1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		
4		
5	In the Matter of:	DOGWEE NO 00020100 EU
6		DOCKET NO. 20230128-EU
7	Petition for declaregarding Rule 25-	
8	by 1150 WHG, LLC.	/
9		
10		
11	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA
12	COMMISSIONEDS	TILIT NO. 4
13	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN MIKE LA ROSA COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM
14		COMMISSIONER GARY F. CLARK COMMISSIONER ANDREW GILES FAY COMMISSIONER GABRIELLA PASSIDOMO
16	DATE:	Tuesday, March 5, 2024
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center
18		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way
19		Tallahassee, Florida
20	REPORTED BY:	DEBRA R. KRICK Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for
21		the State of Florida at Large
22		PREMIER REPORTING
23		TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA (850) 894-0828
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: All right. We will move on
3	to Item No. 4. Before we do that, thank you,
4	staff. I know that that's certainly a lot of hard
5	work behind the scenes. You know, normally when we
6	come to Agenda, there is, you know, we tee up for
7	what's on the agenda a few weeks prior to and, of
8	course, have our briefings and so forth, and I know
9	the last two items were probably months in the
10	making. And thank you all, and I know we had
11	our office had multiple briefings on it, so thank
12	you all for your hard work and nice to see a final
13	product coming at us today, so thank you.
14	With that, I will allow those coming before us
15	and I look for my schedule for my Agenda in front
16	of me, so let's moving on to Item No. 4.
17	MS. DIKE: Good afternoon, Commissioners. My
18	name is Caroline Dike with the Commission's Office
19	of General Counsel.
20	Item No. 4 is staff's recommendation
21	concerning the petition for declaratory statement
22	regarding Rule 25-6.049, Florida Administrative
23	Code, by a 1150 WHG, LLC.
24	1150 WHG, LLC, as the petitioner, is
25	requesting that the Commission declare the property

1	at issue qualifies for the grandfather exception to
2	Rule 25-6.049.
3	Staff recommends that the petitioner's
4	requested declaratory statement should be denied,
5	and that the petition must use individual metering
6	on its property.
7	As explained more fully in the recommendation,
8	staff believes that the Commission should adhere to
9	the plain language of the rule and Commission
10	precedent to establish that petitioner does not
11	qualify for the grandfather exception to the rule.
12	Jack Boyajian and Chris Horton of 1150 WHG are
13	present. Malcolm Means and Jordan Williams of
14	Tampa Electric Company are present, and staff is
15	available for questions.
16	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Thank you, Ms. Dike.
17	Mr. Boyajian, am I saying that correct?
18	MR. BOYAJIAN: Yes, Chairman.
19	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: And, Mr. Horton, it's my
20	understanding that you guys would like to address
21	us.
22	MR. HORTON: Correct, Chairman. And thank you
23	both to the Commission and the staff for all your
24	time and work on this, and considering this
25	petition for declaratory statement.

We are here today on behalf of 1150 WHG, LLC, seeking exactly what Ms. Dike just requested, which is that this commission look at the plain language of the grandfather clause that is at issue and grant a declaratory statement in favor of WHG, stating that they fall within the grandfather clause.

Where we differ is in the fact that we don't believe that TECO or the staff is reading simply the plain language of the grandfather clause. We believe that their interpretation is reading into the grandfather clause language that does not exist within the rule, specifically Rule 25-6.049. We urge the Commission to look at the rule and consider the plain language.

All of the parties that are here before the Commission today agree that the plain language is what the Commission should base its ruling upon today. Where we differ is in what that plain language says.

The plain language specifically says that individual metering required for each separate -- is required for separate, each separate occupancy unit of a new residential building, which is what this property is. This property is a residential

2.

