State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:

September 23, 2024

TO:

Adam J. Teitzman, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk

FROM:

Donna Brown, Bureau Chief, Office of Auditing & Performance Analysis



RE:

Docket No.: 20240046-GU

Company Name: St. Joe Natural Gas Company, Inc.

Company Code: GU610

Audit Purpose: A1f: Rate Case (PAA) Audit Control No.: 2024-193-1-1

Attached is the final audit report for the Utility stated above, I am sending the Utility a copy of this memo and the audit report. If the Utility desires to file a response to the audit report, it should send a response to the Office of the Commission Clerk. There are no confidential work papers associated with this audit.

Attachment: Audit Report

Cc: Office of Auditing & Performance Analysis

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis
Bureau of Auditing

Auditor's Report

St. Joe Natural Gas Company, Inc. Rate Case (PAA)

Historical Year Ended December 31, 2023

Docket No. 20240046-GU Audit Control No. 2024-193-1-1

September 23, 2024

Yen N. Ngo Audit Manager

Kathryn Guan Audit Staff

K. Sai Rashmithe Sai Rashmitha Kolli Audit Staff

Table of Contents

| Purpos | se | 1 |
|---------|---|----|
| Object | ives and Procedures | 2 |
| Audit : | Findings | _ |
| 1: | Cost Allocation Manual (CAM) | 7 |
| 2: | Plant-In-Service (PIS) | 8 |
| 3: | Allocation of Land Value and Plant-in-Service (PIS) | 10 |
| 4: | Depreciation and Amortization | 11 |
| 5: | Cost of Capital | 14 |
| 6: | O&M Expenses | 15 |
| 7: | State Tax Rate | 16 |
| 8: | Allocation of Common Plant | 17 |
| 9: | Deferred Income Taxes | 18 |
| 10: | Allocation for Director's Fee | 19 |
| 11: | Allocation for Advertising Expenses | 20 |
| Exhibi | its | |
| 1: | Rate Base | 21 |
| 2: | Net Operating Income | 22 |
| 3: | Capital Structure | 23 |

Purpose

To: Florida Public Service Commission

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the objectives set forth by the Division of Accounting & Finance in its audit service request dated July 8, 2024. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by St. Joe Natural Gas Company, Inc. in support of its filing for rate relief in Docket No. 20240046-GU.

The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

Objectives and Procedures

General

Definition

SJNG refers St. Joe Natural Gas Company, Inc.

FERC refers to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

USOA refers to the FERC Uniform System of Accounts as adopted by Commission Rule 25-7.014 – Records and Reports in General, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.)

Background

St. Joe Natural Gas Company, Inc. filed a petition for a permanent rate increase on July 8, 2024, with a historical test year ending December 31, 2023, and a projected test year ending December 31, 2024.

SJNG, located in Port St. Joe, provides natural gas and non-regulated propane services and appliance. The natural gas division has approximately 3,046 customers and fourteen full-time employees.

The Utility's last petition for rate relief was granted by Order No. PSC-2008-0436-PAA-GU, in Docket No. 20070592-GU, using a projected test year of December 31, 2008.

Objective: The objective of this audit was to determine whether the Utility's 2023 historical year end filing in Docket No. 20240046-GU was consistent and in compliance with Section 366.06 – Rates, Procedures for Fixing and Changing, Florida Statutes (F.S.), and Commission Rule 25-7.014(3) – Records and Reports in General.

Procedures: We performed the following specific procedures to satisfy the overall objective identified above.

Analytical Review

Objective: The objective was to perform an analytical review of the Utility's rate case filing using prior annual reports filed with the Commission.

Procedures: Audit staff performed the analytical review comparing the annual percentage changes from 2007 through 2023 for depreciable and amortizable assets, and construction-of-work-in-progress. In addition, we compared the percentage changes for total revenues and total expenses from 2007 through 2023. We requested explanations from the Utility for any significant changes for depreciable and amortizable assets, construction-work-in-progress, revenues, and expenses. The Utility-provided explanations were sufficient and further follow-up was not required.

Rate Base

Utility Plant

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether Utility Plant in Service (UPIS) exists and is owned by the utility, additions are authentic and recorded at original cost, proper retirements were made when a replacement asset was put into service, and UPIS was properly classified in compliance with the USOA. Additional objectives were to determine whether UPIS balances were properly stated based on Commission-ordered adjustments made in the prior rate case and to determine the 13-month average balance for UPIS as of December 31, 2023.

Procedures: We reconciled plant balances in the MFRs to the Utility's books and records for the period December 31, 2008, to December 31, 2023. We also recalculated the 13-month average balance. We statistically selected, and tested, the plant additions in Account 101 – Plant-in-Service. Finding 2, 3 and 8 discuss our recommendations.

Land & Land Rights

Objectives: The objectives were to verify the land additions, retirements, and adjustments for 2023, and determine whether the Company's property records were maintained in accordance with Commission Rule 25-7.014.

Procedures: We recalculated the land balance from December 31, 2008, to December 31, 2023 and traced the land balance to the general ledger. We requested a list of land purchases since the prior audit and reviewed the deeds supporting the land purchases. No exceptions were noted.

Construction Work in Progress (CWIP)

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the CWIP balances were properly stated based on Commission-ordered adjustments in the prior rate case and noted in Order No. PSC-20208-0346-PAA-GU, and to recalculate the 13-month average balance for CWIP as of December 31, 2023.

Procedures: We reconciled the CWIP amounts in the MFRs to the Utility's books and records for the period December 31, 2008, to December 31, 2023. We also recalculated the 13-month average balance. In addition, we statistically sampled projects for testing. No exceptions were noted.

