

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Investigation into Equal Access ) DOCKET NO. 880812-TP  
Exchange Areas, Toll Monopoly Areas, 1+ )  
Restrictions to the local exchange ) ORDER NO. 22101-A  
companies and elimination of the access )  
discount ) ISSUED: 11-01-89  
)

AMENDATORY ORDER

Subsequent to the issuance of Order No. 22101, the Prehearing Order in this proceeding, certain errors were discovered. The positions of Southland Systems, Inc. were inadvertently inserted as the positions of Southland Telephone Company. Attached to this Order as Appendix A is the list of issues and the positions of Southland Telephone Company. Accordingly, Order No. 22101 is hereby amended to include Southland Telephone Company's position set forth in Appendix A. The positions currently listed for Southland Telephone Company are redesignated as those of Southland Systems, Inc.

It is, therefore

ORDERED that Order No. 22101 is amended as set forth above. It is further

ORDERED that Order No. 22101 is reaffirmed in all other respects.

By ORDER of Chairman MICHAEL MCK. WILSON, and Prehearing Officer, this 1st day of NOVEMBER, 1989.



MICHAEL MCK. WILSON, Chairman  
and Prehearing Officer

( S E A L )

TH

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10772 NOV -1 1989

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

APPENDIX "A"

LIST OF ISSUES

Issue 1: Do current circumstance and conditions warrant the abolition of the toll transmission monopoly area (TMAs)? (Issue includes but is not limited to the original objectives for the TMAs, how those objectives have been met, whether those objectives remain viable for the future, any preexisting criteria governing the elimination of the TMAs, and whether the preexisting criteria or other factors justifies continuation or elimination of the TMAs).

Response: No.

Issue 2: If continued or eliminated, what policy changes or other regulatory actions are appropriate?

Response: If TMAs are retained, the Commission should continue to weigh the same "public interest" considerations as acknowledged and accepted by the Florida Supreme Court. The Commission should continue to look at things such as the effect on local rates.

If TMAs are eliminated, the Commission may need to readdress its policies on equal access and develop policies on stranded investment, lost economies of scale, duplicate facilities and keeping LEC's whole.

Issue 3: Do current circumstances and conditions warrant elimination of the Equal Access Exchange Areas (EAEAs)? (Issue includes but is not limited to the original objectives for the EAEAs, how those objectives have been met, whether those objectives remain viable for the future, and the effect of those objectives on the configuration of telecommunications networks).

Response: No.

Issue 4: If continued or eliminated, what policy changes, EAEA boundary changes, or other regulatory actions are appropriate?

Response: Circumstances have not changed enough to warrant elimination of TMAs/EAEAs. No regulatory actions or boundary modifications should be made.

Issue 5: What are the potential benefits and detriments, including but not limited to economic factors, resulting from intraEAEA transmission competition to the following: IXCs, LECs, and consumers?

Appendix "A"  
List of Issues

Response: A potential detriment to Southland's customers in the event of elimination of TMA's would be increased local rates.

Issue 6  
and

Issue 7: What policy changes or modifications to existing intraLATA 1+ and 0+ dialing patterns are appropriate?

Response: None.

Issue 8: Should the current policy regarding the phase out of the discount for less than equal access be retained?

Response: Yes.

Issue 9: Should the LS1 and LS2 access differential be retained?

Response: No.

Issue 10: Should the current "bypass" restriction be continued or eliminated?

Response: Continued.

Issue 11: Should the Commission now implement its decision in Order No. 12765 to charge resellers FGA access charges instead of PBX trunk rates?

Response: No position.

Issue 12: Should the Commission now implement its decision in Order No. 15481 to implement time of day discounts to LEC terminating access charges in those EAEAs fully (all end offices within the EAEA) converted to equal access?

Response: No.

Issue 13: What tariff filings, rule amendments, time frames, and other procedures are appropriate to implement the decisions reached in this docket?

Response: No position.