| 1 | BEFORE THE | · |
|--------|--|-----|
| 2 | FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | 169 |
| 3 | In the Matter of: | |
| 4 | DOCKET NO. 20240012-EG | |
| 5 | Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Florida Power & Light Company). | |
| б | | |
| 7 | Commission review of numeric | |
| 8 | conservation goals (Duke Energy Florida, LLC). | |
| 9 | / DOCKET NO. 20240014-EG | |
| 10 | Commission review of numeric | |
| 11 | conservation goals (Tampa Electric Company). | |
| 12 | DOCKET NO. 20240015-EG | |
| 13 | Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Florida | |
| 15 | Public Utilities Company). | |
| 16 | DOCKET NO. 20240016-EG | |
| 17 | Commission review of numeric conservation goals (JEA). | |
| 18 | DOCKET NO. 20240017-EG | |
| 19 | Commission review of numeric | |
| 20 | conservation goals (Orlando Utilities Commission). | |
| 21 | / | |
| 22 | PROCEEDINGS: PREHEARING CONFERENCE | |
| 23 | COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING: ART GRAHAM | |
| 24 | PREHEARING OFFICER 23 | |
| 25 | DATE: July 12, 2024 | |
| 112 W. | 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 premier-reporting.c | om |

| 1 | TIME: | Commenced: 12:58 p.m. |
|----|--------------|---|
| 2 | | Concluded 1:40 p.m. |
| 3 | PLACE: | Betty Easley Conference Center Room 105 |
| 4 | | 2524 Shumard Oak Boulevard Gerald L. Gunter Building Tallahassee, Florida |
| 5 | DEDODEED DV. | |
| 6 | REPORTED BY: | DEBRA R. KRICK Court Reporter |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | PREMIER REPORTING |
| 10 | | TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA (850) 894-0828 |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 1 | | I |

- 1 APPEARANCES:
- 2 WILLIAM P. COX and CHRISTOPHER T. WRIGHT,
- 3 ESQUIRES, Florida Power & Light Company, 700 Universe
- 4 Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408; appearing behalf
- of Florida Power & Light Company (FPL).
- 6 MATTHEW R. BERNIER and STEPHANIE A. CUELLO,
- 7 ESQUIRES, 299 First Avenue North, St. Petersburg,
- 8 Florida 33701; appearing on behalf of Duke Energy
- 9 Florida, LLC (DEF).
- J. JEFFRY WAHLEN, MALCOLM N. MEANS, and
- 11 VIRGINIA PONDER, ESQUIRES, Ausley & McMullen, Post
- 12 Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302; appearing on
- 13 behalf of Tampa Electric Company (TECO).
- 14 BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, Gunster, Yoakley &
- 15 Stewart, P.A., 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601,
- 16 Tallahassee, Florida 32301; appearing on behalf of
- 17 Florida Public Utilities (FPUC).
- GARY V. PERKO, ESQUIRE, Holtzman, Vogel,
- 19 Baran, Torchinsky & Josefiak, PLLC, 119 South Monroe
- 20 Street, Suite 500, Tallahassee, Florida 32301; appearing
- 21 on behalf of JEA (JEA).

22

23

24

25

- 1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
- 2 ROBERT SCHEFFEL WRIGHT and JOHN T. LAVIA, III,
- 3 ESQUIRES, Gardner, Bist, Bowden, Bush, Dee, LaVia &
- 4 Wright, P.A., 1300 Thomaswood Drive, Tallahassee,
- 5 Florida 32308; appearing on behalf of Orlando Utilities
- 6 Commission (OUC).
- 7 SEAN T. GARNER, ERIK SAYLER and KELLY WRIGHT,
- 8 ESQUIRES, Florida Department of Agriculture & Consumer
- 9 Service, Office of General Counsel, The Mayo Building,
- 10 407 S. Calhoun Street, Suite 520, Tallahassee, Florida
- 11 32399-0800; appearing on behalf of Florida Department of
- 12 Agriculture & Consumer Services (FDACS).
- JON C. MOYLE and KAREN A. PUTNAL, ESOUIRES,
- 14 Moyle Law Firm, 118 North Gadsden Street, Tallahassee,
- 15 Florida 32301; appearing on behalf of Florida Industrial
- 16 Power Users Group (FIPUG).
- 17 BRADLEY MARSHALL and JORDAN LUEBKEMANN,
- 18 ESOUIRES, Earthjustice, 111 S. Martin Luther King Jr.
- 19 Boulevard, Tallahassee, FL 32301; appearing on behalf of
- 20 Florida Rising (FL Rising) and League of United Latin
- 21 Citizens of Florida (LULAC).

22

23

24

25

- 1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
- 2 MICHAEL K. LAVANGA and JOSEPH R. BRISCAR,
- 3 ESQUIRES, Stone, Mattheis, Xenopoulos & Brew, PC, 1025
- 4 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW, Eighth Floor, West Tower,
- 5 Washington, DC 20007; appearing on behalf of Nucor Steel
- 6 Florida, Inc. (Nucor).
- JAMES W. BREW, LAURA WYNN BAKER and SARAH B.
- 8 NEWMAN, ESQUIRES, Stone, Mattheis, Xenopoulos & Brew,
- 9 PC, 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW, Eighth Floor, West
- 10 Tower, Washington, DC 20007; appearing on behalf of
- 11 White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS
- 12 Phosphate White Springs (PCS Phosphate).
- 13 WILLIAM C. GARNER, ESOUIRE, Law Office of
- 14 William C. Garner, PLLC, 3425 Bannerman Road, Unit 105,
- No. 414, Tallahassee, Florida 32312; appearing on behalf
- of Southern Alliance for Clean Energy (SACE).
- 17 STEPHANIE U. EATON, ESQUIRE, Spilman Thomas &
- 18 Battle, PLLC, 110 Oakwood Drive, Suite 500,
- 19 Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27103; STEVEN W. LEE,
- 20 ESQUIRE, Spilman, Thomas & Battle, PLLC, 1100 Bent Creek
- 21 Boulevard, Suite 101, Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania 17050;
- 22 appearing on behalf of Walmart, Inc. (Walmart).