Accumulated Depreciation

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether accruals, retirements and adjustments to accumulated depreciation were properly recorded in compliance with the USOA, to determine whether the utility used the depreciation rates established in prior orders, and to determine whether the balances are properly stated based on Commission-ordered adjustments in the prior rate case, and to recalculate the 13-month average balance for accumulated depreciation as of December 31, 2023.

Procedures: We reconciled the accumulated depreciation amounts in the MFRs to the Utility's books and records for the period December 31, 2008, to December 31, 2023. We reconciled the

Commission-ordered balances to the general ledger and MFRs. We recalculated the 13-month average balance. We traced the depreciation rates to the depreciation study orders, Order No. PSC-2013-0174-PAA-GU and Order No. PSC-2018-0368-PAA-GU. We recalculated the 13-month average balance for UPIS to determine that the Utility used the depreciation rates recommended in Order No. PSC-2023-0215-PAA-GU. Findings 4 and 8 discuss our recommendations.

Working Capital

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the working capital account balances were properly stated based on Commission Rules and Commission-ordered adjustments in the prior rate case and noted in Order No. PSC-2008-0436-PAA-GU, and to recalculate the 13-month average balance.

Procedures: We recalculated and reconciled the working capital components in the MFRs. We verified that the Working Capital adjustments were consistent with the prior rate case. We determined if the Working Capital accounts were interest bearing, and tested all transactions in clearing accounts, prepayments, miscellaneous deferred debits, other deferred credits, and accrued liabilities. We confirmed those accounts were properly booked and recorded. No exceptions were noted.

Capital Structure

Equity

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether equity account balances represented the utility's actual equity and to recalculate the 13-month average balance for equity for the historical year ended December 31, 2023.

Procedures: We reconciled the equity balances to the Utility provided support and to the general ledger. We recalculated the 13-month average of the equity balances. Finding 5 discusses our recommendation.

Long-Term Debt

Objectives: The objectives were to recalculate the 13-month average balance for Long-Term Debt as of December 31, 2023. We also were to determine whether the Long-Term Debt balances represented actual obligations of the Utility and were properly recorded.

Procedures: We recalculated the cost rate and the 13-month average balances. We also reconciled long-term debt to support documentation and identified all additions and extinguishments since the last rate proceeding. No exceptions were noted.

Customer Deposits

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether customer deposit balances represented actual obligations of the utility, determine if customer deposits were properly recorded, and to recalculate the 13-month average customer deposit balance.

Procedures: We reconciled customer deposits to the general ledger. We recalculated the 13-month average balance for customer deposits. Audit staff verified the Utility used the proper interest on customer deposits. No other exceptions were noted.

Net Operating Income

Operating Revenue

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the revenues for the historical base year per the Utility's MFRs were representative of the Utility's books and records and to verify that all classes of customer bills are calculated correctly and are in compliance with the tariffed rates. An additional objective was to determine whether unbilled revenues were calculated properly.

Procedures: We requested revenue balances and unbilled revenues by month and rate class. We reconciled the Utility provided support to the general ledger. We requested samples of all classes of customer bills and the tariffs. We recalculated all sample bills for accuracy and compliance with tariffed rates. We recalculated unbilled revenues to verify for accuracy. No exceptions were noted.

Operation and Maintenance Expense

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the Operation and Maintenance (O&M) expenses were properly recorded in compliance with the USOA, adequately supported by documentation, and in the correct amount and in the correct period.

Procedures: We recalculated the O&M expenses for 2023, and reconciled them to the MFRs. We verified, based on statistical samples of utility transactions, that the sample transactions were adequately supported by source documentation, were utility in nature, did not include non-utility items, and were recorded consistent with the USOA. We reviewed samples of expenses to ensure that amounts supporting non-utility operations were removed. We verified payroll expense, and confirmed it has been properly recorded and reflected in the MFRs. Audit staff found that the monthly O&M expenses presented in the MFR filing were estimated amounts when they should be actual amounts. Findings 6, 10 and 11 discuss our recommendations.

Depreciation and Amortization

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether depreciation and amortization expense was properly recorded in compliance with the USOA, and to determine that depreciation and amortization expense accruals were calculated using the proper rates established in prior Commission orders.

Procedures: We reconciled the depreciation expense from the MFR schedules to the general ledger. We reconciled depreciation a rates from the Utility-provided schedules to Commission Order No. PSC-2020-0485-FOF-GU. We determined that the Utility did not have any amortization expense. We recalculated a sample of depreciation expense and reconciled the plant balances used for recalculation to the general ledger. Findings 4 and 8 discuss our recommendations.

Taxes Other than Income

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether Taxes Other Than Income (TOTI) was properly recorded and supported by adequate documentation.

Procedures: We reconciled the components of TOTI to the general ledger. We reviewed FPSC Regulatory Assessment Fee (RAF) forms filed by the Utility and recalculated the RAF using the FPSC RAF rate. We reviewed federal unemployment, state unemployment, and FICA taxes as reflected in the MFRs and reconciled them to the general ledger. We also recalculated the Gross Receipt Taxes (GRT) paid by the Utility and reconciled them to the general ledger and supporting documentation. We verified real estate and tangible property taxes incurred by the Utility for the test year and ensured that all property tax expense reflected the maximum discount available. We reviewed the accruals for franchise fees and reconciled them to the general ledger and the MFRs. No exceptions were noted.

Income Taxes

Objective: The objective was to determine whether federal and state income taxes reflected on MFR Schedule G-2 were properly recorded.

