

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Request by BellSouth
Telecommunications, Inc. for
approval of amendment to resale
agreement with Choctaw
Communications, LLC pursuant to
Sections 251 and 252 of the
Telecommunications Act of 1996.

DOCKET NO. 981384-TP
ORDER NO. PSC-99-0006-FOF-TP
ISSUED: January 4, 1999

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of
this matter:

JULIA L. JOHNSON, Chairman
J. TERRY DEASON
SUSAN F. CLARK
JOE GARCIA
E. LEON JACOBS, JR.

ORDER APPROVING AMENDMENT TO EXISTING
RESALE AGREEMENT

BY THE COMMISSION:

On October 21, 1998, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth) and Choctaw Communications, LLC (Choctaw) filed a request for approval of an amendment to the existing resale agreement under 47 U.S.C. §252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act). The amendment to the existing agreement is attached to this Order as Attachment A and incorporated by reference herein.

Both the Act and Chapter 364, Florida Statutes, encourage parties to enter into negotiated agreements to bring about local exchange competition as quickly as possible. Under the requirements of 47 U.S.C. § 252(e), negotiated agreements must be submitted to the state commission for approval. Section 252(e)(4) requires the state to reject or approve the agreement within 90 days after submission or it shall be deemed approved.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00005 JAN-4 99

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. PSC-99-0006-FOF-TP
DOCKET NO. 981384-TP
PAGE 2

This amendment to the existing agreement governs the relationship between the companies regarding local resale and the exchange of traffic pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 251. Upon review of the proposed amendment to the existing agreement, we believe that it complies with the Telecommunications Act of 1996; thus, we hereby approve it. BellSouth and Choctaw are also required to file any subsequent supplements or modifications to their agreement with the Commission for review under the provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 252(e). We note that Choctaw Communications, LLC does not currently hold a Florida certificate to provide alternative local exchange telecommunications service, and therefore, it cannot provide alternative local exchange telecommunications services under this agreement until it obtains a certificate from this Commission.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the amendment to the existing resale agreement between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and Choctaw Communications, LLC, as set forth in Attachment A and incorporated by reference in this Order, is hereby approved. It is further

ORDERED that any supplements or modifications to this agreement must be filed with the Commission for review under the provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 252(e). It is further

ORDERED that Choctaw Communications, LLC shall not provide alternative local exchange telecommunications services under this agreement until it obtains a certificate to provide alternative local exchange telecommunications services from this Commission. It is further

ORDERED that this docket shall be closed. ✱

ORDER NO. PSC-99-0006-FOF-TP
DOCKET NO. 981384-TP
PAGE 3

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission, this 4th
day of January, 1999.

BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director
Division of Records and Reporting

By: Kay Flynn
Kay Flynn, Chief
Bureau of Records

(S E A L)

CBW

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.050, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review in Federal district court pursuant to the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 U.S.C. § 252(e) (6).

ATTACHMENT A

Attachment 1

EXHIBIT A
 (Amended)

OPERATIONAL SUPPORT SYSTEMS (OSS) RATES				
	Interactive Ordering and Trouble Maintenance System		OSS Order Charge (per end user account)	
	Non-Recurring Establishment Charge	Recurring Charge, per month	Charge per electronic order	Surcharge for manually placed orders
ALABAMA	\$100.00	\$50.00	\$10.80	\$22.00
FLORIDA	\$100.00	\$50.00	\$10.80	\$22.00
GEORGIA	\$200.00	\$550.00 per first 1000 electronic orders ³ \$110.00 per next 1000 electronic orders ¹	Note ⁴	\$22.00
KENTUCKY	\$100.00	\$50.00	\$10.80	\$22.00
LOUISIANA	\$100.00	\$50.00	\$9.16	\$18.14
MISSISSIPPI	\$100.00	\$50.00	\$10.80	\$22.00
NORTH CAROLINA	\$100.00	\$50.00	\$10.80	\$22.00
SOUTH CAROLINA	\$100.00	\$50.00	\$10.80	\$22.00
TENNESSEE	\$100.00	\$50.00	\$10.80	\$22.00

³The Charge per order applies on a per end user account basis.

⁴ The Georgia Public Service Commission ("PSC") ordered in Docket 7061-U that there would be no OSS charge within the Charge per Electronic Order column. Instead the Georgia PSC ordered monthly recurring charges based on the number of orders.