1	building, 224 units in Winter Haven, Florida.
2	However, individual metering is not required
3	if the property falls within one of the exceptions.
4	The exceptions within the rule are either the
5	grandfather clause, which is at issued today, or
6	one of the other enumerated exceptions following
7	the grandfather clause. Those enumerated
8	exceptions include a hotel or motel, which is what
9	this resident what this property used to be.
10	This property was built pursuant to a permit
11	issued in 1971. It was constructed and finished in
12	1973. Since that date, it has operated
13	continuously as a master metered property. It was
14	operating continuously as a hotel and motel until
15	recently, when WHG purchased the property and
16	converted it to workforce housing.
17	That conversion is near complete and about to
18	go to market. And that is why we are here before
19	the Commission.
20	The grandfather clause expressly states that a
21	property is grandfathered under the master metering
22	and does not have to comply with individual
23	metering if two things are met. One, the
24	construction permit was issued before January 1st,
25	1981. Check. That applies here. The property was

built pursuant to a permit issued before 1981.

2.

The second provision and requirement is that the unit receives master metering continuously since January 1st, 1981. Again, check. That applies here. Nobody is disputing that this property has been continuously master metered since 1981, and going back to 1973.

The -- TECO and the staff wants this commission to then read into that plain language that I just covered additional language that does not exist within the rule, specifically the staff and TECO want this commission to consider that the change from the occupancy use as a motel or hotel to a residential property has somehow now removed this property from any of the exceptions within the master metering that apply on a permit master metering and now make it an individual metered property.

Quite frankly, that does not exist within the rule, and it doesn't exist within preexisting precedence set by this commission.

The staff and TECO relied on prior precedence, and all of that prior precedence concerns changing a property from individual metering back to master metering. That's not at issue here. We are not

1 attempting to do that. We are simply attempting to 2. take advantage of why this rule, this grandfather laws was first implemented by the Commission. 3 4 what is that purpose and intent? That purpose and 5 intent is to avoid having to force property owners to retroactively comply with the rule and the costs 6 7 associated with those changes.

And so as we sit here today, we are simply urging the Commission to read the plain language of the rule and to follow the purpose and intent that's been set forth by precedence by this commission in prior hearings and decisions.

There are some other I matters that I would just briefly like to address that were raised by both TECO and then -- and then raised by the staff in its memorandum. Those two matters are, first, that the property owner here, 1150 WHG, LLC, seeks to, in some form or manner, serve as its own utility. It does not. And we would stipulate to that. All it seeks to do is to continue to master meter the property in the manner that is was previously master metered.

There is a change in the way, then, that bills are going to be sent, because this is a residential unit owner. That's set forth within our petition.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	It's set forth within the affidavit, and our
2	different filings. But the owner does not seek to
3	serve as a utility owner here. It is not seeking
4	to serve in the shoes of TECO.
5	The second issue that was brought up, and
6	concern that was brought up, revolved around the
7	eventual potential installation of solar energy on
8	this property. And that's not before the
9	Commission. That was something that was mentioned
10	in passing in our initial petition as to future
11	plans for energy conservation on this property.
12	That is not currently being done. It is not
13	currently it will be done in the future, but
14	when 1150 WHG, LLC, goes and performs that work and
15	decides to install solar on this property in
16	whatever form or fashion it decides to do that, it
17	will comply with the rules and regulations set
18	forth in the state of Florida at that time.
19	And I believe my client had some remarks he
20	wanted to make as well.
21	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Okay.
22	MR. BOYAJIAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and
23	Commissioners.
24	As Mr. Horton was explaining, we did acquire
25	this property back in November of 2022, and we did

submit plans to the City. And in those plans, it
was clear that we were going to install individual
panels in each of these units.

These are -- this is a small -- small units, about 463 square feet for a one-bedroom, and about 620 square feet for a two-bedroom. It is workforce housing. It's located in the City of Winter Haven near downtown.

The -- TECO was aware that we were going to do the panel installations in each of these units.

And during an audit back in January, following our acquisition in 2023, TECO representatives were there. They even wrote in submetering was going to be used. And what we were using submetering for was to essentially extend the intent of not only this rule, but also conservation measures generally. We could easily include electric in our rent payments, but it doesn't engender conservation by individual tenants, because they don't have any consequence to leaving the lights on when they leave.

If you submeter it and you basically extend that use to their individual bills on a monthly basis, they will generally conserve. And I think that's in the rule as well, as another option to

extend the conservation effort that I think the rule is intended to do.

