BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and Purchased Power) DOCKET NO. 890001-EI Cost Recovery Clause and Generating) ORDER NO. 20784 Performance Incentive Factor.) ISSUED: 2-21-89

Pursuant to Notice, a Prehearing Conference was held on February 10, 1989, in Tallahassee, before Commissioner John T. Herndon, Prehearing Officer.

APPEARANCES:

JAMES A. MCGEE, Esquire, Office of the General Counsel, Florida Power Corporation, P. O. Box 14042, St. Petersburg, Florida 33733 On behalf of Florida Power Corporation.

MATTHEW CHILDS, Esquire, Steel, Hector and Davis, 310 W. College Ave., Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1406 On behalf of Florida Power & Light.

ROBERT S. GOLDMAN, Esquire, Messer, Vickers, Caparello, French & Madsen, P. A., P. O. Box 1876, Tallahassee, Florida 32301
On behalf of Florida Public Utilities
Company.

JEFFREY A. STONE, Esquire, Beggs & Lane, P. O. Box 12950, Pensacola, Florida 32576-2950 On behalf of Gulf Power Company.

JAMES D. BEASLEY, Esquire, Ausley, McMullen McGehee, Carothers & Proctor, P. O. Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302 On behalf of Tampa Electric Company.

VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, Esquire, Lawson, McWhirter, Grandoff & Reeves, 522 Park Avenue, Suite 200, Tallahassee, Florida 32301 On behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group, Monsanto Company, American Cyanamid Company, and Air Products & Chemicals.

ZORI G. FERKIN, Esquire, Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan, 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W., Washington, D. C. 20004-2404
On behalf of Occidental Chemical Corporation.

ROBERT R. MORROW, Esquire, Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan, 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W., Washington, D. C., 20004
On behalf of the Coalition of Local Governments.

JOHN ROGER HOWE, Esquire, Office of the Public Counsel, c/o Florida House of Representatives, The Capitol, Tallahassee, Florida 32301
On behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

MARSHA E. RULE, Esquire, Florida Public Service Commission, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0863 On behalf of the Commission Staff.

Prentice Pruitt, Office of General Counsel, Florida Public Service Commission, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0861 Counsel to the Commissioners.

PREHEARING ORDER

Background

As part of the continuing fuel cost recovery proceedings, a hearing is set for February 22-24 in this docket and in Dockets No. 890002-EG and 890003-GU. The following subjects were noticed for hearing in such dockets:

- Determination of the Proposed Levelized Fuel Adjustment Factors for all investor-owned utilities for the period April, 1989 through September, 1989;
- Determination of the Estimated Fuel Adjustment True-Up Amounts for all investor-owned electric utilities for the period October, 1988 through March, 1989, which are to be based on actual data for the period October, 1988 through November, 1988, and revised estimates for the period December, 1988 through March, 1989;
- Determination of the Final Fuel Adjustment True-Up Amounts for all investor-owned electric utilities for the period April 1988 through September, 1988, which are to be based on actual data for that period;
- Determination of the Projected Conservation Cost Recovery Factors for certain investor-owned electric and gas utilities for the period April, 1989 through September, 1989;

- 5. Determination of the Estimated Conservation True-Up Amounts for certain investor-owned electric and gas utilities for the period October, 1988 through March, 1989, which are to be based on actual data for the period October, 1988 through November, 1988, and revised estimates for the period December, 1988 through March, 1989;
- Determination of the Final Conservation True-Up Amounts for certain investor-owned electric and gas utilities for the period April, 1988 through September, 1988, which are to be based on actual data for that period;
- 7. Determination of any Projected Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factors for the period April, 1989 through September, 1989, for the cost of approved oil backout projects to be recovered pursuant to the provisions of Rule 25-17.16, Florida Administrative Code;
- 8. Determination of the Estimated Oil Backout Cost Recovery True-Up Factors for the period October, 1988 through March, 1989, for the costs of approved oil backout projects to be recovered pursuant to the provisions of Rule 25-17.16, Florida Administrative Code, which are to be based on actual data for the period October, 1988 through November, 1988, and revised estimates for the period December, 1988 through March, 1988;
- Determination of the Final Oil backout True-up Amounts for the period April, 1988 through September, 1988, which are to be based on actual data for that period;
- Determination of Generating Performance Incentive Factor Targets and Ranges for the period April, 1989 through September, 1989;
- Determination of Generating Performance Incentive Factor Rewards and Penalties for the period April, 1988 through September, 1988; and
- 12. Determination of the Purchased Gas Adjustment True-Up Amounts for the period April, 1988 through September, 1988, to be recovered during the period April, 1989 through September, 1989.

Use of Prefiled Testimony

All testimony which has been prefiled in this case will be inserted into the record as though read after the witness has taken the stand and affirmed the correctness of the testimony and exhibits, unless there is a sustainable objection. All testimony remains subject to appropriate objections. Each witness will have the opportunity to orally summarize his testimony at the time he or she takes the stand.

Use of Depositions and Interrogatories

If any party desires to use any portion of a deposition or an interrogatory, at the time the party seeks to introduce that deposition or a portion thereof, the request will be subject to proper objections and the appropriate evidentiary rules will govern. The parties will be free to utilize any exhibits requested at the time of the depositions subject to the same conditions.

Order of Witnesses

Witness

The witness schedule is set forth below in order of appearance by the witness's name, subject matter, and the issues which will be covered by his or her testimony.

The parties have stipulated that testimony of witnesses on the list below whose names are preceded by an asterisk shall be inserted into the record at hearing as though read, cross-examination shall be waived, and that witness shall be excused from attendance at the hearing.

	Witness	Subject matter
(Di	rect)	
1.	Karl A. Wieland (FPC)	Fuel Adjustment, true-up and projections
*2.	David T. Buell (FPC)	GPIF, reward/penalty and targets/ranges
3.	D.L. Babka (FPL)	Levelized fuel cost recovery final true-up April 1988 through September 1988; levelized oil-backout cost recovery true-up, April 1988 through Sept. 1988; levelized fuel recovery factor April 1989 through Sept. 1989; levelized oil-backout cost recovery factor, April 1989 through Sept. 1989
4.	W.H. Smith (FPL)	Levelized oil-backout cost recovery true-up, April 1988 through Sept.

Sept. 1988

1988: levelized oil-backout cost recovery factor April 1989 through

Witness

Subject Matter

(Direct)

- *5. R.M. Sanchez GPIF, April 1988 through September 1988 and April 1989 through Sept. 1989
- R. Silva Levelized fuel recovery factor (FPL) April 1989 through Sept. 1989
- G.L. Whiting Levelized fuel recovery factor (FPL) April 1989 through Sept. 1989
- A.M. Grealy Levelized fuel recovery factor (FPL) April 1989 through Sept. 1989
- *9. Jack Brown
 (FPUC)
 Purchased power cost recovery:
 true-up (Marianna and Fernandina
 Beach). Assuming no objection,
 the utility proposes to insert this
 testimony into the record as if read.
- *10.J.L. Haskins Fuel Adjustment True-Up and (Gulf) Projected Factors
- *11.M.L.Gilchrist Fuel Adjustment True-Up and (Gulf) Projected Factors
- *12.G.D. Fontaine GPIF Awards and Targets (Gulf) and Ranges
- *13.G.A. Keselowsky GPIF reward/penalty and target/ range
- *14.J.E. Mulder Fuel adjustment true-up and projections
- *15.R.F. Tomczak Oil backout cost recovery (TECO)
- *16.A.D. Remmers Oil backout cost recovery (TECO)
- 17. Jeffry Pollock (FIPUG's Petition to require FPL to discontinue its oil backout cost recovery charge

EXHIBIT LIST

The parties have stipulated that the exhibits on the list below which are preceded by an asterisk shall be admitted and inserted into the record without objection.

Exhibit	Numb	er		Witness		Descrip	tion
	FPC	HAS	BEEN	ASSIGNED	EXHIBIT	NUMBERS	101-199
101				Wieland		True-up Varianc Schedul	e Analysis es Al through Al3
102				Wieland		(Parts Schedul	t Assumptions A-C through El), es Ell, Hl and ril through
*103 Schedules				Buell		Reward/ Standar	Penalty d Form GPIF
*104				Buell			/Ranges
Schedules							
	FPL	HAS	BEEN	ASSIGNED	EXHIBIT	NUMBERS	201-299
201				Babka		A Sched	lules
202				Babka, S	Smith	OB Sche	dules
203				Silva, W Babka, G		Appendi Oct. '8	x A, A Schedules, 88 - Nov. '88
204				Silva, W Babka, G			ix B, E Schedules, Sept. 1989
205				Sanchez		Documer	nt 1
	FPUC	HA	S BEE!	N ASSIGNE	D EXHIBI	NUMBERS	310-399
*301				Brown		attachr E1B,E2 E10,E1: also, True-U	,E4,E8, Revised 1,H1 and Mll Calculation of
*302				Brown		Revised El,Elb and H tion	E2,E4,E8A, E10 1; also Calcula-
							ndina Beach)

Exhibit	Numb	oer		Witness	Description
	GULF	HAS	BEEN	ASSIGNED EXHIBIT	NUMBERS 401-499
*401				Haskins	Calculation of True-Up April-Sept. 1988 (JLH-1)
*402				Haskins	Schedules El through El2; H1; Monthly Schedules Al through Al2 for June, July, August, Sept., Oct. and Nov. 1988 - Support Schedules for Fuel Adjustment (JLH-2)
*403				Gilchrist	List of Coal Suppliers April, Sept. 1988 (MLG-1)
*404				Gilchrist	Comparison of Projected and Actual Fuel Cost Sept. 1980 - Sept. 1989; Comparison of Actual 1988 Peabody Contract and Plant Daniel Coal Purchases to "bought out" contract prices (MLG-2)
*405				Fontaine	GPIF Results and Proposed Targets - Schedules from Rewards (GDF-1) and Targets and Ranges (GDF-2)
	TECO	HAS	BEEN	ASSIGNED EXHIBIT	NUMBERS 501-599
*501				Keselowsky	True-up Exhibit (GAK1) to testimony filed 11-28-88
*502				Keselowsky	Projection Exhibit (GAK2) to testimony filed 1-9-89
*503				Mulder	True-Up Fuel Costs for Period April-Sept. 1988; Exhibit (JEM1) to testimony filed 11-28-88
*504				Mulder	Projection Schedules H-1, E-1-E-11, A-2 and Revised Tariff Sheets; Exhibit (JEM2) to testimon; filed 1-9-89

Exhibit Number	Witness	Description
*505	Tomczak,	True-Up Schedules for the Remmers Period April through Sept. 1988, Exhibit (RFT/ADR-1) filed 11-16-88
*506	Tomczak,	Projection Schedules for the Remmers Period April through September 1989; Exhibit (RFT/ADR-2) to testimony filed 1-9-89
* 507	Tomczak, Remmers	Comparison of Projected Payoff with Original Estimate for Gannon Conversion Project; Exhibit (RFT/ADR-3) to testimony filed 1-9-89
FIPUG HAS	BEEN ASSIGNED EXH	IBIT NUMBERS 601-699
601	Pollock	Affidavit of Jeffry Pollock, with supporting schedules
602	Pollock	Recovery of Capacity Deferral Savings Through Oil Backout Cost Recovery Clause

OCC HAS BEEN ASSIGNED EXHIBIT NUMBERS 701-799 At this time, no exhibits have been identified.

MC/ACC/APC HAVE BEEN ASSIGNED EXHIBIT NUMBERS 801-839
At this time, no exhibits have been identified.

CLG HAS BEEN ASSIGNED EXHIBIT NUMBERS 901-999 At this time, no exhibits have been identified.

FEA HAS BEEN ASSIGNED EXHIBIT NUMBERS 1001-1099 At this time, no exhibits have been identified.

TAMPA HAS BEEN ASSIGNED EXHIBIT NUMBERS 1101-1099
At this time, no exhibits have been identified.

OPC HAS BEEN ASSIGNED EXHIBIT NUMBERS 1201-1299 At this time, no exhibits have been identified.

STAFF HAS BEEN ASSIGNED EXHIBIT NUMBERS 1301-1399
At this time, no exhibits have been identified.

PARTIES' STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

Staff:

Staff agrees with the Oil Backout calculation provided by Florida Power & Light Company and Tampa Electric Company for billing during the April - September, 1989 period.

Staff agrees with the Fuel Adjustment Clause calculations provided by Florida Power Corporation, Florida Power & Light Company, Florida Public Utilities Company (Fernandina Beach), Gulf Power Company and Tampa Electric Company for billing during the April - September, 1989 period. Staff also agrees with the revised calculations of Florida Public Utilities Company (Marianna) for the April - September, 1989 billing period.

Staff's positions are further shown in Attachment A.

