

Antonia Hover

From: Antonia Hover on behalf of Records Clerk
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2024 1:46 PM
To: 'audrey yow'
Cc: Consumer Contact
Subject: RE: Reject Duke Rate hike Docket #20240025

Good Afternoon, Audrey Mislán.

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20240025, and forwarding them to the Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach.

Thank you!

Toni Hover
Commission Deputy Clerk I
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399
Phone: (850) 413-6467

PLEASE NOTE: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your email message may be subject to public disclosure.

-----Original Message-----

From: audrey yow <mysticimages2003@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, June 7, 2024 1:39 PM
To: Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Subject: Reject Duke Rate hike Docket #20240025

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Hi—
Please do not authorize another rate hike for Duke Energy. They have a monopoly in many areas and this is creating an undue hardship on many Of the residents of Fl.

They also hard a customer fee each month in addition to the energy usage.
They also charge a fuel surcharge for delivery on top of the price for energy usage.

They are not investing in keep their infrastructure up to date, but investing in lining the pockets of their shareholders.

Please take a close look at how they are already billing there customers extra fees that other power providers aren't charing as well.
If other providers do not need the fuel surcharge, then Duke Energy should not be allowed to charge this additional fee.

Once again, please reject the rate hike increase for Duke Energy as their current rates are already causing under hardship on many of the residents. Any increase would further increase the hardship and create a disparity in the prosperity of many residents of the state.

Audrey Mislan