23

24

25

| 1 | APPEARANCES CONTINUED: |
|----|--|
| 2 | JACOB IMIG, JON RUBOTTOM and ADRIA HARPER, |
| 3 | ESQUIRES, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard |
| 4 | Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, |
| 5 | appearing on behalf of the Florida Public Service |
| 6 | Commission (Staff). |
| 7 | KEITH HETRICK, GENERAL COUNSEL; MARY ANNE |
| 8 | HELTON, DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL, Florida Public Service |
| 9 | Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, |
| 10 | Florida 32399-0850, Advisor to the Florida Public |
| 11 | Service Commission. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|--|
| 2 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Well, good afternoon, |
| 3 | everybody. Let the record show, on my iPhone 12, |
| 4 | that it is Tuesday, July 23rd, it is 12:58 p.m., |
| 5 | and we will call this meeting to order. I know |
| 6 | it's two minutes early, but I see everybody is |
| 7 | ready to go, so let's just move on. |
| 8 | Staff, read the notice, please. |
| 9 | MR. IMIG: By notice issued July 12th, 2024, |
| 10 | this time and place has been set for a prehearing |
| 11 | conference in Docket Nos. 20240012, 20240013, |
| 12 | 20240014, 20240015, 20240016 and 20240017-EG. The |
| 13 | purpose of the prehearing conference is more fully |
| 14 | set out in the notice. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Staff, any suggestions |
| 16 | on how to take notices appearances, rather? |
| 17 | MR. IMIG: There are six dockets to address |
| 18 | today in this consolidated proceeding. Staff |
| 19 | suggests that all appearances be taken at once. |
| 20 | All parties should enter their appearances and |
| 21 | declare the dockets that they are entering an |
| 22 | appearance for. After the parties make their |
| 23 | appearances, staff will need to make theirs. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: All right. So let's |
| 25 | start here on the left and make our way |

1 parenthetical cross -- on my left. 2. MR. COX: Thank you. Good afternoon, 3 Commissioner Graham. William Cox and Christopher 4 Wright appearing on behalf of Florida Power & Light 5 Company in the 20240012 docket. MS. CUELLO: Good afternoon. 6 Stephanie Cuello 7 and Matt Bernier for Duke Energy Florida in the 8 20240013 docket. 9 Good afternoon. MR. MEANS: Malcolm Means 10 with the Ausley firm. I am also entering 11 appearances for Jeff Wahlen and Virginia Ponder for 12 Tampa Electric in the 20240014 docket. 13 Thank you. 14 MR. PERKO: Afternoon, Commissioner Graham. 15 Gary Perko of the Holtzman, Voqel Law Firm on 16 behalf of JEA in Docket No. 20240016. 17 MR. S. WRIGHT: Good afternoon, Commissioner 18 Robert Scheffel Wright and John T. Lavia, Graham. 19 We are appearing on behalf of OUC in docket III. 20 20240017. 21 By agreement with all parties and as stated in 22 a separate notice of appearance that we filed, we 23 are also representing all of the other utilities in 24 the 12, 13, 14, 15 and 16 dockets for the purpose 25 of presenting and defending the testimony of our

| 1 | common witness, Mr. Jim Herndon. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Thank you. |
| 3 | MR. MOYLE: Good afternoon. Jon Moyle with |
| 4 | the Moyle Law Firm on behalf of the Florida |
| 5 | Industrial Power Users Group. I would also like to |
| 6 | enter an appearance for Karen Putnal with our firm, |
| 7 | and that would be in the FPL docket, the 12 docket; |
| 8 | the Duke docket, the 13 docket; and the Tampa |
| 9 | Electric docket, the 14 docket. |
| 10 | MS. EATON: Good afternoon. Stephanie Eaton |
| 11 | with the law firm Spilman, Thomas & Battle, |
| 12 | entering an appearance for Walmart, Inc.; and also |
| 13 | would like to enter an appearance for Steven Lee. |
| 14 | We are entering appearances in all six docket. |
| 15 | Thank you. |
| 16 | MR. LAVANGA: Good afternoon, Commissioner |
| 17 | Graham. My name is Michael Lavanga with the law |
| 18 | firm of Stone, Matheis, Xenopoulos & Brew. I would |
| 19 | also like to enter an appearance for Joseph |
| 20 | Briscar. We are in the Duke docket, 20240013. |
| 21 | MR. BREW: Good afternoon, Commissioner. For |
| 22 | White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, PCS |
| 23 | Phosphate, I am James Brew. I would like to also |
| 24 | note an appearance do Laura Wynn Baker and Susan |
| 25 | Newman. And we are intervening in the 0013, Duke |

| 1 | Energy Florida docket. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. MARSHALL: Good afternoon, Commissioner. |
| 3 | Bradley Marshall and Jordan Luebkemann on behalf of |
| 4 | Florida Rising, the League of United Latin American |
| 5 | Citizens of Florida, better known as LULAC, and the |
| 6 | Environmental Confederation of Southwest Florida, |
| 7 | better known as ECOSWF, in the 20240012 docket; and |
| 8 | on behalf Florida Rising and LULAC in the 20240013 |
| 9 | docket and the 20240014 docket; and on behalf of |
| 10 | Florida Rising in the 20240016 docket and the |
| 11 | 20240017 docket. |
| 12 | Thank you. |
| 13 | MS. WRIGHT: Good afternoon, Commissioner. |
| 14 | Kelly Wright for the Department of Agriculture & |
| 15 | Consumer Services, Office of Energy, appearing in |
| 16 | all dockets. I would also like to enter an |
| 17 | appearance in all dockets for Sean Garner and Erik |
| 18 | Sayler. |
| 19 | MR. W. GARNER: Good afternoon, Commissioner |
| 20 | Graham. William Garner on behalf of the Southern |
| 21 | Alliance for Clean Energy. We are appearing in all |
| 22 | of the dockets except for 20240015. |
| 23 | MS. KEATING: Good afternoon, Commissioner. |
| 24 | Beth Keating with the Gunster Law Firm here this |
| 25 | afternoon on behalf of Florida Public Utilities |

