

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Proposed tariff filing) DOCKET NO. 930419-TL
to eliminate complex business)
one-party line service by ST.)
JOSEPH TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH)
COMPANY)

In Re: Proposed tariff filing) DOCKET NO. 930420-TL
to obsolete inward only PBX) ORDER NO. PSC-93-0833-FOF-TL
trunks by ST. JOSEPH TELEPHONE) ISSUED: June 3, 1993
AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY)

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

J. TERRY DEASON, Chairman
THOMAS M. BEARD
SUSAN F. CLARK
JULIA L. JOHNSON
LUIS J. LAUREDO

ORDER APPROVING TARIFF FILINGS

BY THE COMMISSION:

On April 5, 1993, St. Joseph Telephone and Telegraph Company (St. Joe or the Company) filed tariff revisions proposing to eliminate Complex Business one-party line service and obsolete inward only PBX trunks. The Company is proposing these filings to effect certain rate structure changes resulting from the Company's rate proceeding in Docket No. 910927-TL.

St. Joe currently has two schedules of one-party business rates, with Simple rates being higher than Complex rates. These rates originated when the Company unbundled the instrument charges from the access line charges. Rates for access lines that terminated on a key set were decreased by \$.45 per line per month. Single or simple access line charges were reduced by \$.15 per line per month. This resulted in a customer with access lines terminating in a key system paying less on a per line basis than single or simple access lines.

Currently there are 63 customers being charged the complex business rates who will experience an increase \$.45 per line per month, or \$5.40 annually. Thus, the annual revenue effect to the Company for this change is \$340.20. A notice of the change shall accompany each customer's first billing at the new rate.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05990 JUN-30

REGISTRATION/REPORTING

Since the Company cannot determine the end user's type of equipment, and the facilities to provide the service are the same, we believe it is appropriate to eliminate the dual schedules of business rates. The result will be that all business one-party subscribers within an exchange will pay the same rates. Accordingly, we hereby approve the tariff as filed.

St. Joe currently has two separate rates for Private Branch Exchange (PBX) trunks, two-way and inward. Two-way trunks are used to make and receive calls in a PBX environment. Inward only trunks are restricted for incoming calls and not available for outgoing calls. Since the facilities are the same for both types of trunks, the Company believes there should be no differential in the monthly rates. There are currently three customers subscribing to Inward Only trunks for a total of 81 trunks. There will be no customer impact since these customers will be allowed to retain the current rates and services until they discontinue service or change location.

Other Local Exchange Companies (LECs) offer PBX trunks for two-way and inward traffic but their rates are identical for each service. Accordingly, we believe that this filing is appropriate, and hereby approve it as filed. We will review these obsolete rates in the Company's next rate case.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that St. Joseph Telephone and Telegraph Company's proposed tariff eliminating Complex Business one-party rates is hereby approved, effective June 4, 1993. It is further

ORDERED that St. Joseph Telephone and Telegraph Company's proposed tariff to obsolete Inward Only PBX trunks is hereby approved, effective June 4, 1993. It is further

ORDERED that if a protest is filed in accordance with the requirement set forth below, these tariffs shall remain in effect with any increase in revenues held subject to refund pending resolution of the protest. It is further

ORDERED that if no protest is filed in accordance with the requirement set forth below, these dockets shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 3rd day
of June, 1993.

STEVE TRIBBLE, Director
Division of Records and Reporting

(S E A L)

PAK

by: Kay DeLeon
Chief, Bureau of Records

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

The Commission's decision on this tariff is interim in nature and will become final, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed files a petition for a formal proceeding, as provided by Rule 25-22.036(4), Florida Administrative Code, in the form provided by Rule 25-22.036(7)(a)(d) and (e), Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting at his office at 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870, by the close of business on June 24, 1993.

In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become final on the day subsequent to the above date.

ORDER NO. PSC-93-0833-FOF-TL
DOCKETS NOS. 930419-TL, 930420-TL
PAGE 4

Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this Order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

If this Order becomes final on the date described above, any party adversely affected may request judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or by the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days of the date this Order becomes final, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.