Our goal is not to make money on that. Our goal is to simply pass along that cost, and essentially engender that conservation.

In January of '23, we continued to work through our process. And in July, when we had a visit from TECO to talk about extending the services into an area of the property that was not — actually has been dormant for several years, this matter came up directly from TECO, saying, well, you know, we think you should be individually metered directly with our transformers.

It was -- it was all new. We had already spent all the money to extend that services from the master metering all the way to the individual units, and we simply did not have any way of going back and redoing that work except for expending a lot of time and a lot of money in doing that.

The other problem with unit metering right now is the industry is suffering from an extended period of time. You can't get meters directly for individual metering for essentially a year out. So you -- even if we wanted to do the metering, we can't do it tomorrow. It would be probably

1	sometime later this year.
2	So it would be a tremendous financial burden
3	to the project, making it almost not viable to
4	continue if this was attempted to be done, if we
5	had to go back and individually meter these units
6	at this time.
7	The future project might be able to be done
8	because it we haven't wired those that
9	property yet. It's approximately a third of the
10	total site. But nonetheless, the current situation
11	with the master metering is what we were relying
12	on, and relying on the actual wording, as Mr.
13	Horton explained, as to the simple language that
14	exists there.
15	We believe we are exempt, and we hope the
16	Commission agrees.
17	Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Thank you.
19	Commissioners, are there any questions or
20	thoughts?
21	TECO, any comments or rebuttal?
22	MR. MEANS: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
23	Tampa Electric agrees with and supports the
24	interpretation of the rule set out in the staff
25	recommendation. And the company's goal throughout

1	this whole process has just been to comply with
2	your rule, and we stand ready to help the
3	petitioner come into compliance.
4	Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: All right. Commissioners,
6	now it's our turn. Any thoughts comments or
7	thoughts?
8	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I have a couple of
9	questions.
10	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Commissioner Clark, you are
11	recognized.
12	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Just a couple of
13	questions. When you apply I assume you are
14	still in the construction process right now. You
15	haven't taken over we haven't you don't have
16	occupants yet?
17	MR. BOYAJIAN: We do not. We are ready to
18	accept occupants, though.
19	COMMISSIONER CLARK: You are ready to accept
20	occupants.
21	MR. BOYAJIAN: Yeah.
22	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. In the process of
23	acquiring the building, did you have to go through
24	the building permitting process? Did you have to
25	get a permit?

1	MR. BOYAJIAN: We did.
2	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. And you submitted
3	plans accordingly with that permit to the building
4	department and they were approved?
5	MR. BOYAJIAN: We did. And the master
6	meter use of the master meter was clearly in
7	those plans.
8	There is a question as to whether or not the
9	submetering was in those plans or not. But again,
10	the submetering is only a way to implement
11	conservation. We could easily include a portion of
12	the estimated utility and electric, but we chose to
13	not only submeter the electric, but also the water,
14	hot water and cold water is also submetered, and we
15	are using a company called Think Utilities out of
16	Clearwater to do those readings and then issue
17	those payment issue those bills for those
18	services.
19	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Means, did your
20	company advise the owners ahead of the process that
21	this was not going to be allowed?
22	MR. MEANS: Commissioner, my understanding of
23	the timeline is, yes, a Tampa Electric
24	representative did visit the property in January of
25	2023, but the purpose of that visit was to conduct

a commercial energy audit. And the petitioner

actually attached that audit report to their

response -- their reply to our filing this docket.

And it clearly states that the purpose of it is to

advise on energy efficiency programs that may be

available for the property.

And as the petitioner indicated, a person from our electric delivery department did visit the property last summer and indicated that individual metering was required under the rule.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: When we talk about individual metering, I understand from a typical concept how that works. Has there been any consultation with the parties -- I understand your dilemma, and apparently there is some miscommunication as to how this has transpired and how we got to this point. But have we tried to resolve this in any way? There's -- you said it cost a-million-and-a-half, I mean, you can submeter a panel box with a CT a whole lot cheaper than, you know, a-million-and-a-half-dollars for 200 of these things.