| 1 | Company in docket 20240015. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. IMIG: Jacob Imig, Commission staff. I |
| 3 | would also like to enter an appearance for Jon |
| 4 | Rubottom and aid Adria Harper. |
| 5 | MS. HELTON: And Mary Anne Helton is here as |
| 6 | your Advisor, along with your General Counsel, |
| 7 | Keith Hetrick. |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: I tell you what, there |
| 9 | is a lot of you guys out here in front of me. |
| 10 | All right. So for the record, when I ask if |
| 11 | everybody is okay or in agreement with something, I |
| 12 | am not going to poll the entire crowd. The burden |
| 13 | is upon you to speak up, raise your hand, get my |
| 14 | attention saying that you are not in agreement. So |
| 15 | just as long as you know the rules, I will probably |
| 16 | click through this stuff pretty quickly. |
| 17 | Staff, preliminary matters? |
| 18 | MR. IMIG: Yes. Staff has three preliminary |
| 19 | matters it would like to address. |
| 20 | First, the witness testimony and exhibits of |
| 21 | FPUC witnesses Craig and Clark have been fully |
| 22 | stipulated. As such, witnesses Craig and Clark |
| 23 | have been excused, and at the appropriate time, |
| 24 | their prefiled testimony and exhibits will be |
| 25 | entered into the record as though read. |

| 1 | Also, the parties in the Duke docket have |
|----|---|
| 2 | proposed a stipulation that would add a sub-issue |
| 3 | to Issue 8. This will be discussed when we reach |
| 4 | the issues. The parties have proposed stipulations |
| 5 | to proposed Issue 8b and Issue 12. |
| 6 | Additionally, last night, Duke filed an |
| 7 | updated petition for approval of conservation goals |
| 8 | that includes updated direct testimony. Have the |
| 9 | parties had a chance to see this filing? If so, do |
| 10 | the parties wish to comment on this filing today? |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Any of the parties wish |
| 12 | to comment on Duke's filing from last night? |
| 13 | MR. MOYLE: I haven't seen it, so |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: All right. So let's |
| 15 | any other pre excuse me, any other preliminary |
| 16 | matters that was not covered by staff? |
| 17 | Okay. Let's proceed through the Prehearing |
| 18 | Order. We are going to go through one section at a |
| 19 | time. Again, we will probably click through pretty |
| 20 | quickly. |
| 21 | When I call the section, if you have anything |
| 22 | you want to speak to, please get my attention so we |
| 23 | can make those changes. |
| 24 | Section I. |
| 25 | Section II. |

| 1 | Section III. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Section IV, staff. |
| 3 | MR. IMIG: When confidential information is |
| 4 | used in the hearing, parties must have copies for |
| 5 | the Commissioners, necessary staff and the court |
| 6 | reporter in red envelopes clearly marked with the |
| 7 | nature of the contents. |
| 8 | Any party wishing to examine the |
| 9 | confidentiality material that is not subject to |
| 10 | acknowledge order granting confidentiality shall be |
| 11 | provided a copy in the same fashion as provided to |
| 12 | the Commissioners, subject to execution of any |
| 13 | appropriate protective agreement with the owner of |
| 14 | the material. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Does anybody have any |
| 16 | comments or questions about handling confidential |
| 17 | information? |
| 18 | Okay. Move on to Section V, staff. |
| 19 | MR. IMIG: Staff suggests that the witness |
| 20 | summary testimony be no longer than three minutes. |
| 21 | If a witness has filed both direct and rebuttal |
| 22 | testimonies, staff recommends that he or she |
| 23 | receives three minutes for direct and three minutes |
| 24 | for rebuttal. If both direct and rebuttal |
| 25 | testimonies are taken together, staff recommends |

| 1 | that the witness be given six minutes total. |
|----|--|
| 2 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Is everybody okay with |
| 3 | that? |
| 4 | Okay. Move on to Section VI, staff. |
| 5 | MR. IMIG: As previously mentioned, there are |
| 6 | stipulated witnesses in the FPUC case. Additional |
| 7 | stipulated witnesses may be suggested by the |
| 8 | parties at this time. If there are stipulated |
| 9 | witnesses the parties would like to suggest, staff |
| 10 | will confirm with each Commissioner that the |
| 11 | witness can be excused. If Commissioners do not |
| 12 | have questions of these witnesses, the witnesses |
| 13 | may be excused from the hearing, and his or her |
| 14 | testimony and exhibits entered into the record at |
| 15 | the hearing as though read. |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: So, parties, are there |
| 17 | any witnesses that you wish to be stipulated, or |
| 18 | are there any witnesses you wish to combine their |
| 19 | direct and rebuttal testimony? |
| 20 | MS. EATON: Good afternoon, again. Stephanie |
| 21 | Eaton for Walmart. |
| 22 | If it's possible, we would like to stipulate |
| 23 | Mr. Chriss' testimony in the dockets for FPUC, JEA |
| 24 | and OUC. |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Is that the only one? |

