

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Joint petition by ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc. d/b/a ITC^DeltaCom d/b/a Grapevine; Birch Telecom of the South, Inc. d/b/a Birch Telecom and d/b/a Birch; DIECA Communications, Inc. d/b/a Covad Communications Company; Florida Digital Network, Inc.; LecStar Telecom, Inc.; MCI Communications, Inc.; and Network Telephone Corporation ("Joint CLECs") for generic proceeding to set rates, terms, and conditions for hot cuts and batch hot cuts for UNE-P to UNE-L conversions and for retail to UNE-L conversions in BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. service area.

DOCKET NO. 041338-TP

In re: Complaint of Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

DOCKET NO. 040301-TP

ORDER NO. PSC-05-0433-PCO-TP

ISSUED: April 20, 2005

ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE

On June 23, 2004, Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. (Supra) filed its Amended Petition for Arbitration with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth). BellSouth filed its Answer and Response on July 21, 2004. The matter was then set for a two-day hearing (December 1 - 2, 2004) and later reduced to a one-day hearing for December 2, 2004.

On November 23, 2004, ITC^DeltaCom Communications, Inc. d/b/a ITC^DeltaCom d/b/a Grapevine; Birch Telecom of the South, Inc. d/b/a Birch Telecom and d/b/a Birch; DIECA Communications, Inc. d/b/a Covad Communications Company; Florida Digital Network, Inc.; LecStar Telecom, Inc.; MCI Communications, Inc.; and Network Telephone Corporation (Joint CLECs) filed a petition for generic proceeding to set rates, terms, and conditions for hot cuts and batch hot cuts for UNE-P to UNE-L conversions and for retail to UNE-L conversions in BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s service area.

On November 29, 2004, BellSouth filed an Emergency Motion for Continuance of the hearing in Docket No. 040301-TP. In addition to asking the Commission for a continuance, BellSouth also requested that this docket be consolidated with Docket No. 041338-TP. BellSouth's Motion was granted in part, as to the request for continuance, by Order No. PSC-04-1180-PCO-TP, issued on November 30, 2004.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
03872 APR 20 05

COMMISSION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

On February 8, 2005, Order No. PSC-05-0517-PCO-TP was issued. The Order granted BellSouth's emergency motion for continuance and consolidation of Docket Nos. 040301-TP and 041338-TP, denied Supra's Motion for Partial Summary Final Order, and denied Supra's Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-04-1180-PCO-TP.

On April 8, 2005, Supra filed an Emergency Motion for an Order to Establish a Hearing Schedule. In this Motion, Supra requests that the Commission set an expedited hearing schedule in this proceeding or alternatively, set an expedited schedule for hearing on Supra's Issues 3 and 4 in Docket No. 040301-TP.

Jurisdiction

This Order is issued pursuant to the authority granted by Rule 28-106.211, Florida Administrative Code, which provides that the presiding officer before whom a case is pending may issue any orders necessary to effectuate discovery, prevent delay, and promote the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of all aspects of the case.

The scope of this proceeding shall be based upon the issues raised by the parties and Commission staff up to and during the prehearing conference, unless modified by the Commission.

Tentative Issues

Based on the discussions with parties and Commission staff, it appears appropriate to bifurcate this proceeding. Attached to this Order as Attachment "A" is a tentative list of the issues which have been identified for Phase I (Issues 1-23) of this proceeding. Issues 20 through 23 are Supra/BellSouth specific issues; thus, other parties need not address Issues 20 through 23. Prefiled testimony and prehearing statements shall address the issues set forth in Attachment "A." Parties are encouraged to continue discussions in an effort to further eliminate issues in this proceeding.

As for Issues 24-26, which are set forth in Attachment "B," these issues will be addressed in Phase II of this proceeding, if not resolved informally by the parties. Parties have indicated that a resolution may be reached for Issues 24-26. In an effort to allow parties to continue to negotiate on Issues 24-26, parties shall have 60 days from the issuance date of this Order to report back to me on the status of the resolution of Issues 24-26. Thereafter, to the extent necessary, a separate order regarding the procedural schedule for Phase II (Issues 24-26) will be issued.

