

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Proposed tariff filing to introduce) DOCKET NO. 910179-TL
 Extended Calling Service (ECS) plan which)
 allows the conversion of intraLATA toll) ORDER NO. 24886
 routes between exchanges of Tampa, Clear-)
 water, Tarpon Springs and St. Petersburg) ISSUED: 8/6/91
 to 7-digit local measured service, by GTE)
 FLORIDA, INC. (T-91-037 filed 1/29/91))

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SERVE DISCOVERY

By Order No. 24577, issued May 24, 1991, the Prehearing Officer set forth the prehearing procedures to be utilized in this docket, including a schedule of key events and deadlines to be observed by the parties. The Order provided, among other things, that no discovery requests were to be served after July 24, 1991, and that all discovery was to be completed by August 28, 1991.

On July 25, 1991, the Florida Interexchange Carriers Association (FIXCA) filed its Motion to Serve Discovery. Through this Motion, FIXCA seeks to serve four production requests and one interrogatory on GTE Florida, Incorporated (GTEFL), one day after the deadline set by Order No. 24577. As grounds for its Motion, FIXCA states that the Commission's inclusion of discovery cut-off dates in prehearing orders is a relatively new practice and that since the cut-off date was not included in the numbered list of key events, counsel for FIXCA inadvertently overlooked the deadline. FIXCA asserts that the discovery that is sought is both relevant and necessary to its case. FIXCA further asserts that a one day extension of the deadline will not be prejudicial to any party. Finally, FIXCA represents that counsel for GTEFL does not object to its request.

Upon consideration of the matters set forth above, I find it appropriate to grant FIXCA's Motion. Accordingly, FIXCA is hereby authorized to serve the discovery referenced above one day after the deadline set by Order No. 24577. Because FIXCA shall be allowed to serve the subject discovery on July 25, 1991, the date for completion of this particular line of discovery shall also be extended by one day, to August 29, 1991, as between these parties only, and only for these particular discovery requests.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Betty Easley, as Prehearing Officer, that the Motion to Serve Discovery filed by the Florida Interexchange Carriers Association on July 25, 1991, is hereby granted as set forth herein.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07926 AUG -6 1991

PSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. 24886
DOCKET NO. 910179-TL
PAGE 2

By ORDER of Commissioner Betty Easley, as Prehearing Officer,
this 6th day of AUGUST, 1991.



BETTY EASLEY, Commissioner
and Prehearing Officer

(S E A L)

ABG

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: 1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; 2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or 3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or sewer utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural

ORDER NO. 24886
DOCKET NO. 910179-TL
PAGE 3

or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.