

DEF's Response to OPC's First Request to
Produce Documents, Nos. 1, 3, 4.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and Purchased Power Cost
Recovery Clause and Generating
Performance Incentive Factor

Docket No. 20210001-EI

Filed: April 9, 2021

**DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC'S RESPONSE TO CITIZENS'
FIRST REQUEST TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS (NOS. 1-4)**

Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF"), responds to the Citizens of the State of Florida, through the Office of Public Counsel's ("Citizens" or "OPC") First Request to Produce Documents (Nos. 1-4), as follows:

DOCUMENTS REQUESTED

1. Please provide the documents identified in Citizens' Interrogatory No. 2.

Response:

Please see the attached documents bearing bates numbers 20210001-DEF-000001.

2. Please provide the documents identified in Citizens' Interrogatory No. 4.

Response:

Please see the attached documents bearing bates number bearing bates numbers 20210001-DEF-000002 through 20210001-DEF-000046.

The attachments are confidential: redacted versions are attached hereto and unredacted copies have been submitted with the Florida Public Service Commission along with DEF's Notice of Intent to Request Confidential Classification dated April 7, 2021.

3. Please provide the documents identified in Citizens' Interrogatory No. 6.

Response:

Please see the attached documents bearing bates numbers 20210001-DEF-000047.

4. Please provide the documents identified in Citizens' Interrogatory No. 8.

Response:

Please see the attached documents bearing bates numbers 20210001-DEF-000048 through 20210001-DEF-000056.

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
Bartow Replacement Power Cost (01/01/21 - 01/31/21)

Date	Fuel	Purchased Power	Total Cost
1-Jan-21	\$19,217	\$ -	\$19,217
2-Jan-21	\$11,137	\$ -	\$11,137
3-Jan-21	\$10,118	\$ -	\$10,118
4-Jan-21	\$744	\$ -	\$744
5-Jan-21	\$13,253	\$ 13,902	\$27,155
6-Jan-21	\$88,399	\$ (2)	\$88,397
7-Jan-21	\$97,941	\$ -	\$97,941
8-Jan-21	\$76,861	\$ -	\$76,861
9-Jan-21	\$80,556	\$ -	\$80,556
10-Jan-21	\$101,427	\$ 56	\$101,483
11-Jan-21	\$18,907	\$ -	\$18,907
12-Jan-21	\$16,824	\$ -	\$16,824
13-Jan-21	\$9,086	\$ -	\$9,086
14-Jan-21	\$182	\$ -	\$182
15-Jan-21	\$1,467	\$ -	\$1,467
16-Jan-21	-\$532	\$ -	-\$532
17-Jan-21	\$10,744	\$ -	\$10,744
18-Jan-21	-\$5,136	\$ -	-\$5,136
19-Jan-21	\$2,101	\$ -	\$2,101
20-Jan-21	-\$3,540	\$ -	-\$3,540
21-Jan-21	\$82	\$ -	\$82
22-Jan-21	\$4,747	\$ -	\$4,747
23-Jan-21	\$4,615	\$ -	\$4,615
24-Jan-21	\$4,619	\$ -	\$4,619
25-Jan-21	\$4,786	\$ -	\$4,786
26-Jan-21	\$4,818	\$ -	\$4,818
27-Jan-21	\$4,817	\$ -	\$4,817
28-Jan-21	\$3,797	\$ -	\$3,797
29-Jan-21	\$980	\$ -	\$980
30-Jan-21	\$7,185	\$ -	\$7,185
31-Jan-21	\$3,781	\$ -	\$3,781
Total	\$593,986	\$13,956	\$607,942

Month	System Replacement Power	Monthly Jurisdictional Factor	Retail Replacement Power
Jan-21	\$607,942	100.00%	\$607,942

Duke Energy Florida
20210001-EI
DEF's Response to OPC POD 1 (1-4)
Q2

Documents bearing bates numbers
20210001-DEF-000002
through
20210001-DEF-000046
are confidential in their entirety.

