

**Hiep Nguyen**

---

**From:** Asha Maharaj-Lucas  
**Sent:** Monday, December 2, 2024 2:31 PM  
**To:** Braulio Baez; Mark Futrell; Apryl Lynn; Keith Hetrick; Mary Anne Helton; Adam Teitzman; CLK - Agenda Staff; Cindy Muir; Commissioners & Staffs; Laura King; Marissa Ramos; Phillip Ellis; Lee Smith; Andrew Maurey; Elisabeth Draper; Adria Harper  
**Cc:** Jacqueline Moore; Gabrielle McLeod; Nancy Harrison  
**Subject:** FW: Request for oral modification of Item#1 on the 12/3/2024 special agenda conference, Docket No. 20240026-EI, Petition for rate increase by Tampa Electric Company  
**Attachments:** Issue 7 - Corrected - Table 7-4.pdf

Hello:

Please find below the approval for the oral modification to Item 1, scheduled on the December 3, 2024, Special Agenda Conference – for docket no. 20240026-EI.

Thanks  
Asha

---

**From:** Braulio Baez <[BBaez@PSC.STATE.FL.US](mailto:BBaez@PSC.STATE.FL.US)>  
**Sent:** Monday, December 02, 2024 2:06 PM  
**To:** Asha Maharaj-Lucas <[AMaharaj@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:AMaharaj@psc.state.fl.us)>  
**Subject:** FW: Request for oral modification of Item#1 on the 12/3/2024 special agenda conference, Docket No. 20240026-EI, Petition for rate increase by Tampa Electric Company

Approved

Sent from my T-Mobile 5G Device

----- Original message -----

**From:** Tom Ballinger <[TBalling@PSC.STATE.FL.US](mailto:TBalling@PSC.STATE.FL.US)>  
**Date:** 12/2/24 12:32 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** Braulio Baez <[BBaez@PSC.STATE.FL.US](mailto:BBaez@PSC.STATE.FL.US)>  
**Cc:** Mark Futrell <[MFutrell@PSC.STATE.FL.US](mailto:MFutrell@PSC.STATE.FL.US)>, Asha Maharaj-Lucas <[AMaharaj@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:AMaharaj@psc.state.fl.us)>, Kate Hamrick <[KHamrick@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:KHamrick@psc.state.fl.us)>, Gabrielle McLeod <[GMcleod@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:GMcleod@psc.state.fl.us)>, Laura King <[LKing@PSC.STATE.FL.US](mailto:LKing@PSC.STATE.FL.US)>, Marissa Ramos <[mramos@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:mramos@psc.state.fl.us)>, Phillip Ellis <[PELLIS@PSC.STATE.FL.US](mailto:PELLIS@PSC.STATE.FL.US)>, Lee Smith <[lsmith@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:lsmith@psc.state.fl.us)>, Andrew Maurey <[AMAurey@PSC.STATE.FL.US](mailto:AMAurey@PSC.STATE.FL.US)>, Elisabeth Draper <[EDraper@PSC.STATE.FL.US](mailto:EDraper@PSC.STATE.FL.US)>, Adria Harper <[AHarper@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:AHarper@psc.state.fl.us)>, Keith Hetrick <[khetrick@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:khetrick@psc.state.fl.us)>, Mary Anne Helton <[MHelton@PSC.STATE.FL.US](mailto:MHelton@PSC.STATE.FL.US)>, Adam Teitzman <[ATEITZMA@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:ATEITZMA@psc.state.fl.us)>  
**Subject:** Request for oral modification of Item#1 on the 12/3/2024 special agenda conference, Docket No. 20240026-EI, Petition for rate increase by Tampa Electric Company

Staff is requesting permission to make the following modifications to the recommendation regarding Item #1 on the 12/3/2024 special agenda conference. The modifications provide clarification, correct typographical errors, and do not change the overall staff recommendation.

**Issue 7, page 29, Table 7-1 should read as follows:**

**Table 7-1  
Differences in Proposed Interim Survivor Curves**

Account No.	Account Description	TECO Proposed	FEA Proposed
312	Boiler Plant Equipment	40-L0	60-O3
341	Structures & Improvements	50-R3	74-R2
342	Fuel Holders	<del>5-R0.5</del> 50-R0.5	55-R0.5
345	Prime Movers	50-O1	75-O1

**Issue 7, pages 35 and 36 – Replace Table 7-4 with a legible copy (See Attached)**

**Issue 8, page 39, Table 8-2 should read as follows:**

**Table 8-2  
Staff Recommended Theoretical Reserve Imbalance (as of 12/31/2024)**

Account Category	TECO Proposed (\$)	Staff Recommended (\$)	Staff Adjustment (\$)
Production	<del>(130,187,005)</del> <del>(134,187,005)</del>	(101,756,150)	32,430,855
Transmission	586,441	586,441	-
Distribution	(62,067,348)	(50,220,855)	11,846,492
General	28,747,422	28,747,422	-
Total Depreciable Plant	(166,920,489)	(122,643,143)	44,277,347

**Issue 11, page 50, next to last paragraph should read as follows:**

TECO witness Kopp stated that the application of contingency is not only appropriate, but also standard industry practice; and provided very detailed reasons to support his statement. (TR 1782–1786) He further testified that for all of those reasons specified, 1898 & Co. personnel “typically recommend and include a 20 percent contingency be added to the direct costs as reasonable and warranted based on the level of risk associated with the dismantlement projects. Therefore, the 15 percent contingency applied by the Company is less than our typical recommendation.” (TR 1787)

**Issue 55, page 205, conclusion paragraph should read as follows:**

Staff recommends approving \$28,650,000 in allocated costs and charges from TECO to its affiliate, and a total of \$11,841,973 for allocated costs (\$7,263,973) and direct charges (\$4,578,000) incurred by TECO from affiliated companies for the 2025 projected test year, which reflects a reduction of \$3,811,027 for the removal of half of allocated corporate responsibility costs. Staff recommends no changes to the cost allocation methodology, but that the Company should adopt witness OPC Ostrander's nine suggestions for increased transparency.

Please let me know if you approve this request.

Tom Ballinger  
Director, Division of Engineering  
Florida Public Service Commission  
(850) 413-6680 (office)  
(850) 766-6659 (cell)



