

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Request by Broward Board of)	DOCKET NO. 911034-TL
County Commissioners for extended area)	
service between Fort Lauderdale, Holly-)	ORDER NO. 25517
wood, North Dade and Miami)	
<hr/>		ISSUED: 12/23/91

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

By Order No. 25208, issued October 11, 1991, we directed Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell or the Company) to perform certain traffic studies. We ordered the Company to perform these traffic studies so that we could further evaluate a resolution filed with this Commission by the Broward County Board of County Commissioners. The resolution requested that we consider requiring implementation of extended area service (EAS) between the Fort Lauderdale, Hollywood, North Dade, and Miami exchanges, as well as from the Weston central office of the Fort Lauderdale exchange (NXX codes 384 and 389) to the North Dade and Miami exchanges. The Company was directed by Order No. 25208 to prepare and submit the studies to us within sixty (60) days of the issuance date of the Order, making the studies due by December 10, 1991.

On December 12, 1991, Southern Bell filed a Motion for Extension of Time requesting an extension of thirty (30) days in which to prepare and submit the required traffic studies. As grounds for its request, Southern Bell states that the traffic studies necessitated by our Order are very comprehensive and will require pocket studies in addition to the regular traffic studies. Southern Bell asserts that it has attempted to meet the sixty-day deadline, but now believes that some additional time will be required. Southern Bell does not foresee that its requested extension of time will prejudice any party or cause an inordinate delay in these proceedings.

Upon consideration, I find it appropriate to grant the Company's request for an extension of time. Accordingly, Southern Bell shall be granted an extension of time until the close of business on January 9, 1992, in which to prepare and submit the required traffic studies.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Betty Easley, as Prehearing Officer, that the Motion for Extension of Time filed by Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company on December 12, 1991, is hereby granted. It is further

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

12612 DEC 23 1991

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. 25517
DOCKET NO. 911034-TL
PAGE 2

ORDERED that Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company shall file the required traffic study data on or before January 9, 1992.

By ORDER of Commissioner Betty Easley, as Prehearing Officer, this 23rd day of DECEMBER, 1991.



BETTY EASLEY, Commissioner
and Prehearing Officer

(S E A L)

ABG

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: 1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; 2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or 3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of

ORDER NO. 25517
DOCKET NO. 911034-TL
PAGE 3

Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.