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
Crystal River Unit 4 (CR4) Replacement Power Cost (01/01/21 - 01/31/21)

Date	Fuel	Purchased Power	Total Cost
1-Jan-21	\$0	\$ -	\$0
2-Jan-21	\$0	\$ -	\$0
3-Jan-21	\$0	\$ -	\$0
4-Jan-21	\$0	\$ -	\$0
5-Jan-21	\$0	\$ -	\$0
6-Jan-21	\$50,217	\$ 817	\$51,034
7-Jan-21	\$47,062	\$ -	\$47,062
8-Jan-21	\$35,680	\$ -	\$35,680
9-Jan-21	\$144,608	\$ 42,579	\$187,187
10-Jan-21	\$89,456	\$ (40,824)	\$48,632
11-Jan-21	\$26,782	\$ -	\$26,782
12-Jan-21	-\$9,103	\$ -	-\$9,103
13-Jan-21	\$58,126	\$ -	\$58,126
14-Jan-21	\$45,079	\$ -	\$45,079
15-Jan-21	\$38,805	\$ -	\$38,805
16-Jan-21	\$38,391	\$ -	\$38,391
17-Jan-21	\$50,625	\$ -	\$50,625
18-Jan-21	\$47,990	\$ -	\$47,990
19-Jan-21	\$60,914	\$ -	\$60,914
20-Jan-21	\$32,920	\$ -	\$32,920
21-Jan-21	\$4,298	\$ -	\$4,298
22-Jan-21	-\$8,969	\$ -	-\$8,969
23-Jan-21	-\$8,453	\$ -	-\$8,453
24-Jan-21	-\$3,196	\$ -	-\$3,196
25-Jan-21	\$14,613	\$ -	\$14,613
26-Jan-21	\$11,623	\$ -	\$11,623
27-Jan-21	\$21,738	\$ -	\$21,738
28-Jan-21	\$29,859	\$ -	\$29,859
29-Jan-21	\$44,947	\$ -	\$44,947
30-Jan-21	\$27,919	\$ -	\$27,919
31-Jan-21	\$24,572	\$ -	\$24,572
Total	\$916,503	\$2,571	\$919,074

Month	System Replacement Power	Monthly Jurisdictional Factor	Retail Replacement Power
Jan-21	\$919,074	100.00%	\$919,074



Root Cause Analysis Report

CRN U4 Generator Out of Phase Synchronization 12/18/2020

Revision # 2.0

PlantView Event Number: 1100300

Prepared By: Barbara Martinuzzi Date: 2/2/2021

Sponsor
Approval: Wayne Toms Date: 2/24/2021

Regional Review Committee date: _____

This cause analysis evaluates important conditions adverse to quality through the use of a structured evaluation process. The information identified in this report was discovered using all the data available to the root cause evaluation team at the time of writing using the benefit of hindsight. Cause analyses performed after the fact for Duke Energy have been established as a responsive means to document and assure that conditions adverse to quality are promptly identified and corrected and, as required, to assure that actions are taken to reduce the risk of repetition of the event or condition adverse to quality.

As such, this cause analysis is not intended to make a determination as to whether any of the actions taken or the decisions made by management, vendors, internal organizations, or individual personnel prior to or at the time of the event were reasonable or prudent based on the information that was known or available at the time they took such actions or made such decisions. Any individual statement or conclusion included in the evaluation as to whether errors may have been made or improvements are warranted is based solely upon information the root cause team considered, including information and results learned after-the-fact. Nothing in this evaluation should be construed as an admission of negligence, liability, or imprudence.

Team Kick-Off Meeting Date:	<u>1/21/2021</u>
Date Report Completed:	<u>2/16/2021</u>
Root Cause Investigator(s):	<u>Barbara Martinuzzi, Sr OE Specialist</u> <u>James C Winborne, Lead Engineer</u> <u>Joe Simpson, Manager Generation Engineering</u> <u>Doug Wood, Senior Engineer</u> <u>Gene Mullins, Interim Assignment - Leader</u> <u>Dana Christensen, Supervisor Operations</u>

I. Problem Statement:

Crystal River Unit 4 generator failed to synchronize (sync) with the system when breaker closed, resulting in an out of phase event.