Florida Power Corporation (FPC):

FPC's fuel cost recovery factors for the period April - September 1989 have been properly calculated based upon reasonable fuel cost projections and true-up amounts. In addition, FPC has calculated its GPIF reward for the period April - September 1988 and its GPIF targets and ranges for the period April - September 1989 in accordance with the methodology and procedures established by the Commission. FPC therefore submits that its fuel cost factors, which include its GPIF reward, and its GPIF targets and ranges should be approved for application on cycle billings during the months of April through September, 1989.

Florida Power & Light Company (FPL):

The proposed Fuel Cost Recovery and Oil Backout True-Up amounts and factors are reasonable and should be approved. The Generating Performance Incentive Factor and proposed availability and hear rate targets are reasonable and should be approved.

Florida Public Utility Company (FPUC):

- 1) The Commission should approve the utility's end of period total net true-up amounts for the period April 1, 1988 through September 30, 1988 of \$145,163 underrecovery for the Marianna Division and \$194,183 overrecovery for the Fernandina Beach Division. (Brown)
- 2) The Commission should approve the utility's estimated fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period October 1, 1988 through March 31, 1989 of \$564,716 underrecovery for the Marianna Division and \$617,535 overrecovery for the Fernandina Beach Division, based upon two months actual and four months estimated data. (Brown)

3) The Commission should approve the utility's levelized fuel adjustment factors of 5.405 cents per kwh (before adjustment for line losses) for the Marianna Division and 4.643 cents per kwh for the Fernandina Beach Division, to be applied to customer bills during the period April 1, 1989 through September 30, 1989. (Brown)

Gulf Power Company (Gulf):

The proposed Fuel factors present the best estimate of Gulf's Fuel expense for the period March 1989 through September 1989, including the true-up calculations and other adjustments allowed by the Commission.

Tampa Electric Company (TECG):

Tampa Electric Company submits that it has properly calculated its true-up amounts and projected cost recovery factors for fuel adjustment and oil backout cost recovery. In addition, the company has properly determined the GPIF reward to which it is entitled, as well as the GPIF targets and ranges for the forthcoming period (April-September 1989). These proposals should be approved as submitted.

Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG):

Because the transmission line project which comprises FPL's oil backout project has not achieved the economic displacement of oil which led the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") to qualify it under the Oil Backout Rule, to allow FPL to recover the remaining costs of the investment through the special energy charge would be unreasonable, especially to high load factor customers. Further, FPL should be required to refund certain oil backout revenues collected since October, 1987, because the "deferral benefits" on which recovery was based are nonexistent.

FIPUG has filed a Motion to Consolidate Dockets or Hold Certain Issues in Docket 890001-EI in Abeyance, requesting an order consolidating this docket with Docket No. 890148-EI. In the alternative, FIPUG requested the Commission to hold in abeyance any decision on issues herein pertaining to FPL's Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor pending disposition of its petition in Docket No. 890148-EI. Thereafter, FPL and FIPUG reached a stipulation, attached hereto as Attachment D, which defers consideration of Issues 16-18, herein. FIPUG has not waived its positions on Issues 12-15.

Occidental Chemical Corporation (OCC):

The Commission should declare that FPC's fuel costs incurred beginning October 1987 will be adjusted pursuant to the market pricing standard for FPC's affiliate transactions which will be adopted in Docket No. 860001-EI-G. This will ensure that FPC is allowed to recover, and that ratepayers will pay, only just and reasonable fuel costs incurred in transactions with affiliates.

Profits derived by FPC's fuel procurement affiliate, Electric Fuels Corporation (EFC), from the sale of coal purchased from CARBOCOL should be passed through to FPC ratepayers in the fuel adjustment clause. This coal was contracted for by EFC for the benefit of FPC ratepayers. Had this coal not been sold at a profit, it would have been charged to FPC ratepayers. Profits derived by EFC by putting FPC ratepayers at risk rightfully belong to FPC ratepayers.

The U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) by order issued November 3, 1988 approved FPC's claim for a refund for crude oil overcharges in the amount of \$1,110,629. By the terms of the OHA order, the full amount of the refund, without deduction for any expense, must be distributed to ratepayers. This refund (as well as any future refund amounts that may be approved) plus interest, should be returned to FPC ratepayers through a reduction in FPC's fuel adjustment clause. The refund amount and interest should be returned to ratepayers over a 12 month period to account for the seasonality of electric consumption.

If the conservation cost recovery charge is eliminated for interruptible customers of Tampa Electric Corporation (TECO), then the Commission should eliminate this recovery charge for FPC's interruptible customers at the same time.

Monsanto Company, American Cyanamid Company and Air Products & Chemicals (MC/ACC/APC):

These parties filed no prehearing statement.

Coalition of Local Governments (CLG):

This party filed no prehearing statement.

Federal Executive Agencies (FEA):

This party filed no prehearing statement and did not participate in the Prehearing Conference in this docket.

City of Tampa (Tampa):

This party filed no prehearing statement and did not participate in the Prehearing Conference in this Docket.

Office of Public Counsel (OPC):

This party's prehearing statement contained no statement of basic position.

STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

Stipulated issues are indicated by an asterisk preceeding the issue number.

Generic Fuel Adjustment Issues

What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment amounts for the period April, 1988 through 1. ISSUE: true-up September, 1988?

STAFF: FPC: Agree with utility: \$16,313,706 overrecovery. Agree with utility: \$41,135,344 overrecovery.

FPUC: Agree with utility (Marianna): \$145,163

underrecovery.

Agree with utility (Fernandina Beach):

\$194,183 overrecovery.

Agree with utility: \$1,920,431 overrecovery. TECO: Agree with utility: \$2,389,789 underrecovery.

Overrecovery: \$41,135,344. FPL:

FPC: Overrecovery: \$16,313,706.

FPUC: Underrecovery \$145,163 (Marianna).

\$194,183 (Fernandina Beach). Overrecovery

GULF: Overrecovery \$1,920,431.

TECO: Underrecovery \$2,389,789.

FIPUG: No position at this time.

OCC: No position at this time.

OPC: FPC: No position at this time.

FPL: Agree with Company. FPUC: Agree with Company. GULF: Agree with Company. TECO: Agree with Company.

What are the estimated fuel adjustment true-up ISSUE: amounts for the period October, 1988 through March, 1989?

Staff:

Agree with utility: \$12,511,954 overrecovery. FPC: Agree with utility: \$14,452,159 overrecovery. FPL:

Agree with utility (Marianna): \$564,716

underrecovery.

Agree with utility (Fernandina Beach):

\$617,535 overrecovery.

GULF: Agree with utility: \$3,234,303 overrecovery. Agree with utility: \$23,951 overrecovery. TECO:

FPL: Overrecovery \$14,452,159.

Overrecovery \$12,511,954, based upon two months actual and four months revised estimates.

FPUC: Underrecovery

Overrecovery

\$564,716. (Marianna) \$617,535. (Fernandina Beach)

> Overrecovery \$3,234,303. GULF:

Overrecovery \$23,951. TECO:

FIPUG: No position at this time.

OCC: No position at this time.

OPC:

FPC: No position at this time.

Agree with Company. FPL: Agree with Company . FPUC: GULF: Agree with Company. TECO: Agree with Company.

What are the total fuel adjustment true-up amounts ISSUE: What are the total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected during the period April, 1989 through 3. September, 1989?

Staff: FPC: Agree with utility: \$28,825,660 overrecovery. Agree with utility: \$55,587,503 overrecovery.

Agree with utility (Marianna): \$709,879 FPUC:

underrecovery.

Agree with utility (Fernandina Beach):

\$811,718 overrecovery.

Agree with utility: \$5,154,734 overrecovery.
Agree with utility: \$2,365,838 underrecovery. GULF: TECO:

\$55,587,503 FPL: Overrecovery

\$28,825,660. Overrecovery FPC:

Agree with Staff. FPUC:

\$5,154,734. Overrecovery GULF:

\$2,365,838. Underrecovery TECO:

No position at this time. FIPUG:

OCC: No position at this time.

No position at this time. OPC: FPC:

Agree with Company. FPL: Agree with Company. FPUC: Agree with Company. GULF: TECO: Agree with Company.

What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost 4 . ISSUE: recovery factors for the period April through September, 1989?

Staff:

Agree with utility: 2.066 cents per kwh. FPC: FPL: Agree with utility: 1.778 cents per kwh.

FPUC: Agree with utility (Marianna): 5.405 cents

per kwh.

Agree with utility (Fernandina Beach):

4.643 cents per kwh.

GULF: Agree with utility: 2.074 cents per kwh. TECO: Agree with utility: 2.559 cents per kwh.

FPL: 1.778 cents/KWH is the levelized recovery charge for non-time differentiated rates and 1.918 cents/KWH and 1.710 Cents/KWH are the levelized fuel recovery charges for the on-peak and off-peak periods, respectively, for the differentiated rates.

 $\underline{\text{FPC:}}$ 2.066 cents per kwh for standard rate schedules, and 2.681 cents per kwh On-Peak and 1.784 cents per kwh Off-Peak for time of use rate schedules, all before adjustment for line losses.

Rate Schedules OL-1 and SL-1:

Final fuel cost factor is composite of on-peak and off-peak factors with consumption 18.7% on-peak and 81.3% off-peak, and group III line losses: (See Attachment B-1)

 $(.187 \times 2.681 + .813 \times 1.784) \times 1.0036 = 1.959 \text{ C/KWH}$

FPUC: 5.405 cents per kwh for the Marianna Division, and 4.643 cents per kwh for the Fernandina Beach Division (before adjustment for line losses).

GULF: 2.074 cents per KWH before application of the factors which adjust for variations in line losses.

TECO: 2.559 cents per KWH before application of the factors which adjust for variations in line losses.

FIPUG: No position at this time.

OCC: No position at this time.

OPC: FPC: No position at this time.

FPL: Agree with Company.
FPUC: Agree with Company.
GULF: Agree with Company.
TECO: Agree with Company.

*5. STIPULATED ISSUE: What is the appropriate Revenue Tax Factor for use in determining the adjusted fuel adjustment and oil backout cost recovery charge?

It is anticipated that the utility assessment fee will increase from 1/12 to 1/8 of 1% gross operating revenue effective January 1, 1989. This will increase the revenue tax factor from 1.01609 to 1.01652 for the period April through September, 1989. Since the current factor of 1.01609 will be used January through March, 1989, it would be appropriate to true-up any differences at the August, 1989 hearing.

*6. STIPULATED ISSUE: What should be the effective date of the new fuel adjustment charge, oil backout charge and conservation cost recovery charge for billing purposes?

The factors should be effective beginning with the specified fuel cycle and thereafter for the period April, 1989 through September, 1989. Billing cycles may start before April 1, 1989 and the last cycle may be read after September 30, 1989, so that each customer is billed for six months regardless of when the adjustment factor became effective.

*7. STIPULATED ISSUE: What are the appropriate Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for each rate group adjusted for line losses?

FPC: See Attachment B-1 for the appropriate levelized and time of use fuel cost recovery factors after line loss adjustment for each delivery level group, as approved by the Commission for FPC.

FPL: See Attachment B-2, Column 5.

FPUC:

Marianna: Rate Class	Rate Schedule	Multiplier	Levelized Adjustment
Residential	RST,RS,OL	1.0126	5.473
Commercial Other	GS,GSD SL-1,SL-2	0.9963	5.385

For Fernandina Beach, the fuel cost recovery factor for all classes other than GSLD, after adjustment for line losses, is 4.643 cents per kwh. For GSLD, the demand purchased power factor adjusted for taxes is \$3.35/CP KW; the non-demand factor is 4.494 cents/kwh.

GULF: See Attachment B-3.

TECO: See Attachment B-4.

Company-Specific Fuel Adjustment Issues

*8. ISSUE TO BE DEFERRED: Should Gulf Power be required to dispatch its system on the incremental price of fuel, as defined in Order No. 19548? (Staff)

STAFF: Yes, however, this issue should be deferred to the August, 1989 Fuel Recovery Hearings.

GULF: No. This issue should be deferred.

OPC: No position at this time.

*9. STIPULATED ISSUE: Should the Commission approve the methodologies for calculating as-available energy payments to cogenerators as revised by FPC, FPL, and TECO to reflect the findings contained in Order No. 19548?

Yes. The revised methodologies accurately reflect the decision contained in Order No. 19548.

Generic Generating Performance Incentive Factor Issues

*10. STIPULATED ISSUE: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period April, 1988 through September 30, 1988?

FPL: \$1,645,996 Reward.

FPC: \$1,201,040 Reward.

GULF: \$76,007 Reward.

TECO: \$316,484 Reward.

*11. STIPULATED ISSUE: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period April, 1989 through September, 1989?

The appropriate targets and ranges are shown on Attachment C hereto.