| 1 | MS. EATON: And potentially all of the |
|----|---|
| 2 | dockets, but those in particular we would |
| 3 | definitely like to stipulate. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Anybody have any |
| 5 | problems or concerns with that? |
| 6 | Staff, you are noting this? |
| 7 | MR. IMIG: I am sorry, can you repeat that? |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: I just want to make sure |
| 9 | you are noting this. |
| 10 | MR. MARSHALL: I just want to make sure I |
| 11 | understand the stipulations. That would only being |
| 12 | certain dockets that Chriss would be |
| 13 | MS. EATON: We would like him to be stipulated |
| 14 | for all six of them, but there are additional |
| 15 | parties, and you may have cross, and we haven't |
| 16 | talked to you about those. But as to the three in |
| 17 | particular, FPUC, JEA and OUC, we would like |
| 18 | stipulation on those. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: So right now, you are |
| 20 | just asking for the stipulation on those? |
| 21 | MS. EATON: Correct, I am. |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Not for all of them. |
| 23 | MR. MARSHALL: I am just wondering what the |
| 24 | effect would be of a partial stipulation for those |
| 25 | dockets if the witness still has to take the stand. |

| 1 | I mean, I think we are willing to stipulate to the |
|----|--|
| 2 | witness in its entirety. I am just a little unsure |
| 3 | about stipulation to the witness for particular |
| 4 | dockets. |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Is there anybody against |
| 6 | stipulating to the witness in entirety? |
| 7 | Mr. Brew, which part of his testimony are you |
| 8 | not willing to stipulate? |
| 9 | MR. BREW: Oh, I am sorry. I misunderstood |
| 10 | you. We are willing to stipulate him entirely. |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: So you are willing to |
| 12 | stipulate all? |
| 13 | MR. BREW: Yes. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: So everybody is willing |
| 15 | to stipulate all of them? |
| 16 | MR. MOYLE: All the witnesses or |
| 17 | MS. EATON: Just the Walmart witness. |
| 18 | MR. S. WRIGHT: Yeah, we have no objection to |
| 19 | stipulating Mr. Chriss' testimony. |
| 20 | Thank you. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. So that makes it |
| 22 | simple. |
| 23 | MS. EATON: Okay. Thank you. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: No worries. |
| 25 | Anybody else stipulating witnesses? Yes, sir. |

| 1 | MR. COX: I would just add, we would be |
|----|---|
| 2 | willing to stipulate the witness Marcelin. And if |
| 3 | he is stipulated, we would be willing to combine |
| 4 | direct and rebuttal. If he is not stipulated, then |
| 5 | we would want to maintain the separate direct and |
| 6 | rebuttal for the FPL witnesses. |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: So the first question |
| 8 | is, is anybody against stipulating that witness? |
| 9 | Okay. Now you don't have to worry about that. |
| 10 | So you are going to combine the direct and |
| 11 | rebuttal? |
| 12 | MR. COX: If he is excused from the hearing. |
| 13 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Staff, do you have any |
| 14 | problems with that stipulation? |
| 15 | MS. HELTON: I think Mr. Brew wanted to say |
| 16 | something. I don't |
| 17 | MR. BREW: Yes, Commissioner. We really |
| 18 | haven't discussed that yet. We may well be willing |
| 19 | to stipulate Mr. Marcelin, but we haven't discussed |
| 20 | it with FPL yet. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Can we just |
| 22 | temporarily stipulate and you will get with them |
| 23 | before the end of the day and let staff know? |
| 24 | MR. BREW: Yes. |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Does that work, Mary |

| 1 | Anne? |
|----|---|
| 2 | MS. HELTON: If they can talk about it at the |
| 3 | end of the day. And as you know, Mr. Chairman, but |
| 4 | I just feel like I have to say, especially we have |
| 5 | a couple of people that aren't familiar with our |
| 6 | process, we still have to confirm with all the |
| 7 | Commissioners that they are okay with stipulating |
| 8 | as well. |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Yes. Okay. Any other |
| 10 | witnesses, Mr. Moyle? |
| 11 | MR. MOYLE: I was just going to say, I think |
| 12 | we are having some conversations after the |
| 13 | prehearing that will involve stipulations, so if we |
| 14 | could have until, you know, close of business |
| 15 | tomorrow is typically what we do for things like |
| 16 | that, that might help facilitate some of those |
| 17 | conversations. |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Staff? |
| 19 | MR. IMIG: Yeah, that works for us. |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. |
| 21 | MR. MOYLE: Thank you. |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: So anything else we can |
| 23 | stipulate here and now? |
| 24 | Okay. Let's move on. We are going on to |
| 25 | Section VII, staff. |

| 1 | MR. IMIG: Before we move through this |
|----|--|
| 2 | section, I would like to make it clear for the |
| 3 | record that not all positions apply to every |
| 4 | docket, because some intervenor parties only |
| 5 | requested to intervene in certain dockets instead |
| 6 | of all dockets. |
| 7 | Changes to basic positions should be submitted |
| 8 | in writing by close of business tomorrow, July |
| 9 | 24th. |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Are there any changes in |
| 11 | anybody's basic position? |
| 12 | MS. CUELLO: Good afternoon. I will say Duke |
| 13 | Energy has changes, but it's just updating the |
| 14 | numbers included in that basic position, and we |
| 15 | filed those yesterday in our updated prehearing |
| 16 | statement. |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. |
| 18 | MR. MOYLE: We |
| 19 | MS. EATON: Good afternoon oh, I am sorry. |
| 20 | MR. MOYLE: Go ahead. |
| 21 | MS. EATON: Good afternoon, again. Stephanie |
| 22 | Eaton for Walmart. |
| 23 | We didn't have a change in our basic position, |
| 24 | but if there was going to be edits to that Section |
| 25 | VI on order of witnesses, I did want to note that |

