

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Fuel and Purchased Power ) DOCKET NO. 960001-EI  
Cost Recovery Clause and ) ORDER NO. PSC-96-1476-CFO-EI  
Generating Performance Incentive ) ISSUED: December 4, 1996  
Factor. )  
\_\_\_\_\_)

ORDER GRANTING TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL  
TREATMENT OF PORTIONS OF ITS JUNE 1996, FORM 423  
(DOCUMENT NO. 08606-96)

Tampa Electric Company (TECO) has requested specified confidential treatment of its FPSC forms 423-1(a), 423-2, 423-2(a), 423-2(b) and 423-2(c) for the month of June 1996. The confidential information is filed with the Commission in Document No. 08606-96.

TECO argues, pursuant to Section 366.093(3)(d), Florida Statutes, that lines 1-23 of column H, Invoice Price, on Form 423-1(a) contain contractual information which, if made public, would impair the efforts of TECO to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. The information indicates the price which TECO has paid for No. 2 fuel oil per barrel for specific shipments from specific suppliers. If disclosed, this information would allow suppliers to compare an individual supplier's price with the market for that date of delivery and thereby determine the contract pricing formula between TECO and that supplier. Disclosure of the Invoice Price would allow suppliers to determine the contract price formula of their competitors. Knowledge of each other's prices would give suppliers information with which to actually control the pricing in No. 2 oil by either all quoting a particular price or adhering to a price offered by a major supplier. This could reduce or eliminate any opportunity for a major buyer, like TECO, to use its market presence to gain price concessions from any individual supplier. The result of such disclosure, TECO argues, is reasonably likely to be increased No. 2 fuel oil prices and increased electric rates.

TECO argues that lines 1-23 of columns I, Invoice Amount; J, Discount; K, Net Amount; L, Net Price; M, Quality Adjustment; N, Effective Purchase Price; and O, Transport to Terminal, on Form 423-1(a) are entitled to confidential treatment because the contract information therein are algebraic functions of column H, Invoice Price. The publication of these columns together or independently, therefore, TECO argues, could allow a supplier to derive the Invoice Price of No. 2 oil paid by TECO. As to lines 1-23 of column M, TECO further argues that for fuel that does not meet contract requirements, TECO may reject the shipment, or accept the shipment and apply a quality adjustment. This, TECO argues, is

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

12896 DEC-4 96

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

a pricing term as important as the price itself rendering the rationale to classify relating to price concessions applicable. As to lines 1-23 of column N, TECO further argues that the information in this column is as entitled to confidential treatment as the invoice price due to the relatively few times quality or discount adjustments are applied. In other words, column N, Effective Purchase Price, will typically equal column H, Invoice Price.

TECO has requested confidential treatment for lines 1-6 of column G, Effective Purchase Price, on Form 423-2 relating to Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station, arguing disclosure would impair TECO's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. Additionally, one could ascertain the Total Transportation Charges by subtracting a disclosed Effective Purchase Price, column I, from the Delivered price at the Transfer Facility. A competitor with knowledge of the Total Transportation Charges could use that information in conjunction with the published Delivered Price at the Electro-Coal Transfer facility to determine the segmented transportation costs, i.e., the breakdown of transportation charges for river barge transport and for deep water transportation across the Gulf of Mexico from the transfer facility to Tampa. TECO argues it is this segmented transportation cost data which is entitled to confidential treatment in that disclosure would adversely affect TECO's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided. Disclosure of fuel oil prices would indirectly affect bidding suppliers. Suppliers would be reluctant to provide significant price concessions to an individual utility if prices were disclosed because other purchasers would seek similar concessions. TECO further argues the information would inform other potential suppliers as to the price TECO is willing to pay for coal. This would provide present and potential coal suppliers information which could adversely affect TECO's ability to negotiate coal supply agreements.

