

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Proposed tariff filing ) DOCKET NO. 930535-TL  
to extend existing central ) ORDER NO. PSC-93-1082-FOF-TL  
office blocking and operator ) ISSUED: 7/26/93  
screening services to any call )  
aggregator by CENTRAL TELEPHONE )  
COMPANY OF FLORIDA )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

J. TERRY DEASON, Chairman  
THOMAS M. BEARD  
SUSAN F. CLARK  
JULIA L. JOHNSON  
LUIS J. LAUREDO

ORDER APPROVING TARIFF FILING

BY THE COMMISSION:

On May 28, 1993, Central Telephone Company of Florida (Centel or the Company) filed tariff revisions proposing to extend central office blocking and operator screening services to any call aggregator. Centel currently offers central office blocking with operator screening to providers of customer owned paystations. Central office blocking with operator screening offers a subscriber a choice of restrictions for which to screen and block calls. In the proposed revisions, Centel defines a call aggregator as any vendor that, in the ordinary course of its operations, makes telephones available to the public or transient users of its premises, for telephone calls using a provider of operator services. This would include such entities as hospitals, schools, hotels, and correctional institutions.

This filing is essentially the same as the central office blocking services offered in GTE Florida Incorporated's (GTEFL's) and BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company's (Southern Bell's) tariffs. Centel's proposed rates are lower than both GTEFL and Southern Bell. Centel proposes that all blocking options and rates for this service remain the same as in the current tariff. Thus, a call aggregator wanting to block 1+900 calls would pay a \$1.50 monthly recurring charge. There is no nonrecurring charge for this service.

SEARCHED  
SERIALIZED  
INDEXED  
FILED

ORDER NO. PSC-93-1082-FOF-TL  
DOCKET NO. 930535-TL  
PAGE 2

Centel did not provide a demand forecast for this service; however, the Company believes that the revenue impact will be minimal. We would also note that the rates for this service have not changed from the original 1986 filing. This tariff revision simply adds call aggregators, as defined by the Company, as potential customers of this service. Accordingly, we approve the tariff as filed, effective July 20, 1993.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Central Telephone Company of Florida's tariff proposing to extend existing central office blocking and operator screening services to call aggregators is hereby approved, effective July 20, 1993. It is further

ORDERED that if a protest is filed in accordance with the requirement set forth below, the tariff shall remain in effect with any increase in revenues held subject to refund pending resolution of the protest. It is further

ORDERED that if no protest is filed in accordance with the requirement set forth below, this docket shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission, this 26th day of July, 1993.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
STEVE TRIBBLE, Director  
Division of Records and Reporting

( S E A L )

PAK

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

The Commission's decision on this tariff is interim in nature and will become final, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed files a petition for a formal proceeding, as provided by Rule 25-22.036(4), Florida Administrative Code, in the form provided by Rule 25-22.036(7)(a)(d) and (e), Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting at his office at 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870, by the close of business on August 16, 1993.

In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become final on the day subsequent to the above date.

Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this Order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

If this Order becomes final on the date described above, any party adversely affected may request judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or by the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days of the date this Order becomes final, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.