Has there been any compromise with the utility to install some sort of CT device at each subpanel in the apartment, read those and actually do the

1	billing on an individual basis?
2	MR. HORTON: So I think I can answer that, and
3	Jack and TECO might be able to chime in as well.
4	But from the standpoint of prior to my
5	involvement, the property owner was reaching out to
6	TECO trying to resolve this. I got involved and
7	also attempted to reach out and resolve this.
8	Nothing has, quite frankly, gained any traction.
9	We haven't gotten any word back from TECO, well,
10	will you consider this? Will you consider these
11	other options? We have just had radio silence
12	until we showed up here today, quite frankly.
13	MR. MEANS: Mr. Commissioner, I would strongly
14	dispute the characterization as radio silence. We
15	have been in regular communication with the
16	petitioner since early last year, mid last year.
17	In fact, I think there are communications going on
18	right now outside of these proceedings with the
19	company.
20	And as I mentioned, our goal throughout this
21	entire process has just been to follow the rule.
22	And there is clearly a dispute over the
23	interpretation of the rule, but we think that staff
24	got it right, and that's the interpretation we have
25	been following throughout this entire process.

1	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'm going to lean with
2	staff on the interpretation of the rule. I don't
3	think that's there is no no there is no
4	real problem there with me, but I do think that
5	there is resolution to this on both sides that can
6	be met that both parties come together and find a
7	way to conduct the metering.
8	I assume you have no intention of billing the
9	customer direct. You are not fixing to try to play
10	the role of a utility, I assume?
11	MR. BOYAJIAN: None at all, your none at
12	all.
13	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Are you planning to
14	contract with a third-party to do this?
15	MR. BOYAJIAN: We are. We have. That was
16	that Think Utility company that I was mentioning in
17	Clearwater. They installed the meters they
18	installed the devices that read the meters that we
19	install, and they then bill the individual tenants.
20	It's completely outside of our involvement.
21	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, there was no
22	consideration to just you mentioned earlier
23	wrapping this into their rental into the rental
24	rate. I get that doesn't in any way enforce
25	conservation, but in light of spending another

1	million-and-a-half to finish this up, is that a
2	consideration?
3	MR. BOYAJIAN: We have, Commissioner. We have
4	thought about that, and that still is an option.
5	But I think the difficulty with that is, at the
6	moment, I think TECO's insistence is that
7	individual these units have to be individually
8	metered. And so even the option of including rent
9	in the rent, the electric use is not on the
10	table, as far as I understand, so
11	And when we started out, submetering wasn't
12	was considered an option. It wasn't an absolute.
13	But it eventually made a lot of sense, because it
14	does engender the conservation, and not just for
15	electric, but also for water. And, of course, the
16	City owns the water utility, and they are very
17	happy that we are doing the individual metering.
18	And so I think and the permitting was for
19	residential use. It was zoned for residential to
20	begin with. It was allowed, it was an allowable
21	use, so we didn't really think of that this is
22	wagging to be much of a problem at all.
23	In fact, many of the tenants there were using
24	it as primary permanent tenants when we purchased
25	the property. It had been originally a Holiday

1 It was well-known. And then over the years Inn. 2. it kind of became a different type of use, of motel 3 use more than hotels, but --4 And it is true that we have been working with 5 TECO to try to get this resolved, even as recently as last month were actively looking at options that 6 7 we can use, you know, utilize. But ultimately, 8 what we need to do is open the property, because 9 housing is extremely, extremely scarce in the area, 10 and we offer a workforce housing at a rental rate 11 that, really, our competitors can't provide because 12 we have very efficient smaller units, and that's 13 what the need is right now. 14 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, I commend you on 15 the development process. I think it's a fantastic 16 repurposing of a building, and I think that's what 17 we should be doing in a lot of communities today. 18 I do understand the dilemma. And, again, I 19 think that from a strict interpretation of the 20 rule, I think that staff is probably correct here. 21 But I do want to say, I would strongly 22 encourage -- I mean, there is a way to figure this 23 out that benefits both parties, and I would 24 strongly encourage the utility to make some 25 considerations toward getting this thing resolved