TECO requests confidential treatment for lines 1-6 of column H, Total Transport Charges, on Form 423-2, relating to Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station, arguing that their disclosure would also impair its efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms because, as discussed above, both columns G and H, if disclosed, would enable competitors to determine segmented transportation charges.

TECO requests confidential treatment for lines 1-6 of column H, Original Invoice Price, on Form 423-2(a) relating to Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station, because disclosure would enable one to subtract that price from the publicly disclosed Delivered Price at the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility and thereby



determine the segmented river transportation cost. Such disclosure, TECO argues, would impair its efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms due to rationale similar to that offered for confidential treatment of column G, Effective Purchase Price, of Form 423-2 (Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).

TECO similarly requests confidential treatment for lines 1-6 of column J, Base Price, on Form 423-2(a), relating to Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station, in that disclosure would enable a competitor to "back-into" the segmented transportation cost using the publicly disclosed Delivered Price at the transfer facility; one could subtract column J, Base Price Per Ton, from the Delivered Price at the transfer facility, to obtain the River Barge Rate. Such disclosure, TECO argues, would impair its efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms due to rationale similar to that offered for confidential treatment of column G, Effective Purchase Price, of Form 423-2 (Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).

TECO also contends that lines 1-6 of column L, Effective Purchase Price, on Form 423-2(a), relating to Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station, are entitled to confidentiality since, if disclosed, they would enable a competitor to back into the segmented waterborne transportation costs using the already disclosed Delivered Price of coal at the transfer facility. Such disclosure, TECO argues, would impair its efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms for the reasons discussed in relation to column G, Form 423-2 (Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station).

TECO requests confidential treatment for lines 1-6 of columns G, Effective Purchase Price; I, Rail Rate; K, River Barge Rate; L, Transloading Rate; M, Ocean Barge Rate; N, Other Water Charges; O, Other Related Charges; and P, Total Transportation Charges on Form 423-2(b) relating to the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Big Bend Station. TECO argues that disclosure of the Effective Purchase Price per ton would impair its ability to contract for goods or services on favorable terms by enabling a competitor to back into the segmented transportation costs by using the publicly disclosed Delivered Price for coal at the transfer facility; one could obtain the River Barge Rate by subtracting the Effective Purchase Price per ton from the price per ton delivered at Electro-Coal. TECO argues that columns K through P provide specific information on TECO's segmented costs. TECO argues it is this segmented transportation cost data which is entitled to confidential treatment in that disclosure would adversely affect

TECO's future fuel and transportation contracts by informing potential bidders of current prices paid for services provided.

TECO also requests confidential treatment for lines 1-5 of columns G (Effective Purchase Price) and H (Total Transportation Charges), on Form 423-2; lines 1-5 of columns H (Original Invoice Price), J (Base Price), and L (Effective Purchase Price), on Form 423-2(a); and lines 1-5 of columns G (Effective Purchase Price), I (Rail Rate), K (River Barge Rate), L (Transloading Rate), M (Ocean Barge Rate), N (Other Water Charges), O (Other Related Charges), and P (Total Transportation Charges), on Form 423-2(b), all relating to the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility - Gannon Station. TECO offers rationale identical to that offered in relation to those columns on Forms 423-2, 2(a), and 2(b) relating to the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility -Big Bend Station.

TECO requests confidential treatment for line 1 of columns G (Effective purchase Price) and H (Total Transportation Charges), on Form 423-2 relating to the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility- Polk Station (1); line 1 of columns H (Original Invoice Price), J (Base Price), and L (Effective Purchase Price), on Form 423-2(a) relating to the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility- Polk Station (1); and line 1 of columns G (Effective Purchase Price), I (Rail Rate), K (River Barge Rate), L (Transloading Rate), M (Ocean Barge Rate), N (Other Water Charges), O (Other Related Charges), and P (Total Transportation Charges), on Form 423-2(b) relating to the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility- Polk Station (1). TECO offers rationale identical to that offered in relation to those columns on Forms 423-2, 2(a), and 2(b) relating to the Electro-Coal Transfer Facility -Big Bend Station. TECO contends that disclosure of the Effective Purchase Price in both cases would impair its efforts to contract for goods and services on favorable terms, because if one subtracts the information in this column from that in column I, F.O.B. Plant Price, one can obtain the segmented transportation cost, including transloading and ocean barging. TECO also argues that disclosure of the Total Transport Charges would similarly impair its contracting ability by enabling a competitor to determine segmented transportation charges.