Procedures: We recalculated deferred income taxes and the state and the federal income taxes for 2023, and reconciled to MFR Schedule G-2. We verified the state and federal rate, and determined the Utility used the correct federal rate, but incorrect state tax rate. Findings 7 and 9 discuss our recommendations.

Other

External/Internal Audits

Objective: The objective was to determine if there were any exceptions and disclosures noted in any external/internal audits that may be applicable to this case.

Procedures: We requested all external and internal audit reports. We reviewed the external audits and determined that there were no internal audits performed. No further work was performed.

Affiliate Transactions

Objectives: The objectives were to review intercompany charges to and from divisions, affiliated companies, and non-regulated operations, to determine if costs were allocated pursuant to Commission Rules. Additional objectives were to determine the original amounts allocated, whether the methodology was reasonable, and to check for accuracy and consistent application.

Procedures: Audit staff requested a written cost of allocation manual (CAM) and written policies and procedures for affiliate transactions. We examined items that were allocated and recalculated the allocation percentages provided by the Utility. Finding 1 discusses our recommendation.

Audit Findings

Finding 1: Cost Allocation Manual (CAM)

Audit Analysis: Rule 25-6.1351(6), Florida Administrative Code, states "Each utility involved in affiliate transactions or in nonregulated activities must maintain a Cost Allocation Manual (CAM). The CAM must be organized and indexed so that the information contained therein can be easily accessed."

The utility operates natural gas, propane gas, and appliance businesses. While the utility provided the how some costs are allocated between its three businesses, it does not have a written CAM. As a result, there is no consistency in how various costs are allocated or in its allocation policies and procedures.

Effect on the General Ledger: The utility should determine the effect on the general ledger.

Effect on the Filing: The utility should determine the effect on the filing.

Finding 2: Plant-In-Service (PIS)

Audit Analysis: Audit staff performed a statistical sample of PIS and determined that the Utility transferred three trucks, totaling \$115,070, to its propane division on March 31, 2021. In addition, the Utility also transferred two trucks in the amount of \$113,326 to the propane division on July 31, 2022. Audit staff believes that these trucks should be treated as sales transactions instead of simply removing the trucks from the general ledger. Due to some of the trucks not being fully depreciated at the time of the transfer, audit staff believes the natural gas division should recover some salvage value from the propane division for the receipt of the trucks.

<u>Table 2-1</u>

| Account | Description | | Debit | Credit |
|--|---|----|------------------|----------------------|
| PIS | | | | |
| | Transferred from Utility Plant - F150 | | | |
| 92 · Transportation Equipment | #1FTMF1CF9GKD69654, 2016 to Propane Plant | | | \$ 38,3 |
| | Transferred from Utility Plant - F150 | | | |
| 92 · Transportation Equipment | #1FTNF1CF0HKC15758, 2018 to Propane Plant | | | \$ 38,9 |
| | Transferred 2017 Ford F-150 Truck to Propane, | | | |
| 92 · Transportation Equipment | VIN#1FTNF1CF3HKC87117 from NG | | | \$ 37,7 |
| | Total | | | \$ 115,0 |
| Acc Dep | | | | |
| | Transferred F150 #1FTMF1CF9GKD69654, 2016 Truck | | | |
| 08 · Depreciation | to Propane | \$ | 24,651 | |
| · | Transferred F150 #1FTNF1CF0HKC15758, 2018 Truck | | | |
| 08 · Depreciation | to Propane | \$ | 15,303 | |
| 55 50p. 55.20.51 | Transferred Ford F-150 Truck | | | |
| 08 · Depreciation | Vin#1FTNF1CF3HKC87177 To Propane | \$ | 12,355 | |
| oo · Debreciation | | • | | |
| oo · Depreciation | Total | \$ | 52,309 | - |
| oo · bepreciation | | | | |
| 2022 PIS Transferred Fro | Total | | | |
| · | Total | | | Credit |
| 022 PIS Transferred Fro | Total m Gas to Propane | | 52,309 | Credit |
| 022 PIS Transferred Fro Account | Total m Gas to Propane | | 52,309 | Credit |
| 022 PIS Transferred Fro Account PIS | Total m Gas to Propane Description | | 52,309 | \$ Credit 45,7 |
| O22 PIS Transferred Fro Account PIS 92 · Transportation Equipment | Total m Gas to Propane Description Transferred 2009 Ford F150 to Propane - VIN#1FTPW14V39FB14965 Transferred 2016 F150 Truck to Propane - | | 52,309 | \$ 45,7 |
| 022 PIS Transferred Fro Account PIS 92 · Transportation Equipment | Total m Gas to Propane Description Transferred 2009 Ford F150 to Propane - VIN#1FTPW14V39FB14965 | | 52,309 | \$ 45,7 67,5 |
| 2022 PIS Transferred Fro Account PIS 92 · Transportation Equipment | Total m Gas to Propane Description Transferred 2009 Ford F150 to Propane - VIN#1FTPW14V39FB14965 Transferred 2016 F150 Truck to Propane - | | 52,309 | \$ 45,7 67,5 |
| O22 PIS Transferred Fro Account PIS 92 · Transportation Equipment | Total m Gas to Propane Description Transferred 2009 Ford F150 to Propane - VIN#1FTPW14V39FB14965 Transferred 2016 F150 Truck to Propane - VIN#1FTEW1FF3GKD50356 | | 52,309 | \$ 45,7 67,5 |
| 022 PIS Transferred Fro Account PIS 92 · Transportation Equipment 92 · Transportation Equipment | Total m Gas to Propane Description Transferred 2009 Ford F150 to Propane - VIN#1FTPW14V39FB14965 Transferred 2016 F150 Truck to Propane - VIN#1FTEW1FF3GKD50356 | | 52,309 | \$ 45,7 67,5 |
| 2022 PIS Transferred Fro Account PIS 92 · Transportation Equipment 92 · Transportation Equipment | Total m Gas to Propane Description Transferred 2009 Ford F150 to Propane - VIN#1FTPW14V39FB14965 Transferred 2016 F150 Truck to Propane - VIN#1FTEW1FF3GKD50356 Total | | 52,309 | \$ 45,7 67,5 |
| PIS | Total m Gas to Propane Description Transferred 2009 Ford F150 to Propane - VIN#1FTPW14V39FB14965 Transferred 2016 F150 Truck to Propane - VIN#1FTEW1FF3GKD50356 Total Transferred 2009 Ford F150 to Propane - VIN#1FTPW14V39FB14965 | \$ | 52,309 De bit | \$ 45,7 67,5 |
| PIS | Total m Gas to Propane Description Transferred 2009 Ford F150 to Propane - VIN#1FTPW14V39FB14965 Transferred 2016 F150 Truck to Propane - VIN#1FTEW1FF3GKD50356 Total Transferred 2009 Ford F150 to Propane - | \$ | 52,309 De bit | \$ |

