

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Investigation into the statewide) DOCKET NO. 880423-TP
offering of access to the local network)
for the purpose of providing information) ORDER NO. 23183-A
services)
_____) ISSUED: 7-19-90

AMENDATORY ORDER

Order No. 23183 was issued July 13, 1990. Appendix I to that Order was inadvertently omitted. Attached to this Order is Appendix I. Order No. 23183 is hereby amended to include the attached Appendix I.

Based on the foregoing

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission Order No. 23183 is amended as set forth in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that Order No. 23183 is reaffirmed in all other respects.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission, this 19th day of July, 1990.

STEVE TRIBBLE, Director
Division of Records and Reporting

(S E A L)

by: Kay Hizon
Chief, Bureau of Records

TH

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
06465 JUL 19 1990
PSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE STATE-) DOCKET NO. 880423-TP
WIDE OFFERING OF ACCESS TO THE LOCAL) ORDER NO.
NETWORK FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING) ISSUED:
INFORMATION SERVICES.)
_____)

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT

The parties of record in the above-styled case, either through their elected officers or their respective attorneys do hereby stipulate as follows:

ISSUE 9: Should interconnection rates, terms and conditions be statewide?

STIPULATION: The rate structures should be statewide. The terms and conditions should be statewide to the extent possible. The rate levels may be company-specific. Basic service elements, access, and related ancillary or optional features, when introduced anywhere in Florida, should be offered on a statewide basis by all local exchange companies to the extent feasible. Market demand, technical constraints, and the costs associated with provision may vary among local exchange companies and service areas. These factors may have an impact on an individual company's introduction of a particular service or group of services, and may, in some cases, make that company's offering of the service impractical. In those instances the company should not be required to offer the service element unless otherwise directed by this Commission. Local exchange companies, when presented with a written request from a customer to provide an information service element previously made available by any local exchange company elsewhere in Florida, should offer the service or reply to the customer, citing the reasoning why they are not offering that particular service element. Information regarding such requests may be submitted under the reporting guidelines established in Issue 6 of this docket.

All parties reserve their positions and legal rights on all matters not stipulated to above. This Stipulation is entered into in a spirit of compromise and in an effort to obviate the additional expense of further litigation.

Dated this 15th day of February, 1989.

SIGNATURES ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

STIPULATION AGREED TO BY:

US Sprint Communications Company
By: Erica Bernard

United Telephone Company of Florida
By: Robert Berg

Southern Bell Telephone & Telegraph Company
By: John Nault

MCI Telecommunications Corp.
By: Kevin E. Post

AT&T Communications of the Southern States
By: Michael W. De

Telus Communications, Inc.
By: Bruce David . Long

GTE, Florida, Inc.
By: Thomas R. S

Florida Interexchange Carrier Association ^(withdrawn) and Florida Cable-
Television
By: Joseph A. McElhatch

Microtel, Inc.
By: Carleen Sullacina

Independent Local Exchange Companies
By: Norman W. Horton

Information Services Providers Alliance
By: Stephen R. Bell

Florida Ad Hoc Telecommunication Users Committee
By: Todd G. H

Citizens of the State of Florida
By: Charles Beck, ant. public counsel

Other Parties:
Prodigy Services Company
By: Carleen Sullacina

Central Telephone Company of Florida
By: John Smith

By: _____