Antonia Hover

From:	Betty Leland
Sent:	Wednesday, July 23, 2025 1:45 PM
To:	Commissioner Correspondence
Subject:	FW: PSC Docket 20250011

Good Afternoon:

Please place this email in Docket #20250011.

Thanks.

Betty A. Leland, Executive Assistant to
Commissioner Art Graham
Florida Public Service Commission
bleland@psc.state.fl.us
(850) 413-6024

From: Pico <comichazi@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2025 1:41 PM
To: Office of Commissioner Graham <Commissioner.Graham@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Subject: PSC Docket 20250011

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Commissioner Andrew Fay:

Thank you for your service.

I am a FL. homeowner who strongly favors reliable 24/7, low cost, and low footprint electricity. I strongly urge you to REJECT the PSC Docket 20250011 by FP&L, Duke Energy, and TECO who have proposed a $9 billion rate hike for solar energy development. FP&L, TECO, and Duke Energy believe it's best to support the FL Renewable Energy (ie., solar) mandate from 2004. Alot has happened since then, and continues each day, where refinements in other energy sectors such as nuclear power and biofuel

1

power, now support prudent changes to a highly diverse energy array.

This proposal is not acceptable because of FP&L's overextension in solar power; and FPL isn't increasing low-cost energy alternatives (natural gas), nor are they supporting FL's long-term economies and wise land use; and they are not promoting a diversity of energy types. The large space needed for this Docket proposal (192,000 acres) is beginning to infringe on Florida's longstanding cattle production, citrus farming, wildlife habitat, & crop production in the So. FL landscape. Solar farms will simply take up too much land space in the place of what appears as open landscape (ie., ag lands & wildlife habitat).

Solar power generation in FL. is maximally efficient for only 5.1 hrs of the day (DOE Berkeley Natl Lab).

And to the detriment of our State & National economy, solar power components are largely made in China & invariably this drives up my overall electric bill. In the last 5 yrs., Europe & Australia have faced rolling "blackouts" due to an overreliance on solar power.

Florida has excellent potential for expanding Artificial Intelligence (AI) development with its current land area and a low business tax framework.

However, AI absolutely requires a highly dependable, 24-hr power grid which can only be met with a rich and stable power diversity. Hence, Florida's public power providers should be working to diversify its array of power generation. Thankfully, Pres. Trump has ordered a reform of nuclear power permitting that should be operational in the very near future. This reform and the refinement of small module (nuclear) reactors have unleashed high hopes for future nuclear power development.

Duke Energy, TECO, and FPL must be given a clear signal to redevelop a proposal that promotes nuclear
and low-carbon emission, fuel sources for power development. This action would fulfill the PSC's own
recommendations identified in the April 2025 Feasibility Report to advance Nuclear Power in FL. The
2024 Workshop on Nuclear Feasibility engaged numerous state and federal officials including those with
technical expertise in nuclear power and it aligns well with Florida's energy policy (F.S. 377.602). Your
leadership in the PSC necessitates that public utilities and all FL utilities should also be developing more
diverse power sources with more natural gas plants built with US components and sources...all of which
are cost efficient and American made.
Thus, I strongly urge you to REJECT this Docket proposal (20250011) outright with no compromise and
these public utilities should redesign their proposal to develop more reliable and abundant, low-cost
power diversity alternatives which will benefit FL businesses, our environment, and current and future
ratepayers.

Sincerely,

Duane Trochessett
311 23rd St SW
Naples, FL 34117