1	for us.
2	MR. MEANS: Thank you, Commissioner. And if
3	that's the direction we get from the Commission
4	today, we are happy to do that. Again, we just are
5	trying to follow the rule as we read it, and we
6	read it to require individual metering, so that's
7	just our, you know, has been our goal throughout
8	the process.
9	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Commissioners, any further
10	thoughts or comments?
11	Commissioner Graham, you are recognized.
12	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
13	I get the fear the staff and the utility about
14	you guys creating your own utility. How about this
15	third-party? You said they can actually meter
16	what's going what each individual unit is using,
17	and then how are they billed? Is it just a
18	percentage of the entire master meter or
19	MR. BOYAJIAN: No. The meter I am sorry,
20	Commissioner, I didn't mean to interrupt your
21	question.
22	The metering calculates how many kilowatt
23	hours are used between a certain date of time, and
24	then the utility gets a copy of our bill and sees
25	the cost per kilowatt hour, and basically bills

1	that particular tenant based on that average
2	kilowatt cost.
3	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: When you say the utility,
4	you mean the third-party provider?
5	MR. BOYAJIAN: I'm sorry. Think Utility,
6	that's what I was saying. Think Utility is that
7	third-party provider.
8	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Now, are they just
9	charging at the rate that TECO charges, or is there
10	a profit built into this?
11	MR. BOYAJIAN: No, there is no no, not at
12	all. They get a copy of our invoice from TECO, and
13	they know what we are paying her kilowatt hour, and
14	they know that you, as a tenant, might have used,
15	you know, X amount of kilowatt hours for that time
16	period, and that's what they base their
17	calculations on.
18	At the end kilowatt hours, we are not going to
19	recovery 100 percent because there is a certain
20	amount of kilowatt hours that are used for common
21	areas, vacant units, and so forth.
22	But the individual tenant is only billed for
23	the amount of time the amount of use that they
24	have based on our average cost. We don't make any
25	profits.

1	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Now, when you came
2	forward with this workforce housing, did you
3	approach the City or the County, like, for their
4	participation in helping you build it?
5	MR. BOYAJIAN: Yes. They assisted with some
6	concessions that allowed us to do so.
7	And as a developer, I can I can, you know,
8	tell this commissioner commission, that we it
9	is very difficult to justify this type of
10	restoration and renovation. It comes down to
11	literally the last dollar. And I I I would
12	just say that I you are obviously going to
13	decide on the interpretation of this rule. But I
14	can tell you that if this were required of every
15	repurposed hotel that was built in the '70s in this
16	type of fashion, the '60s, the '70s it's a great
17	use, I agree request Commissioner Clark. This is a
18	fantastic reuse of this type of property it's
19	going to impact the incentive for developers to do
20	this.
21	It's very costly. And I am not sure it's
22	necessary. It might be better to establish that if
23	you do this, you must do some kind of submetering
24	so that that conservation effort and the intent of
25	this rule is applied, but without the cost,

especially given that it doesn't look like it's
going to change very much, but the supply chain of
getting these meters is just impossible. It's
literally years to get these meters.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Well, it's interesting that we have been dealing with, seems like, rules all day today. And it's funny how I have heard people throw out that we can just waive the rule sort of thing. So you can't change the dec statement, because it is what it is.

MR. BOYAJIAN: Sure.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: But that doesn't mean that you guys can't come to an understanding, because there has got to be a comfort level that TECO comes to, that, you know, you are not going to be, quote/unquote, running your own utility.

But I do understand from being from local government how these things can incentivize and how people want more and more workforce housing. So I think my suggestion would be, and I think as Commissioner Clark said earlier, for you guys to kind of work this thing out, and maybe the solution is to come back and see -- look for some sort of rule waiver or something along that line. And I am not, by any means, saying that that's going to

