

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Complaint by Rena Denson  
against Florida Power  
Corporation regarding improper  
billing.

DOCKET NO. 990526-EI  
ORDER NO. PSC-99-1226-PAA-EI  
ISSUED: June 21, 1999

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of  
this matter:

JOE GARCIA, Chairman  
J. TERRY DEASON  
SUSAN F. CLARK  
JULIA L. JOHNSON  
E. LEON JACOBS, JR.

NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION  
ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT

BY THE COMMISSION:

NOTICE is hereby given by the Florida Public Service Commission that the action discussed herein is preliminary in nature and will become final unless a person whose interests are substantially affected files a petition for a formal proceeding, pursuant to Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code.

I. FIRST COMPLAINT (December 23, 1997)

On December 23, 1997, Mr. Gene Denson filed a complaint on behalf of his wife, Mrs. Rena Denson (Mrs. Denson or customer) with the Commission's Division of Consumer Affairs (CAF) against Florida Power Corporation (FPC or company). Mr. Denson stated that he had reported to FPC that someone was stealing the electricity at his residence, 433 Declaration Drive, Orlando, Florida. He stated that he made arrangements with the company to place the account on hold, pending his request for a meter check. He asserted that FPC advised him not to pay the bill, pending the outcome of the meter check. However, Mr. Denson stated that his service was disconnected for nonpayment and stated that FPC took almost two months to test the meter.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07493 JUN 21 99

FPC-RECORDS/REPORTING

In its January 15, 1998, report to CAF, FPC stated that it had written off \$154.55 from the customer's account from a previous address (7622 Forest City Road, Orlando) for service from March 5, 1996 through June 4, 1996. When the service was activated at the Declaration Drive address in April 1997, the company stated that the \$154.55 amount was transferred to that account on May 2, 1997.

FPC reported that the service was subsequently disconnected for nonpayment on May 22, 1997. FPC stated that Mrs. Denson requested reconnection based on a promise to pay the transferred amount of \$154.55, the April 1997 bill (\$61.12 April usage plus a \$15 service charge to reconnect service), and the \$180 deposit, resulting in a total of \$410.67. The company stated that it denied the request. Additionally, FPC asserted that it received a \$180 payment from the customer on May 23, 1997, but the service was also disconnected again on June 20, 1997, and July 31, 1997, for nonpayment. FPC reported that it received a \$56 payment from Mrs. Denson on July 31, 1997, leaving an outstanding balance of \$594.68. Further, the company stated it received two payments of \$50 and \$323 from an agency on August 4, 1997, and August 18, 1997, respectively, for payments on Mrs. Denson's account.

FPC reported that Mr. Denson called the company on October 17, 1997, stating that his bills were too high and requested a meter test pursuant to Rule 25-6.059, Florida Administrative Code. FPC stated that it reread the meter on October 18, 1997, indicating that 967 kilowatt hours were used since the previous reading on September 17, 1997, resulting in a daily average of 31 kilowatt hours. The company stated that a letter was sent to Mr. Denson on November 3, 1997, explaining the meter reading verification, which indicated the account kilowatt-hour usage.

A. Results of Meter Test and Improper Disconnection

The company stated that when it turned off all of the circuit breakers to the meter serving the Densons' residence on December 8, 1997, the meter disc stopped spinning. The company stated that no additional load was found on the meter. Additionally, FPC stated that it scheduled an on-site meter test for December 5, 1997. The company stated that the test was delayed since the meter testing equipment needed repairs. FPC reported that it notified Mr. Denson of this delay on December 8, 1997.

The company stated Mr. Denson called about the meter test on December 19, 1997. Since the meter testing equipment had not been

ORDER NO. PSC-99-1226-PAA-EI  
DOCKET NO. 990526-EI  
PAGE 3

repaired, FPC indicated that Mr. Denson agreed to allow the company to replace the meter and perform a shop meter test. FPC stated that it placed a "do not cut" notation on Mrs. Denson's account. However, the company reported that Mrs. Denson's service was disconnected in error for nonpayment on December 23, 1997, but was restored later that same day. We find that because FPC promptly restored service the same day, and did not impose a reconnection charge, no further action by us is warranted regarding this issue.