Table 2-2

| Calculation of the Sales of Trucks | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----|---------|-----|-----------|
| Accumulated Depreciation - 2021 | \$ | 52,309 | | |
| Accumulated Depreciation - 2022 | | 93,282 | _ | |
| Total Accumulated Depreciation | \$ | 145,590 | | |
| Transporation Equipment - 2021 | | | \$ | 115,070 |
| Transporation Equipment - 2022 | | | \$_ | 113,326 |
| Total PIS | | | \$ | 228,396 |
| Transportation Equipment | | | \$ | 228,396 |
| Less: Accumulated Depreciation | | | \$ | (145,590) |
| Value of Trucks | | | \$ | 82,805 |

Effect on the General Ledger: The Utility should determine the effect on the general ledger.

Effect on the Filing: The book value of the transferred trucks is detailed in Table 2-2. However, audit staff was unable to determine what methodology should be used to determine what salvage value should be included in revenues as a result of the transfer.

Finding 3: Allocation of Land Value and Plant-in-Service (PIS)

Audit Analysis: Audit staff determined that the Utility decreased Account 374 - Land & Land Rights - Distribution by \$70,794.75 (65% of \$108,915) and \$73,477 (35% of the sum of \$101,019.66 and \$108,915) during 2019. These adjustments were allocated with 35% assigned to Propane and 65% assigned to Natural Gas. The Utility also allocated natural gas PIS to propane. We requested supporting documentation for the basis of these allocations, but the Utility did not provide any documentation to support them.

Table 3-1

| Account No. | Description | Date | Allocation % | Amount |
|-------------|-------------------------------|----------|--------------|-----------|
| 381 | Meter - Auto Gas Allocation | 12/30/16 | 30% | \$ 33,552 |
| 374 | Land & Land Rights - Distrub. | 01/01/19 | 35% | \$ 73,477 |
| 375 | Building & Improvement | 01/01/19 | 35% | \$ 70,795 |

Effect on the General Ledger: The Utility should determine the effect on the general ledger.

Effect on the Filing: The Utility should determine the effect on the filing.

Finding 4: Depreciation and Amortization

Audit Analysis: Audit staff recalculated the depreciation expense for the historical year ended December 31, 2023, and discovered that the Utility used an incorrect depreciation rate of 1.8% for Account 390 – Structures & Improvement instead of the Commission-approved 7.2%. In addition, 23.93% of Account 390 – Structures & Improvement, was allocated to the Utility's non-regulated operations. As a result of the incorrect depreciation rate, audit staff determined that the total depreciation expense should be decreased by \$160. Additionally, the 13-month average of accumulated depreciation for Account – 390 should be increased by \$357. See the Tables below for the detailed calculations and adjustments.

Table 4-1

| Account Description | Utility Depreciation Rate | Commission Approved Rate |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 390 - Structures & Improvement | 1.8% | 2.2% |