| 1 | and we sent this to Mr. Imig this morning |
|----|--|
| 2 | that Mr. Chriss was also, his testimony did also |
| 3 | address Issue 12, and that was omitted from the |
| 4 | document here that I see. Just to make that |
| 5 | clarification. |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. |
| 7 | MS. EATON: Thank you. |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Moyle. |
| 9 | MR. MOYLE: And FIPUG may have some changes, |
| 10 | but we will get them to staff by 5:00 tomorrow, |
| 11 | per your order. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. |
| 13 | MR. MARSHALL: I was going to say, we might |
| 14 | have changes as well to reflect that there are new |
| 15 | stipulations in the Duke docket that we stipulated |
| 16 | to that were not present at the time we made our |
| 17 | prehearing statement, so it might just need a |
| 18 | little adjustment to reflect that. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. |
| 20 | MR. IMIG: Thank you. And we have received |
| 21 | several parties' edits, and we will make sure that |
| 22 | that gets reflected in the Prehearing Order. |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. We are done with |
| 24 | basic positions. Moving on to Section VIII, Issues |
| 25 | and Positions. |

| 1 | Staff already made it clear for the record |
|--|--|
| 2 | that not all positions apply to every docket. Some |
| 3 | intervenors only requested to intervene in certain |
| 4 | dockets instead of all dockets. |
| 5 | So that being said, we are going to go through |
| 6 | these issues one at a time. Same thing applies. |
| 7 | If you have any changes, let me know as we click |
| 8 | through them. |
| 9 | With Issue 1. |
| 10 | Issue 2. |
| 11 | Issue 3. |
| 12 | Issue 4. |
| 13 | Issue 5. |
| 14 | Issue 6. |
| 15 | Issue 7. |
| 16 | Okay. Staff, Issue 8. |
| 17 | MR. IMIG: Staff notes that Duke filed a joint |
| 18 | notice of necessary stipulations on July 15th, |
| 19 | 2024, in which the parties requested that Issue 8 |
| 20 | be bifurcated into two parts to read as follows: |
| 21 | Issue 8a: Should demand credit rates for |
| 22 | interruptible service, curtailable, stand-by |
| 23 | generation, or similar potential demand response |
| 24 | programs be addressed in this proceeding or in the |
| 25 | base rate proceedings for rate regulated FEECA |
| i company and a second a second and a second a second and | |

| 1 | utilities? |
|----|--|
| 2 | And Issue 8b: If this proceeding, what demand |
| 3 | credit rates are appropriate for the purposes of |
| 4 | establishing the utilities goals? |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Is everybody okay with |
| 6 | splitting Issue 8 the way stated by staff? |
| 7 | Mr. Brew? |
| 8 | MR. BREW: I was just going to say that PCS |
| 9 | supports that. |
| 10 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Anybody against |
| 11 | it? Okay. I thought I heard somebody's click. |
| 12 | All right. So let's move on to Issue 9. |
| 13 | MR. MARSHALL: Just a question on that. Is |
| 14 | if that's okay. |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: On Issue 8? |
| 16 | MR. MARSHALL: On Issue 8. |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Yes. |
| 18 | MR. MARSHALL: Should we submit revised |
| 19 | statements to reflect the bifurcation so that it's |
| 20 | clear which part of our current statement is going |
| 21 | towards 8a and which part is going towards 8b? |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Staff, do you need that |
| 23 | clarification? Yes. |
| 24 | MS. HARPER: Yes, thank you. |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Issue 9. |
| | |

| 1 | Issue 10. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Issue 11. |
| 3 | Issue 12. |
| 4 | MR. PERKO: Commissioner Graham, we submitted |
| 5 | one typographical correction to staff already. |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: For Issue 12? |
| 7 | MR. PERKO: Yes. |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Issue 13. |
| 9 | Okay. Let's go to Section IX, staff. |
| 10 | MR. IMIG: Staff notes that it has prepared a |
| 11 | Comprehensive Exhibit List, which includes all |
| 12 | prefiled exhibits, and also includes those exhibits |
| 13 | staff wishes to include in the record. |
| 14 | Staff will recirculate the draft list and |
| 15 | check with the parties prior to the hearing to |
| 16 | determine if there are any objections to the |
| 17 | Comprehensive Exhibit List, or any of staff's |
| 18 | exhibits being entered into the record. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Brew. |
| 20 | MR. BREW: Thank you, Commissioner. |
| 21 | We received the draft comprehensive exhibit |
| 22 | list around about the close of business yesterday |
| 23 | when we were actually trying to travel to get down |
| 24 | here, so I would ask if we can have at least two |
| 25 | days to review and comment back to staff on the |

| 1 | proposed exhibit list? |
|----|---|
| 2 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Can we stick with close |
| 3 | of business tomorrow? |
| 4 | MR. BREW: Yes. I can. |
| 5 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: I just want to make |
| 6 | sure, because we have been drawing that line, so it |
| 7 | seems like it works best for everybody to know |
| 8 | that's what the line is. |
| 9 | MR. MOYLE: On the exhibit list, I think we |
| 10 | have historically had that be on a separate track, |
| 11 | because there is, you know, a whole up bunch of |
| 12 | exhibits, and people are looking at them. Usually |
| 13 | we get there at hearing and we agree to stipulate, |
| 14 | but I don't recall that we've done it like, you |
| 15 | know, close of business tomorrow previously. Is |
| 16 | that what |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: So you are saying you |
| 18 | have got better things to do? |
| 19 | MR. MOYLE: That's the statement for the |
| 20 | summer for me. You have kept that you have kept |
| 21 | me in town quite a lot, so anyway, I just I |
| 22 | just want to make that point. I think we will get |
| 23 | there, but more time would be appreciated. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: If we hit a wall, we |
| 25 | will talk about it. |

