

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Commission review of numeric  
conservation goals (Duke Energy Florida,  
LLC).

DOCKET NO. 20240013-EG  
ORDER NO. PSC-2024-0037-PCO-EG  
ISSUED: February 13, 2024

ORDER GRANTING INTERVENTION

On January 5, 2024, Docket Nos. 20240012-EG, 20240013-EG, 20240015-EG, 20240016-EG, 20240016-EG, and 20240017-EG were established to review and adopt the corresponding utility's conservation goals pursuant to Sections 366.80-366.83 and 403.519, Florida Statutes. (F.S.), known collectively as the Florida Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act (FEECA). By the Order Consolidating Dockets and Establishing Procedure, ORDER NO. PSC-2024-0037-PCO-EGPSC-2024-0022-PHO-EG, issued January 23, 2024, the dockets were consolidated for purposes of hearing and controlling dates were established. This docket is currently scheduled for hearing on August 6, 2024.

Petition for Intervention

By motion dated January 31, 2024, the White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate – White Springs (“PCS Phosphate”) requested permission to intervene in this proceeding with respect to Docket No. 20240013-EG. PCS Phosphate states it is a manufacturer of fertilizing products with plants and operations located within Duke Energy Florida's (DEF) electric service territory. As such, it receives service under various DEF rate schedules. It argues that the Commission's decisions regarding DEF's energy conservation goals may impact the cost of power supplied by DEF to PCS Phosphate's facilities in and around White Springs, Florida, thereby affecting PCS Phosphate's production and operating costs, and overall industry competitiveness.

Standards for Intervention

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.205, F.A.C., persons, other than the original parties to a pending proceeding, who have a substantial interest in the proceeding and who desire to become parties may move for leave to intervene. Motions for leave to intervene must be filed at least twenty (20) days before the final hearing, must comply with Rule 28-106.204(3), F.A.C., and must include allegations sufficient to demonstrate that the intervenor is entitled to participate in the proceeding as a matter of constitutional or statutory right or pursuant to Commission rule, or that the substantial interests of the intervenor are subject to determination or will be affected through the proceeding. Intervenors take the case as they find it.

To have standing, the intervenor must meet the two-prong standing test set forth in Agrico Chemical Company v. Department of Environmental Regulation, 406 So. 2d 478, 482 (Fla. 2d DCA 1981). The intervenor must show that (1) he will suffer injury in fact that is of

sufficient immediacy to entitle him to a Section 120.57, F.S., hearing, and (2) the substantial injury is of a type or nature that the proceeding is designed to protect. The first aspect of the test deals with the degree of injury. The second deals with the nature of the injury. The "injury in fact" must be both real and immediate and not speculative or conjectural. International Jai-Alai Players Assn. v. Florida Pari-Mutuel Commission, 561 So. 2d 1224, 1225-26 (Fla. 3d DCA 1990). See also Village Park Mobile Home Assn., Inc. v. State Dept. of Business Regulation, 506 So. 2d 426, 434 (Fla. 1st DCA 1987), rev. den., 513 So. 2d 1063 (Fla. 1987) (speculation on the possible occurrence of injurious events is too remote).

Based on PCS Phosphate's representations, it meets both prongs of Agrico's standing test. As an industrial customer within DEF's service area, its substantial interests will be directly affected by the Commission's decisions as to the appropriate conservation goals and programs. PCS Phosphate has established standing in this proceeding, and it takes the case as it finds it.

Based on the above representations, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Art Graham, as Prehearing Officer, that the Motion to Intervene filed by White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate – White Springs is hereby granted as set forth in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate – White Springs takes the case as it finds it. It is further

ORDERED that all parties to this proceeding shall furnish copies of all testimony, exhibits, pleadings, and other documents which may hereinafter be filed in this proceeding to:

James W. Brew  
Laura Wynn Baker  
Sarah B. Newman  
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC  
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW  
Suite 800 West  
Washington, DC 20007-5201  
Phone: (202) 342-0800  
Fax: (202) 342-0807  
[jbrew@smxblaw.com](mailto:jbrew@smxblaw.com)  
[lwb@smxblaw.com](mailto:lwb@smxblaw.com)  
[sbn@smxblaw.com](mailto:sbn@smxblaw.com)

By ORDER of Commissioner Art Graham, as Prehearing Officer, this 13th day of February, 2024.



ART GRAHAM

Commissioner and Prehearing Officer  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399  
(850) 413-6770  
www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.

JDI

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code; or (2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.