II. Description of Incident/Issue:

Crystal River Unit 4 had been in an extended outage returning to service on December 16, 2020. Unit 4 had been operating at near minimum load, having just completed the swapping from the standby boiler feed pump to the main boiler feed pump, when the turbine/generator tripped due to a boiler feed water pump control issue.

Unit 5 was in startup operations at the time of the unit 4 turbine/generator trip. The station only has one standby boiler feed pump that is shared by both units. Since unit 5 was still one day away from being online, the decision was made to put unit 5 on hold in a safe condition and recover unit 4.

Operations closed the exciter field breaker, turbine auto sync was selected, set generator output breaker 3233 to close, turbine speed was set at 3602 RPM, and generator voltage verified to be within 2KV of system voltage. When the synchroscope rolled to the twelve o'clock position, all conditions were met (sync slip frequency OK, sync volts OK, sync phase angle OK), amber lights were lit, but breaker 3233 did not close and unit 4 failed to sync to the grid. A walkdown was performed and Operations found permissive 86A&B lockout relays tripped. The permissive lockout relays were reset, and a second attempt to synchronize in auto was initiated.

On the second auto attempt, when the synchroscope rolled to the twelve o'clock position, all conditions were met (sync slip frequency OK, sync volts OK, sync phase angle OK), amber lights were lit, but breaker 3233 did not close and unit 4 failed to sync to the grid a second time. Another walkdown was performed and Operations found plant lines lockout relays 3AG & AB tripped. The plant line lockout relays were reset, and a third attempt to synchronize in auto was initiated.

On the third auto attempt, when the synchroscope rolled to the twelve o'clock position, all conditions were met (sync slip frequency OK, sync volts OK, sync phase angle OK), amber lights were lit, but breaker 3233 did not close and unit 4 failed to sync to the grid for the third time in auto.

The operator green flagged the breaker in auto and placed the sync switch in manual. The operator then red flagged breaker 3233 expecting a failed synchronization allowing reposition of the sync switch handle back to auto. The operator expected nothing to happen until the auto option was selected and the synchroscope rolled to the twelve o'clock position. The operator stated that they were not attempting to synchronize in manual rather attempting to reset the synchronization circuit to permit auto synchronization. Through interviews it was noted that the auto sync option has been used since 2017 and use of the manual option would be rare. Unknown to Operations was that the manual sync check relay 25A1 had failed. The circuit was completed when breaker 3233 was red flagged causing the turbine/generator to attempt to sync to the grid out of phase at a 160-degree angle. This resulted in significant damage to the generator rotor. The event also caused enough grid instability on the 230KV to trip Citrus Combined Cycle PB1 station offline (reference Plantview event #1100460).

The Beckwith Manual sync check relay model M-0359 (25A1) failed to pass bench testing. The failure mode allowed the closing contact to latch closed as far out as fifty degrees from zero. The setpoint is fifteen degrees. This relay monitors the slip frequency, voltage, and phase angle. When all three conditions are satisfied, the relay closes permitting synchronization to the grid. The relay was sent for failure analysis and a spare relay was removed from Crystal River Unit 2, bench tested and installed.

No damage was initially found to the machine during inspection, all electrical tests were satisfied, and the station went into a forced outage. During attempted start-up on January 7, a low speed centrifugal ground was found on the main generator field and the unit was placed in forced outage.

Timeline

December 16, 2020	22:53	Unit 4 returned to service
December 17, 2020	19:10	Turbine/generator tripped (boiler feed water pump control issue)
December 17, 2020	22:00:12.608	First attempt to auto sync (permissive 86A&B lockouts tripped)
December 17, 2020	22:00:16.924	Second attempt to auto sync (plant line 3AG & 3BG lockout relays tripped)
December 17, 2020	22:00:20.132	Third attempt to auto sync (cause for failed auto sync unknown)
December 17, 2020	22:11:44.7340	Citrus Combined Cycle PB1 tripped (breaker open)
December 17, 2020	22:11:47.7080	Fourth attempt (red flagged the breaker - breaker closed)
December 17, 2020	22:11:47.7106	Unit 4 breaker 3233 tripped open (U4 placed in forced outage)
December 18, 2020		Meeting with Turbine Generator Services
December 21, 2020		Review of substation drawings, relay operational data
December 23, 2020		Beckwith manual sync check relay replaced
January 7, 2021		Unit 4 start attempt (ground on the main field)
January 20, 2021		Beckwith manual sync check relay model M-0359 (25A1) sent for failure analysis
February 8, 2021		Beckwith completed repair evaluation report (confirmed onsite findings)

