

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost)
Recovery Clause with Generating)
Performance Incentive Factor)
_____)

DOCKET NO. 20240001-EI
FILED: October 7, 2024

PREHEARING STATEMENT OF THE FLORIDA RETAIL FEDERATION

The Florida Retail Federation ("FRF"), pursuant to the Order Establishing Procedure in this docket, Order No. PSC-2024-0027-PCO-EI, issued February 6, 2024, hereby submits this Prehearing Statement.

APPEARANCES:

Robert Scheffel Wright
John T. LaVia, III
Gardner, Bist, Bowden, Dee, LaVia, Wright, Perry & Harper, P.A.
1300 Thomaswood Drive
Tallahassee, Florida 32308
Telephone 850/385-0070
Facsimile 850/385-5416
E-mails: schef@gbwlegal.com and jlavia@gbwlegal.com

On behalf of the Florida Retail Federation

1. **WITNESSES:**

The Florida Retail Federation does not intend to call any witnesses for direct examination but reserves its rights to cross-examine all witnesses and to rely upon the prefiled testimony of witnesses in this docket, as well as testimony on their cross-examination.

2. **EXHIBITS:**

The Florida Retail Federation will not introduce any exhibits on direct examination but reserves its rights to introduce exhibits through cross-examination of other parties' witnesses.

3. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

The Commission’s task in the Fuel Docket, as in all ratemaking proceedings, is to ensure that the rates charged by Florida public utilities are fair, just, reasonable, non-discriminatory, and neither insufficient nor excessive. In this context, Florida public utilities are only allowed to recover reasonable and prudent costs that are fully authorized by Florida Statutes, Commission rules, and Commission orders through their Fuel Cost Recovery and Capacity Cost Recovery charges (collectively herein, “Fuel Charges”). The utilities bear the burden of proof that their proposed Fuel Charges satisfy the statutory criteria articulated above.

4. STATEMENT OF FACTUAL ISSUES AND POSITIONS

I. COMPANY-SPECIFIC FUEL ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

ISSUE 1A: Should the Commission approve DEF’s 2025 Risk Management Plan?

FRF: No.

ISSUE 1B: What is the appropriate subscription bill credit associated with DEF’s Clean Energy Connection Program, approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0059-S-EI, to be included for recovery in 2025?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 1C: What is the appropriate Clean Energy Impact (CEI) credit, approved by Order No. PSC-2023-0191-TRF-EI, to be included in the fuel clause in 2025?

FRF: No position at this time.

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 2A: What was the total gain under FPL’s Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446A-S-EI that FPL may recover for the period January 2023

through December 2023, and how should that gain to be shared between FPL and its customers?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2B: What is the appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446A-S-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for Personnel, Software, and Hardware costs for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2C: What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Attributable to Off-System Sales under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446A-S-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2D: What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Avoided due to Economy Purchases under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446A-S-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2E: What is the appropriate subscription credit associated with FPL's SolarTogether Program approved by Order No. PSC-2020-0084-S-EI, to be included for recovery in 2025?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2F: Should the Commission approve FPL's 2025 Risk Management Plan?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2G: Are the 2025 SoBRA units proposed by FPL cost effective?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2H: What are the revenue requirements associated with the 2025 SoBRA Project?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2I: What is the appropriate base rate percentage increase for the 2025 SoBRA Project, to be effective when all 2025 units are in service?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2J: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs for FPL reflecting the base rate percentage increases for the 2025 SoBRA project determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2K: Were FPL's actions related to the forced outage event(s) that occurred at St. Lucie Nuclear Unit No. 1 in July 2024 prudent? If not, what actions should the Commission take?

FRF: The FRF supports deferring the resolution of this issue to a later hearing.

ISSUE 2L: How much replacement power cost did FPL incur due to the forced outage event(s) that occurred at St. Lucie Nuclear Unit No. 1 in July 2024?

FRF: The FRF supports deferring the resolution of this issue to a later hearing. .

ISSUE 2M: Were FPL's actions related to the forced outage event(s) that occurred at St. Lucie Nuclear Unit No. 2 in May/June 2024 prudent? If not, what actions should the Commission take?

FRF: The FRF supports deferring the resolution of this issue to a later hearing.

ISSUE 2N: How much replacement power cost did FPL incur due to the forced outage event(s) that occurred at St. Lucie Nuclear Unit No. 2 in July 2024?

FRF: The FRF supports deferring the resolution of this issue to a later hearing.

Florida Public Utilities Company

No company-specific fuel issues for Florida Public Utilities Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 3A, 3B, 3C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 4A: What was the total gain under TECO's Optimization Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0423-S-EI that TECO may recover for the period January 2023 through December 2023, and how should that gain to be shared between TECO and its customers?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 4B: Should the Commission approve TECO's 2025 Risk Management Plan?

FRF: No position at this time.

GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

ISSUE 5: What are the appropriate actual benchmark levels for calendar year 2024 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 6: What are the appropriate estimated benchmark levels for calendar year 2025 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 7: What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 8: What are the appropriate fuel adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 9: What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January 2025 through December 2025?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 10: What are the appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost recovery amounts for the period January 2025 through December 2025?

FRF: No position at this time.

COMPANY-SPECIFIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

No company-specific GPIF issues for Duke Energy Florida, Inc. have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 11A, 11B, 11C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Florida Power & Light Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Florida Power and Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 12A, 12B, 12C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 13A, 13B, 13C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC GPIF ISSUES

ISSUE 14: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period January 2023 through December 2023 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 15: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January 2025 through December 2025 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

FRF: No position at this time.