TECO has requested confidential treatment for line 1 of column G (Effective Purchase Price) and column H (Total Transportation Cost), on Form 423-2 relating to Polk Station and the Big Bend Station; and lines 1-3 of column G (Effective Purchase Price) and column H (Total Transportation Cost) on form 423-2 relating to the Gannon Station, arguing that disclosure would impair TECO's efforts to contract for goods or services on favorable terms. TECO contends if one subtracts the information in this column from that in column I, F.O.B. Plant Price, one can obtain the segmented



transportation cost, including transloading and ocean barging. TECO also argues that disclosure of the Total Transport Charges would similarly impair its contracting ability by enabling a competitor to determine segmented transportation charges.

TECO similarly argues that line 1 of columns H (Original Invoice Price), J (Base Price), and L (Effective Purchase price), of Forms 423-2(a) relating to Polk Station and Big Bend Station; and, lines 1-3 of columns H (Original Invoice Price), J (Base Price), and L (Effective Purchase price), of Forms 423-2(a) relating to Gannon Station are entitled to confidential treatment. Disclosure would allow a competitor to deduce the segmented terminating and ocean barge transportation cost and terminating and ocean barge rate on rail rate, respectively.

TECO also requests confidential treatment for line 1 of columns G (Effective Purchase Price), I (Rail Rate), K (River Barge Rate), L (Transloading Rate), M (Ocean Barge Rate), N (Other Water Charges), O (Other Related Charges), and P (Total Transportation Charges), on Form 423-2(b), relating to Polk Station and Big Bend Station; and, lines 1-3 of columns G (Effective Purchase Price), I (Rail Rate), K (River Barge Rate), L (Transloading Rate), M (Ocean Barge Rate), N (Other Water Charges), O (Other Related Charges), and P (Total Transportation Charges), on Form 423-2(b), relating to Gannon Station. TECO argues that disclosure of either Effective Purchase Price per ton would enable a competitor to back into the segmented transportation cost of termination and Ocean Barge Rates by subtracting that price per ton from the F.O.B. Plant Price per ton. The information presented in these columns relating to Gannon Station simply involves permissible cost allocation between TECO and an affiliate, Gatliff Coal.

TECO further argues that disclosure of its Rail Rate per ton in column I on all its Forms 423-2(b) would impair the ability of TECO and its affiliate to negotiate favorable rail rates with the various railroads serving areas in the vicinity of TECO's coal suppliers. Gatliff has other coal buying customers with other railway options; disclosure of railrates, therefore, would impair the contracting ability of a TECO affiliate and could ultimately adversely affect TECO's ratepayers.

An examination of TECO's documents numbered DN-8606-96 relating to June, 1996, show that they contain confidential information which, if released, could affect the company's ability to contract for fuel on favorable terms. Therefore, as discussed above, the information for which confidentiality is sought is granted confidential classification.

DECLASSIFICATION

TECO further requests the following proposed declassification dates:

FORMS	LINES	COLUMNS	DATE
423-1(a)	1 - 23	H - O	08-15-98
423-2	1 - 6	G, H	08-15-98
423-2(a)	1 - 6	H, J, L	08-15-98
423-2(b)	1 - 6	G, I, K, L, M, N, O, P	08-15-98

366.093(4), Florida Statutes, provides that:

[a]ny finding by the commission that records contain proprietary confidential business information is effective for a period set by the commission not to exceed 18 months, unless the commission finds, for good cause, that the protection from disclosure shall be for a specified longer period.