| 1 | MR. MOYLE: Okay. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. S. WRIGHT: Commissioner. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Wright. |
| 4 | MR. S. WRIGHT: Just do clarity, what's the |
| 5 | relationship between the exhibit list in the |
| 6 | prehearing order and the comprehensive exhibit |
| 7 | list, which is a lot longer than the list here in |
| 8 | the prehearing order? You know, as I always say, I |
| 9 | am going to do what you say, I just want to make |
| 10 | sure that we are on the same page. |
| 11 | One of my exhibits was left out of the CEL, |
| 12 | but I have communicated that to staff, and I know |
| 13 | we will get that squared away. Just what do we |
| 14 | need to do here? |
| 15 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: I don't think |
| 16 | MS. HELTON: Mr. Chairman. |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Yes. |
| 18 | MS. HELTON: I do think it's okay for staff, |
| 19 | if they do not aren't able to completely look at |
| 20 | the comprehensive exhibit list by the close of |
| 21 | business tomorrow, I think we have a little bit of |
| 22 | wiggle room, but the sooner the better. And Mr. |
| 23 | Imig or Ms. Harper could address better when a |
| 24 | better date might be, if that's the parties are |
| 25 | having issues looking at everything by tomorrow |

| 1 | afternoon. |
|----|---|
| 2 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Well, then staff needs |
| 3 | to give me a better time. |
| 4 | MR. IMIG: I think we will be fine with the |
| 5 | two days. So close of business Thursday, the 25th. |
| 6 | MR. S. WRIGHT: Commissioner, I am completely |
| 7 | fine. I already sent in my edits to the CEL. I |
| 8 | just want to note what we are doing with this |
| 9 | exhibit list and the CEL. That's all. |
| 10 | Thank you. |
| 11 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. All right. So we |
| 12 | are still Section IX. Is everybody fine with the |
| 13 | comprehensive exhibit list knowing that you got two |
| 14 | days to make changes, or make |
| 15 | MR. COX: FPL has some minor corrections, but |
| 16 | we will submit those to staff. |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. |
| 18 | MR. COX: We are fine with it otherwise. |
| 19 | MR. MEANS: And Tampa Electric has a few minor |
| 20 | corrections, but we already sent those to staff. |
| 21 | MS. CUELLO: And Duke Energy as well, but it's |
| 22 | just based on our updated petition and exhibits |
| 23 | that were filed yesterday. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. So everybody is |
| 25 | with me. We are getting ready to go to Section X. |

| 1 | All right, staff. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. IMIG: The parties in the Duke docket have |
| 3 | agreed to stipulate to Issues 8b and 12. |
| 4 | Staff would suggest that all parties consider |
| 5 | offering additional stipulations at this time. |
| 6 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: I don't see that |
| 7 | happening. |
| 8 | Section XI, Pending Motions. |
| 9 | MR. IMIG: Before we move on, I just want to |
| 10 | address that the FPUC, all issues have been |
| 11 | stipulated to, unless I think we haven't heard |
| 12 | back from Walmart on that issue. |
| 13 | MS. EATON: On FPUC? Yes, the issues were |
| 14 | stipulated |
| 15 | MR. IMIG: Okay. |
| 16 | MS. EATON: and the testimony was |
| 17 | stipulated for FPUC. |
| 18 | Thank you. |
| 19 | MR. IMIG: Okay. For Section XI, there are no |
| 20 | pending motions. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Section XII. |
| 22 | MR. IMIG: The pending confidentiality |
| 23 | requests are listed in the draft prehearing order. |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Section XIII. |
| 25 | MR. IMIG: If certain issues are stipulated |
| | |

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 1 | and the parties agree to waive briefs, the |
| 2 | Commission may take a bench decision for those |
| 3 | portions of the proceeding. |
| 4 | If there are any issues to be briefed, staff |
| 5 | recommends post-hearing briefs be no longer than 50 |
| 6 | pages, including attachments. |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Is everybody in |
| 8 | agreement with that? |
| 9 | Okay. I like it. |
| 10 | MR. IMIG: Staff notes that any briefs will be |
| 11 | due on September 12th, 2024. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Section XIV, |
| 13 | staff. |
| 14 | MR. IMIG: Staff recommends that the |
| 15 | Prehearing Officer make a ruling that opening |
| 16 | statements, if any, should not exceed five minutes |
| 17 | per party unless any party chooses to waive its |
| 18 | opening statement. Because Florida Rising, LULAC |
| 19 | and ECOSWF share the same representative and are |
| 20 | submitting joint filings, staff recommends that |
| 21 | they be given five minutes to share. |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: I can't believe I am |
| 23 | actually giving somebody five minutes to do an |
| 24 | opening statement. I guess I didn't catch that in |
| 25 | the prehearing order. |

| 1 | Okay, I will stick with the five minutes. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Anybody have any problems with that? Know that you |
| 3 | do not have to use the entire five minutes. |
| 4 | Okay. Other matters? |
| 5 | MR. IMIG: Staff is unaware of any other |
| 6 | matters at this time. |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Are there any other |
| 8 | matters that we have not spoke of so far, any |
| 9 | confusion that I threw out there in the last 25 |
| 10 | minutes? |
| 11 | Seeing none, I thank you all for your time and |
| 12 | your patience. Mr. Moyle |
| 13 | MR. S. WRIGHT: Commissioner, since I have got |
| 14 | everybody's attention, I have a witness scheduling |
| 15 | issue. My witness, Mr. Kushner, cannot get here |
| 16 | until Tuesday night, so he would need to go on |
| 17 | Wednesday. I just wanted everybody to be aware of |
| 18 | that for scheduling purposes. |
| 19 | Thank you for letting me get that out. |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: What's that witness' |
| 21 | name? |
| 22 | MR. S. WRIGHT: Bradley Kushner. |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. |
| 24 | MR. S. WRIGHT: Thank you, sir. |
| 25 | MR. PERKO: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Kushner is also |

| 1 | a witness for JEA, so we would ask for the same |
|----|---|
| 2 | accommodation. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Everybody has |
| 4 | noted that. |
| 5 | Anything else? |
| 6 | MR. MOYLE: Can I ask just a process question? |
| 7 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Sure. |
| 8 | MR. MOYLE: I said I wasn't going to stir |
| 9 | things up any, but I just need a little guidance on |
| 10 | this. It's been a while since we've since |
| 11 | FIPUG, I think, may have actively participated in a |
| 12 | docket. I am not real clear as to who, you know, |
| 13 | what the order of presentation is going to be. I |
| 14 | mean, we have all the utilities in here. Are we |
| 15 | going to take utility A, and they are going to put |
| 16 | on their case and then we are done, and then move |
| 17 | to utility B and C? And then if we are doing that, |
| 18 | is there a sub-breakdown? Like, you know, we are |
| 19 | in Duke and FPL and TECO, we don't feel compelled |
| 20 | necessarily to be out here for JEA and OUC and |
| 21 | others. I am just not clear how that's going to |
| 22 | work. |
| 23 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Have we I haven't |
| 24 | talked to the Chairman for his determination. Are |
| 25 | we just going to do dockets in numerical order? |