Oil Backout Issues

12. ISSUE: What is the final oil backout true-up amount for the April, 1988 through September, 1988 period?

Staff:

FPL: Agree with utility: \$9,609,133 overrecovery. TECO: Agree with utility: \$646,418 overrecovery.

FPL: Overrecovery \$9,609,133.

TECO: \$646,418 overrecovery

FIPUG: The amount suggested by FPL must include an adjustment to reflect a refund for those amounts which FPL has attributed to the "deferral savings" on the two 700 MW coal-fired Martin units because such "deferral" and hence any savings are nonexistent.

OCC: No position at this time.

OPC: FPL: No position at this time. TECO: Agree with Company.

13. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the estimated oil backout true-up amount for the period October, 1988 through March, 1989?

Staff:

FPL: Agree with utility: \$22,353,242 overrecovery.
TECO: Agree with utility: \$86,361 underrecovery.

FPL: Overrecovery \$22,353,242.

TECO: \$86,361 underrecovery.

FIPUG: The amount suggested by FPL must include an adjustment to reflect a refund for those amounts which FPL has attributed to the "deferral savings" on the two 700 MW coal-fired Martin units because such "deferral" and hence any savings are nonexistent.

OCC: No position at this time.

OPC: FPL: No position at this time.
TECO: Agree with Company.

STAFF: Agrees with proposals.

14. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the total oil backout true-up amount to be collected during the period April through September, 1989?

Staff:

FPL: Agree with utility: \$31,962,375 overrecovery. TECO: Agree with utility: \$560,057 overrecovery.

FPL: Overrecovery

\$31,962,375.

TECO: Overrecovery

\$560,057.

FIPUG: The amount suggested by FPL must include an adjustment to reflect a refund for those amounts which FPL has attributed to the "deferral savings" on the two 700 MW coal-fired Martin units because such "deferral" and hence any savings are nonexistent.

OPC: FPL: No position at this time.
TECO: Agree with Company.

15. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the projected oil backout cost recovery factor for the period April through September, 1989?

Staff:

FPL: Agree with utility: 0.780 cents per kwh. TECO: Agree with utility: 0.126 cents per kwh.

FPL: .780 cents/KWH.

TECO: 0.126 cents per KWH.

FIPUG: FPL's application of the Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor should be discontinued because the project has not achieved the economic displacement of oil. All accelerated depreciation amounts should be refunded and any recovery related to the cost of the lines should be disallowed for the projection period April 1, 1989 through September 30, 1989.

OCC: No position at this time.

OPC: TECO: Agree with Company

FPL: No position at this time.

Company Specific Oil Backout Issues

*16. ISSUE TO BE DEFERRED: Should FPL be allowed to collect and apply as accelerated depreciation the "net savings" claimed in its petition and exhibits? (FIPUG)

STAFF: This issue should be decided in connection with FIPUG's petition in Docket No. 890148-EI.

FIPUG: No. FIPUG has not waived its position on this issue, but shall assert the following position pursuant to its Stipulation with FPL attached hereto as Attachment D. The claimed savings is entirely attributable to the contention that the transmission lines comprising the oil backout project enabled FPL to defer two 700 MW coal-fired units at FPL's Martin site: absent those "deferral savings," there would be no net savings and no accelerated recovery. In reality, however, the 700 MW coal units are not part of FPL's generation expansion plan and have not been part of that plan for years. As the plants are fictional, the savings are nonexistent. (Pollock)

OPC: No position at this time.

*17. ISSUE TO BE DEFERRED: Should FPL be allowed to retain "net savings" attributable to the deferral of the now defunct 700 MW Martin coal units which were collected in prior periods? (FIPUG)

STAFF: This issue should be decided in connection with FIPUG's petition in Docket No. 890148-EI.

FIPUG: No. FIPUG has not waived its position on this issue, but shall assert the following position pursuant to its Stipulation with FPL attached hereto as Attachment D. FPL first began to collect the revenues associated with the "deferral" of the Martin coal units in October of 1987. In reality, the Martin-coal units on which the claim is based have not appeared in an FPL 10-year Site Plan since 1983. The past collections were unjustified. The Commission should exercise its authority to require a complete refund of all past oil backout revenues attributable to the deferral of the Martin-coal units. (Pollock)

OPC: No position at this time.

*18. ISSUE TO BE DEFERRED: Should FPL be authorized to continue recovery of costs related to the transmission line oil backout project through the Oil Backout Cost Recovery Clause? (FIPUG)

STAFF: This issue should be decided in connection with FIPUG's petition in Docket No. 890148-EI.

FIPUG: No. FIPUG has not waived its position on this issue, but shall assert the following position pursuant to its Stipulation with FPL attached hereto as Attachment D. The project has not accomplished its primary purpose, which was the economical displacement of oil-fired generation. To continue the energy-based recovery of a major investment in transmission reliability and capacity in the absence of the savings on which that recovery was premised would be to perpetuate a charge that is unfair and unreasonable to all ratepayers, particularly high load factor customers. The Commission should order FPL to discontinue the oil backout cost recovery mechanism. (Pollock)

OPC: No position at this time.

*19. ISSUE TO BE DEFERRED UNTIL NEXT 6-MONTH REPORTING PERIOD:

Are profits from the sale of coal under the CARBOCOL contract entered into for and committed to FPC properly retained by EFC for its shareholders? (OCC)

STAFF: No position at this time.

FPC: This issue is inappropriate for these proceedings and should be deleted. The method by which FPC's affiliated fuel supplier, EFC, conducts and accounts for "replacement coal" transactions, including the replacement of Carbocoal coal, is the subject of pending proceedings in Phase II of Docket No. 860001-EI-G.

OPC: No position at this time.

OCCIDENTAL: No. EFC entered into a contract with CARBOCOL, a Colombian coal company, to obtain coal for the use and benefit of Florida Power Corporation. The EFC-CARBOCOL contract, signed June 18, 1984, provides for coal deliveries from January 1, 1985 through June 30, 1989. The contract commits EFC to purchase a minimum 400,000 - 440,000 tons per year principally for use in FPC's Crystal River plant. (EFC-CARBOCOL contract Sec. 2.02).

Documents reviewed to date by Occidental indicate that since late 1987 EFC and CARBOCOL have agreed to allow EFC to sell volumes up the the entire remaining contract tonnage to buyers other than FPC. A December 19, 1988 article in Coal Week indicates that EFC sold around 450,000 tons of CARBOCOL coal to SSM North America in 1988 at a profit. Approximately 400,000 tons of domestic coal were purchased by EFC for use by FPC to replace the CARBOCOL coal sold to SSM.

If this coal could not be sold to third parties at a profit, EFC would undoubtedly contend that ratepayers of FPC are committed to pay for coal prudently acquired by EFC for FPC's benefit under the take or pay provisions of this contract. If EFC obtains coal for Florida Power's ratepayers and then profits by selling such coal to third parties, FPC ratepayers, not EFC shareholders, should benefit from the profits reaped through that transaction. The benefits of the transaction should to go to FPC ratepayers because it is they who were at risk when EFC entered into a long-term contract with CARBOCOL and, if the coal could not profitably be sold to third parties, EFC would expect FPC to purchase the coal at the contract rate even if cheaper coal was available.

*20. STIPULATED ISSUE: Must FPC refund to ratepayers, with interest, the full amount of any refunds for crude oil overcharges obtained by FPC? (OCC)

This issue was raised by Occidental Chemical Corporation. At the prehearing, OCC and FPC announced that the issue would be stipulated, and that the text of such stipulation would be supplied to Commission Staff. Thereafter, the following was supplied by FPC:

Pursuant to ongoing proceedings before the U.S. Department of Energy, Florida Power Corporation (FPC) has received and anticipates further receipt of funds, including a refund amount of \$1,110,629 approved in Case Nos. RF 272-204, et al. Florida Power Corporation (Order dated November 3, 1988). These refunds are intended to compensate ratepayers for overcharges incurred by utilities during the period of federal oil controls, which were passed on to their ratepayers in the cost of fuel. FPC stipulates that the full amount of any such refund received by FPC, plus interest calculated from the time of receipt, will be returned to its ratepayers through a credit to its fuel costs recovered in this proceeding. FPC does not object to returning the refund amount and interest over a 12-month period, as suggested by Occidental to account for the seasonality of electric consumption, provided such period is found to be appropriate by the Commission.

21. ISSUE: Under the cost-plus arrangement between Electric Fuels Corporation and Florida Power Corporation, are the costs included as EFC overhead in "cost" and the investment base to which EFC's return on equity is applied in the "plus" component reasonable and properly included in the cost of coal charged to FPC's customers? (OPC)

STAFF: This issue should be deferred until August, 1989.

FPC: This issue has not been properly raised for consideration at the upcoming hearings, nor is it appropriate for these proceedings in view of the extensive consideration being given to the costs and investment base of FPC's affiliated fuel supplier, EFC, in the current

"cost-plus" proceedings of Docket No. 860001-EI-G. It is incumbent on a party seeking to raise an issue such as this to establish a minimal, i.e., prima facie, case providing at least some factual basis which calls into question the reasonableness of the subject costs. The prehearing procedures regularly followed in these proceedings are designed to give parties the opportunity to establish such a prima racie case, through various forms of discovery and prefiled direct and rebuttal testimony. None of these procedures have been utilized with respect to this issue. As a result, FPC has not been given sufficient notice to determine which, out of literally hundreds of specific cost or investment components, it might be expected to support and justify. Nor has FPC had the opportunity to provide such a justification, even if it knew what needed to be addressed. Moreover, the proper proceeding in which to raise this issue is the "cost-plus" docket, where voluminous discovery has been made and testimony presented on a wide variety of EFC's costs and investments related to its FPC coal supply business. This issue should be deleted from these proceedings.

OCC: No position at this time.

OPC: The specific components of EFC's overhead included as a part of the cost of coal to FPC are not identified in the contracts between EFC and FPC and have not been specifically approved by the Public Service Commission. All these costs must be identified by FPC with specificity and established as prudent before FPC can be allowed recovery for them. Additionally, the investment base to which EFC applies its return on equity must be shown by FPC to be prudent and supporting assets actually used to provide service to FPC. Absent such a showing, FPC cannot demonstrate that its costs of coal are reasonable.

STIPULATED ISSUES

The parties hereto have stipulated to Issues No. 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, and 20, which are indicated within the body of this order.

The parties have further stipulated that Issues No. 8 and 19 shall be deferred, and shall be addressed in future proceedings.

FIPUG raised Issues 16-18 (previously numbered as Issues 15-17) regarding FPL. FPL objected to the inclusion of such issues in this docket on the grounds that they should be included in Docket No. 890148-EI, and on the grounds that the inclusion of such issues constitutes an attempt to revise Commission rules. FIPUG and FPL have reached a written stipulation, attached hereto as Attachment D, in which they agree that such issues (referred to therein as Issues 15-17) shall be deferred and shall not be considered at the February 1989 hearing in this docket.

MOTIONS

FIPUG has filed a separate Petition in Docket No. 890148-EI asking the Commission to discontinue FPL's oil backout charge and to refund all "net savings" attributable to the claimed deferral of Martin 700 MW coal units. FIPUG has also filed a Motion to Consolidate Dockets or Hold Certain Issues in Docket 890001-EI in Abeyance, which asks that the Commission either (1) consolidate its petition with this docket for purposes of hearing and resolution of issues (in which case a continuation of the portion of the hearing scheduled for February 22 related to FPL's oil backout charge will likely be necessary) or (2) hold all matters relating to the oil backout charge in abeyance and subject to subsequent disposition pending the outcome of proceedings on the separate Petition.

Subsequent to the filing of its Motion, FIPUG entered into a Stipulation with FPL, attached hereto as Attachment D, by which the parties agree to defer consideration of the issues proposed by FIPUG in this docket.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that these proceedings shall be governed by this order unless modified by the Commission.