III. Extent of Condition:

The Beckwith Manual Sync Check Relay model M-0359 (25A1) is typically a very solid device with little to no history of failure in decades of operation. Relay 25A1, serial #1711 was originally procured on February 28, 2002, and then relocated from the retired 230KV Crystal River substation and reinstalled in the new 230KV substation terminal house as part of the 2017-2019 fiber optic communication upgrades. The relay was last functionally tested in April 2020. The relay was sent for failure analysis following the event. The sync check relay was verified with component failure that led to mis-operation of the device. The report is included as Attachment 2.

The Beckwith model M-0193 and M-0189 auto sync check relays were tested and passed.

The plant line lockout (3AG & AB) relay panels were modified during 2017 and completed in 2019 as part of Transmission substation upgrade project, making units 4 and 5 panel light sequence and visual cues identical. Before this project, the plant line relay panel light sequence, which indicates a unit trip, was different for both units. The Operations Team Supervisor (OTS) was aware of this modification, but several operators on shift were not and did not check the plant line relay panels on initial walkdown. Detailed information on relay trip schedules along with the lockout relay reset procedure would have assisted Operations during the multiple attempts to synchronize.

Prior to the 2017-2019 fiber optic outage, the preferred method to sync unit 4 was in manual when syncing to the grid. Following the outage, the preferred method was modified to auto. It has been verified that no changes to the wiring or sync selector switch occurred during this outage. There have been no changes to the synchronization hard panel since original panel construction in 2002.

IV. Analysis:

The team utilized interviews, shift logs, shift turnover documents and the pre-job brief. Status updates and correspondence from Transmission and TGS, developed immediately after the event were examined as part of the analysis. Station electrical drawings, digital fault recorder, relay event files and substation relay schemes were reviewed along with projects and configuration changes occurring between 2017 and 2020. The Start-up procedure and Emergency Operating Procedure (EOP) were reviewed along with the generator synchronizing guide instructions and the General Electric (GE) contact table for breaker 3233/3234 control switch. Unit 5 breaker

control switches were also evaluated. The Beckwith Electric Company repair evaluation report was reviewed.

V. Summary of Root Cause(s):

Note: Not necessarily listed in order of significance.

A2B6C01 – Damaged, Defective or failed part

The Beckwith Manual sync check relay model M-0359 (25A1) failed in the closed position which left the circuit armed on manual operation.

A3B2C04 – Previous successes in use of rule reinforced continued use of rule

(Successful use of a rule in the past led to the wrong use of the rule or the rule being incorrectly applied.)

The operator red flagged breaker 3233 expecting a failed synchronization allowing reposition of the sync switch handle back to auto. Proper operational procedure would be to green flag the breaker placing the unit in a safe condition prior to repositioning the synchronization switch handle.

VI. Summary of Contributing Cause(s):

Note: Not necessarily listed in order of significance.

A3B3C04 – LTA review based on assumption that process will not change

(Individual believed that no variability existed in the process and thus overlooked the fact that a change had occurred, leading to different results than normally realized.)

After initial voltage adjustment and verifying generator speed of 3602 RPM, no other adjustments were made to the frequency or voltage angle. Adjusting the turbine speed may have allowed the generator voltage and system voltage to align and the unit to sync to the grid in auto.

A3B3C06 – Individual underestimated the problem by using past events as basis

(Based on stored knowledge of past events, the individual underestimated problems with the existing event and planned for fewer contingencies than would be needed.)