FUEL FACTOR CALCULATION ISSUES

ISSUE 16: What are the appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery and Generating Performance Incentive amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2025 through December 2025?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 17: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period January 2025 through December 2025?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 18: What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period January 2025 through December 2025?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 19: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 20: What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

FRF: No position at this time.

II. CAPACITY ISSUES

COMPANY-SPECIFIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

ISSUE 21A: What is the appropriate amount of costs for the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) that DEF should be allowed to recover through the capacity cost recovery clause pursuant to DEF's 2017 Settlement for 2025?

FRF: No position at this time.

Florida Power & Light Company

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Florida Power & Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they will be numbered 22A, 22B, 22C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they will be numbered 23A, 23B, 23C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 24: What are the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 25: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 26: What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected/refunded during the period January 2025 through December 2025?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 27: What are the appropriate projected total capacity cost recovery amounts for the period January 2025 through December 2025?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 28: What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2025 through December 2025?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 29: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues and costs to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2025 through December 2025?

FRF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 30: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2025 through December 2025?

FRF: No position at this time.

III. EFFECTIVE DATE

ISSUE 31: What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

FRF: The effective date of the Fuel Charges approved by the Commission in this proceeding should be the first day of the first billing cycle of January 2025.

ISSUE 32: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?

FRF: Yes.

ISSUE 33: Should this docket be closed?

FRF: No. This is a continuing docket that should remain open and then continued in its successor docket for 2025.

5. STIPULATED ISSUES:

The FRF is not aware of any stipulated issues at this time.

6. PENDING MOTIONS:

The FRF has no pending motions before the Commission in this docket.

7. STATEMENT OF PARTY'S PENDING REQUESTS OR CLAIMS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY:

The FRF has no pending requests or claims for confidentiality.

8. OBJECTIONS TO QUALIFICATION OF WITNESSES AS AN EXPERT:

As of the time of filing its prehearing statement, the FRF does not expect to challenge the qualification of any witness. However, the FRF believes that each party that intends to rely upon a witness's testimony as expert testimony should be required to identify the field or fields of expertise of such witness and to provide the basis for the witness's claimed expertise.

9. STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE:

There are no requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure with which the Florida Retail Federation cannot comply.

Respectfully submitted this 7th day of October, 2024.

/s/ Robert Scheffel Wright

Robert Scheffel Wright

Florida Bar No. 0966721

John T. LaVia, III

Florida Bar No. 0853666

Gardner, Bist, Bowden, Dee, LaVia, Wright, Perry & Harper, P.A.

1300 Thomaswood Drive

Tallahassee, Florida 32308

Telephone 850/385-0070

Facsimile 850/385-5416

Attorneys for the Florida Retail Federation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served by electronic mail on this 7th day of October, 2024.

Duke Energy

Matthew Bernier/Robert Pickels/
Stephanie Cuello
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800
Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740
FLRegulatoryLegal@duke-energy.com
Matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com
Robert.pickels@duke-energy.com
Stephanie.cuello@duke-energy.com

Dianne Triplett
P.O. Box 14042
St. Petersburg, FL 33733
Dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

Tampa Electric Company

Jeffrey Wahlen / Malcolm Means /
Virginia Ponder
Ausley Law Firm
Post Office Box 391
Tallahassee, Florida 32302
jwahlen@ausley.com
mmeans@ausley.com
vponder@ausley.com

Paula K. Brown
Administrator, Regulatory Coordination
Tampa Electric Company
P. O. Box 111
Tampa, FL 33601-0111
regdept@tecoenergy.com

Florida Public Service Commission

Suzanne Brownless/Ryan Sandy
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us
rsandy@psc.state.fl.us

Florida Public Utilities Company

Beth Keating
Gunster Law Firm
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601
Tallahassee, FL 32301
bkeating@gunster.com

Mike Cassel
208 Wildlight Avenue
Yulee, FL 32097
mcassel@fpuc.com

Michelle D. Napier
1635 Meathe Drive
West Palm Beach, FL 33411
mnapier@fpuc.com

Florida Power & Light

Maria Moncada
David Lee
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408
Maria.moncada@fpl.com
David.lee@fpl.com

Kenneth Hoffman
Florida Power & Light Company
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Ken.hoffman@fpl.com

Florida Industrial Power Users Group

Jon C. Moyle
Moyle Law Firm
118 N. Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
jmoyle@moylelaw.com
mqualls@moylelaw.com

Office of Public Counsel

Walter Trierweiler / P. Christensen
C. Rehwinkel / M. Wessling
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street, #812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
Gentry.richard@leg.state.fl.us
Christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
Rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
Pirrello.anastacia@leg.state.fl.us
Morse.stephanie@leg.state.fl.us
Wessling.mary@leg.state.fl.us

PCS Phosphate – White Springs

James W. Brew / Laura Wynn Baker
Stone Law Firm
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington D.C. 20007
jbrew@smxblaw.com
lwb@smxblaw.com

Nucor Steel Florida, Inc.

Peter J. Mattheis / Michael K. Lavanga /
Joseph R. Briscar
Stone Law Firm
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Suite 800 West
Washington DC 20007
jrb@smxblaw.com
pjm@smxblaw.com
mkl@smxblaw.com

Corey Allain
22 Nucor Drive
Frostproof, FL 33843
Corey.allain@nucor.com

Southern Alliance for Clean Energy

Garner Law Firm
William C. Garner
3425 Bannerman Road, Unit 105, No. 414
Tallahassee, FL 32302
bgarner@scglawoffice.com

/s/ Robert Scheffel Wright

ATTORNEY