By ORDER of Commissioner John T. Herndon, as Prehearing Officer, this <u>21st</u> day of <u>FEBRUARY</u>, <u>1989</u>

John T. Herndon, Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

MER

FUEL ADJUSTICAL - BOCKET NO. 870001-E1
FINAL AND PROJECTED TRUE-UTS
APRIL 1928 - SEPTEMBER 1938 AND OCTOBER 1938 - KAKCH 1939
TO BE INCLUDED UNRING THE PERSON APRIL 1949 - SEPTEMBER 1949

(491.0) (1065.0) (1065.0) (1065.0) (1065.0) (1012.0) (101	68/6 - 83/9 53745 HMH		10*8 -2\83 18	40-3081 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ACTUAL ACTUAL	431031644	
(791.0) (791.0) (791.0) (7055.0) (8137.0) (8137.0) (8137.0) (8137.0) (8137.0) (8137.0) (8137.0) (8137.0) (8137.0) (8137.0) (8137.0) (8137.0) (8137.0) (8137.0) (8137.0)		1 00/0 1	and the same of th			4U-3UK1	
(7991.0) (7991.0) (7901.0) (7005.0) (10				1	1	1	
(491.0) (4351.0) (4351.0) (4351.0) (4351.0) (4351.0) (4351.0) (4351.0) (4351.0) (4351.0)	13,345,054			(0) 995,251,193	(6) 915,575,141	(6) %.'%5.'91	INVENTO 18511 1 138-4 V012-13
(1005.0) (1005.0) (1012.0) (1012.0) (1014.0) (1014.0) (1014.0) (1014.0) (1014.0) (1014.0) (1014.0) (1014.0)	22,345,054	212,503 (9) 502,584	\$14,452,159 (0) \$55,5	141,135,344 (0)	(e) 012,552,112	(0) 966'952'93	21VLL C-FREVILL
0111204 OH (0255.0)	13,345,054	21°21 (0) 205°28	\$14°425'129 (0) 451'25*	141'122'244 (0)	(6) 945,562,543	(0) 966,952,41	1250000 01 1901
0111204 GH 0111204 GH 0111204 GH 0111204 GH	15'434'234	\$6\$'ZI (6) 099'SZI	112,511,954 (0) \$28,6	(0) 902,612,411	(0) 222,122,511	(0) 653,520,11	SUMERN PASE CHEMICALING
(8192.0) (8195.0) (8145.0) (8145.0) (8145.0) (8145.0) (8145.0) (8145.0) (8145.0) (8145.0) (8145.0) (8145.0) (8145.0) (8145.0)	15'434'234	969°21 (0) 099°521	112,511,954 (0) 528,	\$16,515,706 (9)	(0) 575,155,511	(ii) 628,120,11	17/15
(6147.0) (61	NO FOSTITON	ISON ON MOTITION	NO POSITION NO P	MO111204 ON	H0111564 6N	Bollizer on	LUSEL IC COUNSELL
9123.0 9 9123.0 5 9123.0 5 9123.0 6 (6551.0) 6 (6551.0) 6	955*901	901 (0) 817,118	\$ (0) 525,518\$	(0) [81,191]	\$499,235 (a)	fal ean area	GERMANDIA ANTONIO SELECTION ANTONIO SELECTION ANTONIO SELECTION ANTONIO SELECTION SELE
9123.0 5 9123.0 5 9123.0 5 (6551.0) 6 (4551.0) 6	106,558	901 (0) 817,118		2134'182 (a)	11:40*522 (6)	(a) 250°4621 (b) 250°4621	COMPANIE
#124.0 5 #124.0 5 (4551.0) 8 (4551.0) 8	106,558	901 (0) 812°118		(6) 281'9611	1130,235 (9)	(a) 200'9621	13412 0-08631
6251.0) 8 (6551.0) 8 (6551.0) 8	115'455	(U)(EC8,EOC	t) (n)(912,1921)	(1145,163) (0)	(0)(252,1741)	(1224°08a) (n)	: WAS I APPLA :
(3551.0) &	112,422	11 (U)(ets,eot		(2142,165) (W)	(0)(525,6543)	(1124°093)(n)	Company.
(0.1226)	115,422	11 (0)(658,605	(n)(912'9951)	(1142,163)(U)	(6)(252'6293)	(U)(680,4521)	INI ic concer
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	4,204,108	05,4 (0) 425,421,	28 (0) 101,915,11	(0) 157'026'13	(0) 252,061,018	(U)(+21,0%5,U1)	CHI FORE CHEAN
(9221.0) a	4,204,108	05,4 (0) 427,421,	28 (0) 202, 525, 28	11,920,431 (0)	(v) 222,0v1,011	(4)(451,055,62)	13715
	4,204,108	05,9 (0) 627,921,	21,214,301 (0)	(0) 150,050,111	(v) 222,0v1,011	(m)(+zt*nzz*at)	£0€7.3C C-100.31
6220.0	893'219'9	(u)(818,28C,	152,951 (0) (12	(u) (est, est, 51)	(a) (501,861,41)	(e) 240,400,21	198416-3 2131-114 42041
822.0 .0 .0358	898'219'9	(n) (ecs'595'	152'621 (0) (15	(U) (FET, FEZ, SZ)	(u)/szs,252,24)	(") 5.00, 400, 11	1:V15
870.0	876,513,3	9'9 (0)(058'595'	152,951 (0) (12	(u) (eat, eat, ca)	(unitesa, set , 21)	(+) 240,-00,21	19080-0-19104

diametry is of this office in the

ORDER NO. DOCKET NO. PAGE 24

20784 890001-EI

ATTACHMENT A

OIL BACKOUT BOCKET NO. 870001-ET

FLORIDA POUCE & LIGHT COMPANY

					FLORIDA POUER & L				TO SE INCLUDED DURING TO	
			APRIL - SEPTEMBER			O OCTOBER 1968 - MA		APELL SEPTEMBLE 1959 PLEIVO		
		Estimated/Actual	Actual	Difference	Projected	Estimated/Actual	Difference	lotal frue up	Intal Cost Recovery Intal Mill Sales	176,4%,671 176,7%,55
1	Arisdictional DN Sales	31,417,061,914	31,283,300,621	133,761,293	28,017,662,000	20,537,570,229	(517,900,229)	(54,146,936)	Cost - Cents/IUH	0.0.31
	wil Backout Revenue Applicable to the Period	\$212,609,137	\$211,917,110	1072,027	\$270,625,053	\$273,371,165	(\$2,744,112)	(\$1,674,665)	frue up Metail MAN Sales Cost - Centi/188	(\$31,*42,373) \$3,345,054 -6.0051
21	teri-distinul bil kackaat Cost keruwery Authorised	1199,620,769	\$109,205,376	\$10,415,373	\$270,625,053	\$253,022,113	\$17,602,940	\$20,010,313	Total Cost - C/INI	0.2674
1.	true up Provision for this Period user/(tinder) Collection	\$13,163,363	\$22,711,714	(\$7,523,346)	10	120,369,052	(\$20,369,052)	(\$27,572,376)	Sevenue Tax Factor	0,77**
١.	Interest Provision for this Period	\$647,570	1733,356	(\$85,786)	10	\$1,784,189	(\$1,961,139)	(12,069,975)	OEC factor Lounded	6.700
٠.	East of Period latel Net True-up	\$13,835,938	\$23,445,070	(\$7,607,132)	\$0 	\$22,353,241	(\$22,553,241)	(\$31,%2,373)	STAFF	44114
				. 1001	TAMPA ELECTR		ARCH 1787		TO BE THELETED EVETNS T APRIL - SEPTEMBER 1-G+ FL	€10B
			D APRIL - SEPTEMBE		FOR THE PERI	IC CUMPANT 00 OCTOBER 1903 - M				€10B
		Estimated/Actual	Actual	Difference	FOR THE PERI	00 OCTOBER 1763 - N		total free up	APRIL - SEPTEMER 11971 FI	\$8,783,301 6,612,068
	Seriodictional TWM Sales			••••••	FOR THE PERS	nD october 1969 - M Estimated/Actual	Difference	total free-up	APRIL - SEPTEMER 1959 FL	\$100 \$10,785,301
0.50	Jurisdictional EWM Sales Wil Backout Resense Applicable to the Period	Estimated/Actual	Actual	Difference	FOR THE PERI	op october 1903 - M Estimated/Actual	Difference	total free up	APRIL - SEPTEMBER 1 1/57 FT Total Cost Recovery Total Hill Sales Cost - Cents/AUM True up Retail MMM Sales	\$8,783,301 6,612,068
2.		Estinated/Actual 6,326,975,000 18,846,052 18,810,771	Actual 6,459,434,000	Difference (132,459,000)	FoR IVE PERI	60 0C10EER 1903 - N Estimated/Actual 5,943,374,000	Difference (93,403,000)	(225,942,000)	APRIL - SEPTEMBER 1 PGF FT Total Cost Recovery total HMH Sales Cost - Cents/EWH Tru rup Retail PMH Sales Cost - Cents/EWH Total Cost - C/EWH	\$3,783,301 6,612,068 0,1329 (\$560,057) 6,612,363 -0,985
2. i.	Mil Backout Reseaue Applicable to the Period Jurisdictional Mil Backout Cost	Estimated/Actual 6,326,975,000 18,846,052	Actual 4,459,454,000 49,025,950 18,349,077	Bifference (132,459,000) (1179,8%)	For INE PERI Projected 5,849,295,000 \$8,542,624 \$8,542,624	Estimated/Actual 5,943,374,000 18,640,366 18,770,628	Bifference (93,423,000) (197,762)	(225, 942,000) (1277,640)	APRIL - SEPTEMBER 1959 FI Total Cost Recovery Total HUM Sales Cost - Cents/LUM True up Betail MUM Sales Cost - Cents/LUM	\$8,783,301 6,612,06 0.1329 (\$540,057) 6,612,363 -0.985
2. i.	Mil Backout Resease Applicable to the Period Juristictional Mil Backout Cost Accovery Authorized Irne up Provision for this Period	Estinated/Actual 6,326,775,000 18,846,052 18,810,771	Actual 6,459,434,000 19,025,950 18,349,077	Difference (132,459,000) (1179,858)	For the Pf81 Projected 5,849,293,000 pr.542,624	Estimated/Actual 5,743,374,000 18,640,386 18,770,628	Bifference (93,423,000) (197,742) (1200,004)	(225,942,000) (1277,640) 1253,670	APRIL - SEPTEMBER 1 PG- FT Total Cost Recovery Total Hidd Sales Cost - Cents/EMH Tru up Retail PMH Sales Cost - Cents/EMH Total Cost - C/EMH Revenue Tar Factor ORC Factor	\$10,783,301 6,612,008 9,1529 (\$540,057) 6,612,003 0.085

		10	DIAL TUEL COS	FOR THE PERIOD: APP					PAC	TE: 2-15-89 GE 1: COMPANY POSITION
	April 1989- 101AL FUEL	ROPOSED September	r 1989	October 19 101AL FUE	PRESENT 268-March 1 COST CENT	989 S PER KUN	TOTAL FUEL	FFERENCE COST CENTS	PER KWI	LINE FORE
	Levelized*				d On/Peak		Levelized	On/Peak	Off/Peak	MULTIPLIER 1,00136
COMPANY (In. Power & Light (In. Power Corp. Lampa Electric Gulf Power (In. Public Harianna Fernandina (4)	1.778 2.066 2.559 2.074 5.426 4.641	1.918 2.681 2.791 2.190 .000	1.710 1.784 2.448 2.019 .000	1.75 2.04 2.60 2.39 4.64 4.79	9 2.489 4 2.835 0 2.465 5 .000	1.887 2.513 2.361	.026 .017 045 316 .781 158	015 .192 044 275 .090	.032 103 065 342 .000	1.00360 1.01470 1.01228 1.01760 1.00000

* Source: Attachment B, pages 3-8, line 34 COST FOR 1000 KWH RESIDENTIAL SERVICE

PRESENT October 1988-March 1989

	Fla. Power	fla. Power Corp.		Power (2) ter Summer	fla. Public Marianna fernandina
Base Fuel (1) Oil Backout	48.02 17.54 8.86	43.30 20.55 .00 1.89	26.42	.73 43.41 .19 24.19 .00 .00 .25 .25	12.76 12.26 47.04 47.99 .00 .00 .24 .36
Energy Conservation	.48 74.90	65.74	81.57 62	.17 67.85	60.04 60.61

PROPOSED April 1989-September 1989

	5.0	*		Gulf Pou	/21	Ite.Pt	
	fla. Power	Fla. Power	Tampa Electric	Winter	Sumer	Her i enne	Fernandina
	& Light	Corp.			43.41	12.76	12.26
Base	48.02	44.33(5) 20.73	52.12 25.97	37.73	20.99	54.94	46.41
Fuel (1) Oil Backout	17.80 7.80	.00	1.26	.00	.00	.28	.18
Energy Conservation	.42	1.53	80.31	59.12	64.80	67.98	58.85
Total	74.04	66.59					•••••

			DIFFERENCE			fla. P	blic
	fla. Fower	fla. Power	Tampa Electric	Gulf Pow Winter	Smand.	Marianna	Fernandina
	& Light	Corp.			5.68	.00	.00
Base fuel (1) Oil Backout	.00 .26 -1.06	1.03 .18 .00	.00 45 23 58	-3.20 -00 -15	-3.20 .00 .15	7.90 .00 .04	-1.58 .00 18
Energy Conservation	06	36	-1.26	-3.05	-3.05(3)	7.94	-1.76
Iotal	84	85					er is increase in

⁽¹⁾ Adjusted for Line Loss(2) Winter = October - May Summer = June - September (3) Difference between winter and summer is increase in base rate.
(4) All classes except GSLD. (5) Effective date of base rate increase is January 1, 1989.