As to the fuel oil contract data in DN-8606-96, TECO explains that its interests would be best protected by classifying the material until at least six months after the contracts expire, because future contract negotiations would be impaired if such material, which contains pricing information, were disclosed prior to the negotiation of a new contract. TECO states negotiations are normally completed within six months. TECO further indicates that a two year classification period generally will account for this six month negotiation period.

As to the coal and coal transportation information contained in DN-8606-96, TECO explains that the disclosure of that information before the passage of two years could affect the viability of its affiliates which provide those services to TECO and to outside non-regulated customers, which in turn could affect the price TECO ultimately pays for those services. TECO further explains this potential effect as follows:

An analyst for an outside customer of Gatliff or TECO Transport who reads the written transcripts of public fuel hearings or reads the written orders of the FPSC can easily discover that until November 1, 1988, Tampa Electric paid cost for coal from Gatliff and for coal transportation from TECO Transport. Further, the



publication of the stipulation agreement between the parties in 1988 indicated that the initial benchmark price was close to cost and subsequent testimony indicates the revised contract escalates from cost.

As long as an outside customer does not know how such an escalation clause changes price, the cost cannot be calculated. However, publicizing the price of coal or coal transportation services will tell an outside customer how much the escalation has been and make it easy for him to calculate cost. Because of the seasonality of costs in both businesses, a full year's cost data is necessary for an accurate cost measurement.

A second year must pass before one full year can be compared with a second year to measure the escalation accurately. So a perceptive vendor seeks two years of data to make his cost estimates. The competitive industries recognize that data beyond two years is not helpful to them, as enough factors may change in that time frame for costs to be much different from what was incurred. Any data less than two full years old is extremely valuable to outside customers in contracting for services with Gatliff or TECO Transport. The difference of small amounts per ton can mean millions of dollars' difference in cost.

A loss of outside business by Gatliff or TECO Transport will affect not only Gatliff or TECO Transport, but, if large enough, it could affect the credibility of the companies. The prices negotiated with Tampa Electric by these vendors took into consideration their costs and revenues at the time of negotiation, including the revenues from outside customers. A significant loss of outside business could cause Gatliff or TECO Transport to fail, since under market pricing regulation Tampa Electric will not make up the difference to them in cost. In turn, a failure of these vendors would leave Tampa Electric and its customers with only higher cost alternatives for Blue Gem coal and for coal transportation to Tampa, a higher cost that would be paid by Tampa Electric's ratepayers. So the continued credibility of Gatliff and TECO Transport is important to protect Tampa Electric's ratepayers from higher cost alternatives.

ORDER NO. PSC-96-1476-CFO-EI  
DOCKET NO. 960001-EI  
PAGE 8

TECO has shown good cause for an extended period of classification. The material in DN-8606-96 as discussed above, will remain classified until two years from the dates of the respective requests for classification, as listed in the chart.


In consideration of the foregoing, it is

ORDERED that Tampa Electric Company's request for confidential treatment of the above specified information in Forms 423-1(a), 423-2, 423-2(a), and Form 423-2(b) as discussed in the body of this Order is granted. It is further

ORDERED that the extension of declassification dates requested for Forms 423-1(a), 423-2, 423-2(a), and Form 423-2(b) as discussed in the text of this Order, are hereby granted. It is further

ORDERED that this Order will be the only notification by the Commission to the parties concerning the expiration of the confidentiality time period.

By ORDER of Commissioner J. Terry Deason, as Prehearing Officer, this 4th day of December, 1996.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
J. TERRY DEASON, Commissioner and  
Prehearing Officer

( S E A L )

LW

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.



ORDER NO. PSC-96-1476-CFO-EI  
DOCKET NO. 960001-EI  
PAGE 9

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: 1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; 2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or 3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.