1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		
4	To the Method of	
5	In the Matter of:	DOGREE NO 20040022 GH
6		DOCKET NO. 20240032-SU
7	Application for ce provide wastewater	
	Charlotte County by	
8	Utilities, LLC.	
9		
10		
11	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA
12	FROCEEDINGS.	ITEM NO. 2
13	COMMISSIONERS	CHATDMAN MIKE IA DOCA
14	PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN MIKE LA ROSA COMMISSIONER GARY F. CLARK COMMISSIONER ANDREW GILES FAY
15		COMMISSIONER ANDREW GILES FAI COMMISSIONER GABRIELLA PASSIDOMO
16	DATE:	Tuesday, October 1, 2024
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
18		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
19	DEDODTED DV.	DEBRA R. KRICK
20	REPORTED BY:	Court Reporter and
21		Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large
22		PREMIER REPORTING
23		TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA (850) 894-0828
24		
25		
1		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Let's now move to
3	discussion items. Let's move to Item No. 2.
4	Mr. Thompson, you are recognized.
5	MR. THOMPSON: Good morning, Chairman and
6	Commissioners. Major Thompson on behalf of the
7	Office of General Counsel.
8	This Agenda item is a recommendation on two
9	motions currently pending in this docket. The
10	first is a Motion to Dismiss filed by Mr. Guy Hurst
11	alleging that the notice of the application sent by
12	the utility was deficient.
13	The other is a motion for reconsideration
14	filed by Environmental Utilities requesting that
15	the Commission remove the requirement to exchange
16	cross-examination exhibits from the Order
17	Establishing Procedure.
18	Staff's recommendation is to deny both
19	motions. The motion to dismiss should be denied
20	because there was no demonstration that the utility
21	failed to state a cause of action. And the motion
22	for reconsideration should be denied because the
23	Prehearing Officer did not make a mistake of fact
24	or law.
25	No request for oral argument was made, and

1	participation is at the Commission's discretion.
2	Staff is available to answer any questions, as
3	well as Volpe on behalf of the Little Gasparilla
4	Island Preservation Alliance.
5	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Commissioners, are there
6	questions or do we does anyone else want to
7	speak on this, or we are just here to answer
8	MR. THOMPSON: My understanding is Mr. Volpe
9	did not want to make a presentation, but is
10	available to answer any questions.
11	MR. VOLPE: That's correct. Good morning,
12	Commissioners.
13	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Okay.
14	MR. VOLPE: Robert Volpe on behalf of Little
15	Gasparilla Island Preservation Alliance.
16	I am just here to answer questions. We filed
17	a response and objection to the motion for
18	reconsideration.
19	Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Excellent.
21	Commissioners, are there questions? Are there
22	questions?
23	Commissioner Fay, you are recognized.
24	COMMISSIONER FAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
25	I just I have a few questions. I am going

1	to start probably with Mr. Thompson.
2	So I don't have any issues with the
3	recommendation for Issue 1, but on Issue 2, so the
4	motion for reconsideration, the language that's
5	provided here in the recommendation, just for
6	clarity, I know we have to meet this issue of law
7	or fact dismissing, but from a process perspective,
8	all parties would have to under this language in
9	the OEP, all parties would have to submit their
10	cross-examination materials equally. Like, there
11	is no difference between a petitioner or an
12	intervenor, like, the same standard would be
13	applied to all parties, is that accurate?
14	MR. THOMPSON: That's correct.
15	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. And then the
16	language in here from the Supreme Court that you
17	provided regarding the attempts for, essentially to
18	limit the Commission from any surprise components
19	that occur through litigation. It sounds like in
20	the recommendation you are saying that's consistent
21	with the way DOAH performs their hearings, is
22	that so if there is an administrative we do a
23	lot of administrative processes that are
24	quasi-judicial, there is a hearing at DOAH, are
25	they are the intervenors or the petitioners, are

they -- the parties there, are they supplying their cross-examination exhibits ahead of time similar to this -- do you know if it's, like, an identical timeline, or similar timeline, or --

MR. THOMPSON: I don't know exactly what the timeline is, but this is a very similar process, if not almost identical. And considering that we are both governed by Chapter 120, I felt like that was very persuasive.

mean, I think there is questions from the challenge here about due process, it seems to me almost the other way. It seems like as we go through this process, the more improvement we have with the filing with these cross-examinations -- our Chair just ran a meeting for an entire electric rate case where we had updated technology and operations, and it seemed to work very well. So to me, it seems that it's improving it.

I just want to make sure we address that -the due process question, because I have trouble
finding where that challenge arises based on the
claim that's filed here. And I am happy to hear
from the lawyer that's here for Little Gasparilla
Island. I know that area actually, I think, was

1	just hit a little bit by the storm, the storm
2	surge, so you are probably navigating a number of
3	other things, but if you have anything to add on
4	this, please feel free do so, at the discretion the
5	Chairman.
6	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Sure. Sure. You are
7	recognized.
8	MR. VOLPE: That's correct. Thank you,
9	Commissioner. Yes, Little Gasparilla did suffer
10	several feet, I think eight feet of storm surge in
11	the storm, so water across the island completely,
12	and our members and other residents of the island
13	are cleaning up, so thank you for mentioning that.
14	We filed an objection on the same grounds as
15	what are in the staff report and in the
16	recommendation, that there is no violation of due
17	process, and that we are all governed by these same
18	procedural rules of transparency and filing of
19	exhibits ahead of the scheduled hearing. So that's
20	our position.
21	COMMISSIONER FAY: Great. And I tend to agree
22	with that, and the recommendation, and I am
23	prepared to make a motion, Mr. Chairman, just my
24	colleagues
25	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Sure.

1	Any other questions or discussion?
2	Okay. Then let's go ahead and open the floor
3	for a motion.
4	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. With that, I would
5	move approval of staff recommendation on Item No.
6	2, all issues.
7	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: On Item 2, okay, all
8	issues. Gotcha.
9	Is there a second.
10	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: All right. Hearing a
12	motion and hearing a second.
13	All those in favor signify by saying yay.
14	(Chorus of yays.)
15	CHAIRMAN LA ROSA: Show that Item No. 2 passes
16	as recommended.
17	(Agenda item concluded.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	
5	I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby
6	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
7	time and place herein stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
9	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
10	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
11	and that this transcript constitutes a true
12	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
15	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	DATED this 14th day of October, 2024.
19	
20	
21	DEBRA R. KRICK
22	NOTARY PUBLIC COMMISSION #HH575054
23	EXPIRES AUGUST 13, 2028
24	
25	