ATTACHMENT

	a	•	H
		2	2
	4)	C

	April 1989 TOTAL FUEL	ROPOSED Septembe COST CEN	r 1989 IS PER KUH	October 198 101AL FUEL	PRESENT 38-March 19 COST CENTS	989 5 PER KWM	101AL FUEL	COST CENT	PER KWH	•	LINE LOSS
COMPANY	Level i zed*			Levelized	On/Peak	Off/Peak	Levelized	On/Peak	Off/Peak		MULTIPLIER
fla. Power & Light fla. Power Corp. Tampa Electric Gulf Power	1,778 2,066 2,559 2,074	1.918 2.681 2.791 2.190	1.710 1.784 2.448 2.019	1.752 2.049 2.604 2.370	1.933 2.489 2.835 2.465	1.887	.026 .017 045 316	015 .192 044 275	.032 103 065 342		1.00136 1.00360 1.01470 1.01228
Fla. Public Marianna Fernandina (4)	5.405 4.643	.000	.000	4.645 4.799	.000		.760 156	.000	.000		1.01260

* Source: Attachment 8, pages 3-8, line 34

COST FOR 1000 KWH RESIDENTIAL SERVICE

October 1988-March 1989 PRESENT

,	fla. Power	Fla. Power	Tampa	Gulf Pow	er (2)	Fla. Pub	lic
	& Light	Corp.	Electric	Winter	Summer	Marianna	fernandina
Base	48.02	43.30	52.12	37.73	43.41	12.76	12.26
fuel (1)	17.54	20.55	26.42	24.19	24.19	47.04	47.99
Oil Backout	8.86	.00	1.49	.00	.00	.00	.00
Energy Conservation	.48	1.89	1.54	.25	.25	.24	.36
Intal	74.90	65.74	81.57	62.17	67.85	60.04	60.61

PROPOSED April 1989-September 1989

	fla. Power	fla. Power ·	Tampa	Gulf Pow	er (2)	Fle.Pr	ublic
	& Light	Corp.	Electric	Winter	Sumer	Marianna	Fernandina
Base	48.02	44,33(5)	52.12	37.73	43.41	12.76	12.26
fuel (1)	17.80	20,73	25.97	20.99	20.99	54.73	46.43
Oil Backout	7.80	,00	1.26	.00	.00	.00	.00
Energy Conservation	.39	1,53	.96	.40	.40	.28	.18
total	74.01	66.59	80.31	59.12	64.80	67.77	58.87

DIFFERENCE

	fla. Power & Light	fla. Power Corp.	Tampa Electric	Gulf Por Vinter	ver (2) Summer	fla. Po Marianna	blic fernandina
Base fuel (1) Oil Backout Energy Conservation	.00 .26 -1.06	1.03 .18 .00	.00 45 23 58	.00 -3.20 .00 .15	5.68 -3.20 .00 .15	.00 7.69 .00 .04	.00 -1.56 .00 18
total	89	.85	-1.26	-3.05	-3.05(3)	1.13	

⁽¹⁾ Adjusted for line loss(2) Winter = October - May Summer = June - September (3) Difference between winter and summer is increase in base rate.

January 1, 1989. (4) All classes except GSLD. (5) Effective date of base rate in

ATTACHMENT

20784 890001-EI

DIVISION OF ELECTRIC AND L.S. DATE: 2-15-89 PAGE 2: COMPANY POSITION

FOR THE PERICO: April 1989-September 1989

247			WITHOUT LINE Levelized*	LOSS MULTI	PLIER 1/Peak	LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER	Levelized (LOSS MULTIFLII m/Pesk	R Off/Peak
CHPANY	GROUP	RATE SCHEDULES					1.780	1.921	1.712
	•••••		1.778	1.918	1.710	1.00136	1.745	.000	.000
&L	A	RS-1,GS-1,SL-2	1.743	.000	.000	1.00136	1.780	1.921	1.712
	A-1	SL-1,OL-1	1.778	1.918	1.710	1.00131	1.778	1.917	1.710
	8	GSD-1	1.778	1.918	1.710	1.00024	1.766	1.905	1.678
	c	GSLD-1,CS-1	1.778	1.918	1.710	.97333	1.721	1.856	1.654
	D	GSLD-2,CS-2,OS-2,MET	1.778	1.918	1.710	.96774	1.721	1.914	1.706
	E	GSLD-3,CS-3	1	1.918	1.710	.97805		1.71*	
	F	151-1,1551-1							
		·····					2.073	2.671	1.770
		The second of th	2.066	2.681	1.784	1.00360	1.959	.000	.000
c	A	Distribution Secondary Delivery	1.952	.000	.000	1.00360	2.046	2.655	1.767
_	A-1	01-1,51-1	2.066	2.681	1.784	.97030	2.014	2.613	1.737
	8	Distribution Primary Delivery	2.066	2.681	1.784	.97480	2.014	2.013	
	c	Transmission Delivery	2.000		0.000.000				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
							2.597	2.832	2.484
			2.559	2.791	2.448	1.01470	2.536	.000	.000
co	A	RS,GS,TS	2,499	.000	.000	1.01470	2.553	2.784	2.442
	A-1	St-1,2,3,0t-1,2	2.559	2.791	2.448	.99750	2.479	2.703	2.371
	8	GSD,GSLD	2.559	2.791	2.448	.96860	2.417		
	c	15-1,15-3							
		***************************************			0.130.72	1.01228	2.099	2.217	2.044
		WW 100000000000000000000000000000000000	2.074	2.190	2.019		2.035	2.149	1.981
ULF	A	RS,GS,GSD,OS-3	2.074	2.190	2.019	.98106	1,996	2.107	1.943
	В	LP	2.074	2.190	2.019	.96230	2.059	.000	.000
	C	PX	2.034	.000	.000	1.01228		#. #. #. W. W.	
	D	05-1,05-2							
								.000	.000
PUC						(1)	4.641		.000
	9	RS.OL.GS.GSD.SL.HS				(1)	4.492	.000	
Fernandina	^	GSLD				30.50	\$3.35	CP KW	
		CSED							
		•••••							000
						1.01260	5.494	.000	.000
			5.42	.000	.000	.97630	5.406		.000
Harianna		RS,OL	5.42		.000		5.361		.000
		GS,GSD	5.42		.000	.98810	,,,,,	0.000	
	č	st-1,st-2	,.46						
		25 T C							

⁽¹⁾ Group line losses reflected on schedule El

ATTACHMENT

×

ORDER NO. DOCKET NO. PAGE 27

ORDER NO. DOCKET NO. PAGE 28

20784 890001-EI

ATTACHMENT A

L	DATE SCHEDULES	WITHOUT LINE Levelized*	On/Peak Of	PLIER II/Peak	LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER	Levelized	E LOSS MULTIPL On/Peak	Off/Peak
GROUP	RATE SCHEDUCES					1.780	1.921	1.712
	06.1 66-1 51-2					1.745	.000	.000
						1.780		1.712
		1.778					1.919	1.710
		1.778					1.905	1.698
		1.778					1.856	1.654
		1.778						1.700
E			1.918	1.710				•••••
		•••••				2 021	2 491	1,790
		2.066	2.681	1.784				.000
A				.000				1.767
A-1	OL-1, SL-1			1.784	.99030			1.739
	Distribution Primary Delivery				.97480	2.014	2.013	
c	Transmission Delivery	2.000	2,001					
				•••••	•••••			2.484
		2 550	2 701	2 448	1.01470			.000
	RS.GS.TS				1.01470			2.442
					.99750			
200						2.479	2.703	2.371
č	15-1,15-3	2.559	2.771			•••••		
•••••	•••••			2 010	1 01228	2.099		2.044
	DE CE CED DE-I					2.035		1.981
2	10					1.996	2.107	1.943
						2.059	.000	.000
0	05-1,05-2	2.034	.000	.000	1,01210	***************************************		
								75
					(D			.000
A B	RS,OL,GS,GSD,SL,MS GSLD				(1)			
				•••••				
		£ /05	.000	.000	1.01260			.000
A	RS.OL		110000000000000000000000000000000000000		.99630			.000
					.98810	5.34	1 .000	.000
č	SL-1,SL-2	5.405	.000	.000	•••••			
	A A-1 B C A B C D A B	A RS-1,GS-1,SL-2 A-1 SL-1,OL-1 B GSD-1 C GSLD-1,CS-1 D GSLD-2,CS-2,OS-2,MET E GSLD-3,CS-3 F IST-1,ISST-1 A Distribution Secondary Delivery A-1 OL-1,SL-1 B Distribution Primary Delivery C Iransmission Delivery A RS,GS,TS A-1 SL-1,2,3,OL-1,2 B GSD,GSLD C IS-1,IS-3 A RS,GS,GSD,OS-3 B LP C PX D GS-1,OS-2 A RS,OL,GS,GSD,SL,MS B GSLD A RS,OL,GS,GSD,SL,MS B GSLD A RS,OL	A RS-1, GS-1, SL-2 1.778 A RS-1, GS-1, SL-2 1.778 B GSD-1 1.778 C GSLD-1, CS-1 1.778 E GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2, MET 1.778 E GSLD-3, CS-3 1.778 F IST-1, ISSI-1 A Distribution Secondary Delivery 2.066 A-1 OL-1, SL-1 1.952 B Distribution Primary Delivery 2.066 C Transmission Delivery 2.066 C Transmission Delivery 2.066 C IS-1, IS-3 2.559 A-1 SL-1, 2, 3, OL-1, 2 2.499 C IS-1, IS-3 2.559 A RS, GS, GSD, OS-3 2.559 C IS-1, IS-3 2.559 A RS, GS, GSD, OS-3 2.074 B CP PX 2.074 D OS-1, OS-2 2.034	A RS-1,GS-1,SL-2 1.778 1.918 A RS-1,GS-1,SL-2 1.778 1.918 GSD-1 1.778 1.918 C GSLD-1,CS-1 1.778 1.918 D GSLD-2,CS-2,OS-2,MET 1.778 1.918 E GSLD-3,CS-3 1.778 1.918 F IST-1,ISSI-1 1.918 A Distribution Secondary Delivery 2.066 2.681 A-1 OL-1,SL-1 1.952 .000 A-1 SL-1,2,3,OL-1,2 2.066 2.681 C Iransmission Delivery 2.066 2.681 A RS,GS,IS 2.559 2.791 C IS-1,IS-3 2.559 2.791 A RS,GS,OSD 2.559 2.791 A RS,GS,OSD,OS-3 2.074 2.190 C PX 2	RATE SCHEDULES Levelized	RATE SCHEDULES Levelized Dn/Peak Off/Peak MULTIPLEE	A RS-1, CS-1, SL-2	RATE SCHEDULES Levelized On/Peak Off/Peak MULTIPLEE Celebrate

⁽¹⁾ Group line losses reflected on schedule E1

1.778

ORDER NO. 20784 DOCKET NO. 890001-EI PAGE 29

ATTACHMENT A

FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF : April 1989-September 1989

DIVISION OF ELECTRIC AND GAS DATE: 2-15-89 PAGE 3 OF 9

-----FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY-----Classification Classification Classification Associated Associated Associated cents/KWH 5 KUH CLASSIFICATION. 480,312,811 31,247,616,000 1.53712 1. Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (E3) .10000 10,061,652,000 (a) 10,062,019 2.Spent NUC Fuel Disposal Cost (F2) .00000 296,681 3. Coal Car Investment .00000 0 4.Adjustments to Fuel Cost 1.57027 490,671,511 31,247,616,000 5. TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER 6,455,200,000 2.04419 131,956,600 6. Fuel Cost of Purchased Power - Firm (E8) 7.Energy Cost of Sch.C,X Economy Purchases (Broker) (E9) 8.Energy Cost of Economy Purchases (Non-Broker) (E9) 1.61655 1,114,500,000 18,016,400 .00000 0 0 .00000 0 9.Energy Cost of Sch.E Purchases (E9) 0 .00000 0 10. Capacity Cost of Sch.E Economy Purchases (E2) 616,600,000 11.Payments to Qualifying Facilities (EBA) 20,596,000 3.34025 8,186,300,000 2.08359 170,569,000 12.TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER 39,433,916,000 13.TOTAL AVAILABLE KWH 2.37634 7,915,600) (333,100,000) 14. Fuel Cost of Economy Sales (E7) .53228 1,773,040) (333,100,000)(a) Gain on Economy Sales - 80% (E7A) 1,630,800) .65180 250,200,000) Fuel Cost of Unit Power Sales (SL2 Partpts) (E7) 195,800,000) 2.26650 4,437,800) Fuel Cost of Other Power Sales (E7) 2.02249 15,757,240) (779,100,000) 18.TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SALES 0 -0 0 19.Net Inadvertant Interchange (E4) 38,654,816,000 645,483,271 1.66987 20. TOTAL FUEL AND NET POWER TRANSACTIONS -----(1,864,011,000) -.09210 31,126,469)(a) 21.Net Unbilled (E4) 1,936,442 (a) 48,088,507 (a) 115,964,000 2,879,784,000 .00573 22.Company Use (E4) .14229 23.7 & D Losses (E4) 1.90999 33,795,057,000 645,483,271 24.Adjusted System KWH Sales 1.90999 450,003,000 8.594.997 25. Unolesale KWH Sales 1.90999 33,345,054,000 636,888,274 26. Jurisdictional KWH Sales -----------27. Jurisdictional KWH Sales Adjusted for 33,345,054,000 1.91097 637,213,087 Line Loss - 1.00051 ------33,345,054,000 -. 16670 55,587,503) 28.True-up * (derived in Attachment C) ============ 1.74426 33,345,054,000 29.Total Jurisdictional Fuel Cost 581,625,584 -------1.01652 Revenue Tax Factor 1.77308 .Fuel Cost Adjusted for Taxes 33,345,054,000 .00494 32.GP1F* 1,645,996 -----1.77801 33,345,054,000 583,271,580 33. Total fuel cost including GPIF ========== 34.Total Fuel Cost Factor Rounded

to the Nearest .001 cents per KWH (used in attachment B, pages 1 and 2 of 9)