During the 17-minute time frame of the event, the operations crew attempted unsuccessfully to synchronize to the grid four times without a questioning attitude and without consulting the Operations Superintendent and/or Station Manager.

A6B2C01 – Practice or “hands-on” experience LTA

(The on-the-job training did not provide opportunities to learn skills necessary to perform the job. There was not enough practice, or hands-on, time allotted.)

Additional training resources are needed to fully train the shifts for the newly restructured organization.

A5B1C01 – Format deficiencies

(The layout of the written communication made it difficult to follow. The steps of the procedure were not logically grouped.)

The unit 4 and unit 5 steps are intertwined even though the start-up process and unit configuration are different. CRN Startup Procedure #CRNOP/00/TBD/0004 is included as Attachment 3.

A5B2C08 – Incomplete/situation not covered

(Details of the written communication were incomplete. Insufficient information was presented. The written communication did not address situations likely to occur during the completion of the procedure.)

Page 75 of the Start-up procedure notes 'two methods of generator synchronization on Unit 4: Auto sync mode and Manual mode. Automatic is the normal mode'.

Page 76, section 13.2.2 states 'If Auto synchronization is inoperable on unit 4, then use manual sync listed in Enclosure 5'. Enclosure 5 instructions are incomplete, stopping mid step.

A5B2C01 – Limit inaccuracies

(Limits were not expressed clearly and concisely.)

A generator synchronizing guide (operator aid) for unit 5 is laminated and attached to the generator synchronization panel. The guide states 'Ensure the turbine speed is at least 3600 RPM (3602 is recommended).' Quite often, turbine speed needs to be adjusted up and down for synchronization. 3602 RPM should be a target, and not a specific setpoint.

A4B5C09 – Change-related documents not developed or revised

(Changes to processes resulted in the need for new forms of written communication, which were not created.)

Laminated generator synchronizing guidance (operator aid) did not exist for unit 4.

VII. Extent of Cause:

Cases where the plant line breakers also serve as the Generator Synchronizing Breakers should be reviewed for output contact supervision with 25A1/A2 elements. Modifying SEL-351S Breaker 3233/3234 logic to supervise output contact equation 102 with 25A1/A2 synchronizing checks will provide a fail-safe mechanism that allows performance only one way.

VIII. Repeat Event Review:

There have been no similar generator events at Crystal River or in the Florida fleet within the last three years.

Corrective Actions:

Immediate & Interim Corrective Actions		
<i>A4B5C09 – Change-related documents not developed or revised</i>		
Corrective Action Describe specific actions taken or required.	Assignee Evaluator <u>SHALL</u> obtain concurrence from assignee or supervisor	Due/Completion Date
Develop a generator synchronizing guide (operator aid) for unit 4, laminate and attach to the generator output breaker.	Jamie Long	Complete

Corrective action for Extent of Condition		
Corrective Action Describe specific actions taken or required	Assignee Evaluator <u>SHALL</u> obtain concurrence from assignee or supervisor	Due/Completion Date
Create PMs to check synchronizing relays on a six-year period based on industry standard.	Heath McDonald	Complete
Share technical document on lessons learned with peers.	Joe Simpson	5/1/2021

Action(s) to Correct the Root Cause(s)		
Root Cause(s):	<i>A2B6C01 – Damaged, Defective or failed part</i> <i>A3B2C04 – Previous successes in use of rule reinforced continued use of rule</i>	
Corrective Action Describe specific actions taken or required	Assignee Evaluator <u>SHALL</u> obtain concurrence from assignee or supervisor	Due/Completion Date
CAPR 1: Replace the Beckwith Manual Sync Check Relay model M-0359 (25A1) with a new device.	Heath McDonald	5/1/2021
CAPR 2: Revise Crystal River Start-Up Procedure to include detailed information on resetting relays.	TJ Snodgrass	4/1/2021
CAPR 3: Performance manage employees involved in the event as appropriate.	Jamie Long	3/15/2021
CAPR 4: Share this Root Cause Analysis with all employees at the station.	Wayne Toms	3/31/2021