Florida Power Corporation tested the old meter on January 5, 1998, and the results indicated that the meter was operating at 100.11 percent average accuracy. Rule 25-6.052(1), Florida Administrative Code, establishes the watthour meter accuracy limits from 98 percent to 102 percent. Thus, the old meter serving the customer's residence was operating within the accuracy limits. Additionally, the new meter reading serving Mrs. Denson's residence indicated a daily average of 35 kilowatt hours. This reading indicated approximately the same daily average usage as the old meter, 31 kilowatt hours. Based on the information presented to us, we find that Rena Denson was properly billed for electric service at 433 Declaration Drive, Orlando, Florida.

The Densons were sent a letter on February 24, 1998, explaining the meter accuracy limits, and the meter test results indicated that it appeared the meter was registering within the accuracy limits. No further action was taken by the customer to pursue the complaint.

## II. SECOND COMPLAINT (December 9, 1998)

On December 9, 1998, Mr. Denson filed a second complaint with CAF. He stated that his complaint pertained to meter tampering at 433 Declaration Drive, Orlando, Florida. Mr. Denson stated that he was unable to dispute it. He also provided his new address as 664 Creekwood Drive, Orlando, Florida. Mr. Denson stated that his service was disconnected on December 3 and 7, 1998, for nonpayment and he was not allowed to make payment arrangements. Further, he stated that he was told that the company had permission by the PSC to disconnect the service. Mr. Denson explained that his bills were temporarily sent to 50 Gulfport Drive due to a mailbox break-in and that his latest bill showed that he had until December 17, 1998, to pay the bill and stated that he did not receive a final notice for payment. Mr. Denson also requested a copy of the meter test results and stated that he was overbilled \$152. He also stated that an FPC representative reviewed the bills and stated

ORDER NO. PSC-99-1226-PAA-EI  
DOCKET NO. 990526-EI  
PAGE 4

that the final bill was \$240, instead of \$388. CAF initiated another complaint with FPC.

On December 28, 1998, FPC reported to CAF that the recent complaint covered the same issues as the previous complaint. The company stated that the service was eligible for disconnection for nonpayment of \$331.09. FPC stated that a letter (certified and regular mail) was mailed to the customer on December 2, 1998, requesting payment before December 10, 1998. The company acknowledged that the amount in dispute was \$152, which left \$179.09 eligible for service disconnection. FPC also stated that the meter test results were mailed to Mrs. Denson on January 10, 1998.

On January 26, 1999, CAF received Mrs. Denson's letter requesting an informal conference. Additionally, CAF received a telephone call from Mr. Denson on January 27, 1999, stating that he was not aware that his first complaint had been closed. He stated he had not received CAF's, February 24, 1998 letter which closed the complaint. Mr. Denson continued to dispute the billing of the account.

CAF contacted FPC on January 27, 1999, regarding Mr. Denson's concerns. The company stated that the customer's service was disconnected on January 26, 1999, for nonpayment of \$476. However, FPC reported that it restored the service after a \$200 payment, with the understanding that the customer would make payment arrangements on the \$276 outstanding balance.

On March 2, 1999, CAF sent Mrs. Denson another letter, stating that \$152 was identified as the disputed amount and \$343.89 needed to be paid by March 19, 1999, to avoid possible service interruption. Additionally, the letter stated that all future charges would not be considered part of the dispute.

On March 18, 19, and 22, 1999, Mr. Denson further discussed his concerns with CAF. He was concerned that only \$152 was identified as the disputed amount. Mr. Denson offered to pay \$250 as a settlement amount. Then, he stated that he considered \$433 as the amount in dispute, not \$152. Mr. Denson changed his mind again and stated that everything was in dispute, except the \$66.47 current bill. Later, Mr. Denson stated that he was disputing \$371 but was not sure of the disputed amount since he did not have copies of the bills.