[&]quot;Based on Jurisdictional Sales (a) included for informational purposes only. Effective dates for billing purposes: 4/1/89-9/30/89

ORDER NO. 20784 DOCKET NO. 890001-EI PAGE 30

FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF : April 1989-September 1989

DIVISION OF ELECTRIC AND GAS DATE: 2-15-89 PAGE 4 OF 9

-----FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION-----Classific Classification Classification Associated Associated Associa 8 KWH cents/KWH CLASSI LICATION 289,762,638 14,596,499,000 1. Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (E3) 1.98515 1,883,372,000 (a) 1,883,372 .10000 2.Spent NUC Fuel Disposal Cost (E3A) .00000 0 3. Coal Car Investment .00000 0 0 4.Adjustments to fuel Cost 1.99805 291,646,010 14,596,499,000 5. TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER 2,105,000 4.86128 102,330 6. Fuel Cost of Purchased Power - Firm (EB) 7. Energy Cost of Sch.C,X Economy Purchases (Broker) (E9) 10,487,700 315,000,000 3.32943 8.Energy Cost of Economy Purchases (Non-Broker) (E9) 138,000,000 2,775,860 2.01149 0 .00000 9. Energy Cost of Sch. E Purchases (E9) 10.Capacity Cost of Sch.E Economy Purchases (E9B) 0 00000 O 11. Payments to Qualifying Facilities (EBA) 8,775,678 301,800,000 2.90778 2.92528 22,141,568 756,905,000 12. TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER 15,353,404,000 13. TOTAL AVAILABLE KWH 1.65000 9,075,000) (550,000,000) 14. Fuel Cost of Economy Sales (E7) .31200 (550,000,000)(a) (140,800,000) 1,716,000) 3,034,390) 14a.Gain on Economy Sales -80% (E7A) 2.15511 15. Fuel Cost of Other Power Sales (E7) (140,800,000)(a) 560,386) 15a.Gain on Other Power Sales (EB) 2.8212 16. Fuel Cost of Seminole Backup Sales (E7) 56,990) 2,020,000) (2,020,000)(a) 83.08619 1,678,341) 16.(a)Gain on Seminole Back-up Sales (E7B) (5.14824 17. Fuel Cost of Seminole Supplemental Sales (E7) 661,395) 12,847,000) 16,782,502) (705,667,000) 2.37825 18. TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SALES 19.Net Inadvertant Interchange (E4) 0 0 14,647,737,000 2.02765 20. TOTAL FUEL AND NET POWER TRANSACTIONS 297,005,076 --------(256,674,000) (97,200,000) 5,204,579 (a) .03940 21.Net Unbilled (E4) 1,970,924 (a) 22,007,703 (a) .01492 22.Company Use (E4) (1,085,353,000) .16662 23.7 & D Losses (E4) 297,005,076 13,208,510,000 2.24859 24.Adjusted System KWH Sales (714, 116,000) 2.24889 16,059,696) 25. Wholesale KWH Sales(Excluding Seminole Supplemental) (12,494,394,000 2.24857 280,945,380 26 Jurisdictional KWN Sales -------------------27. Jurisdictional KWH Sales Adjusted for 12,494,394,000 2.25329 281,535,365 Line Loss - 1.0021 ------------12,494,394,000 -.23071 28.True-up * (derived in Attachment C) 28,825,660) -----.00000 0 28a.Coal Inventory Adjustment ------12,494,394,000 2.0225 252,709,705 29. Total Jurisdictional Fuel Cost 1.0165 ------30. Revenue Tax factor 2 -5600 31. Fuel Cost Adjusted for Taxes .00961 12,494,394,000 1,201,040 32 GP1F* -----------2.06561 33. Total fuel cost including GPIF 253,910,745 12,494,394,000 =========== ----------34. Total Fuel Cost Factor Rounded to the Nearest .001 cents per KWH (used in Attachment B, pages 1 and 2 of 9) 2.066

*Based on Jurisdictional Sales (a) included for informational purposes only. Effective dates for billing purposes: 3/30/89-9/26/89

ORDER NO. 20784 DOCKET NO. 890001-EI PAGE 31

FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF: April 1989-September 1989

DIVISION OF ELECTRIC AND GAS DATE: 2-15-89 PAGE 5 OF 9

	Classification Associated		lassification Associated
LASSIFICATION	\$	KWH	cents/KWH
.Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (E3)		8,315,656,000	2.06432
Spent NUC Fuel Disposal Cost (E3A)	0	0	.00000
.Coal Car Investment	0	0	.00000
.Adjustments to Fuel Cost	0	0	.00000
.TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER	171,661,577	8,315,656,000	2.06432
.Fuel Cost of Purchased Power - Firm (E8)	4,580,100	145,880,000	3.13964
'.Energy Cost of Sch.C,X Economy Purchases (Broker) (E9)		9,451,000	3.10655
.Energy Cost of Economy Purchases (Non-Broker) (E9)	0	0	.00000
Energy Cost of Sch.E Purchases (E9)	0	0	.00000
O.Capacity Cost of Sch.E Economy Purchases	0		.00000
11.Payments to Qualifying Facilities (EBA)	4,861,100	197,787,000	2.45774
2.TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	9,734,800	353,118,000	2.75681
13.TOTAL AVAILABLE KUH		8,668,774,000	
		•••••	
Fuel Cost of Economy Sales (E7)	11,408,800	736,402,000	1.54926
Gain on Economy Sales - 80% (E7A)	1,540,720	736,402,000 (a)	
Fuel Cost of Unit Power Sales (E7)	0	934 77E 000	.00000
Fuel Cost of Other Power Sales (E7)	12,415,800	821,735,000	1.51093
8.TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SALES	25,365,320	1,558,137,000	1.62793
19a.Net Inadvertant Interchange (E4)	0	28,560,000	
19b.Interchange and Wheeling Losses		20,500,000	
20.TOTAL FUEL AND NET POWER TRANSACTIONS	156,031,057	7,082,077,000	2.20318
21.Net Unbilled (E4)	0	0	.00000
22.Company Use (E4)	403,182 (a)	18,300,000	.00610
23.7 & D Losses (E4)	9,934,337 (a)		.15023
	457 074 057	6,612,868,000	2.35951
24.Adjusted System KWH Sales	156,031,057	0,612,666,000	.00000
25.Wholesale KWH Sales			.00000
26.Jurisdictional KWH Sales	156,031,057	6,612,868,000	2.35951
	=========		
27. Jurisdictional KWH Sales Adjusted for	154 071 057	6,612,868,000	2.35951
Line Loss - 0	156,031,057	===============	2.33731
28.True-up * (derived in Attachment C)	2,365,838	6,612,868,000	.03578
29.Pyramid Coal Contract Buyout Adjustment	7,765,868	6,612,868,000	.11744
27. Fyramia coat contract boyout Rojustment	7,105,000	==========	
Total Jurisdictional Fuel Cost	166,162,763	6,612,868,000	2.51272
Revenue Tax Factor	•		1.01652
32.Fuel Cost Adjusted for Taxes			2.55/23
ACTION OF THE CONTRACT OF THE			00/70
33.GPIF *	316,484	6,612,868,000	.00479
34.Total Fuel Cost including GPIF			2.55901
35.Total Fuel Cost Factor Rounded to the Nearest .001 cents per KWH (used in Attachm		2.	
	0 1 7		2.559

^{*}Based on Jurisdictional Sales (a) included for informational purposes only.

Effective dates for billing purposes: 4/1/89-9/30/89

ORDER NO. DOCKET NO. 890001-EI PAGE 32

20784

FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION

DIVISION OF ELECTRIC AND GAS DATE: 2-15-89 PAGE 6 OF 9

2.074

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF: April 1989-September 1989

-----GULF POWER COMPANY----Classification Classification Associated Associated Classification Associated CLASSIFICATION . KWH cents/KWH 98,721,158 4,892,460,000 2.01782 1. Fuel Cost of System Net Generation (E3) .00000 0 2.Spent NUC Fuel Disposal Cost (E3A) 401,000 0 .00000 3. Coal Car Investment .00000 4.Adjustments to Fuel Cost 4,892,460,000 2.02602 5. TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER 99,122,158 .00000 6. Fuel Cost of Purchased Power - Firm (E8) 11,949,873 617,600,000 1.93489 7.Energy Cost of Sch.C,X Economy Purchases (Broker) (E9) .00000 8. Energy Cost of Economy Purchases (Non-Broker) (E9) n n .00000 9. Energy Cost of Sch. E Purchases (E9) 0 10.Capacity Cost of Sch.E Economy Purchases' (E2) 0 .00000 .00000 11. Payments to Qualifying Facilities (EBA) 0 0 11,949,873 617,600,000 1.93489 12 TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER 5,510,060,000 13. TOTAL AVAILABLE KWH 194,350) 10,590,000) 1.83522 14. Fuel Cost of Economy Sales (E7) 124,800) 10,159,950) 29,500,000)(a) 466,270,000) .42305 15.Gain on Economy Sales - 80% (E7A) 2.17898 16. Fuel Cost of Unit Power Sales (E7) (362,307,000) 1.89084 17. Fuel Cost of Other Power Sales (E7) 6,850,653) (839, 167,000) 2.06511 18. TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SALES 17,329,753) 19. Net Inadvertant Interchange (E4) n 4,670,893,000 2.00695 20. TOTAL FUEL AND NET POWER TRANSACTIONS 93.742.278 .00000 21.Net Unbilled (E4) 8,735,000 316,155,000 -.00403 175,307)(a) 22.Company Use (E4) 6,345,059)(a) -.14600 23.7 & D Losses (E4) 93,742,278 4,346,003,000 2.15698 24.Adjusted System KWH Sales 141,895,000 2.15698 25. Wholesale KWH Sales 3,060,642 4,204,108,000 2.15698 90.681.636 26. Jurisdictional KWH Sales ESSSESSEES 27. Jurisdictional KWH Sales Adjusted for 2.16151 Line Loss - 1.00210 90,872,067 4,204,108,000 ========== 5,154,734) 4,204,108,000 -.12261 28.True-up * (derived in Attachment C) -----2.03889 4,204,108,000 29. Total Jurisdictional Fuel Cost 85,717,333 ______ 1.01652 30.Revenue Tax Factor 2.07258 31. Fuel Cost Adjusted for Taxes 4,204,108,000 .0018 32 GPIF * 76,007 ------------------4,204,108,000 33. Total Fuel Cost including GPIF 85,793,340 2.0743 ------------------------

(used in Attachment B, pages 1 and 2 of 9)

34. Total Fuel Cost Factor Rounded

to the Nearest .001 cents per KWH

⁽a) included for informational purposes only. *Based on Jurisdictional Sales Effective dates for billing purposes: 3/31/89-9/28/89