Action to Correct the Contributing Cause(s)		
Contributing Cause(s):	<i>A3B3C04 – LTA review based on assumption that process will not change</i> <i>A4B2C04 – Resources not provided to assure adequate training was provided/ maintained</i> <i>A3B3C06 – Individual underestimated the problem by using past events as basis</i> <i>A6B2C01 – Practice or “hands-on” experience LTA</i>	
Corrective Action Describe specific actions taken or required	Assignee Evaluator <u>SHALL</u> obtain concurrence from assignee or supervisor	Due/Completion Date
Ensure that there is a specific lesson plan around generator synchronization and implement.	TJ Snodgrass	5/1/2021
Ensure that the lesson plan includes methodical problem-solving techniques with unfamiliar situations.	TJ Snodgrass	6/1/2021
Provide instructor led training for Operations and OTSs upon completion of the Start-up procedure and synchronizing guide revisions.	TJ Snodgrass	5/1/2021
Issue Standing Order "maximum of two attempts at synchronization in start-up procedure" until identified procedural changes are complete.	Jamie Long	3/15/2021
Evaluate OTS training (technical, command and control) and consider increased shadowing time and rotation to improve proficiency.	Jamie Long	5/1/2021

Action(s) to Correct the Contributing Cause(s)		
Contributing Cause (s):	<i>A5B1C01 – Format deficiencies</i> <i>A5B2C08 – Incomplete/situation not covered</i> <i>A5B2C01 – Limit inaccuracies</i>	
Corrective Action Describe specific actions taken or required	Assignee Evaluator <u>SHALL</u> obtain concurrence from assignee or supervisor	Due/Completion Date
Revise Crystal River Start-Up Procedure to add enclosures for unit specific activities.	TJ Snodgrass	4/1/2021
Revise Crystal River Start-Up Procedure to reference the EOP ensuring EOP steps have been satisfied.	TJ Snodgrass	4/1/2021
Update generator synchronizing guides (operator aids) on both units to reference 3602 RPM should be a target, and not a specific setpoint.	TJ Snodgrass	4/1/2021

Corrective action for Extent of Cause		
Corrective Action Describe specific actions taken or required	Assignee Evaluator <u>SHALL</u> obtain concurrence from assignee or supervisor	Due/Completion Date
Modify SEL-351S Breaker 3233/3234 logic to supervise output contact equation 102 with 25A1/A2 synchronizing checks.	Jezzel Martinez (Transmission)	3/15/2021
Review existing facilities in Florida for extent of cause.	Joe Simpson	4/1/2021

Effectiveness Review Action		
Insert rows for additional EREV such as interim effectiveness review		
Corrective Action Describe specific actions required	Assignee Evaluator <u>SHALL</u> obtain concurrence from assignee or supervisor	Due Date 6 months or earlier after all actions have been completed
EREV: Perform effectiveness review on event #1100300. Document no repeat events, procedures revised as described in the corrective actions, training completed, and Transmission corrective actions complete.	Barbara Martinuzzi	10/18/2021

Attachments

Attachment 1: Five (5) Why Staircase

Problem Statement: Crystal River Unit 4 generator failed to synchronize (sync) with the system when breaker closed, resulting in an out of phase event.

1. Why did Crystal River Unit 4 generator have an out of phase synchronization to the grid?
 - 1a. The operator red flagged the breaker at the wrong point in the synchronization process.
2. Why did the operator red flag the breaker at the wrong point in the synchronization process?
 - 2a. The operator thought that it didn't matter when you red flagged the breaker.
3. Why did the operator think that it didn't matter when you red flagged the breaker?
 - 3a. The operator understood that the synchronizing relay would not allow an out of phase synchronization.
4. Why did the operator understand that the synchronizing relay would not allow an out of phase synchronization?
 - 4a. The operators training and experience supported this position.
 - 4b. The operator expected the synchronization check relay to perform as designed.
5. Why did the synchronization check relay not support the operators training and experience, and not perform as designed?
 - 5a. The synchronization check relay had failed allowing an out of phase event.

