

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Investigation into UNITED TELE-)	DOCKET NO. 891239-TL
PHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA'S authorized)	ORDER NO. 23525
return on equity and earnings.)	ISSUED: 9-21-90

ORDER GRANTING UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY
OF FLORIDA'S SECOND REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION
OF THE MINIMUM FILING REQUIREMENTS

United Telephone Company of Florida (United) has, by letter dated July 18, 1990, requested modification of the minimum filing requirements (MFRs) it is required to file in this proceeding. United has requested that it not be required to file five categories of rate elements of Schedule E-1a. The five rate elements are found in tariff sections A7/10, A13/38, A13/30, A29/5, and E7/NRCs.

1. Specifically regarding the billing units found in A7/10, the Company states that billing units for PATS Usage are not maintained by rate element. United states that its test year revenues were developed on the basis of PATS access line gain. Therefore, the Company requests that it not be required to file these billing units. Based on the foregoing, as well as the fact that we are addressing PATS issues in a separate docket, we find this request reasonable and, therefore, grant it.

2. Regarding the billing units found in A13/38, the Company states that billing units for the Dial-It Transport rate element are not maintained in the detail necessary to distinguish between units for initial and additional time periods. The Company states, also, that the initial minute usage rate is twelve cents and the additional usage rate is one cent for each thirty seconds. Therefore, the Company requests that it not be required to provide the initial versus additional minutes. Because the revenues generated by this service offering are so small, we do not find it reasonable to require the Company to develop these units. Therefore, we find this request appropriate and hereby grant it.

3. Regarding the billing units located in A13/30, the Company requests that it not be required to file the billing units for the rate element entitled Remote Call Forwarding Usage because records were not kept in the detail necessary to distinguish between minutes of use units and message units. The Company states that the rates are \$0.03 per minute where measurable or \$0.12 per message. Because the revenues

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
08467 SEP 21 1990
PSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. 23525
 DOCKET NO. 891239-TL
 PAGE 2

generated by this service offering are so small, we do not find it reasonable to require the Company to develop these units. Therefore, we find this request reasonable and hereby approve it.

4. Regarding the billing units located in A29/5, the Company requests that it not be required to file the billing units for the rate elements of SwitchLink Usage because the records were not maintained in the detail necessary to distinguish by rate element. The Company states that test year revenues were developed on the basis of historic (1989-1990) revenues and forecasted SwitchLink access lines. Because the revenues generated by this service offering are so small, we do not find it reasonable to require the Company to develop these units. Therefore, we find this request reasonable and hereby approve it.

5. Regarding the billing units located in E7/NRCs, the Company requests that it not be required to file the billing units for the nonrecurring charges of Special Access Service because these are not available by individual rate element. The Company asserts that special access service rates and rate structures are being addressed in Docket No. 890505-TL and that a special study will be made to develop the units for repricing purposes in that docket. We find this request appropriate and, therefore, approve it.

Based on the foregoing, it is, therefore,

ORDERED by Commissioner Betty Easley that United Telephone Company of Florida's second request for modification of its minimum filing requirements is hereby granted as set forth in the body of this Order.

By ORDER of Commissioner Betty Easley, Prehearing Officer,
 this 21st day of SEPTEMBER, 1990.



 BETTY EASLEY, Commissioner
 and Prehearing Officer

(S E A L)

SFS

ORDER NO. 23525
DOCKET NO. 891239-TL
PAGE 3

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: 1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; 2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or 3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or sewer utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.