Discovery

When discovery requests are served and the respondent intends to seek clarification of the discovery request, the request for clarification shall be made within seven days of service of the discovery request. This procedure is intended to reduce delay in resolving discovery disputes.

The hearing in this docket is set for October 11-13, 2005. Unless authorized by the Prehearing Officer for good cause shown, all responses to written discovery shall be served by September 28, 2005. Therefore, the final date for serving written discovery shall be September 13, 2005. All interrogatories, requests for admissions, and requests for production of documents shall be numbered sequentially in order to facilitate their identification. The discovery requests will be numbered sequentially within a set, and any subsequent discovery requests will continue the sequential numbering system. Pursuant to Rule 28-106.206, Florida Administrative Code, unless subsequently modified by the Prehearing Officer, the following shall apply: interrogatories, including all subparts, shall be limited to 500, and requests for production of documents, including all subparts, shall be limited to 250, for discovery served on each respective party. All discovery responses shall be due 15 days after service of the request, with no additional time for mailing. All discovery requests shall be served by e-mail, with a hard copy to follow by U.S. Mail or hand delivery. Responses to interrogatories, and to the extent possible requests for documents, shall also be served by e-mail, with a hard copy to follow by U.S. Mail or hand delivery within two business days after service of request. Commission staff shall be served with a copy of these and all other filings.

I emphasize that compliance with the discovery deadlines set forth herein is of utmost importance due to the expedited nature of the case and the complexity of the issues to be addressed. As such, requests for extension of discovery deadlines, as a general matter, shall not be looked upon favorably. Furthermore, failure to comply with the discovery deadlines without either agreement of the party that has served the discovery request or express approval of the prehearing officer may be the basis for sanctions.

Any party intending to provide information pursuant to a discovery request, which it is aware is deemed, or might be deemed, confidential by another party in this proceeding, shall notify that party prior to submitting such information for the purpose of ensuring conformance with this Commission's rules regarding the handling of such information and continued confidential treatment pending a formal ruling by the Commission. Any information provided pursuant to a discovery request for which proprietary confidential business information status is requested shall be treated by the Commission and the parties as confidential. The information shall be exempt from Section 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, pending a formal ruling on such request by the Commission, or upon the return of the information to the person providing the information. If no determination of confidentiality has been made and the information has not been made a part of the evidentiary record in the proceeding, it shall be returned expeditiously to the person providing the information. If a determination of confidentiality has been made and the information was not entered into the record of the proceeding, it shall be returned to the person providing the information within the time period set forth in Section 364.183(4), Florida Statutes.

Parties shall avail themselves of the liberal discovery allowed by this Order within the time frames set forth above. Parties are cautioned against conducting discovery during cross-examination at the hearing.

Diskette Filings

See Rule 25-22.028(1), Florida Administrative Code, for the requirements of filing on diskette for certain utilities.

Prefiled Testimony and Exhibits

Each party shall prefile, in writing, all testimony that it intends to sponsor. Such testimony shall be typed on 8 ½ inch x 11 inch transcript-quality paper, double-spaced, with 25 numbered lines, on consecutively numbered pages, with left margins sufficient to allow for binding (1.25 inches).

Each exhibit intended to support a witness' prefiled testimony shall be attached to that witness' testimony when filed, identified by his or her initials, and consecutively numbered beginning with 1. All other known exhibits shall be marked for identification at the prehearing conference. After an opportunity for opposing parties to object to introduction of the exhibits and to cross-examine the witness sponsoring them, exhibits may be offered into evidence at the hearing. Exhibits accepted into evidence at the hearing shall be numbered sequentially. The pages of each exhibit shall also be numbered sequentially prior to filing with the Commission.