1 MS. HELTON: I am not sure, but I think there 2. are some shared witnesses amongst the utilities, so 3 obviously, then I don't think those will be split 4 up by utility. Those will be taken -- each witness 5 will be taken up one time on the stand, is my Is that the way that you all 6 understanding. 7 perceived planning to proceed? 8 MR. S. WRIGHT: In general, that is the plan as we have discussed it -- the utilities, that is. 9 10 And I have discussed this much earlier on, like, 11 weeks and weeks ago, with staff. 12 As I mentioned earlier, I, or my partner Jay, 13 will be presenting and defending the primary direct 14 testimony of Mr. Herndon. He has no rebuttal. The 15 way the order of witnesses is set up, he would be 16 the second witness. My understanding is that 17 that's to accommodate a scheduling need for Duke 18 Energy's witness, Mr. Duff. And that's all fine. 19 But Mr. Herndon is -- our plan is that Mr. 20 Herndon will, as reflected in the order of 21 witnesses in the draft prehearing order, take the 22 stand one time, and that will be it. As we proceed 23 down through the order that staff has laid out 24 here, which is fine with us, we will get to Mr. 25 Kushner, he will be JEA's last witness and OUC's

| 1 | first witness, so he can stay over at the witness |
|----|---|
| 2 | table for one time and then we will continue on. |
| 3 | When the utilities are done, the intervenors, if |
| 4 | they are presenting testimony, will have their |
| 5 | opportunity. And then if there is to be rebuttal, |
| 6 | then we would be presenting our rebuttal testimony. |
| 7 | That's an open issue right now that I need to talk |
| 8 | further with my colleagues and with my client. |
| 9 | Thank you. I think that's got it, Mary Anne. |
| 10 | MS. HELTON: So from I understand that the |
| 11 | order of witnesses, as laid out in the prehearing |
| 12 | order, is the order of witnesses that will be |
| 13 | called, with the exception of if there is some |
| 14 | travel issues that will need to be worked out at |
| 15 | the, you know, time of the hearing. |
| 16 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: So is your witness going |
| 17 | to be called when he arrives, or just at a fixed |
| 18 | time at the end of the day on Tuesday? |
| 19 | MR. S. WRIGHT: Not a fixed time, Mr. |
| 20 | Chairman. He just cannot get here until Tuesday |
| 21 | night, so he would not be available to testify |
| 22 | until Wednesday morning. That's our only |
| 23 | restraint. If it's three o'clock on Wednesday or |
| 24 | 9:30 on Wednesday, that's fine. |
| 25 | Thank you. |

| 1 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Anything else? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. MOYLE: The only other thing I saw is |
| 3 | staff sent out an email about the issue with |
| 4 | respect to the credits and how that's going to be |
| 5 | handled, and it's framed up, I think, in the Duke |
| 6 | case. But they said we are going to put that in |
| 7 | ever case, right? The same same issue. |
| 8 | So I assume that whatever evidence goes in |
| 9 | will I mean, will be able to we are not a |
| 10 | party in every issue, but we will be able to say, |
| 11 | see above, or, you know, see the position in Duke |
| 12 | with respect to all of those issues that are out |
| 13 | there in all the individual cases. |
| 14 | MS. HARPER: Issue 8a and Issue 8b are going |
| 15 | to be addressed in each of the dockets. So there |
| 16 | will be an opportunity for each utility to address |
| 17 | those issues. |
| 18 | MR. MOYLE: Right, but there is not a risk of |
| 19 | a finding on 8a, which is, where should this be |
| 20 | decided in the goals docket or the rate case. I |
| 21 | mean, that's going to be uniformed out, I would |
| 22 | think. There is not a risk because you didn't |
| 23 | participate in another case of it going one way in |
| 24 | one case and another way in another case? |
| 25 | MS. HARPER: Can you |

| 1 | MR. MEANS: I was just going to say, I think |
|----|---|
| 2 | he is asking on a decision on 8a in, like, let's |
| 3 | say Duke's docket will have the same result in the |
| | - |
| 4 | other dockets? |
| 5 | MS. HARPER: It will not. Everybody can put |
| 6 | on their arguments for Issue 8a as they relate to |
| 7 | each individual utility in their respective docket. |
| 8 | So whatever happens in, say, 8a in Duke may not be |
| 9 | the same in 8a in TECO. |
| 10 | MR. MOYLE: All right. Well, I will need to |
| 11 | think about that. Is it too late to intervene in |
| 12 | all those other dockets no. |
| 13 | MS. EATON: And just a follow-on question, |
| 14 | then. To the extent that there is a post-hearing |
| 15 | brief, the parties that participated in certain |
| 16 | dockets and not all, we have filed intervention in |
| 17 | all, but to the ones that filed only in some, they |
| 18 | would be addressing 8a and b for each docket, you |
| 19 | know, one by one? |
| 20 | MS. HARPER: It would be utility specific. I |
| 21 | mean, you don't have to it's up to you how you |
| 22 | want to address it, but we envision each there |
| 23 | would be a placeholder in Issue 8a for the parties |
| 24 | to be able to present legal argument on that. |
| 25 | MR. BREW: But Issue 8a is framed as a general |

