## CORRESPONDENCE 8/28/2024 DOCUMENT NO. 08734-2024

## **Antonia Hover**

From: Antonia Hover on behalf of Records Clerk
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 3:04 PM

To: 'Gil Collver'

**Cc:** Consumer Contact

Subject: RE: Re. Docket #20240032-SU

Good Afternoon, Gibert Collver.

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20240032, and forwarding them to the Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach.

Thank you!

## Toní Hover

Commission Deputy Clerk I Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399 Phone: (850) 413-6467

PLEASE NOTE: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your email message may be subject to public disclosure.

From: Gil Collver <gil.collver@outlook.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 11:10 AM
To: Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>

**Cc:** <u>Commissioner.LaRosa@pcs.state.fl.us</u>; Office of Commissioner Clark < <u>Commissioner.Clark@psc.state.fl.us</u>>; <u>Commissioner.Passidomo@pcs.state.fl.us</u>; <u>Commissioner.Fay@pcs.state.fl.us</u>; Office of Commissioner Graham

<Commissioner.Graham@PSC.STATE.FL.US>

Subject: Re. Docket #20240032-SU

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

My wife Lila and I own a home at 380 Kettle Harbor Drive on Don Pedro Island and are strongly opposed to the effort of a private, FOR-PROFIT company to obtain a MANDATORY sewer system approval from the PSC. There has been no change in need or demand for this proposal since the same attempt was run through the system a year ago. That attempt resulted in 100 percent objection from island property owners for this proposal including clear proof that there is no need and equal proof that there is no want or desire for such a sewer system. In addition that process came at a significant expense to the islanders. I am surprised, disappointed and shocked that the PSC would even consider forcing this demanding and expensive process on the residents of the islands again.

The PSC should recognize that this proposal is clearly a public utility service being sought by a for-profit corporation without any competitive bidding process to assure that the costs to the public are fair, reasonable

and transparent. Under the current circumstances where the PSC has already denied the first request and nothing has changed on the need or demand for a public service utility at the very least any certification to this company should be for a competitive option for island residents to consider when faced with a septic system installation or replacement. Forcing the public to face the costs of removing a septic system that is providing safe and effective processing sewage is unreasonable and unexceptionable especially considering the highly unreasonable charges requested in this proposal.

Gilbert Collver