

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition by Sprint-  
Florida, Incorporated for  
approval of interconnection  
agreement with AirTouch Paging.

DOCKET NO. 991524-TP  
ORDER NO. PSC-99-2462-FOF-TP  
ISSUED: December 16, 1999

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

JOE GARCIA, Chairman  
J. TERRY DEASON  
SUSAN F. CLARK  
E. LEON JACOBS, JR.

ORDER APPROVING INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

BY THE COMMISSION:

On October 8, 1999, Sprint-Florida, Incorporated (Sprint-Florida) and AirTouch Paging (AirTouch) filed a request for approval of an interconnection agreement pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act). The agreement is incorporated by reference herein. A copy of the agreement may be obtained from the official docket file by contacting our Division of Records and Reporting.

Both the Act and Chapter 364, Florida Statutes, encourage parties to enter into negotiated agreements to bring about local exchange competition as quickly as possible. Under the requirements of 47 U.S.C. § 252(e), negotiated agreements must be submitted to the state commission for approval. Section 252(e)(4) requires the state to reject or approve the agreement within 90 days after submission or it shall be deemed approved.

This agreement covers a two-year period and governs the relationship between the companies regarding local interconnection and the exchange of traffic pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 251. Under 47 U.S.C. § 252(a)(1), the agreement shall include a detailed schedule of itemized charges for interconnection and each service or network element included in the agreement.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

15363 DEC 16 99

FPSO-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. PSC-99-2462-FOF-TP  
DOCKET NO. 991524-TP  
PAGE 2

Upon review of the proposed agreement, we believe that it complies with the Act; thus, we hereby approve it. Sprint-Florida and AirTouch are also required to file any subsequent supplements or modifications to their agreement with the Commission for review under the provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 252(e). We note that AirTouch does not currently hold a Florida certificate to provide Alternative Local Exchange Telecommunications service, and therefore, it cannot provide land-line services under this agreement until it obtains an Alternative Local Exchange Telecommunications certificate from this Commission.


Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the interconnection agreement between Sprint-Florida, Incorporated and AirTouch Paging, is incorporated by reference in this Order, and is hereby approved. A copy of the agreement may be obtained as specified in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that any supplements or modifications to this agreement must be filed with the Commission for review under the provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 252(e). It is further

ORDERED that this Docket shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission, this 16th day of December, 1999.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director  
Division of Records and Reporting

( S E A L )

KMP

ORDER NO. PSC-99-2462-FOF-TP  
DOCKET NO. 991524-TP  
PAGE 3

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review in Federal district court pursuant to the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(6).