Table 4-2

| Acct. | | Depreciation | | | | | | De | preciation |
|--------|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----|---------|------|----------|----|------------|
| No. | Account Title | Rates | PIS 2023 | | Per MFR | Adju | ustments | E | Expense |
| DEPREC | IABLE ASSETS | | | | - | | | | |
| 375 | Building & Improvements | 2.70% | \$ 164,838 | \$ | 4,451 | \$ | - | \$ | 4,451 |
| 376.1 | Mains - Plastic | 3.20% | \$ 1,533,658 | \$ | 49,075 | \$ | 2 | \$ | 49,077 |
| 376.2 | Mains - Steel | 3.00% | \$ 3,072,346 | \$ | 92,172 | \$ | (2) | \$ | 92,170 |
| 378 | M & R Equipment (Distribution) | 2.70% | \$ 103,447 | \$ | 2,793 | \$ | • | \$ | 2,793 |
| 379 | M & R Equipment (City Gate) | 1.90% | \$ 459,066 | \$ | 8,722 | \$ | - | \$ | 8,722 |
| 380.1 | Services - Plastic | 3.10% | \$ 1,116,897 | \$ | 34,626 | \$ | (2) | \$ | 34,624 |
| 380.2 | Services - Steel | 8.00% | \$ 92,058 | \$ | 7,365 | \$ | - | \$ | 7,365 |
| 381 | Meters | 3.90% | \$ 813,700 | \$ | 31,814 | \$ | (80) | \$ | 31,734 |
| 382 | Meter Installation | 3.90% | \$ 123,047 | \$ | 4,799 | \$ | - | \$ | 4,799 |
| 383 | Regulators | 3.30% | \$ 267,538 | \$ | 8,838 | \$ | (9) | \$ | 8,829 |
| 384 | Regulator Installation | 3.90% | \$ 79,776 | \$ | 3,112 | \$ | (1) | \$ | 3,111 |
| 385 | Industrial M & R Equipment | 3.70% | \$ 53,010 | \$ | 1,963 | \$ | (2) | \$ | 1,961 |
| 387 | Other Equipment (Fully Depreciated) | 7.10% | \$ 13,469 | - | | - | | - | |
| 390 | Structures & Improvements | 2.20% | \$ 178,162 | \$ | 3,207 | \$ | 713 | \$ | 3,920 |
| 391.1 | Office Equipment - Furniture | 6.60% | \$ 10,904 | \$ | 720 | \$ | - | \$ | 720 |
| 391.2 | Office Equipment - Devices | 12.00% | \$ 24,351 | \$ | 2,921 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 2,922 |
| 391.3 | Office Equipment - Computers | 7.60% | \$ 102,642 | \$ | 7,811 | \$ | (10) | \$ | 7,801 |
| 392 | Transportation | 16.90% | \$ 619,177 | \$ | 105,408 | \$ | (767) | \$ | 104,641 |
| 394 | Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment | 4.90% | \$ 61,006 | \$ | 2,990 | \$ | (1) | \$ | 2,989 |
| 396 | Pow er Operated Equipment | 6.40% | \$ 112,262 | \$ | 7,186 | \$ | (1) | \$ | 7,185 |
| 397 | Communication Equipment | 20.30% | \$ 1,408 | \$ | 286 | \$ | • | \$ | 286 |
| | TOTAL | | \$ 9,002,763 | \$ | 380,259 | \$ | (160) | \$ | 380,099 |

Table 4-3

| Recalculated of Account 390 - Accumulated Depreciation | | | | | | |
|--|----|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Month Amount | | | | | | |
| Dec-22 | \$ | 123,947 | | | | |
| Jan-23 | \$ | 124,274 | | | | |
| Feb-23 | \$ | 124,600 | | | | |
| Mar-23 | \$ | 124,927 | | | | |
| Apr-23 | \$ | 125,253 | | | | |
| May-23 | \$ | 125,580 | | | | |
| Jun-23 | \$ | 125,907 | | | | |
| Jul-23 | \$ | 126,233 | | | | |
| Aug-23 | \$ | 126,560 | | | | |
| Sep-23 | \$ | 126,887 | | | | |
| Oct-23 | \$ | 127,213 | | | | |
| Nov-23 | \$ | 127,540 | | | | |
| Dec-23 | \$ | 127,867 | | | | |
| 13 Month Average | \$ | 125,907 | | | | |
| Per Utility | \$ | (125,550) | | | | |
| Adjustment | \$ | 357 | | | | |

Table 4-4

| Allocation of Depreciation Exp | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Dec 23 AD | \$ | 644,115 | | | | | |
| Jan 23 AD | \$ | (550,135) | | | | | |
| Dep Exp 2023 | \$ | 93,980 | | | | | |
| Allocation | | 23.93% | | | | | |
| Common Plant Allocation | \$ | 22,489 | | | | | |

Table 4-5

| Recalculation of 13 Month A | verag | ge Accumula | ated Depreciation | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------|--|
| | 13 MONTH | | NONUTILITY | 13 MONTH AVG | | |
| RECALCULATED AD ALLOCATION | Α | VERAGE | % | NONUTILITY | | |
| | _ | | | _ | 2.240 | |
| 387 OTHER EQUIPMENT | \$ | 13,840 | 23.93% | \$ | 3,312 | |
| 389 LAND AND LAND RIGHTS | \$ | - | 23.93% | \$ | - | |
| 390 STRUCTURES & IMPROVEMENTS | \$ | 125,907 | 23.93% | \$ | 30,130 | |
| 391 OFFICE FURNITURE | \$ | 8,114 | 23.93% | \$ | 1,942 | |
| 391 OFFICE EQUIPMENT | \$ | 11,513 | 23.93% | \$ | 2,755 | |
| 391 COMPUTERS | \$ | 51,560 | 23.93% | \$ | 12,338 | |
| 392 TRANSPORTATION | \$ | 281,429 | 23.93% | \$ | 67,346 | |
| 394 TOOLS AND WORK EQUIPMENT | \$ | 22,783 | 23.93% | \$ | 5,452 | |
| 396 POWER OPERATED EQUIPMENT | \$ | 76,077 | 23.93% | \$ | 18,205 | |
| 397 COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT | \$ | (714) | 23.93% | \$ | (171) | |
| 398 MISC. EQUIPMENT | \$ | - | 23.93% | \$ | - | |
| TOTAL | \$ | 590,509 | | \$ | 141,309 | |
| PER UTILITY | | | | \$ | (141,223) | |
| | | | | \$ | 86 | |

Effect on the General Ledger: The Utility should determine the effect on the general ledger.

Effect on the Filing: Audit staff determined that the total depreciation expense should be decreased by \$160. The 13-month average of accumulated depreciation for Account – 390 should be increased by \$357. The total allocated depreciation expense to the common plant was \$22,489. The allocated accumulated depreciation of Account - 390 should be increased by \$86 (\$30,129 – 30,443). The total allocated accumulated depreciation should be increased \$86 (141,309 – 141,223) as well.

