BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Investigation into
pricing of unbundled network
elements (Sprint/Verizon track).

DOCKET NO. 990649B-TP ORDER NO. PSC-02-0453-CFO-TP ISSUED: April 3, 2002

ORDER GRANTING REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION OF DOCUMENT NO. 00375-02

On January 10, 2002, Sprint-Florida, Incorporated (Sprint) filed a request for confidential classification. In its request, Sprint seeks confidential treatment of its response to Staff's Second Request for Production of Documents, Request No. 17 (Document No. 00375-02). The documents that are the subject of the request are:

- a. Strategic Sourcing Master Designated Work for Construction Agreement between Sprint/United Management Company and The Fishel Company, Inc.
- b. Strategic Sourcing Master Designated Work for Construction Agreement between Sprint/United Sprint Management Company and Cornerstone of North-Florida, Inc.
- c. Strategic Sourcing Master Designated Work for Construction Agreement between Sprint/United Management Company and MASTEC North America, Inc.

Sprint explains that the response contains vendor-specific pricing and provisioning negotiated by Sprint. Sprint maintains that if this information is released, it would impair Sprint's ability to contract for goods and/or services on favorable terms thereby causing harm to Sprint's business operations. Sprint asserts that it treats this information as confidential and that it has not otherwise been released.

Florida law presumes that documents submitted to governmental agencies shall be public records. The only exceptions to this presumption are the specific statutory exemptions provided in the law and exemptions granted by governmental agencies pursuant to the specific terms of a statutory provision. This presumption is based on the concept that government should operate in the "sunshine." Rule 25-22.006(4)(c), Florida Administrative Code, provides that it

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

03777 APR-38

ORDER NO. PSC-02-0453-CFO-TP DOCKET NO. 990649B-TP PAGE 2

is the Company's burden to demonstrate that the documents fall into one of the statutory examples set out in Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, or to demonstrate that the information is proprietary confidential information, the disclosure of which will cause the Company or its ratepayers harm.

Section 364.183(3), Florida Statutes, in pertinent part, provides:

term "proprietary confidential business information" means information, regardless of form or characteristics, which is owned or controlled by the person or company, is intended to be and is treated by the person or company as private in that the disclosure of the information would cause harm to the ratepayers or the person's or company's business operations, and has not been disclosed unless disclosed pursuant to a statutory provision, an order of a court or administrative body, or private agreement that provides that information will not be released to the public.

Based on the definition of proprietary confidential business information in Section 364.183(3), Florida Statutes, it appears that the material described herein is proprietary business information in accordance with Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code. Disclosure of this information would impair the efforts of the company to contract for goods and/or services on favorable terms, thus causing harm to the company's business operations. As such, Sprint's Request for Confidential Classification of Document No. 00375-02 is hereby granted.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Braulio L. Baez, as Prehearing Officer, that the Request for Confidential Classification of Document No. 00375-02 filed by Sprint-Florida, Incorporated is hereby granted. It is further

ORDERED that pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, the

ORDER NO. PSC-02-0453-CFO-TP DOCKET NO. 990649B-TP PAGE 3

confidentiality granted to the material specified herein shall expire eighteen (18) months from the date of the issuance of this Order, in the absence of a renewed request for confidentiality pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes. It is further

ORDERED that this Order will be the only notification by the Commission to the parties concerning the expiration of the confidentiality time period.

By ORDER of Commissioner Braulio L. Baez, as Prehearing Officer, this 3rd Day of April , 2002 .

BRAULIO L. BAEZ

Commissipper and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

JKF

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

ORDER NO. PSC-02-0453-CFO-TP DOCKET NO. 990649B-TP PAGE 4

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.