An original and 15 copies of all testimony and exhibits shall be prefiled with the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, by the close of business, which is 5:00 p.m., on the date due. A copy of all prefiled testimony and exhibits shall be served by e-mail to all other parties and staff no later than the date filed with the Commission. Failure of a party to timely prefile exhibits and testimony from any witness in accordance with the foregoing requirements may bar admission of such exhibits and testimony.

If a demonstrative exhibit or other demonstrative tools are to be used at hearing, they must be identified by the time of the Prehearing Conference.

Prehearing Statement

All parties in this docket shall file a prehearing statement. Staff will also file a prehearing statement. The original and 15 copies of each prehearing statement shall be prefiled with the Director of the Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services by the close of business, which is 5:00 p.m., on the date due. A copy of the prehearing statement shall be served on all other parties and staff no later than the date it is filed with the Commission. Failure of a party to timely file a prehearing statement shall be a waiver of any issue not raised by other parties or by the Commission. In addition, such failure shall preclude the party from presenting testimony in support of its position. Such prehearing statements shall set forth the following information in the sequence listed below:

- (a) The name of all known witnesses that may be called by the party, and the subject matter of their testimony;

- (b) a description of all known exhibits that may be used by the party, whether they may be identified on a composite basis, and the witness sponsoring each;
 - (c) a statement of basic position in the proceeding;
 - (d) a statement of each question of fact the party considers at issue, the party's position on each such issue, and which of the party's witnesses will address the issue;
 - (e) a statement of each question of law the party considers at issue and the party's position on each such issue;
-
- (f) a statement of each policy question the party considers at issue, the party's position on each such issue, and which of the party's witnesses will address the issue;
 - (g) a statement of issues that have been stipulated to by the parties;
 - (h) a statement of all pending motions or other matters the party seeks action upon;
 - (i) a statement identifying the party's pending requests or claims for confidentiality;
 - (j) a statement as to any requirement set forth in this order that cannot be complied with, and the reasons therefore;
 - (k) a statement identifying any decision or pending decision of the FCC or any court that has or may either preempt or otherwise impact the Commission's ability to resolve any of the issues presented or the relief requested in this matter; and
 - (l) Any objections to a witness's qualifications as an expert must be identified in a party's Prehearing Statement. Failure to identify such objection may result in restriction of a party's ability to conduct voir dire.

Prehearing Conference

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.209, Florida Administrative Code, a prehearing conference will be held on September 19, 2005, at the Betty Easley Conference Center, 4075 Esplanade Way, Tallahassee, Florida. Any party who fails to attend the prehearing conference, unless excused by the Prehearing Officer, will have waived all issues and positions raised in that party's prehearing statement.

Prehearing Identification of Exhibits and Testimony

For purposes of this proceeding, it is the intent that all discovery responses and deposition transcripts with related late-filed exhibits be entered as hearing exhibits. Each party's prehearing statement shall include an identification and list all responding discovery responses served to parties and staff. Additionally, each party shall identify and list the transcript and related late-filed exhibits of each deposition called by that party.

Each party is required to provide copies of its identified exhibits for the hearing absent good cause shown. The number of copies required of each hearing exhibit will be determined no later than September 28, 2005.

In order to facilitate the introduction at hearing of discovery exhibits, as well as prefiled testimony and exhibits, a sequentially-numbered, comprehensive list of exhibits identified by the parties in the prehearing statements shall be compiled and disseminated to the parties by Commission Staff no later than September 21, 2005. Any objections to items on that list, along with a brief statement of the basis for such objection, shall be submitted to the Commission by close of business on September 29, 2005. Objections, if any, will be addressed at the hearing. At the beginning of the hearing in this matter, all exhibits listed on the comprehensive list approved will be moved into the record. In addition, the prefiled testimony of the parties will be moved into the record as though read. This will be done on a company-by-company basis, with the sponsoring party and the names of the witnesses who have offered the testimony being clearly identified.

