

Tristan Davis

From: Tristan Davis on behalf of Records Clerk
Sent: Wednesday, March 4, 2026 11:19 AM
To: 'Tim Curtin'
Cc: Consumer Contact
Subject: RE: Docket No. 20250052-WS

Good Morning,

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20250052, and forwarding them to the Office of Consumer Assistance.

Thank you!

Tristan Davis
Commission Deputy Clerk I
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399
Phone: (850) 413-6121

PLEASE NOTE: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your email message may be subject to public disclosure.

From: Tim Curtin <timothy3@outlook.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 4, 2026 11:17 AM
To: Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Subject: Docket No. 20250052-WS

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.
Please see attached.

February 25, 2026

Mr. Daniel Dose
Office of the Commission Clerk
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 20250052-WS

Dear Mr. Dose,

I have received information from CSWR-Florida Utility Operating Company, LLC regarding their petition for an "Acquisition Adjustment" in connection with their plan to acquire five other utility companies. According to the information provided, in each individual case the result of the acquisition is higher rates for their customers.

In my experience, companies seeking to acquire other companies usually do so with the intent to gain efficiencies, which result in lower operating costs. Sometimes these savings are even shared with their customers! In any case, there is a clear, rational economic case that supports the acquisition plan. But no clear, rational economic case has been made here.

What, then, is the motivation to acquire five companies, all of which will result in higher costs to the acquiring company? Well, the information provided by CSWR did not bother to explain this in full, or even hint at what that motivation might be. Curious.

Since I am left to guess at the reasons, I imagine that the motivation is higher profits and therefore higher salaries and bonuses for senior management. What else could it be? If there were legitimate and compelling reasons, surely they would have explained them in detail.

So, to boil this down to its essence, we are being asked to finance these five acquisitions by paying higher rates, so that CSWR can benefit in some way they are not willing to explain.

I have a suggestion: the commission should put the interests of the rate-payers first, reject this unjustified proposal, and leave our utility rates unchanged.

Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,



Timothy J. Curtin

Tristan Davis

From: Microsoft Outlook
To: 'Tim Curtin'
Sent: Wednesday, March 4, 2026 11:19 AM
Subject: Undeliverable: RE: Docket No. 20250052-WS

Delivery has failed to these recipients or groups:

['Tim Curtin' \(timothy3@outlook.com\)](mailto:timothy3@outlook.com)

Your message couldn't be delivered and there was no valid enhanced status code being issued by the remote mail system to determine the exact cause, status: '550 permanent failure for one or more recipients (timothy3@outlook.com:550 5.7.515 Access denied, sending domain PSC.STATE.FL.US doesn't meet the required authenticati...)'.

The following organization rejected your message: mx-outbound19-30.us-east-2b.ess.aws.cudaops.com.

Diagnostic information for administrators:

Generating server: EXCH2019.psc.state.fl.us

timothy3@outlook.com

mx-outbound19-30.us-east-2b.ess.aws.cudaops.com

Remote Server returned '550 permanent failure for one or more recipients (timothy3@outlook.com:550 5.7.515 Access denied, sending domain PSC.STATE.FL.US doesn't meet the required authenticati...)'

Original message headers:

Received: from Exch2016.psc.state.fl.us (164.51.109.84) by EXCH2019.psc.state.fl.us (164.51.109.82) with Microsoft SMTP Server (version=TLS1_2, cipher=TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_128_GCM_SHA256) id 15.2.1544.36; Wed, 4 Mar 2026 11:19:11 -0500
Received: from Exch2016.psc.state.fl.us ([:1]) by Exch2016.psc.state.fl.us ([:1]) with mapi id 15.01.2507.061; Wed, 4 Mar 2026 11:19:11 -0500
From: Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
To: 'Tim Curtin' <timothy3@outlook.com>
CC: Consumer Contact <Contact@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Subject: RE: Docket No. 20250052-WS
Thread-Topic: Docket No. 20250052-WS
Thread-Index: AQHcq/I5pIeDTbnRWU2gDdr/EC1FILWejSBg
Sender: Tristan Davis <TrDavis@psc.state.fl.us>
Date: Wed, 4 Mar 2026 16:19:11 +0000
Message-ID: <8b72343924764dbf838f32379942dbaf@psc.state.fl.us>
References:
<SN6PR11MB287956910FBE83135F679ED5957CA@SN6PR11MB2879.namprd11.prod.outlook.com>
In-Reply-To:
<SN6PR11MB287956910FBE83135F679ED5957CA@SN6PR11MB2879.namprd11.prod.outlook.com>
Accept-Language: en-US

Content-Language: en-US
X-MS-Has-Attach:
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:
x-ninja-pim: Scanned by Ninja
x-ms-exchange-messagesentrepresentingtype: 2
x-originating-ip: [199.250.30.42]
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
 boundary="_000_8b72343924764dbf838f32379942dbafpscstateflus_"
MIME-Version: 1.0