1 I am just saying, if there is some kind of agreement that comes through, because I would hate 2. 3 to see this project not move forward. 4 CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Commissioners, any further 5 thoughts or questions? Commissioner Clark. 6 7 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I do have one more 8 question for Mr. Means, and you triggered this 9 thought process when you -- typically, when meter a 10 commissioner development of this type, you have got 11 a demand -- you have got a commercial rate, demand 12 energy components that are separate, and I am not a 13 big fan of these third-party wheels that are 14 involved here, but is this Think company, do you --15 are they going to be billing them at your 16 residential rate, or are they going to be basically 17 dividing the kilowatt hours used by the bill 18 getting a much more kilowatt hour charge, I would 19 assume that commercial would pay, as opposed to 20 residential, and billing them on that commercial 21 rate? 22 MR. MEANS: Commissioner Clark, that's my 23 understanding, is that the property will be billed 24 at the master meter at a commercial rate, and then 25 each customer will be allocated their portion of

1	that overall the usage. And as we pointed out in
2	our filing, and as staff noted in the
3	recommendation, that creates a potential rate
4	design issue, as you are highlighting.
5	COMMISSIONER CLARK: It's definitely an equity
6	issue for residential customers. I did not
7	understand that. Okay. Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Any thoughts, questions?
9	Commissioner Passidomo, you are recognized.
10	COMMISSIONER PASSIDOMO: Thank you, Mr.
11	Chairman.
12	I will just be brief. This is just a
13	situation that I think we've all kind of you
14	know, as Commissioner Clark said, this is where we
15	are kind of stuck with the rule and the
16	interpretation, I agree with staff's interpretation
17	of the grandfather exception and its applicability
18	here. But it's frustrating that, because we want
19	this to work out, and, like, I am encouraged by
20	your repurposing of this building for workforce
21	housing. We really need that in the state, so,
22	yeah, you know, again, I just would reiterating
23	too that there is some solution that can be made
24	here. But as far as where we are with the rule, I
25	think we are kind of stuck. That's my

1 interpretation. 2. MR. HORTON: And I would just like to note 3 that I don't think the Commission is technically stuck with the rule here. 4 You are not stuck 5 between a rock and hard place. We don't dis -- we don't agree with the staff's interpretation of the 6 7 rule. If you look at the rule, it does not include 8 9 any language, and there is no precedence set forth 10 by this commission whereby the really includes 11 language that if there is a change from one 12 exception to another, that you are now 13 automatically out of any of the exceptions, you 14 fall back in individual metering, and you have to 15 comply with additional individual metering. The 16 rule doesn't state that. 17 And so I would just urge, again, the Commission -- I know the staff does a fantastic 18 19 I have, you know, worked with them in the 20 They do an awesome job on everything that past. 21 they do here. But in this situation, I think the interpretation is a little off, and I think we are 22 23 reading into the rule language that does not exist. 24 CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Thank you.

25

So I am a huge proponent of affordable

1	housing, workforce housing, any opportunity to
2	bring housing to those that either cannot afford or
3	are just stuck in a position where they are trying
4	to build up.
5	So I think that was my first comment when I
6	sat down with staff, is that the state of Florida
7	needs more of this. But I had to be kind of
8	refined into what I had in front of me, and
9	realized what our rules are, and how we ultimately
10	interpret our rules.
11	I think this probably gives good cause to
12	maybe look deeper into how this rule is set up, but
13	that's not what's before us today. So I don't know
14	that I don't know that we have anymore questions
15	for staff, or for any of the parties before us, so
16	I will open the floor for a motion.
17	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Move staff.
18	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Seeing a motion to move
20	staff's recommendation, seeing a second.
21	All those in favor signify by saying aye.
22	(Chorus of ayes.)
23	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Opposed no.
24	(No response.)
25	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Show that the item passes
I	

```
1
          as suggested by staff.
 2
               All right. Commissioners, it's been a long
 3
          day, so I won't -- I won't say any more.
               Seeing no further business before us, see that
 4
 5
          today's Agenda Conference is adjourned.
 6
               Thank you.
 7
                (Agenda item concluded.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA ) COUNTY OF LEON )
3	,
4	
5	I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby
6	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
7	time and place herein stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
9	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
10	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
11	and that this transcript constitutes a true
12	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
15	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	DATED this 20th day of March, 2024.
19	
20	
21	
22	$\alpha \cup \beta \cup \gamma \cup \gamma$
23	DEBRA R. KRICK
24	NOTARY PUBLIC
25	COMMISSION #HH31926 EXPIRES AUGUST 13, 2024