Prehearing Procedure: Waiver of Issues

Any issue not raised by a party prior to the issuance of the prehearing order shall be waived by that party, except for good cause shown. A party seeking to raise a new issue after the issuance of the prehearing order shall demonstrate that: it was unable to identify the issue because of the complexity of the matter; discovery or other prehearing procedures were not adequate to fully develop the issue; due diligence was exercised to obtain facts touching on the issue; information obtained subsequent to the issuance of the prehearing order was not previously available to enable the party to identify the issue; and introduction of the issue could not be to the prejudice or surprise of any party. Specific reference shall be made to the information received, and how it enabled the party to identify the issue.

Unless a matter is not at issue for that party, each party shall diligently endeavor in good faith to take a position on each issue prior to issuance of the prehearing order. When a party is unable to take a position on an issue, it shall bring that fact to the attention of the Prehearing Officer. If the Prehearing Officer finds that the party has acted diligently and in good faith to take a position, and further finds that the party's failure to take a position will not prejudice other parties or confuse the proceeding, the party may maintain "no position at this time" prior to hearing and thereafter identify its position in a post-hearing statement of issues. In the absence of such a finding by the Prehearing Officer, the party shall have waived the entire issue. When

an issue and position have been properly identified, any party may adopt that issue and position in its post-hearing statement.

Document Identification

Each exhibit submitted shall have the following in the upper right-hand corner: the docket number, the witness's name, the word "Exhibit" followed by a blank line for the exhibit number, and the title of the exhibit.

An example of the typical exhibit identification format is as follows:

Docket No. 12345-TL
J. Doe Exhibit No. _____
Cost Studies for Minutes of Use by Time of Day

Controlling Dates and Request for Expedited Schedule

The following dates have been established to govern the key activities of Phase I in this case.

- | | | |
|----|---|---------------------|
| 1) | Direct testimony (including exhibits and cost studies) (All) | July 22, 2005 |
| 2) | Rebuttal testimony and exhibits (All) | August 22, 2005 |
| 3) | Surrebuttal Testimony and exhibits (All) | September 6, 2005 |
| 4) | Prehearing Statements | September 6, 2005 |
| 5) | Prehearing Conference | September 19, 2005 |
| 6) | Discovery Cutoff (All discovery responses must be served by this date.) | September 28, 2005 |
| 7) | Hearing | October 11-13, 2005 |
| 8) | Briefs | November 15, 2005 |

I have considered Supra's Emergency Motion for an Order to Establish a Hearing Schedule. The schedule has been set as expeditiously as possible as set forth above. To the extent that Supra is requesting a more expedited hearing schedule, it is denied.

Use of Confidential Information At Hearing

It is the policy of this Commission that all Commission hearings be open to the public at all times. The Commission also recognizes its obligation pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, to protect proprietary confidential business information from disclosure outside the proceeding. Any party wishing to use any proprietary confidential business information, as that term is defined in Section 364.183(3), Florida Statutes, shall notify the Prehearing Officer and all

parties of record by the time of the Prehearing Conference, or if not known at that time, no later than seven (7) days prior to the beginning of the hearing. The notice shall include a procedure to assure that the confidential nature of the information is preserved as required by statute. Failure of any party to comply with the seven-day requirement described above shall be grounds to deny the party the opportunity to present evidence which is proprietary confidential business information.

When confidential information is used in the hearing, parties must have copies for the Commissioners, necessary staff, and the court reporter, in envelopes clearly marked with the nature of the contents. Any party wishing to examine the confidential material that is not subject to an order granting confidentiality shall be provided a copy in the same fashion as provided to the Commissioners, subject to execution of any appropriate protective agreement with the owner of the material. Counsel and witnesses are cautioned to avoid verbalizing confidential information in such a way that would compromise the confidential information. Therefore, confidential information should be presented by written exhibit when reasonably possible to do so. At the conclusion of that portion of the hearing that involves confidential information, all copies of confidential exhibits shall be returned to the proffering party. If a confidential exhibit has been admitted into evidence, the copy provided to the court reporter shall be retained in the Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services' confidential files.

