BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Energy conservation cost recovery

DOCKET NO. 20240002-EG

clause.

FILED: October 14, 2024

PREHEARING STATEMENT OF THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

The Citizens of the State of Florida, through the Office of Public Counsel, pursuant to the Order Establishing Procedure in this docket, Order No. PSC-2024-0028-PCO-EG, issued February 6, 2024, and pursuant to the First Order Modifying Order Establishing Procedure, Order No. PSC-2024-0212-PCO-EG, issued June 20, 2024, hereby submit this Prehearing Statement.

APPEARANCES:

Walt Trierweiler Public Counsel

Charles Rehwinkel Deputy Public Counsel

Patricia A. Christensen Associate Public Counsel

Mary A. Wessling Associate Public Counsel

Octavio Ponce Associate Public Counsel

Austin Watrous Associate Public Counsel

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

On behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida

1. <u>WITNESSES</u>:

None.

2. EXHIBITS:

None.

3. <u>STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION</u>

The utilities bear the burden of proof to justify the recovery of costs they request in this docket. The utilities must carry this burden regardless of whether or not the Interveners provide evidence to the contrary. Further, the utilities bear the burden of proof to support their proposal(s) seeking the Commission's adoption of policy statements (whether new or changed) or other affirmative relief sought. Even if the Commission has previously approved a program, recovery of a cost, factor, or adjustment as meeting the Commission's *own* requirements, the utilities still bear the burden of demonstrating that the costs submitted for final recovery meet any statutory test(s) and are reasonable in amount and prudently incurred. Further, recovery of even prudently incurred costs is constrained by the Commission's obligation to set fair, just, and reasonable rates. Further, pursuant to Section 366.01, Florida Statutes, the provisions of Chapter 366 must be liberally construed to protect the public welfare.

4. STATEMENT OF FACTUAL ISSUES AND POSITIONS

Generic Conservation Cost Recovery Issues

ISSUE 1: What are the final conservation cost recovery adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

OPC:

The OPC is not in agreement at this time that the Companies have demonstrated that they have met their burden to demonstrate that costs are reasonable and prudent. A significant percentage of the costs on a customer's bill is based on clause recovery in this docket and others. The Commission has not held a contested proceeding where testimony from witnesses was heard and discussed in open hearing. The OPC is not in a position to agree, given these circumstances, that the true-up costs proposed for recovery can necessarily be deemed reasonable.

ISSUE 2: What are the appropriate conservation adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

OPC: The OPC is not in agreement at this time that the Companies have demonstrated that they have met their burden to demonstrate that costs are reasonable and

prudent. A significant percentage of the costs on a customer's bill is based on clause recovery in this docket and others. The Commission has not held a contested proceeding where testimony from witnesses was heard and discussed in open hearing. The OPC is not in a position to agree, given these circumstances, that the actual/estimated costs proposed for recovery can necessarily be deemed reasonable.

<u>ISSUE 3</u>: What are the appropriate total conservation adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded in the period January 2025 through December 2025?

OPC:

The OPC is not in agreement at this time that the Companies have demonstrated that they have met their burden to demonstrate that costs are reasonable and prudent. A significant percentage of the costs on a customer's bill is based on clause recovery in this docket and others. The Commission has not held a contested proceeding where testimony from witnesses was heard and discussed in open hearing. The OPC is not in a position to agree, given these circumstances, that the true-up costs proposed for recovery can necessarily be deemed reasonable.

<u>ISSUE 4</u>: What are the total conservation cost recovery amounts to be collected during the period January 2025 through December 2025?

OPC:

The OPC is not in agreement at this time that the Companies have demonstrated that they have met their burden to demonstrate that costs are reasonable and prudent. A significant percentage of the costs on a customer's bill is based on clause recovery in this docket and others. The Commission has not held a contested proceeding where testimony from witnesses was heard and discussed in open hearing. The OPC is not in a position to agree, given these circumstances, that the costs proposed for recovery can necessarily be deemed reasonable.

ISSUE 5: What are the conservation cost recovery factors for the period January 2025 through December 2025?

OPC: No position at this time; however, the factors should be based on costs deemed reasonable and prudent after a hearing.

