## 26

## TECO's response to Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories No. 3

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 20220007-EI STAFF'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES INTERROGATORY NO. 3 BATES PAGE(S): 1

FILED: SEPTEMBER 6, 2022

For the following questions, please refer to TECO witness Sizemore's direct testimony filed July 29, 2022, Exhibit MAS-2, page 12 of 31.

- 3. TECO's Project I PM Minimization and Monitoring
  - a. Please explain why the Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base (A) (line 2) has a beginning balance of \$351,594 when the ending balance from TECO's 2021 True-Up was \$19,757,750.
  - b. Please explain why the Less: Accumulated Depreciation (line 3) has a beginning balance of \$(163,303) when the ending balance from TECO's 2021 True-Up was \$(8,005,714).
- A. Regarding the PM Minimization and Monitoring Project, as mentioned in Witness Sizemore's testimony filed on July 29, 2022, Tampa Electric identified certain assets related to Big Bend Units 1, 2, and 3 that were moved to the company's Clean Energy Transition Mechanism ("CETM") in accordance with Tampa Electric's 2021 base rate settlement agreement. However, some project costs were not removed from the ECRC 2022 projections submitted in the Fall of 2021 as the company intended. Therefore, Tampa Electric removed the costs from ECRC retroactive to January 1, 2022, when the Settlement Agreement and CETM took effect.
  - a. The portion of the PM Monitoring Project assets associated with the retiring Big Bend Units, 1, 2 ad 3 was removed as part of the above referenced adjustment, Plant in Service of \$19,406,156 of and Accumulated Depreciation of \$(7,842,411); Net Book Value of \$11,563,745 (as shown in Exhibit MAS-2, Document No. 2, also attached). The remaining balances, Plant in Service of \$351,594 and Accumulated Depreciation of \$(163,303); Net Book Value of \$188,291, represent PM Monitoring Project assets that will remain in service.
  - b. Please see the response to a. above.