenergy.com

Florida Power & Light Company

Maria Jose Moncada
David Lee
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420
maria.moncada@fpl.com
david.lee@fpl.com

Florida Power & Light Company

Kenneth A. Hoffman 134 W. Jefferson Street Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859 ken.hoffman@fpl.com

Florida Public Utilities Company

Michelle D. Napier 1635 Meathe Drive West Palm Beach, FL 33411 mnapier@fpuc.com

Florida Public Utilities Company

Beth Keating Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301 bkeating@gunster.com

Florida Public Utilities Company

Mike Cassel 208 Wildlight Ave. Yulee, FL 32097 mcassel@fpuc.com

Office of Public Counsel

Walt Trirweiler
Mary A. Wessling
Charles J. Rehwinkel
Patricia A. Christensen
The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison St., Rm 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399
Trierweiler.walt@leg.state.fl.us
wessling.mary@leg.state.fl.us
christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us

Florida Industrial Power Users Group

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Moyle Law Firm
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
jmoyle@moylelaw.com
mqualls@moylelaw.com

Florida Retail Federation

Robert Scheffel Wright John T. LaVia III 1300 Thomaswood Drive Tallahassee, FL 32308 schef@gbwlegal.com jlavia@gbwlegal.com

Southern Alliance for Clean Energy

William C. Garner, Esq.
Law Office of William C. Garner, PLLC
3425 Bannerman Road
Unit 105, No. 414
Tallahassee, FL 32312
bgarner@wcglawoffice.com

PCS Phosphate - White Springs

James W. Brew
Laura Wynn Baker
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC
1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW
Suite 800 West
Washington, DC 20007
jbrew@smxblaw.com
lwb@smxblaw.com

Florida Public Service Commission

Suzanne Brownless Ryan Sandy Office of General Counsel 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us rsandy@psc.state.fl.us

/s/ Michael K. Lavanga Michael K. Lavanga