

Tristan Davis

From: Tristan Davis on behalf of Records Clerk
Sent: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 3:24 PM
To: 'Jenny Leavitt'
Cc: Consumer Contact
Subject: RE: Docket No. 20250137-SU – Written Comments (Sunshine Water Services Company)

Good Afternoon,

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20250137, and forwarding them to the Office of Consumer Assistance.

Thank you!

Tristan Davis
Commission Deputy Clerk I
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399
Phone: (850) 413-6121

PLEASE NOTE: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your email message may be subject to public disclosure.

From: Jenny Leavitt <jennyleavitt75@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 3, 2026 3:16 PM
To: Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Subject: Docket No. 20250137-SU – Written Comments (Sunshine Water Services Company)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Good afternoon,

Please place this message in consumer correspondence for **Docket No. 20250137-SU (Application for Limited Proceeding Rate Increase by Sunshine Water Services Company)**.

We are customers of Sunshine Water Services Company residing in Seminole County.

Names: Myron Leavitt and Jennifer Leavitt
Service Address: 417 Tangelo Dr., Sanford, FL 32771
Account No.: 3128210178

We respectfully object to approving this rate increase through a limited proceeding.

The requested recovery of approximately \$28 million for a wastewater treatment plant replacement in another county represents a significant capital undertaking that warrants comprehensive review. A project of this

magnitude should be examined within the framework of a full General Rate Case so that all revenues, expenses, system growth, and cost allocations across service areas can be evaluated together.

We are particularly concerned about:

- Whether Seminole County customers are being required to subsidize geographically distant infrastructure
- Whether recent customer growth and associated connection/impact fee revenues have been fully accounted for
- Whether long-term asset planning and depreciation reserves were prudently managed

We respectfully request that the Commission suspend implementation of the proposed increase and require a more complete and transparent review before approving permanent rate adjustments.

Thank you for your consideration and for placing this correspondence into the official record.

Respectfully submitted,

Myron Leavitt
Jennifer Leavitt
Sanford, Florida