| 1 | question, not as a utility specific question. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MS. HARPER: Okay. So if you are so are |
| 3 | you asking do you have to repeat the same thing in |
| 4 | each docket? |
| 5 | MR. BREW: Well, no, because you have said |
| 6 | MS. HARPER: Because it's general? |
| 7 | MR. BREW: Well, because you have six dockets, |
| 8 | and some parties are intervenors in only not all |
| 9 | of them, but the question was framed as a matter of |
| 10 | general policy what should be done. I am having |
| 11 | I am having trouble understanding how you could get |
| 12 | to different results on a general policy question. |
| 13 | MS. HARPER: We just envision that the policy |
| 14 | could be particular to each docket depending on how |
| 15 | the specific utilities are being handling that |
| 16 | issue in each docket, or presenting that issue, or |
| 17 | affected by that issue. So that's why there is an |
| 18 | opportunity to address it in each docket. |
| 19 | If, you know, there is an overarching policy |
| 20 | concern that you have, or question or position that |
| 21 | you have, you know, we want to make sure everybody |
| 22 | can present it in each place. And if there is |
| 23 | utility specific information that informs that |
| 24 | position, we want to be able to see it. |
| 25 | MR. MOYLE: But can I just jump in here? |

| 1 | But it sounds like what you are saying is, is that |
|----|---|
| 2 | it's a factual issue, that facts will inform it. |
| 3 | Because if you have a general policy issue, and you |
| 4 | are deciding it one way in the Duke case and |
| 5 | another way in the FPL case, then it's not really a |
| 6 | legal issue, I wouldn't think, it's more of a |
| 7 | factual issue. Is that right? |
| 8 | MS. HARPER: You could put that in your Issue |
| 9 | 8a. We just want it I am not trying to be |
| 10 | snarky. We just want that discussion to be able to |
| 11 | occur. |
| 12 | MR. MOYLE: We do as well. I just want to |
| 13 | understand the parameters and where we are deciding |
| 14 | it. Like Mr. Brew, I was under the impression that |
| 15 | this is largely imbued with policy about where to |
| 16 | do this. But with the answer saying you could have |
| 17 | different responses to different utilities, that |
| 18 | is |
| 19 | MS. HARPER: I agree that it's primarily a |
| 20 | policy issue. |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Wright. |
| 22 | MR. S. WRIGHT: Thank you, Commissioner. |
| 23 | Just for clarity, this Issue 8, or 8a, neither |
| 24 | 8a nor 8b applies to OUC nor JEA. We are not rate |
| 25 | regulated utilities. It just doesn't apply to us. |

| 1 | Thus, we've taken the position it's not at issue to |
|----|---|
| 2 | us, and I hope staff agrees with that. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: They are all nodding |
| 4 | their head enthusiastically. |
| 5 | MR. S. WRIGHT: I am gratified to see that. |
| 6 | Thank you. |
| 7 | MS. EATON: In other clarification with |
| 8 | respect to what we are going to be filing |
| 9 | after-the-fact, with respect to Issue 8a as framed |
| 10 | by Duke, you would like us to, instead of providing |
| 11 | you with an entire revised prehearing statement, |
| 12 | just provide you with our revised prehearing |
| 13 | statement language for Issue 8 that tracks the Duke |
| 14 | division into a and b. |
| 15 | MS. HARPER: Yes, if you think that will be |
| 16 | more clear, we would prefer that. |
| 17 | MS. EATON: Yes. Thank you. |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Brew, you look like |
| 19 | you are still in a trance. |
| 20 | MR. BREW: Yeah. The Issue A is framed in |
| 21 | terms of where should this issue be addressed for |
| 22 | the FEECA utilities. It's asked as a general |
| 23 | statement of practice. So I am still having |
| 24 | trouble figuring out how it becomes a fact-based |
| 25 | determination for each utility. |

| 1 | MS. HARPER: It's just that we have proposed |
|----|---|
| 2 | we have proposed stipulations floating around |
| 3 | for some dockets and not the others. So I don't |
| 4 | want to preclude anybody from making any kind of |
| 5 | argument in the on that issue in all the various |
| 6 | dockets for the various utilities. Some have |
| 7 | stipulations floating around, and some do not. |
| 8 | MR. BREW: Oh, I understand that. I just |
| 9 | don't understand what we do with it if you get |
| 10 | difference answers. |
| 11 | MS. HARPER: If you feel like you are if |
| 12 | you feel like, perhaps, that the position was going |
| 13 | to be the same throughout, then I would just |
| 14 | recommend that you copy and paste it the same |
| 15 | throughout, but we do want Issue 8a to be available |
| 16 | in each of the dockets. |
| 17 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. |
| 18 | MS. HARPER: We are just trying to contemplate |
| 19 | flexibility amongst the dockets. |
| 20 | COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Anything else? |
| 21 | So once again, I want to thank you guys all |
| 22 | for your time and for all your work to this point, |
| 23 | and hopefully during the hearing we will get |
| 24 | through this easily and quickly and not use five |
| 25 | minutes opening statements. |

```
1
                You guys have a good afternoon. Travel safe.
          We are adjourned.
 2
                 (Proceedings concluded.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
|----|--|
| 2 | STATE OF FLORIDA) |
| 3 | COUNTY OF LEON) |
| 4 | |
| 5 | I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby |
| 6 | certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the |
| 7 | time and place herein stated. |
| 8 | IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I |
| 9 | stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the |
| 10 | same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; |
| 11 | and that this transcript constitutes a true |
| 12 | transcription of my notes of said proceedings. |
| 13 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, |
| 14 | employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor |
| 15 | am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' |
| 16 | attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I |
| 17 | financially interested in the action. |
| 18 | DATED this 1st day of August, 2024. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | DEBRAR KRICK |
| 24 | NOTARY PUBLIC COMMISSION #HH31926 |
| 25 | EXPIRES AUGUST 13, 2024 |