Post-Hearing Procedures

Each party shall file a post-hearing statement of issues and positions. A summary of each position of no more than 50 words, set off with asterisks, shall be included in that statement. If a party's position has not changed since the issuance of the prehearing order, the post-hearing statement may simply restate the prehearing position; however, if the prehearing position is longer than 50 words, it must be reduced to no more than 50 words. If a party fails to file a post-hearing statement in conformance with the rule, that party shall have waived all issues and may be dismissed from the proceeding.


Pursuant to Rule 28-106.215, Florida Administrative Code, a party's proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, if any, statement of issues and positions, and brief, shall together total no more than 50 pages, and shall be filed at the same time.

Based upon the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Rudolph "Rudy" Bradley, as Prehearing Officer, that the provisions of this Order shall govern this proceeding unless modified by the Commission. It is further

ORDERED that Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc.'s Motion for an Order to Establish Hearing Schedule is denied to the extent not rendered moot by this Order.

By ORDER of Commissioner Rudolph "Rudy" Bradley, as Prehearing Officer, this
20th day of April, 2005



RUDOLPH "RUDY" BRADLEY
Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(S E A L)

FRB

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code; or (2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

Attachment "A"
Issue List (Phase I)

1. Is BellSouth's current implementation of its "8 methods" of hot cuts for lines served by IDLC appropriate? If not, what hot cut process modifications should be required?
2. Should a CLEC be permitted to select the implementation method BellSouth uses to perform a UNE-P or Retail to UNE-L conversion for lines served by IDLC?

3. What impacts, if any, are there on recurring UNE-L rates depending on which implementation method is used to perform a UNE-P to UNE-L or Retail to UNE-L conversion for a line served by IDLC?
4. Should BellSouth's rate structure for hot cuts differentiate between hot cuts (whether UNE-P or Retail) to UNE-L conversions where the UNE-P is provisioned with IDLC, as opposed to a copper loop or UDLC? If so, what is the appropriate rate structure, and what, if any, changes are appropriate to the recurring rates?
5. What is a reasonable interval for BellSouth to complete an individual hot cut and a batch hot cut for each of the following scenarios:

FROM	TO
UNE-P	1. UNE-L, where the lines being converted are served by copper or UDLC for : a) SL1 loops; and b) SL2 loops
UNE-P	1. UNE-L, where the lines being converted are not served by copper or UDLC for: a) SL1 loops; and b) SL2 loops
Retail (BellSouth voice)	1. UNE-L UNE-L = SL1, SL2,
Resale	1. UNE-L UNE-L = SL1, SL2
Linesharing (BellSouth Voice)	1. UNE-L UNE-L = SL1, SL2
Linesplitting (CLEC Voice)	1. UNE-L UNE-L = SL1, SL2

6. a) Should BellSouth's batch hot cut process allow for CLECs to connect loops directly to third party switches?

b) Should BellSouth's CLEC-to-CLEC conversion process allow for any one CLEC entity to convert customers from a UNE-P OCN to a UNE-L OCN belonging to the same entity, regardless of whether or not the OCNs are the same or different?

c) Should BellSouth's CLEC-to-CLEC conversion process allow for any one CLEC to convert its existing UNE-P lines to UNE-L using the same OCN for single customer orders or as a bulk conversion for multiple customers?

7. Does BellSouth have individual and batch hot cut processes in place that provide for a seamless migration for each of the following scenarios? Are the terms and conditions associated with these processes appropriate? If not, what terms and conditions should be adopted?