Attachment 2: Beckwith Electric Company Repair Evaluation Report



RMA 21184 DUKE
ENERGY EVALUATION

Attachment 3: CRN Startup Procedure #CRNOP/00/TBD/0004



CR Unit Start-Up
Procedure OI-1 CRNC

Attachment 4: Barrier(s) that should have precluded or reduced the likelihood or significance of the incident

BARRIER(s) THAT SHOULD HAVE PRECLUDED, OR REDUCED THE LIKELIHOOD OR SIGNIFICANCE OF, THE INCIDENT (Barriers that should have precluded the incident may be part of the Root Causal Train. Barriers that should have reduced the incident may be part of a Contributing Causal Train.)	BARRIER ASSESSMENT (HOW THE BARRIER FAILED) (Identify whether, and in what specific manner, the barrier was missing, weak, or ineffective. Note that a barrier may fail in several different ways in the same incident. Each failure of the barrier should be considered separately.)	CONSEQUENCES OF BARRIER FAILURE (Careful consideration of actual consequences of specific barrier failure is needed to help determine whether a specific failure is part of the Root Causal Train or a Contributing Causal Train.) Indicate if Barrier Failure <u>directly led to</u> or <u>contributed to</u> the Event.	REASON(s) for BARRIER FAILURE (Identify immediate cause(s) of Barrier failure.) As appropriate, identify additional barrier(s) that should have prevented <u>this Barrier failure</u> . Apply “WHY STAIRCASE” as appropriate.
The Beckwith Manual sync check relay model M-0359 (25A1)	Relay failed in the closed position.	The relay failure armed the circuit on manual operation (directly led).	Damaged, defective or failed part
Operator red flagged the breaker at the 9 o'clock position on the synchroscope	Synchronization to the grid should occur as close to 12 o'clock as possible, but within the zone of 11 to 1 on the synchronization scope.	The operator expected a failed synchronization allowing reposition of the sync switch handle back to auto. Operator was unaware that the sync check relay failed (directly led).	Previous successes in use of rule reinforced continued use of the rule
Turbine speed of 3602 RPM was considered a setpoint and not a target.	After initial voltage adjustment and verifying generator speed of 3602 RPM, no other adjustments were made to the turbine speed.	Adjusting the turbine speed greater than 3602 RPM may have allowed the generator voltage and system voltage to align and the unit to sync in auto (contributed to).	Less than adequate review based on assumption that process will not change

BARRIER(s) THAT SHOULD HAVE PRECLUDED, OR REDUCED THE LIKELIHOOD OR SIGNIFICANCE OF, THE INCIDENT (Barriers that should have precluded the incident may be part of the Root Causal Train. Barriers that should have reduced the incident may be part of a Contributing Causal Train.)	BARRIER ASSESSMENT (HOW THE BARRIER FAILED) (Identify whether, and in what specific manner, the barrier was missing, weak, or ineffective. Note that a barrier may fail in several different ways in the same incident. Each failure of the barrier should be considered separately.)	CONSEQUENCES OF BARRIER FAILURE (Careful consideration of actual consequences of specific barrier failure is needed to help determine whether a specific failure is part of the Root Causal Train or a Contributing Causal Train.) Indicate if Barrier Failure <u>directly led to</u> or <u>contributed to</u> the Event.	REASON(s) for BARRIER FAILURE (Identify immediate cause(s) of Barrier failure.) As appropriate, identify additional barrier(s) that should have prevented <u>this Barrier failure</u> . Apply "WHY STAIRCASE" as appropriate.
On the job training	The amount of training did not adequately address normal, abnormal, and emergency working conditions.	Operations team supervisor experience consisted of shadowing for approximately three months. Shadowing only provides training on conditions that exist during the shadowing. (contributed to).	Practice or "hands-on" experience less than adequate
Procedure was not of adequate quality and did not provide clear instructions.	The unit steps are intertwined even though the start-up process and unit configuration are different. Enclosure instructions are incomplete, and limits should be a target and not setpoints.	Operator and Operations team supervisor could not rely on the procedure for guidance during the event (contributed to).	Format deficiencies Incomplete/situation not covered Limit inaccuracies Change related documents not developed or revised