Finding 5: Cost of Capital

Audit Analysis: Audit staff determined that the Utility recorded two different amounts for the total shareholders equity on MFR Schedule G-1, page 6 and MFR Schedule G-3, page 1. The Utility's filing reflects total shareholders equity totaling \$4,375,856 and \$4,399,938. However, the actual total shareholders equity should be \$4,375,856. The Non-Utility adjustments filed on Schedule G-3, page 1 were incorrect. In addition, the Non-Utility adjustment for Common Equity should be \$2,648,805, for Long Term Debt should be \$181,596, and for Customer Deposits should be \$110,710. The total Non-Utility reductions should be \$4,525,712. See table 5-1.

| <u>Table 5-1</u> | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Cost of Capital | Non-Utility Adjustment Per MFR | Adjustment | Non-Utility Adjustment Per Auditor | | | | | | |
| Common Equity | \$(2,730,834) | \$ 82,029 | \$(2,648,805) | | | | | | |
| Long Term Debt | \$ (186,196) | \$ 4,600 | \$ (181,596) | | | | | | |
| Long Term Debt - Nonutility | \$(1,573,734) | \$ - | \$(1,573,734) | | | | | | |
| Customer Deposits | \$ - | \$ (110,710) | \$ (110,710) | | | | | | |
| Deferred Credits - FCPC | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | | | | | |
| Deferred Income Taxes | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | | | | | |
| Propane Deposits | \$ (10,867) | \$ (0) | \$ (10,867) | | | | | | |
| Total | \$(4,501,631) | \$ (24,081) | \$(4,525,712) | | | | | | |

Effect on the General Ledger: The Utility should determine the effect on the general ledger.

Effect on the Filing: Audit staff determined the total shareholders equity to be \$4,375,856. In addition, the Non-Utility adjustment for Common Equity should be \$2,648,805, \$181,596 for Long-Term Debt and \$110,710 for Customer Deposits. The total Non-Utility reductions should be \$4,525,712.

Finding 6: O&M Expenses

Audit Analysis: Audit staff recalculated the O&M expenses, and reconciled the expenses reflected on MFR Schedule G-2, pages 10 through 19 to the general ledger. While reconciling MFR Schedule G-2, we determined the Utility used estimated monthly O&M expenses. The Utility should report actual monthly O&M expenses instead of monthly estimated expenses. After recalculating, audit staff determined that there was an immaterial (\$25) variance between the total O&M expenses per audit staff and the MFR. See Table 6-1.

Table 6-1

| 2023 | O&M Expense stimated | Adj | justments | O&M Expense Actual | | |
|-----------|----------------------------|-----|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|--|
| January | \$ 120,507 | \$ | 8,424 | \$ | 128,931 | |
| February | \$ 120,507 | \$ | (10,125) | \$ | 110,382 | |
| March | \$ 120,507 | \$ | (8,759) | \$ | 111,748 | |
| April | \$ 120,507 | \$ | (15,585) | \$ | 104,922 | |
| May | \$ 120,507 | \$ | 7,832 | \$ | 128,339 | |
| June | \$ 120,507 | \$ | 2,438 | \$ | 122,945 | |
| July | \$ 120,507 | \$ | (4,481) | \$ | 116,026 | |
| August | \$ 120,507 | \$ | 4,079 | \$ | 124,586 | |
| September | \$ 120,507 | \$ | (9,816) | \$ | 110,691 | |
| October | \$ 120,507 | \$ | (6,847) | \$ | 113,660 | |
| November | \$ 120,507 | \$ | (16,455) | \$ | 104,052 | |
| December | \$ 120,505 | \$ | 49,269 | \$ | 169,774 | |
| TOTAL | \$ 1,446,082 | \$ | (25) | \$ ′ | 1,446,057 | |

The Finding is for informational purposes only.

Finding 7: State Tax Rate

Audit Analysis: The state tax rate used by the Utility was 4.6% per MFR Schedule G-2. Audit staff verified and confirmed the correct state tax rate should be 5.5%. The Utility did not provide any support for the use of the incorrect tax rate. In addition, audit staff was unable to determine the effect on the filing due to the Utility's use of the 4.6% rate instead of the 5.5% rate.

This finding is for informational purposes only.

Finding 8: Allocation of Common Plant

Audit Analysis: Audit staff reviewed MFR Schedule F-1 and noticed that the adjustments listed for common plant allocated and accumulated depreciation – utility plant were for the year ended 2023. The Utility's adjustments were \$216,805 and 125,229 for 2022. Audit staff recalculated the rate of return using the correct 2023 adjustment for common plan allocated and accumulated depreciation – utility plant in the amounts of \$275,578 and \$141,309, respectively. See Table 8-1.

