

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Comprehensive review of revenue requirements and rate stabilization plan of SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY.)	DOCKET NO. 920260-TL
)	
)	
)	
)	
In Re: Investigation into the integrity of SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY'S repair service activities and reports.)	DOCKET NO. 910163-TL
)	
)	
)	
)	
In Re: Investigation into SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY'S compliance with Rule 25-4.110(2), F.A.C., Rebates.)	DOCKET NO. 910727-TL
)	
)	
)	
)	
In Re: Show cause proceeding against SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY for misbilling customers.)	DOCKET NO. 900960-TL
)	ORDER NO. PSC-93-0921-PCO-TL
)	ISSUED: June 17, 1993
)	

ORDER GRANTING IN PART REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER NO. PSC-93-0644-PCO-TL

On April 23, 1993, the Prehearing Officer issued an Order Establishing Procedure in the above-referenced dockets (Order No. PSC-93-0644-PCO-TL). This Order sets forth, among other things, controlling dates for the key activities that will occur in these dockets. On May 3, 1993, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell or the Company) filed a Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-93-0644-PCO-TL (Motion). On May 17, 1993, the Office of Public Counsel (OPC) filed its Response and Opposition to Southern Bell's Motion.

Southern Bell makes two requests in its Motion. First, the Company requests that its filing date for rebuttal testimony be postponed from December 6, 1993, to December 20, 1993. Second, it asks that an additional controlling date be inserted into the schedule of events to provide for the filing of updates to Southern Bell's original direct testimony and suggests November 1, 1993, as an appropriate date. OPC opposes both of these requests. The Prehearing Officer heard arguments from both the Company and OPC at

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06523 JUN 17 83

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

the May 21, 1993, status conference and took the matter under advisement, with a ruling to be issued subsequently.

Upon consideration of the written pleadings and the oral arguments heard in support thereof, it has been determined that Southern Bell's requests shall be granted, in part. First, the deadline for the filing of rebuttal testimony shall be moved from December 6, 1993, to December 10, 1993. This will provide some relief to the Company, given the major holiday that occurs after staff testimony is filed, without unduly delaying the proceedings or hindering the other parties in their preparations. Second, an additional controlling date of October 1, 1993, shall be inserted into the schedule of key events. This date shall be the deadline for Southern Bell to file additional direct testimony, if necessary, to update its original filing. The scope of this update shall be strictly limited to updating 1993 data.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Susan F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, that the Request for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-93-0644-PCO-TL filed on May 3, 1993, by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company is hereby granted to the extent set forth herein. It is further

ORDERED that the procedural schedule delineated in Order No. PSC-93-0644-PCO-TL is hereby modified in accordance with the provisions discussed in the body of this Order.

By ORDER of Commissioner Susan F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, this 17th day of June, 1993.



SUSAN F. CLARK, Commissioner and
Prehearing Officer

(S E A L)

ABG

ORDER NO. PSC-93-0921-PCO-TL
DOCKETS NOS. 920260-TL, 910163-TL, 910727-TL, 900960-TL
PAGE 3

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.