

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Investigation Into the) DOCKET NO. 930880-WS
Appropriate Rate Structure for) ORDER NO. PSC-94-0295-PCO-WS
SOUTHERN STATES UTILITIES, INC.) ISSUED: 3/15/94
for all Regulated Systems in)
Bradford, Brevard, Citrus, Clay,)
Collier, Duval, Hernando,)
Highlands, Lake, Lee/Charlotte,)
Marion, Martin, Nassau, Orange,)
Pasco, Putnam, Seminole, St.)
Johns, St. Lucie, Volusia, and)
Washington Counties.)

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO FILE ADDITIONAL TESTIMONY

On March 8, 1994, the Commission Staff (Staff) filed a Motion to File Additional Testimony, wherein Staff requested that it be given leave to file testimony and an exhibit for Mr. Jerrold E. Chapdelaine.

In its Motion, Staff asserts the following: 1) On February 2, 1994, Staff filed its testimony in the above-referenced docket for two Staff witnesses; 2) subsequently, Staff discovered that another Staff member had, in 1985, conducted research and prepared a memorandum on an issue particularly relevant to this proceeding; 3) the memorandum, dated July 10, 1985, was prepared by Mr. Chapdelaine and addresses the methodology used in calculating bulk rates for utilities; 4) Mr. Chapdelaine's testimony and Exhibit JEC-1 were attached to the Staff's Motion; and 5) the parties have represented to Staff that they do not oppose this motion.

By Order No. PSC-93-1795-PCO-WS, issued December 16, 1993, four major issues were established for this proceeding. Issue no. 3 is "Should a separate bulk wastewater rate structure be approved for Hernando County and/or other bulk wastewater customers? If so, how should such a rate be calculated?" Upon reviewing the Staff's Motion, the testimony, and the exhibit, it appears that the research conducted by Mr. Chapdelaine relates to issue no. 3, and is indeed, relevant to this proceeding. Further, the memorandum should provide the Commission, as well as the parties, with more information with respect to the bulk rate issue.

In consideration of the foregoing, Staff's Motion to File Additional Testimony and Exhibit JEC-1 for Mr. Jerry E. Chapdelaine, consisting of 3 pages of testimony, and 9 pages of Exhibit, is hereby granted.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02477 MAR 15 94

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. PSC-94-0295-PCO-WS
DOCKET NO. 930880-WS
PAGE 2

Based on the foregoing, it is, therefore,

ORDERED by Commissioner Julia L. Johnson, as Prehearing Officer, that the Commission Staff's Motion to File Additional Testimony is hereby granted.

By ORDER of Commissioner Julia L. Johnson, as Prehearing Officer, this 15th day of March, 1994.



JULIA L. JOHNSON, Commissioner and
Prehearing Officer

(S E A L)

LAJ

ORDER NO. PSC-94-0295-PCO-WS
DOCKET NO. 930880-WS
PAGE 3

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.