<u>ISSUE 6</u>: What should be the effective date of the new conservation cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

OPC: No position at this time.

Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the energy ISSUE 7: conservation cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this

proceeding?

OPC: No position at this time; however, the factors contained in the tariff(s) should be

based on costs deemed reasonable and prudent after a hearing.

Company Specific Conservation Cost Recovery Issues – Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 8: What is the Contracted Credit Value for the GSLM-2 and GSLM-3 rate

riders for Tampa Electric Company for the period January 2025 through

December 2025?

OPC: No position at this time.

What are the residential Price Responsive Load Management (RSVP-1) rate ISSUE 9:

tiers for Tampa Electric Company for the period January 2025 through

December 2025?

OPC: No position at this time.

Should this docket be closed? **ISSUE 10:**

OPC: No position at this time.

5. STIPULATED ISSUES

None at this time.

6. PENDING MOTIONS

None.

7. STATEMENT OF PARTY'S PENDING REQUESTS OR CLAIMS FOR **CONFIDENTIALITY**

There are no pending requests for claims for confidentiality filed by OPC.

8. OBJECTIONS TO WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS AS AN EXPERT

OPC has no objections to any witness' qualifications as an expert in this proceeding.

9. STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE

There are no requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure with which the Office of Public Counsel cannot comply.

Dated this 14th day of October, 2024.

Respectfully Submitted,

Walt Trierweiler Public Counsel

/s/ Austin A. Watrous

Austin A. Watrous Associate Public Counsel Florida Bar No. 1044249

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Suite 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Attorneys for the Citizens of the State of Florida

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 20240002-EG

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail on this 14th day of October, 2024, to the following:

Carlos Marquez
Jacob Imig
Saad Farooqi
Florida Public Service Commission
Office of General Counsel
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
cmarquez@psc.state.fl.us
jimig@psc.state.fl.us
sfarooqi@psc.state.fl.us

J. Jeffry Wahlen
Malcolm Means
Virginia Ponder
Ausley McMullen
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee FL 32302
jwahlen@ausley.com
mmeans@ausley.com
vponder@ausley.com

Paula K. Brown
Tampa Electric Company
P. O. Box 111
Tampa FL 33601
regdept@tecoenergy.com

Dianne M. Triplett
Duke Energy Florida, LLC
299 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg FL 33701
Dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

Matthew R. Bernier
Stephanie A. Cuello
Robert L. Pickels
Duke Energy Florida, LLC
106 E. College Avenue, Suite 800
Tallahassee FL 32301
matt.bernier@duke-energy.com
stephanie.cuello@duke-energy.com
robert.pickels@duke-energy.com
FLRegulatoryLegal@duke-energy.com

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Florida Industrial Power Users Group
Moyle Law Firm
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee FL 32301
jmoyle@moylelaw.com
mqualls@moylelaw.com

William P. Cox
Maria Jose Moncada
Joel Baker
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Blvd.
Juno Beach, FL 33408
will.p.cox@fpl.com
maria.moncada@fpl.com
joel.baker@fpl.com

Kenneth A. Hoffman Florida Power & Light Company 134 West Jefferson Street Tallahassee FL 32301 ken.hoffman@fpl.com James W. Brew
Laura W. Baker
Sarah B. Newman
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, P.C.
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington DC 20007
jbrew@smxblaw.com
lwb@smxblaw.com
sbn@smxblaw.com

Joseph R. Briscar
mopoulos & Brew, P.C.
erson Street, NW
t Tower

2007

Som

m

m

Joseph R. Briscar
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, P.C.
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Suite 800 West
Washington DC 20007
pjm@smxblaw.com
mkl@smxblaw.com
jrb@smxblaw.com

Peter J. Mattheis

Michael K. Lavanga

Michelle Napier Florida Public Utilities Company 1635 Meathe Drive West Palm Beach, FL 33411 mnapier@fpuc.com Derrick Craig Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities 208 Wildlight Avenue Yulee, FL 32097 dcraig@chpk.com

Beth Keating Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart 215 S. Monroe St., Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301 bkeating@gunster.com

/s/ Austin W. Watrous
Austin W. Watrous
Associate Public Counsel
watrous.austin@leg.state.fl.us