DIVISION OF ELECTRIC AND GAS DATE: 2-15-89 PAGE 7 OF 9

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERICO OF: April 1989	CONTRACTOR PUBLIC	C UTILITIES (MARIA	INA)	at and the street	Classification	Classification	
	Associated	Associated	Associated cents/KVN	Associated	Associated EWN	cents/KLH	
ASSIFICATION	•				342,000	.000km	
ASSIFICATION		142.000	.00000	1070	0	.00000	
Consistion (f.)	0	,	.00000	0	0 .	.00000	
uel Cost of System Net Generation (E3)	0	ŏ	.00000	0	ŏ	.00000	
pent HUC fuel Disposal Cost (ESA)	0	ŏ	.00000	0	•		
nal Car Investment	0	U	.00000				
djustments to fuel Cost						*****	
0,000				0	342.000	.00000	
	0	342,000	.00000			12.00	
OTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER					118,825,000	1.97578	
OTAL COST OF GENERATED TOTAL		118,825,000	1.97598	2,347,958	0	.00000	
to demonstrate (f8)	2,347,958	0	.00000	0	0	.00000	
uel Cost of Furchased Power - firm (ER) nergy Cost of Sch.C.X Economy Purchases (Broker) (E9)	0	ň	.00000	3	o o	.00000	
	0		.00000	0			
	0			2,920,205	118,825,000 (a	.00000	
mergy Cost of Sch.E Purchases (E?)	2,920,205	118,825,000 (*)		0	0	.0000	
	2,720,20	0	.00000			2862	
Payments to Qualifying facilities (EBA)			ACCUSATION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE P		118,825,000	4.43355	
Payments to dustriffing received		118,825,000	4.43355	5,268,163			
The second second	5,268,163	110,000,000			117,167,000		
.101AL COST OF PURCHASED POWER		119,167,000			111,101,033		
		114,167,000			0	.00000	
. TOTAL AVAILABLE KUN			.00000	0		(10000	
	0	0	.00000	0	0		
fuel Cost of Economy Sales (E7)	0	0		0	c c	,(40000	
a la consens Cales . Dua (Cin)	ō	0	.00000	ō	0	.00000	
	ŏ	0	.00000	•			
fuel Cost of Other Power Sales (67)				1000000			
fuel Cost of Other Power saids to					0	.00000	
			.00000	0			
	0						
TOTAL THEE COST AND GAINS OF FOUR SALES						4.42082	
Het Indivertant Interchange (E4)		•••••	4.42082	5,268,163	119,167,000	4,41000	
	5,268,163	119,167,000	4.42002	*********	**********	.08057	
D. TOTAL FUEL AND HET POWER TRANSACTIONS	2,00,10	***********		90,583 (4)	2.049.000		
D. TOTAL TOLL AND MET TOTAL	90,583 (0)	2,049,000	.08057	2,918 (4)	66,000	.00260	
1.	70,363 (4)		.00560	204,684 (4)	4,630,000	.18207	
1.Net Udvilled (E4)	2,918 (0)		. 18207	204,664 (4)			
2.Company Use (E4)	204,684 (*)	4,030,000		0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	112,422,000	4.68506	
3.1 & D Losses (E4)		433 000	4.68606	5,268,163	0	.00000	
	5,268,163	112,422,000	.00000	0		16 (4.000,000,000,000	
4. Adjusted System FUII Sales	0	0	.00000			4.68606	
5. Wholesale AVII Sales				5,268,163	112,422,600	•.00000	
5. Wiolesale kun sates	5,268,163	112,422,000	4.68606	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	***********		
The state of the s	5,268,163	***********		•••		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
26. Jurisdictional KWH Sales	********				112,422,000	4.68606	
	8:03:	112,422,000	4.68606	5,268,163	**********		
27. Jurisdictional KVN Sales Adjusted for	5,268,163				112,422,000	.63166	
Line Loss - 0	\$1.00 mm / 100 mm	************	.65450	709.879	112,422,000		
	735,804	112,422,000	.07.75		************	5.31750	
28.True-up * (derived in Attachment C)		***********		5,978,042	112,422,000		
8. True-up - (der rives	6,003,967	112,422,000	5.34056		***********	1.01652	
the foot		**********	1.01609	11,956,084	112,422,000		
22. Intal Jurisdictional fuel Cost	••••••			11,436,004		5.40535	
30.Reverse las factor			5.42649	*********			
			2.5		*** / 22 000	.00000	
31.fuel Cost Adjusted for Taxes		112	,00000	0	112,422,000	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Million Con Contract	0	112,422,000			**********		
1000 Person Value 114		***********	•••••	5,978,042	112,422,000	5.40535	
32.GP11 *		112,422,000	5.42649	3,476,002			
	6,003,967	***********		***************************************			
33. lotal fuel Cost including GPIT	***********					5.405	
JE 011000000			5.426			556	

^{*}Raced on Jurisdictional Sales (a) included for informational purposes only.

ORDER NO. DOCKET NO. PAGE 33

20784 890001-EI

ATTACHMENT

×

FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION

DIVISION OF ELECTRIC AND GAS DATE: 2-15-89 PAGE 8 OF 9

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF: April 1989	CONTRACTOR STATE OF THE LEGAL	DATE: 2-15-89 PAGE 8 OF 9				·.		PAGE
CLASSIFICATION	FLORIDA PUBLIC Classification Associated \$	UTILITIES (FERNAND Classification Associated KWH	Classification Associated cents/KWH	Classification Associated S	-STAFF POSITION Classification Associated KWH	Classification Associated cents/KWH		34 N
			.00000			.00000	•••••	0.
1.fuel Cost of System Net Generation (E3) 2.Spent NUC Fuel Disposal Cost (E3A)	ŏ	ŏ	.00000	ō	0	.00000		8
3.Coal Car Investment	Ŏ	0	.00000	0	0	.00000		9 1
4.Adjustments to Fuel Cost	o	0	.00000	0	0	.00000		-10006
			.00000			.00000		£ .
.TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER			.00000					Ė
.fuel Cost of Purchased Power - Firm (E8)	2,944,499	77,548,000	3.79700	2,944,499	77,548,000	3.79700		н
"Energy Cost of Sch.C.X Economy Purchases (Broker) (E9)	0	0	.00000	0	0	.00000		
.Energy Cost of Economy Purchases (Non-Broker) (E9)	Ŏ		WAS STREET, STATE OF	0				
.Energy Cost of Sch.E Purchases (E9)	Ō	0	.00000	0	0 .	.00000		
O.Capacity Cost of Sch.E Economy Purchases (E2)	1,411,724	77,548,000 (a)	1.82045	1,411,724	77,548,000 (a)	1.82045		
Oa.Demand Costs of Purchased Power (E2)	848,000			848,000				
Ob. Non Fuel Energy and Customer Costs	0			0 ·		8		
of Purchased Power (E2)	563,724	*		563,724				
1.Payments to Qualifying Facilities (ESA)	1,501,500	39,000,000	3.85000	1,501,500	39,000,000	3.85000		
2.TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	5,857,723	116,548,000	5.02602	5,857,723	116,548,000	5.02602		
3.TOTAL AVAILABLE KVH		116,548,000			116,548,000			
	0	0	.00000	0	0	.00000		
4.Fuel Cost of Economy Sales (E7)	ŏ	ŏ	.00000	ŏ	0	.00000		
5.Gain on Economy Sales - 80% (E7A)	ŏ	ŏ	.00000	ŏ	0	.00000		
6.Fuel Cost of Unit Power Sales (E7) 7.Fuel Cost of Other Power Sales (E7)	ŏ	ŏ	.00000	0	0	.00000		
	•••••		****	0	0	.00000		
8.TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS OF POWER SALES P.Net Inadvertant Interchange (E4)	. 0	0	.00000					
F.MEL Madvertant Interenange (C4)		•••••		•••••		F 03/03		
D. TOTAL FUEL AND NET POWER TRANSACTIONS	5,857,723	116,548,000	5.02602	5,857,723	116,548,000	5.02602		
W-5 W-5111-4 1713	145,604 (a)	2,897,000	.13664	145,604 (a)	2,897,000	. 13664		
.Net Unbilled (E4)	4,976 (a)	99,000	.00467	4,976 (0)	99,000	.00467		
.Company Use (E4)	351,520 (a)	6,994,000	.32989	351,520 (a)	6,994,000	.32989		
	5,857,723	106,558,000	5.49722	5,857,723	106,558,000	5.49722		i
6.Adjusted System KWH Sales 6.Wholesale KWH Sales	0,057,125	0	.00000	0	0	.00000		
, mioresate kan sates				••••••		5.49722		
b.Jurisdictional KWH Sales	5,857,723	106,558,000	5.49722	5,857,723	106,558,000	3.49122		;
7. Jurisdictional KWH Sales Adjusted for				777	106,558,000	5.49722		
Line Loss - 0	5,857,723	106,558,000	5.49722	5,857,723	12,840,000			
7a.GSLD KVH Sales (E11)		12,840,000			93,718,000			
7b.Other Classes KWH Sales (E11)		93,718,000			60,000			
Tc.GSLD CP KW		60,000						
, GPIF		104 558 000	76176	(811,718)	106,558,000	76176		
P.True-up • (derived in Attachment C)	(811,718)	106,558,000		••••••	••••••	.—		
D. Total Jurisaretional Fuel Cost	5,046,005	000	4.73545	5,046,005	106,558,000	•		

FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE CALCULATION

DIVISION OF ELECTRIC AND GAS DATE: 2-15-89 PAGE 8A OF 9

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD OF: April 1989-September 1989

CLASSIFICATION	Classification Associated	UTILITIES (FERNA Classification Associated KWH	NDINA)	Classification Associated \$	Classification Associated KWH	Classification Associated cents/KWH	
		••••••					
30a.Demand Purchased Power Costs (Line 10a)	848,000 (a)			848,000			
30b.Non-Demand Purchased Power Costs (lines 6+10b+11)	5.009,723 (a)			5,009,723			
	(811,718)(a)			(811,718)			
section of overlands account time and	•						
31.Total Demand Costs	848,000			848,000			
32.GSLD Portion of Demand Costs	0.00			198,000	60,000		
Including line losses (line 27c * \$3.30)	198,000	60,000		650,000	93,718,000		
33.Balance to Other Customers	650,000	93,718,000		8,0,000	75,115,000		
	5,009,723			5,009,723			
34.Total Non-Demand Costs (line 30b)	3,007,723	116,548,000		.,,	116,548,000		
35.Total KWH Purchased (line 12) 36.Average Cost per KWH Purchased .			4.29842			4.29842	
37.Avg. Cost Adjusted for Transmission		*					
line losses (line 36 * 1.03)			4.42100			4.42100	
38.GSLD Non-Demand Costs (line 27a * line 37)	567,656	12,840,000	4.42100	567,656	12,840,000 93,718,000	4.73982	
39.Balance to Other Customers	4,442,067	93,718,000	4.73982	4,442,067	93,710,000	4.73700	
	- 7		3.30000	198,000	60,000	3.30000	
40a.Total GSLD Demand Costs (Line 32)	198,000	60,000	1.01609	170,000		1.01652	
40b.Revenue Tax Factor			1.01007		0	·······	
40c.GSLD Demand Purchased Power factor adjusted			3.35310			3.35452	
for taxes and rounded							
				567,656	12,840,000	4.42100	
40d. Total Current GSLD Non-Demand Costs (line 38)	567,656	12,840,000	4.42100	367,636	12,040,000		
. 4	••••••		4.42100	567,656	12,840,000	4.42100	
40e.Total Won-Demand Costs including true-up	567,656	12,840,000	1.01609	30.,035		1.0'652	
40f.Revenue Tax Factor			4.49213			4.49404	
40g.GSLD Non-demand costs adjusted for taxes.							
41a. Total Demand and Non-Demand Purchased Power Costs			10000000		93,718,000	5,43337	
of other classes (lines 33 • 37)	5,092,067	93,718,000	5.43339	5,092,067	93,718,000	86613	
41b.Other Classes' Portion of True-up (line 42)	(811,718)	93,718,000	86613	(811,718)	73,710,000		
			4.56726	4,280,349	93,718,000	4.56726	
41c.Total Demand and Non-Demand Costs including True-up	4,280,349	93,718,000	1.01609	4,200,347		1.01652	
41d.Revenue tax factor			4.64075			4.64272	
			4.0.00				
41e.Other Classes Purchased Power Factor adjusted for tax	B names 1 and 2 o	(9)	4.641			4.643	
to the Mearest .001 cents per KWH (used in Attachment	B, pages 1 and 2 o			•••••		•••••	

^{*}Based on Jurisdictional Sales (a) included for informational purposes only.

