

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition by Verizon Florida Inc. to reform intrastate network access and basic local telecommunications rates in accordance with Section 364.164, Florida Statutes.

DOCKET NO. 030867-TL

In re: Petition by Sprint-Florida, Incorporated to reduce intrastate switched network access rates to interstate parity in revenue-neutral manner pursuant to Section 364.164(1), Florida Statutes.

DOCKET NO. 030868-TL

In re: Petition for implementation of Section 364.164, Florida Statutes, by rebalancing rates in a revenue-neutral manner through decreases in intrastate switched access charges with offsetting rate adjustments for basic services, by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

DOCKET NO. 030869-TL

In re: Flow-through of LEC switched access reductions by IXCs, pursuant to Section 364.163(2), Florida Statutes.

DOCKET NO. 030961-TI  
ORDER NO. PSC-03-1432-CFO-TL  
ISSUED: December 17, 2003

ORDER GRANTING VERIZON'S REQUEST FOR SPECIFIED CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION FOR DOCUMENTS NOS. 11654-03 AND 12272-03

On August 27, 2003, Verizon Florida Inc. (Verizon), Sprint-Florida, Incorporated (Sprint), and BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth), each filed petitions pursuant to Section 364.164, Florida Statutes, and respective Dockets Nos. 030867-TL, 030868-TL, and 030869-TL have been opened to address these petitions in the time frame provided by Section 364.164, Florida Statutes. On October 1, 2003, Verizon filed its amended petition.

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE

13123 DEC 17 8

7750-1

During the 2003 Regular Session, the Florida Legislature enacted the Tele-Competition Innovation and Infrastructure Enhancement Act (Tele-Competition Act or Act). The Act became effective on May 23, 2003. Part of the new Tele-Competition Act is the new Section 364.164, Florida Statutes, whereby the Legislature established a process by which each incumbent local exchange telecommunications carrier (ILEC) may petition the Commission to reduce its intrastate switched network access rate in a revenue-neutral manner. This matter has been set for hearing on December 10-12, 2003.

On November 19, 2003, Verizon filed its Request for Confidential Classification and Motion for Protective Order. Verizon states that it seeks confidential classification and a protective order for certain information contained in the Rebuttal Testimonies of Carl R. Danner and Evan T. Leo filed in this matter (Document No. 11654-03). Further on December 3, 2003, Verizon filed a letter requesting that the revised pages 42 and 43 of the Rebuttal Testimony of Carl R. Danner (Document No. 12272-03), which was filed on November 19, 2003, continue to be part of the request for confidential treatment. Verizon asserts that all the information for which it seeks confidential treatment falls within Florida Statutes Section 364.183(3)(e), which defines the term, "proprietary confidential business information," to include "information relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of information." Verizon contends that if competitors were able to acquire this detailed and sensitive information regarding Verizon, they could more easily develop entry and marketing strategies to ensure success in competing with Verizon. Verizon contends that this would afford them an unfair advantage while severely jeopardizing Verizon's competitive position. Verizon asserts that in a competitive business, any knowledge obtained about a competitor can be used to the detriment of the entity to which it pertains, often in ways that cannot be fully anticipated. Verizon contends that this unfair advantage skews the operation of the market, to the ultimate detriment of the telecommunication consumer. Verizon states that a detailed justification of the confidentiality of the information at issue is attached as Attachment A, which is incorporated by reference.

Florida law presumes that documents submitted to governmental agencies shall be public records. The only exceptions to this presumption are the specific statutory exemptions provided in the law and exemptions granted by governmental agencies pursuant to the specific terms of a statutory provision. This presumption is based on the concept that government should operate in the "sunshine." Rule 25-22.006(4)(c), Florida Administrative Code, provides that it is the Company's burden to demonstrate that the documents fall into one of the statutory examples set out in Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, or to demonstrate that the information is proprietary confidential information, the disclosure of which will cause the Company or its ratepayers harm.

Section 364.183(3), Florida Statutes, in pertinent part, provides:

The term "proprietary confidential business information" means information, regardless of form or characteristics, which is owned or controlled by the person or company, is intended to be and is treated by the person or company as private in that the disclosure of the information would cause harm to the ratepayers or the person's or company's business operations, and has not been disclosed unless disclosed pursuant to a statutory provision, an order of a court or administrative body, or private agreement that provides that the information will not be released to the public.

Based on the definition of proprietary confidential business information in Section 364.183(3), Florida Statutes, it appears the material described herein is proprietary business information in accordance with Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code. Disclosure of this information would give Verizon's competitors an artificial competitive advantage, allowing them to successfully compete against Verizon without the usual market trial and error. As such, Verizon's Request for Specified Confidential Classification of Documents Nos. 11654-03 and 12272-03 is hereby granted. Verizon's request for Protective Order has already been granted by Order No. PSC-03-1268-PCO-TL, issued November 10, 2003, Protective Order Governing Handling of Confidential Information.

ORDER NO. PSC-03-1432-CFO-TL  
DOCKETS NOS. 030867-TL, 030868-TL, 030869-TL, 030961-TI  
PAGE 4


Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Rudolph "Rudy" Bradley, as Prehearing Officer, that Verizon Florida Inc.'s Request for Specified Confidential Classification of Documents Nos. 11654-03 and 12272-03, as set forth in Attachment A, is hereby granted. It is further

ORDERED that pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, the confidentiality granted to the material specified herein shall expire eighteen (18) months from the date of the issuance of this Order, in the absence of a renewed request for confidentiality pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes. It is further

ORDERED that this Order will be the only notification by the Commission to the parties concerning the expiration of the confidentiality time period.

By ORDER of Commissioner Rudolph "Rudy" Bradley, as Prehearing Officer, this 17th Day of December, 2003.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RUDOLPH "RUDY" BRADLEY  
Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

( S E A L )

PAC

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

ATTACHMENT A

EXHIBIT C

DOCUMENT	LINE(S)/COLUMN(S)	REASON
Rebuttal Testimony of Carl R. Danner Page 42 Page 43	All highlighted text  Lines 23-25 Lines 1-5	This is competitively sensitive, confidential and proprietary business information that has been confidentially maintained by Verizon. Disclosure of this information would cause harm to Verizon by giving its competitors an unfair advantage in developing, pricing and marketing their services. It would be particularly unfair to disclose this information because similar information about competitive carriers is not made available to the public.
Rebuttal Testimony of Evan T. Leo Page 2	Lines 1 and 6	