Table 8-1

| | | AVERAGE | | | _ | ADJUSTED | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----|-------------|----|-------------|--|
| ARTHURN BLANT | PER BOOKS ADJUSTMENT | | | | | | |
| UTILITY PLANT | | | AL | YOU IN EN | | | |
| PLANT IN SERVICE | \$ | 9,253,814 | | | \$ | 9,253,814 | |
| COMMON PLANT ALLOCATED | | | \$ | (275,578) | \$ | (275,578) | |
| CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS | \$ | 158,646 | | | \$ | 158,646 | |
| TOTAL PLANT | \$ | 9,412,460 | \$ | (275,578) | \$ | 9,136,882 | |
| DEDUCTIONS | | | | | | | |
| ACCUM DEPR - UTILITY PLANT | \$ | (6,180,338) | \$ | 141,309 | \$ | (6,039,115) | |
| CUSTOMER ADVANCES FOR CONST. | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL DEDUCTIONS | \$ | (6,180,338) | \$ | 141,309 | \$ | (6,039,115) | |
| PLANT NET | \$ | 3,232,122 | \$ | (134,269) | \$ | 3,097,767 | |
| ALLOWANCE FOR WORKING CAPITAL | | | | | | | |
| BALANCE SHEET METHOD | \$ | 1,047,906 | \$ | (948,051) | \$ | 99,855 | |
| TOTAL RATE BASE | \$ | 4,280,028 | \$ | (1,082,406) | \$ | 3,197,622 | |
| NET OPERATING INCOME | \$ | (305,268) | | | \$ | (305,268) | |
| RATE OF RETURN | | -7.13% | | 0.00% | | -9.55% | |

Effect on the General Ledger: The Utility should determine the effect on the general ledger.

Effect on the Filing: The Rate of Return in the Rate Base schedule was increased to (9.55%) instead of (7.13%).

Finding 9: Deferred Income Taxes

Audit Analysis: Audit staff recalculated the MFR Schedule G-2 and determined that the deferred income taxes for the Utility was incorrect. The Utility filed the estimated deferred income taxes with the amount of \$89,518 on MFR Schedule G-2, page 26, which contained the actual deferred tax expenses with the total of state income tax and federal income tax. The accountant from the Utility could not provide the supporting documentation to show how the estimated deferred income were derived. Similarly, the Utility's accountant could not provide how the estimated tax depreciation and amortization were calculated. Therefore, audit staff could not recalculate the state income tax, the federal income tax, and the deferred tax expenses due to lack of information from the Utility. In addition, the Utility does not allocate total income tax liability between the different divisions of the company.

The Finding is for informational purposes only.

Finding 10: Allocation for Director's Fee

Audit Analysis: Audit staff determined the Utility had no allocation for Account 930.03 - Director's Fees, which totaled \$30,000. The Utility stated that its director's fee has been paid on behalf of the Utility since prior to 2000, and it has not been adjusted for the new appliance and propane divisions. Audit staff believes that this fee should be allocated between the natural gas, appliance, and propane divisions.

This finding is for informational purposes only.

Finding 11: Allocation for Advertising Expenses

Audit Analysis: Audit staff determined the Utility's allocation methodology for advertising expenses was calculated incorrectly. The Utility's advertising expenses for 2023 totaled \$1,454 for three transactions which included a Chamber of Commerce advertisement in the amount of \$500, promotional hats in the amount of \$734.45, and \$250.00 for Partners in Education at Port St. Joe Elementary.

The Chamber of Commerce expense was allocated based on the number of customers for natural gas and propane in 2022. The number of customers in 2022 was 3,071 for natural gas and 484 for propane, which totals 3,555. The Utility estimated the portion related to the regulated utility and propane operations in the amount of \$470, and \$30, respectively. However, the actual percentage for natural gas was 86.39%, and as a result, the allocated Chamber of Commerce advertising expense for natural gas operations should be \$432. The Utility had a \$38 overstated expense. See Table 11-1.

Table 11-1

| Division | Number of Customers | % of Total Customers | Advertising Expenses per Audit | Advertising Expenses per Utility | Adjustment |
|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|------------|
| Natural Gas | 3,071 | 86.39% | \$432 | \$470 | (\$38) |
| Propane | 484 | 13.61% | \$68 | \$30 | |
| Total | 3,555 | 100.00% | \$500 | \$500 | _ |

The utility's advertising expenses also included the purchase of promotional hats in the amount of \$734.45. However, the Utility did not allocate nor had no allocation method for this expense. Audit staff believes that this advertising expense be reduced and allocated between the natural gas, appliance, and propane divisions.

Effect on the General Ledger: The Utility should determine the effect on the general ledger.

Effect on the Filing: The Utility should determine the effect on the filing.

Exhibits

Exhibit 1: Rate Base

' did not allocate NU on 2023 ESR

| SCHEDULE F-1 | | CALCULATION OF INTER | F | PAGE 1 OF 1 | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|-------------|--|
| FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION COMPANY: ST. JOE NATURAL GAS COMPANY | | EXPLANATION: PROVIDE A SO RATE BA | CHEDULE CALCULATING A 13-MONTH AVERAGE SE FOR INTERIM RATE RELIEF. | TYPE OF DATA SHOWN: HISTORIC BASE YEAR DATA + 1: 12/31/23 WITNESS: STITT | | |
| DOCKET | NO: 20240046-GU | | | | | |
| LINE NO. | UTILITY PLANT | AVERAGE PER BOOKS | ADJUSTMENT | ADJUSTED AVERAGE | | |
| 1 2 3 4 5 | PLANT IN SERVICE COMMON PLANT ALLOCATED ACOUISITION ADJUSTMENT PROPERTY HELD FOR FUTURE USE CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS | \$9,253,814 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$158,646 | 0 (216.805) 0 0 0 | 9,253,814 (216,805) 0 0 158,646 | (\$216,805) | |
| 6 | TOTAL PLANT | \$9,412,460 | (216,805) | 9.195,655 | | |
| | DEDUCTIONS | | | | | |
| 7 8 9 10 11 | ACCUM. DEPR - UTILITY PLANT CUSTOMER ADVANCES FOR CONST. | (\$6,180,338) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | 125,229 0 0 0 0 | (6,055,109) 0 0 0 0 | \$125,229 | |
| 12 | TOTAL DEDUCTIONS | (\$6,180,338) | 125,229 | (6,055,109) | | |
| 13 | PLANT NET | \$3,232,122 | (91,576) | 3,140,546 | | |
| | ALLOWANCE FOR WORKING CAPITAL | | | | | |
| 14 | BALANCE SHEET METHOD | \$1,047,906 | (948,051) | 99,855 | | |
| 15 | TOTAL RATE BASE | \$4.280,028 | (1,039,627) | 3,240,401 | (\$91.576) | |
| 16 | NET OPERATING INCOME | (\$305,268) | 00 | (305,268) | | |
| 17 | RATE OF RETURN | <u>-7.13%</u> | | -9,42% | | |