ORDER NO. PSC-99-1226-PAA-EI  
DOCKET NO. 990526-EI  
PAGE 5

On March 22, 1999, CAF again contacted FPC regarding Mr. Denson's concerns. Initially, FPC stated that the \$250 could be applied toward the \$495.89 outstanding balance, as of February 1999. However, the company said later that the customer needed to pay \$200 to prevent service interruption on March 22, 1999. Additionally, FPC stated that it would accept an amended disputed amount, if the customer paid \$200 and provided in writing the exact disputed amount with an explanation. CAF explained this information to Mr. Denson and arranged a three-way conference call. Mr. Denson stated that he was not going to pay \$200 and stated that he may be moving from the Creekwood Drive address. Mr. Denson requested that the informal conference be held at FPC's Winter Park's office on the morning of April 12 or 13, 1999.

An informal conference was scheduled for April 13, 1999, at 10 a.m. at FPC's Winter Park's office as requested by Mr. Denson. CAF sent a letter to Mr. & Mrs. Denson regarding this information. Additionally, voice messages were left on the beeper that Mr. Denson provided as a telephone number.

There was no response to the letter and telephone calls. Mr. & Mrs. Denson did not appear at the scheduled informal conference on April 13, 1999. They have not provided any documents to substantiate Mr. Denson's claim that the account should be adjusted \$152 for the disputed amount. Furthermore, the Densons have been unavailable; several messages left on a voice mail system have not been returned and the social security number given to FPC does not belong to either Mr. or Mrs. Denson. Therefore, we dismiss Rena Denson's complaint.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Rena Denson was properly billed for electric service at 433 Declaration Drive, Orlando, Florida. It is further

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that no further action is warranted concerning Florida Power Corporation's disconnection of service to Rena Denson on December 23, 1997. It is further


ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the complaint by Rena Denson against Florida Power Corporation regarding improper billing is dismissed. It is further

ORDER NO. PSC-99-1226-PAA-EI  
DOCKET NO. 990526-EI  
PAGE 6

ORDERED that the provisions of this Order, issued as proposed agency action, shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order unless an appropriate petition, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code, is received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on the date set forth in the "Notice of Further Proceedings" attached hereto. It is further

ORDERED that in the event this Order becomes final, this Docket shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 21st day of June, 1999.



---

BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director  
Division of Records and Reporting

( S E A L )

TRC

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing that is available under Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

ORDER NO. PSC-99-1226-PAA-EI  
DOCKET NO. 990526-EI  
PAGE 7

The action proposed herein is preliminary in nature. Any person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed by this order may file a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on July 12, 1999.

In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

M E M O R A N D U M

JUNE 21, 1999

RECEIVED-PPSC ✓

JUN 21 PM 1:54

RECORDS AND  
REPORTING

TO: DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING  
FROM: DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (COLLINS) *RC RVE*  
RE: DOCKET NO. 990526-EI - COMPLAINT BY RENA DENSON AGAINST  
FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION REGARDING IMPROPER BILLING

*99-1226-PAA*

---

Attached is a NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION ORDER  
DISMISSING COMPLAINT, to be issued in the above-referenced docket.  
(Number of pages in order - *87*)

TRC/js

Attachment

cc: Division of Electric and Gas (Draper)  
Division of Consumer Affairs (Stokes)  
I:990526or.trc

*See 13*

*faxed  
mailed - 1/1*

*4:25*



Requisition for Photocopying and Mailing

Date 6/23/99  
Number of Originals 7 Copies Per Original 19  
Requested By R. L. ...

Item Presented  
Agenda For (Date) \_\_\_\_\_ Order No. 99-1226 In Docket No. 990526  
Notice of \_\_\_\_\_ For (Date) \_\_\_\_\_ In Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Other \_\_\_\_\_

Special Handling Instructions

Distribution/Mailing

Number	Distributed/Mailed To	Number	Distribution/Mailed To
<u>17</u>	<u>Commission Offices</u>	<u>--</u>	_____
<u>(1)</u>	<u>Docket Mailing List - Mailed</u>	_____	_____
<u>(1)</u>	<u>Docket Mailing List - Faxed</u>	_____	_____

Note: Items must be mailed and/or returned within one working day after issue unless specified here:

Print Shop Verification

Job Number 216 Verified By Michael  
Date and Time Completed 6/24 Job Checked For Correctness and Quality (Initial) "

Mail Room Verification

Date Mailed / Verified By /