FROM	TO
UNE-P	1. UNE-L, where the lines being converted are served by copper or UDLC for : a) SL1 loops; and b) SL2 loops
UNE-P	1. UNE-L, where the lines being converted are <i>not</i> served by copper or UDLC for: a) SL1 loops; and b) SL2 loops
Retail (BellSouth voice)	UNE-L UNE-L = SL1, SL2
Resale	UNE-L UNE-L = SL1, SL2
Linesharing (BellSouth Voice)	UNE-L UNE-L = SL1, SL2
Linesplitting (CLEC Voice)	UNE-L UNE-L = SL1, SL2

8. What is the maximum number, if any, of hot cut conversions BellSouth should be required to perform:

- a) for any given CLEC
- b) for any given day
- c) for any given wire center?

9. If there is a maximum number of conversions, should they be done on a first request, first served basis, or some other basis to avoid discrimination against any given CLEC?

10. When a bulk migration is submitted to BellSouth, what are the first level edits that result in the entire bulk migration request to be rejected back to the CLEC? Where is the BellSouth documentation that supports this process and list of specific level 1 edits?

11. Should a single CLEC's bulk conversion request be required to consist of a single UNE-L type?

12. Should CLECs be able to submit the Facilities Reservation Number (FRN) with the UNE-L types any time within the 96-hour reservation identification period?

13. Should BellSouth provide each CLEC acknowledgements of the Firm Order Confirmation (FOC), Service Order Completion (SOC), Billing Completion Notifier, Jeopardies, and Rejects via the primary ordering gateway of that CLEC?

14. Should BellSouth be allowed to unilaterally change a FOC date? If so, what rate should the CLEC pay if the conversion is performed after the CLEC's requested FOC date?

15. What are the appropriate nonrecurring rates, if any, that apply for an individual hot cut and a batch hot cut:

FROM	TO
UNE-P	1. UNE-L, where the lines being converted are served by copper or UDLC for : a) SL1 loops; and b) SL2 loops
UNE-P	1. UNE-L, where the lines being converted are not served by copper or UDLC for: a) SL1 loops; and b) SL2 loops
Retail (BellSouth voice)	UNE-L UNE-L = SL1, SL2
Resale	UNE-L UNE-L = SL1, SL2
Linesharing (BellSouth Voice)	UNE-L UNE-L = SL1, SL2
Linesplitting (CLEC Voice)	UNE-L UNE-L = SL1, SL2

16. For batch hot cuts (i.e., bulk orders) consisting of a quantity of "n" orders, should BellSouth be allowed to charge more than one "First" NRC charge, and n-1 "Additional" NRC charges for

that bulk order regardless of loop service address, copper/UDLC served UNE-P loop, and IDLC served UNE-P loop?

17. If BellSouth is required to provide loop sharing where the cross-connection between two different CLECs or between the same CLEC is completed by BellSouth at the central office main distribution frame (MDF), what are the appropriate rates?

18. When should the recurring and nonrecurring rates established in this proceeding take effect?

19. Are BellSouth's existing SEEMs and SQMs affected by the resolution of any of the issues in this docket? If so, what modifications, if any, should be made to those existing SEEMs and SQMs?

20. Under the parties' existing interconnection agreement, what nonrecurring rate, if any, applies for a hot cut from UNE-P to UNE-L, where the lines being converted are served by copper or UDLC, for (a) SL1 loops and (b) SL2 loops?

This issue is specific to Supra/BellSouth only.

21. Under the parties' existing interconnection agreement, what nonrecurring rate, if any, applies for a hot cut from UNE-P to UNE-L, where the lines being converted are not served by copper or UDLC, for (a) SL1 loops and (b) SL2 loops?

This issue is specific to Supra/BellSouth only.

22. Should a new nonrecurring rate be created that applies for a hot cut from UNE-P to UNE-L, where the lines being converted are served by copper or UDLC, for (a) SL1 loops and (b) SL2 loops? If so, what should such nonrecurring rates be?

This issue is specific to Supra/BellSouth only.