Exhibit 2: Net Operating Income

| SCHEDULE F-4 | | CALCUL | ATION OF INTERIM RATE RELIEF-I | NET CPERATING INCOME | | PAGE 7 CF 1 | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | BUC SERVICE COMMISSION IT. JOE NATURAL GAS COVIFANY | BOOKS FOR THE H | DVIDE THE CALCULATION OF NET (STORIC BASE YEAR AND ANY ACUI TORIC BASE YEAR FOR INTERIM P | JSTMENTS MACE TO THE | | TYPE OF DATA SHOWN: HIS CIPIE BASE YEAR DATA + 1 1931/28 WITNESS, STITT | | | | | |
| DOCKETNO. | 2024G316-GU | | | | | | | | | | |
| | NET CRERATING INCOME - HISTORIC BASE YEAR +1 ENDED 12/31/23 | | | | | | | | | | |
| UNE UNE | DESCRIPTION | TOTAL CCMPANY FER BOOKS | (2) ADJUSIMENTS | (3) COMPANY ADJUSTED | REVENUE ADJUSTMENT | (5) N.O.I. REGUREMENT | | | | | |
| | OPERATING REVENUE. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | CPERATING REVENUES | 52,264 306 | [\$545 105] | 51,719,831 | \$0 | <u>\$1,719,601</u> | | | | | |
| | OPERATING EXPENSES. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 3 4 6 | C & M GAS EXPENSE CPERATION & MAINTENANCE DEPRECIATION & AMORT ANICH | \$545 105 \$1,446,002 \$251,751 \$0 | (\$545 105) \$0 \$11 £0 | \$0 \$1,445,032 \$361,751 \$0 | \$0 \$0 \$u \$0 | \$0 \$1,445,032 \$321,751 \$0 | | | | | |
| | TAXES OTHER THAN NOOME TAXES | | | | | | | | | | |
| e 7 | REVENUE RELATED NITERESTICHARGES | \$179.461 \$21.774 | \$11 \$0 | \$179,481 \$21,774 | \$11 \$0 | \$175,461 \$21,774 | | | | | |
| | NCOME TAXES | | | | | | | | | | |
| н 9 | FEDERAL -STATE | \$11 \$0 | \$11 \$0 | \$11 \$0 | \$11 \$0 | \$11 \$0 | | | | | |
| | DEFERRED INCOME TAXES - NET. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 11 12 | -FIDERAL STATE NVESTMENT TAX CREDIT | \$0 \$11 \$0_ | \$0 \$11 \$0 | \$0 \$n \$0_ | \$0 \$0 \$0 | \$0 \$11 \$0 | | | | | |
| 13 | TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES | \$2,570 174 | (\$545 105) | \$2,025,C58 | \$0 | \$2,025,058 | | | | | |
| 14 | OPERATING: NCOME | (\$305 260) | \$0 | (\$305,260) | \$0 | 2\$305,25D) | | | | | |

Exhibit 3: Capital Structure

SCHEDULE G-3

CALCULATION OF THE PROJECTED TEST YEAR - COST OF CAPITAL

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: PROVIDE A SCHEDULE CALCULATING A 13 MONTH AVERAGE COST
OF CAPITAL FOR THE HISTORIC BASE YEAR + 1.

COMPANY: STJOE NATURAL GAS COMPANY

CALCULATION OF THE PROJECTED TEST YEAR - COST OF CAPITAL

TYPE OF DATA SHOWN:
HISTORIC BASE YEAR DATA + 1: 12/31/23
WITNESS: STIIT

DOCKET NO: 20240046-GU

| | | | ADJUSTMENT | <u>s</u> | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-----------|---------|-------------|---------------|
| LINE NO. | DESCRIPTION | PER BOOKS | SPECIFIC | PRO RATA | ADJUSTED | RATIO | COST RATE | WEIGHTED COST |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | COMMON EQUITY | 4,399,938 | (2,730,834) | 0 | 1,669,104 | 50.09% | 10.00% | 5.01% |
| 2 | LONG TERM DEBT | 300,000 | (186,196) | 0 | 113,804 | 3.42% | 6.50% | 0.22% |
| 3 | LONG TERM DEBT - NONUTILITY | 1,573,734 | (1,573,734) | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| 4 | CUSTOMER DEPOSITS | 182,895 | 0 | 0 | 182,895 | 5 49% | 2.00% | 0.11% |
| 5 | DEFERRED CREDITS - FCPC | 409,330 | 0 | 0 | 409,330 | 12.28% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| 6 | DEFERRED INCOME TAXES | 956,843 | 0 | 0 | 956,843 | 28.72% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| 7 | PROPANE DEPOSITS | 10,867 | (10,867) | 0 | 00 | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| 8 | TOTAL | 7,833,607 | (4.501,631) | _0 | 3,331,976 | 100.00% | | 5 34% |