

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Resolution by St. Lucie) DOCKET NO. 950023-TL
Board of County Commissioners) ORDER NO. PSC-95-0669-PCO-TL
for extended area service (EAS)) ISSUED: May 31, 1995
between the Fort Pierce (also)
pocket area), Jensen Beach, and)
Stuart exchanges.)
_____)

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

BY THE COMMISSION:

By Order No. PSC-95-0143-FOF-TL, issued January 31, 1995, this Commission directed BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell) to perform certain traffic studies. Southern Bell was to perform these traffic studies to facilitate further evaluation of Resolution No. 94-142, filed with this Commission by the Board of County Commissioners of St. Lucie County. This resolution requested extended area service (EAS) between the Ft. Pierce, including the pocket area, and Jensen Beach and Stuart exchanges. These exchanges are located in the Southeast LATA (local access transport area) and are intraLATA. Southern Bell was directed to submit the traffic studies by May 1, 1995.

On May 1, 1995, Southern Bell filed a Motion for Extension of Time to File Traffic Study requesting through June 1, 1995 to prepare and submit the required traffic studies. No reply to the motion has been filed. Southern Bell states that typically, a pocket is a portion of an exchange that is in a different county than the majority of the exchange. The subscribers within the pocket can usually be identified by cross-indexing the applicable terminating rate center code as it appears in Southern Bell's database against the tax code for the particular county. Southern Bell states that the pocket at issue here, however, is defined as a particular geographic area that is within the same county as the remainder of the exchange. Therefore, within the county in which the pocket lies, there are both subscribers who are in the pocket and subscribers who are not in the pocket. Southern Bell asserts that identification by cross-indexing the information is not possible; instead, the particular subscribers in the pocket must be identified by manually reviewing the cable records.

Southern Bell states that the process is different than usual and that it is substantially more time consuming. For this reason, Southern Bell states that it has been unable to complete the

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05166 MAY 31 85

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. PSC-95-0669-PCO-TL
DOCKET NO. 950023-TL
PAGE 2

programming necessary to ensure that the correct subscribers are included in the pocket. Southern Bell anticipates that it can complete this process within thirty days.


Upon consideration, Southern Bell's Motion for Extension of Time to File Traffic Study is granted. Accordingly, Southern Bell shall prepare and submit the required traffic studies by the close of business on June 1, 1995.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Diane K. Kiesling, as Prehearing Officer that BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company's Motion for Extension of Time to File Traffic Study is hereby granted. It is further

ORDERED that BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company shall file the required traffic studies on or before June 1, 1995.

By ORDER of Commissioner Diane K. Kiesling, as Prehearing Officer, this 31st day of May, 1995.



DIANE K. KIESLING, Commissioner and
Prehearing Officer

(S E A L)

DLC

ORDER NO. PSC-95-0669-PCO-TL
DOCKET NO. 950023-TL
PAGE 3

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.