500-0

ORDER NO. DOCKET NO. PAGE 35

20784 890001-EI

ATTACHMENT

Effective dates for billing purposes: 4/1/89-9/30/89

COMPANY: TPC

FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY CLAUSE

CALCULATION OF FINAL FUEL COST FACTORS

For the Period: April 1989 through September 1989

Group Delivery Level	GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER	LEVELIZED 2.066	011-PEAK 2.681	OFF-PEAK 1.784
1. Transmission Delivery	0.9748	2.014	2.613	1.739
II. Distribution Primary Delivery	0.9903	2.046	2.655	1.767
III. Distribution Secondary Delivery	1.0036	2.073	2.691	1.790

Rate Schedules OL-1 and SL-1:

Final fuel cost factor is composite of on-peak and off-peak factors with consumption 18.7% on-peak and 81.3% off-peak, and group III line losses:

.187 * 2.681 + .813 * 1.784) * 1.0036 1.959 C/KWII

ATTACHMENT B-2

ORDER NO. 20784 DOCKET NO. 890001-EI PAGE 37

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

FUEL RECOVERY FACTORS - BY RATE GROUP (ADJUSTED FOR LINE/TRANSFORMATION LOSSES)

APRIL 1989 - SEPTEMBER 1989

	1) OUP	(2) RAT SCHED	E	(3) Average Factor	(4) FUEL RECOVERY LOSS MULTIPLIER	(5) FUEL RECOVERY FACTOR
-						PACIDA
	A	RS-1, 6S-1,	SI -2	1.778	1.00136	1.780
A	-14	SL-1, OL-1		1.743	1.00136	1.745
	В	650-1		1.778	1.00131	1.780
	C	GSLD-1 & CS-	1	1.778	1.00024	1.778
7,	D	6SLD-2, CS-2	, OS-2	1.778	0.99333	1.766
	Ε	eerp-3 & cs-	3	1.778	0.96774	1.721
- 1	A	RST-1, BST-1	OK-PEAK	1.91E	1.00136	1.921
			OFF-PEAK	1.710	1.00136	1.712
1		6SDT-1	OM-PEAK	1.718	1.00131	1.921
			OFF-PEAL	1.710	1.00131	1.712
				••••		1.712
(:	ESLOT-1 &	DN-PEAK	1.918	1.00024	1.919
		CST-!	OFF-PEAK	1.710	1.00024	1.710
)	GSLDT-2 &	ON-PEAK	1.918	0.99333	1.905
		CST-2	OFF-PEAK	1.710	0.99333	1.698
Ε		ESLDT-3,CST-3	. ON-PEAK	1.918	0.96774	1.856
		IST-1(T)		1.710	0.96774	1.654
		1 ISST-1(T)	escationistani. De	THE STATE OF THE S	,	
F		IST-1(D) &	DK-PEAK	1.918	0.99805	1.914
			OFF-PEAK	1.710	0.99805	1.706
				•••••	V. 17070	1.700

WEIGHTED AVERAGE 161 DK-PEAK AND 841 OFF-PEAK

ATTACHMENT B-3

SCHEDULE E1-C

GULF POWER COMPANY

Fuel Cost Factors April, 1989 - September, 1989

			Fuel Cost Factors (Cents/KWH)			
Group	Rate Schedules	Line Loss Multipliers	Standard	TOU On-Peak	Off-Peak	
A	RS, 6S, 6SD, DSIII	1.01228	2.099	2.217	2.044	
8	LP	0.98106	2.035	2.149	1.981	
C	PI	0.96230	1.996	2.107	1.943	
D	OSI, OSII	1.01228	2.059	N/A	N/A	

Group D Calculation

On-Peak Factor 2.190 Cents/KWH x 0.0883 = 0.193 Cents/KWH

Off-Peak Factor 2.019 Cents/KWH x 0.9117 = 1.841 Cents/KWH

2.034 Cents/KWH

Line Loss Multiplier

1.01228

2.059 Cents/KWH

2.791

2.559

1.0000

2.448

ORDER NO. 20784 DOCKET NO. 890001-EI PAGE 39

CALCULATION OF FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER COST RECOVERY FACTOR WITH LINE LOSSES

ESTIMATED FOR THE PERIOD APR., 1989 THRU SEPT., 1989

326			CROUPE		HEED	FOR	LINE	LOSS	CALCULATIONS:	
-----	--	--	--------	--	------	-----	------	------	---------------	--

1.	THE FOLLOWING CHOOLS were as				
•	GROUP	RATE RS GS TS	•	DESCRIPTION MESIDENTIAL SERVICE GENERAL SERVICE - NON DEMAND TEMPORARY SERVICE	
	AT	SL-1,2 & 3 OL-1 & 2		STREET LIGHTING SERVICE GENERAL OUTDOOR LIGHTING SERVICE	
	8	GSD GSLD SBF		GENERAL SERVICE - DEMAND GENERAL SERVICE - LARCE DEMAND FIRM STANDBY AND SUPPLEMENTAL SERVICE	
	c	15-1 15-3 581-1 581-3		INDUSTRIAL INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE INTERRUPTIBLE SERVICE INTERRUPTIBLE STANDBY AND SUPPLEMENTAL SERVICE INTERRUPTIBLE STANDBY AND SUPPLEMENTAL SERVICE	E

DEVELOPMENT OF RATE GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIERS FOR THE PROJECTION PERIOD. BASED ON PROJECTED 1985 DATA:

GROUP	ECTION PERIOD. BASED ON P	(1) MWH è Customer Level	(2) PER UNIT DELIVERY EFFICIENCY	(3) MWH 6 SOUNCE (1) / (2)	COMPOSITE DELIVERY EFFICIENCY (1) / (3)	GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER .9450 / (4)
A (& A1)	RS,GS,TS,SL & OL					
	1. PRIMARY DELIVERY 2. SECONDANY DELIVERY	4,904,451	0.9313316	5,266,063		
	TOTAL GROUP A (& A1)	4,904,451		5,266,063	0.9313	1.0147
В	GSD & GSLD			No. of the Control of		
	1. SUBTRANS. DELIVERY 2. PRIMARY DELIVERY 3. SECONDARY DELIVERY	964.749 1.127.102 2:771,698	0.9802985 0.9604815 0.9313316	984,138 1,173,476 2,976,059		
	TOTAL GROUP B	4,863,549		5,133,673	0.9474	0.9975
c	IS-1 & IS-3					
	1. SUBTRANS. DELIVERY 2. PRIMARY DELIVERY	1,452,997	0.9802985 0.9604815	1,482,198 457,066		
	TOTAL GROUP C	1,892,000		1,939,264	0.9756	0.9686
TOTAL	RETAIL RATE GROUPS	11,660,000		12,339,000	0.9450	1.0000
3. FUEL	. CHARGE FACTORS AFTER AD	JUSTMENT FOR V	ARIATIONS IN	LINE LOSSES:	ON-PEAK	OFF-PKAK
	Choun 4		1:0147	2.597	2.832	2.484
	GROUP A GROUP AI GROUP B GROUP C		1.0147 0.9975 0.9686	2.536 2.553 2.479	2.784 2.703	2.442 2.371
	CHOOL C			1200022400		2 410

SYSTEM . GROUP AT IS BASED ON GHOUP A, 15% OF ON PEAK & 85% OF OFF-PEAK.

ORDER NO. 20784 DOCKET NO. 890001-EI PAGE 40

> GPIF TARGETS APR - SEPT

Availability

	FAF	POF	EUOF	Heat Rate
FPL	EAF	101		
Cape Canaveral 1	78.8	17.5	3./	9576
Cape Canaveral 2	95.5	0.0	4.5	9471
Fort Myers 2	82.6	13.7	3.7	9299
Manatee 1	79.7	8.7	11.6	9532
Manatee 2	94.3	0.0	5.7	9700
	92.8	0.0	7.2	9328
Martin 1	66.6	29.0	4.4	9703
Martin 2	80.3	13.1	6.6	9901
Port Everglades 1	87.0	5.5	7.5	9762
Port Everglades 2	80.6	0.0	19.4	9653
Port Everglades 3	76.6	12.0	11.4	9631
Port Everglades 4	80.7	6.0	13.3	9830
Riviera 3	83.7	9.8	6.5	9929
Riviera 4		17.5	2.5	9441
Turkey Point 1	80.0	0.0	11.1	9615
Turkey Point 2	88.9		26.6	11062
Turkey Point 3	65.7	7.7	23.9	11051
Turkey Point 4	76.1	0.0		10822
St. Lucie 1	91.8	0.0	8.2	10841
St. Lucie 2	77.6	15.3	7.1	10841
FPC	EAF	POF	EUOF	Heat Rate
Anclotte 1	83.24	7.65	9.11	9839 .
Anclotte 2	93.75	0.00	6.25	9870
Crystal River 1	90.43	0.00	9.57	10046
Crystal River 2	62.89	19.11	18.00	10169
Crystal River 3	69.68	6.56	23.76	10716
Crystal River 4	71.35	22.93	5.72	9417
Crystal River 5	94.36	0.00	5.64	9448
TECO	EAF	POF	EUOF	Heat Rate
Gannon 5	57.7	30.6	11.7	10142
Gannon 6	74.3	12.6	13.1	10142
Big Bend 1	86.4	0.0	13.6	9806
Big Bend 2	60.2	30.1	9.7	9806
Big Bend 3	84.2	0.0	15.8	9806
Big Bend 4	92.7	0	7.3	10012
GULF	EAF	POF	EUOF	Heat Rate
Crist 6	83.9	4.9	11.2	10413
Crist 7	75.8	12.6	11.6	10493
Smith 1	87.9	8.7	3.4	10310
Smith 2	82.9	8.7	8.4	10340
	91.0	4.9	4.1	10723
Daniel 1	97.6	0.0	2.4	10697
Daniel 2	95.3	0.0	4.7	11095
Crist 4	95.3	0.0	4.7	11075

. . . .

ATTACHMENT D

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and Purchased Power)
Cost Recovery Clause and Generating)
Performance Incentive Factor.

DOCKET NO. 890001-EI Submitted for filing: February 14, 1989

STIPULATION

The Florida Industrial Power Users Group ("FIPUG") and Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") do hereby enter into the following stipulation and request that consideration of Issues 15-17 and FIPUG's position on Issues 11-14 (the "Issues") be deferred from the February 22 hearing in this docket:

- 1. FIPUG and FPL are parties in Docket No. 890001-EI. Issues 11-14 in that docket, as delineated in the Prehearing Order, involve the setting and application of the Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor ("OBCRF") by FPL for the time frames covered by the hearing.
- 2. Issues 15-17, as delineated in the Prehearing Order, set forth issues raised by FIPUG in regard to the application of the OBCRF. FIPUG seeks a refund of certain monies collected by FPL pursuant to the OBCRF and discontinuation of FPL's oil backout cost recovery mechanism. Further, with respect to Issues 11-14, FIPUG has asserted that all revenues associated with claims of deferral benefits should be refunded to customers.
- 3. Docket No. 890001-EI is set for hearing beginning February 22, 1989.

ATTACHMENT D

- 4. FIPUG currently has pending in Docket No. 890148-EI a Petition to Discontinue FPL's OBCRF. This petition has not yet been set for hearing.
- 5. On January 27, 1989, FIPUG filed a motion to consolidate the two dockets as they relate to FPL's OBCRF or, alternatively, to hold the OBCRF issues in Docket No. 890001-EI in abeyance pending resolution of FIPUG's petition.
- 6. On February 10, 1989 at the prehearing conference in Docket No. 890001-EI, Commissioner Herndon deferred ruling on FIPUG's Motion to Consolidate. He sent the motion to the full Commission for a ruling at the March 7, 1989 Agenda Conference. Thus, the Commission's ruling on the Motion to Consolidate will occur after the February 22, 1989 hearing in Docket No. 890001-EI.
- 7. FIPUG and FPL agree that insufficient time exists for discovery and hearing preparation on the "Issues" as currently included in the February 22 hearing in Docket No. 890001-EI.
- 8. In order to allow sufficient time for discovery and preparation so as to present all the facts to the Commission, FIPUG and FPL stipulate:
- a. FPL shall proceed with the presentation of evidence at the February 22, 1989 hearing in regard to issues 11-14 as those issues relate to the setting of the OBCRF;
- b. FIPUG agrees to defer presentation of its position on the "Issues" until such "Issues" are decided in a later scheduled hearing or ruling in Docket No. 890001-EI and/or Docket No. 890148-EI:

ATTACHMENT D

- c. FPL agrees that if any adjustment is made to FPL's OBCRF as a result of the proceedings in a later scheduled hearing in Docket No. 890001-EI and/or Docket No. 890148-EI, as a result of consideration of the "Issues," any amounts ordered to be refunded shall be subject to refund as though the Commission had considered and reached a decision on the "Issues" in the hearing held on February 22 in Docket No. 890001-EI; and
- d. FIPUG and FPL agree that this Stipulation in no way shall be construed as a waiver of any parties' positions or rights in regard to any "Issues" arising from FIPUG's positions in Docket No. 890001-EI and/or Docket No. 890148-EI.
- The undersigned are authorized to represent that the Office of Public Counsel joins in this Stipulation.

Coseph A. McGlothlin
Lawson, McWhirter, Grandoff
& Reeves
522 E. Park Avenue, Suite 200
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
904/222-2525

Matthew M. Childs Steel, Hector & Davis 310 W. College Avenue Tallahassee, Florida 32301 904/222-4192