

**Tristan Davis**

---

**From:** Tristan Davis on behalf of Records Clerk  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 4, 2026 11:26 AM  
**To:** 'Tim Curtin'  
**Cc:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: Docket No. 20250052-WS

Good Morning,

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20250052, and forwarding them to the Office of Consumer Assistance.

Thank you!

**Tristan Davis**  
Commission Deputy Clerk I  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399  
Phone: (850) 413-6121

*PLEASE NOTE: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your email message may be subject to public disclosure.*

**From:** Tim Curtin <timothy3@outlook.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 4, 2026 11:21 AM  
**To:** Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>  
**Subject:** Docket No. 20250052-WS

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.  
Please see attached.

**Timothy J. Curtin**

---

9 Sea Raven Ter  
Ormond Beach, FL 32176  
(954) 937-2303

February 25, 2026

Mr. Daniel Dose  
Office of the Commission Clerk  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

**Re: Docket No. 20250052-WS**

Dear Mr. Dose,

I have received information from CSWR-Florida Utility Operating Company, LLC regarding their petition for an “Acquisition Adjustment” in connection with their plan to acquire five other utility companies. According to the information provided, in each individual case the result of the acquisition is higher rates for their customers.

In my experience, companies seeking to acquire other companies usually do so with the intent to gain efficiencies, which result in lower operating costs. Sometimes these savings are even shared with their customers! In any case, there is a clear, rational economic case that supports the acquisition plan. But no clear, rational economic case has been made here.

What, then, is the motivation to acquire five companies, all of which will result in higher costs to the acquiring company? Well, the information provided by CSWR did not bother to explain this in full, or even hint at what that motivation might be. Curious.

Since I am left to guess at the reasons, I imagine that the motivation is higher profits and therefore higher salaries and bonuses for senior management. What else could it be? If there were legitimate and compelling reasons, surely they would have explained them in detail.

So, to boil this down to its essence, we are being asked to finance these five acquisitions by paying higher rates, so that CSWR can benefit in some way they are not willing to explain.

I have a suggestion: the commission should put the interests of the rate-payers first, reject this unjustified proposal, and leave our utility rates unchanged.

Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

Timothy J. Curtin

## Tristan Davis

---

**From:** Microsoft Outlook  
**To:** 'Tim Curtin'  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 4, 2026 11:26 AM  
**Subject:** Undeliverable: RE: Docket No. 20250052-WS

### Delivery has failed to these recipients or groups:

['Tim Curtin' \(timothy3@outlook.com\)](mailto:timothy3@outlook.com)

Your message wasn't delivered because the recipient's email provider rejected it.

The following organization rejected your message: mx-outbound14-88.us-east-2a.ess.aws.cudaops.com.

### Diagnostic information for administrators:

Generating server: EXCH2019.psc.state.fl.us

timothy3@outlook.com

mx-outbound14-88.us-east-2a.ess.aws.cudaops.com

Remote Server returned '550 5.7.515 Access denied, sending domain PSC.STATE.FL.US doesn't meet the required authentication level. The sender's domain in the 5322.From address doesn't meet the authentication requirements defined for the sender. To learn how to fix this see: <https://go.microsoft.com/fwlink/p/?linkid=2319303> Spf= Pass , Dkim= Fail , DMARC= Pass [PH7PR11MB6555.namprd11.prod.outlook.com 2026-03-04T16:19:15.299Z 08DE79EBF9351CA8] [BN9PR03CA0389.namprd03.prod.outlook.com 2026-03-04T16:19:06.253Z 08DE79CA5493C5CF] [BN2PEPF000055DB.namprd21.prod.outlook.com 2026-03-04T16:19:15.327Z 08DE766E3289EB18](at END OF DATA)'

### Original message headers:

Received: from Exch2016.psc.state.fl.us (164.51.109.84) by EXCH2019.psc.state.fl.us (164.51.109.82) with Microsoft SMTP Server (version=TLS1\_2, cipher=TLS\_ECDHE\_RSA\_WITH\_AES\_128\_GCM\_SHA256) id 15.2.1544.36; Wed, 4 Mar 2026 11:26:13 -0500  
Received: from Exch2016.psc.state.fl.us (:::1) by Exch2016.psc.state.fl.us (:::1) with mapi id 15.01.2507.061; Wed, 4 Mar 2026 11:26:13 -0500  
From: Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>  
To: 'Tim Curtin' <timothy3@outlook.com>  
CC: Consumer Contact <Contact@PSC.STATE.FL.US>  
Subject: RE: Docket No. 20250052-WS  
Thread-Topic: Docket No. 20250052-WS  
Thread-Index: AQHcq/K4pIeDTbnRWU2gDdr/EC1FILWejy9w  
Sender: Tristan Davis <TrDavis@psc.state.fl.us>  
Date: Wed, 4 Mar 2026 16:26:13 +0000  
Message-ID: <d52dad04673541be8f50fbf94bcbee3a@psc.state.fl.us>  
References:  
<SN6PR11MB2879F6BE3CED899383C846F2957CA@SN6PR11MB2879.namprd11.prod.outlook.com>  
In-Reply-To:  
<SN6PR11MB2879F6BE3CED899383C846F2957CA@SN6PR11MB2879.namprd11.prod.outlook.com>

Accept-Language: en-US  
Content-Language: en-US  
X-MS-Has-Attach:  
X-MS-TNEF-Correlator:  
x-ninja-pim: Scanned by Ninja  
x-ms-exchange-messagesentrepresentingtype: 2  
x-originating-ip: [199.250.30.42]  
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;  
    boundary="\_000\_d52dad04673541be8f50fbf94bcbee3apscstateflus\_"  
MIME-Version: 1.0