23. Should a new nonrecurring rate be created that applies for a hot cut from UNE-P to UNE-L, where the lines being converted are not served by copper or UDLC, for (a) SL1 loops and (b) SL2 loops? If so, what should such nonrecurring rates be?

This issue is specific to Supra/BellSouth only.

Attachment "B"
Issue List (Phase II)

24. What is a reasonable interval for BellSouth to complete an individual hot cut and a batch hot cut for each of the following scenarios:

FROM	TO
Retail (BellSouth Data)	1. UNE-L with Data LEC (DLEC) data 2. UNE-L with CLEC Voice with DLEC data 3. UNE-L with DLEC data with VOIP 4. UNE-L UNE-L = xDSL, UCL-ND, etc.
Resale	1. UNE-L with DLEC data 2. UNE-L with CLEC Voice and DLEC data 3. UNE-L with DLEC data with VOIP 4. UNE-L UNE-L = xDSL, UCL-ND, etc.
Linesharing (BellSouth DLEC Data)	1. UNE-L with DLEC data 2. UNE-L with CLEC Voice and DLEC data 3. UNE-L with DLEC data with VOIP 4. UNE-L UNE-L = xDSL, UCL-ND, etc.
Linesplitting (CLEC DLEC Data)	1. UNE-L with DLEC data 2. UNE-L with CLEC Voice and DLEC data 3. UNE-L with DLEC data with VOIP (same or different CLEC) 4. UNE-L UNE-L = xDSL, UCL-ND, etc.

25. Does BellSouth have individual and batch hot cut processes in place that provide for a seamless migration for each of the following scenarios? Are the terms and conditions associated with these processes appropriate? If not, what terms and conditions should be adopted?

FROM	TO
Retail (BellSouth voice and BellSouth Data or BellSouth Voice only)	1. UNE-L with Data LEC (DLEC) data 2. UNE-L with CLEC Voice with DLEC data 3. UNE-L with DLEC data with VOIP 4. UNE-L UNE-L = xDSL, UCL-ND, etc.
Resale	1. UNE-L with DLEC data 2. UNE-L with CLEC Voice and DLEC data 3. UNE-L with DLEC data with VOIP 4. UNE-L

	UNE-L = xDSL, UCL-ND, etc.
Linesharing (BellSouth DLEC Data)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. UNE-L with DLEC data 2. UNE-L with CLEC Voice and DLEC data 3. UNE-L with DLEC data with VOIP 4. UNE-L
Linesplitting (DLEC Data)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. UNE-L with DLEC data 2. UNE-L with CLEC Voice and DLEC data 3. UNE-L with DLEC data with VOIP (same or different CLEC) 4. UNE-L
	UNE-L = xDSL, UCL-ND, etc.

26. What are the appropriate nonrecurring rates, if any, that apply for an individual and batch hot cut:

FROM	TO
Retail (BellSouth voice and BellSouth Data or BellSouth Voice only)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. UNE-L with Data LEC (DLEC) data 2. UNE-L with CLEC Voice with DLEC data 3. UNE-L with DLEC data with VOIP 4. UNE-L UNE-L = xDSL UCL-ND, etc.
Resale	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. UNE-L with DLEC data 2. UNE-L with CLEC Voice and DLEC data 3. UNE-L with DLEC data with VOIP 4. UNE-L UNE-L = xDSL, UCL-ND, etc.
Linesharing (BellSouth DLEC Data)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. UNE-L with DLEC data 2. UNE-L with CLEC Voice and DLEC data 3. UNE-L with DLEC data with VOIP 4. UNE-L UNE-L = xDSL, UCL-ND, etc.
Linesplitting (DLEC Data)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. UNE-L with DLEC data 2. UNE-L with CLEC Voice and DLEC data 3. UNE-L with DLEC data with VOIP (same or different CLEC) 4. UNE-L UNE-L = xDSL, UCL-ND, etc.