

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Purchased Gas Adjustment) DOCKET NO. 930003-GU
(PGA) Clause.) ORDER NO. PSC-93-1148-CFO-GU
ISSUED: August 5, 1993

ORDER REGARDING PEOPLES' REQUEST FOR
CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT OF CERTAIN PORTIONS OF ITS
NOTICE OF INCREASE IN PGA FACTOR

Peoples Gas System, Inc. (Peoples) filed a request for confidentiality concerning certain portions of Schedule A-1/MF-AO attached to its Notice of Increase in PGA Factor. The confidential information is located in Document No. 12673-92. Peoples states that this information is intended to be and is treated by Peoples as proprietary, and that it has not been publicly disclosed.

Florida law presumes that documents submitted to governmental agencies shall be public records. The only exceptions to this presumption are the specific statutory exemptions provided in the law and exemptions granted by governmental agencies pursuant to the specific terms of a statutory provision. This presumption is based on the concept that government should operate in the "sunshine." It is this Commission's view that a request for specified confidential classification of documents must meet a very high burden. The Company may fulfill its burden by demonstrating that the documents fall into one of the statutory examples set out in Section 366.093, Florida Statutes, or by demonstrating that the information is proprietary confidential information, the disclosure of which will cause the Company or its ratepayers harm.

Peoples seeks confidential classification for the information on line 46d in the columns "Revised Estimate," "2d Revised Estimate," and "Difference Amount" for Schedule A-1/MF-AO. Peoples argues this information is contractual data which, if made public, "would impair the efforts of [Peoples] to contract for goods or service on favorable terms." Section 366.093(3)(d), Florida Statutes. The information shows the weighted average price which Peoples reprojected and now projects to pay to its suppliers for the involved month. Peoples asserts that knowledge of these projected gas prices could give competitors information which could be used to control the price of gas because these suppliers could all quote a particular price (which would in all likelihood equal or exceed the price Peoples paid), or these suppliers could adhere to the price offered by a Peoples' affiliate. Even though this information is the weighted average price, suppliers would most probably refuse to sell gas at prices lower than this average price. Disclosing the weighted average cost could also keep suppliers from making price concessions. Peoples asserts that the end result of disclosure is reasonably likely to be increased gas

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08472 AUG-5 93

FILE RECORDS, REGULATIONS

prices which result in increased rates to Peoples' ratepayers. I agree.

Concerning Schedule A-1/MF-AO, Peoples also seeks confidential classification of the information on lines 8d and 28d in the columns "Revised Estimate," "2d Revised Estimate," and "Difference Amount" on Schedule A-1/MF-AO. Peoples argues this information could permit a supplier to determine contractual information which, if made public, would impair the efforts of Peoples to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. The total cost figures for Peoples' projected purchases from suppliers on line 8d can be divided by the therms to be purchased on line 28d to derive the weighted average cost or price on line 46d. Thus, Peoples argues, the publication of the information on lines 8d and 28d together, or independently, could allow a supplier to derive the purchase price of gas which Peoples expects to pay its suppliers. I agree.

In addition, Peoples requests confidentiality for lines 1, 2, 5, 6, 8a-8c, 9a-9c, 12-13, 22, 23, 25, 26, 28a-28c, 29a-29c, 32, 33, 40, 41, 43, 44, 46a-46c, 47a-47c, and 50-51 for the columns "Revised Estimate", "2d Revised Estimate", and "Difference Amount" on Schedule A-1/MF-AO. Peoples argues that disclosure of this information could permit a supplier to determine contractual information which, if made public, would impair the efforts of Peoples to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. The data in these columns are algebraic functions of the price per therm Peoples expects to pay its suppliers for gas during the involved period. The total cost of gas purchased (line 7), total transportation cost (line 15), total therms purchased (line 27), total transportation therms (line 35), total cents-per-therm cost of gas purchased (line 45), total cents-per-therm transportation cost (line 53), and the PGA factor and true-up have been disclosed, and Peoples argues that these figures could be used in conjunction with the requested information to derive Peoples' projected purchase price. I agree.

Peoples requests that the proprietary information discussed above be treated as confidential until April 28, 1994. I find that the period requested is necessary to allow Peoples time to negotiate future gas contracts. If this information were declassified at an earlier date, competitors would have access to information which could adversely affect the ability of Peoples to negotiate future contracts on favorable terms. I find that this time period of confidential classification will ultimately protect Peoples and its ratepayers.

ORDER NO. PSC-93-1148-CFO-GU
DOCKET NO. 930003-GU
PAGE 3

It is, therefore,

ORDERED by Chairman J. Terry Deason, as Prehearing Officer, that the proprietary confidential business information discussed above in Document No. 12673-92 shall be afforded confidential treatment. It is further

ORDERED that the proprietary confidential business information discussed above shall be afforded confidential treatment until April 28, 1994.

By ORDER of Chairman J. Terry Deason, as Prehearing Officer, this 5th day of August, 1993.


J. TERRY DEASON, Chairman and
Prehearing Officer

(S E A L)
MAA:bmi

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: 1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; 2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or 3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of

ORDER NO. PSC-93-1148-CFO-GU
DOCKET NO. 930003-GU
PAGE 4

Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.