

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition to reduce intrastate switched
access rates in revenue-neutral manner
pursuant to Section 364.164, Florida Statutes,
by ALLTEL Florida, Inc.

DOCKET NO. 050693-TL
ORDER NO. PSC-05-1130-PCO-TL
ISSUED: November 10, 2005

ORDER MODIFYING PROCEDURE

This Order is issued pursuant to the authority granted by Rule 28-106.211, Florida Administrative Code, which provides that the presiding officer before whom a case is pending may issue any orders necessary to effectuate discovery, prevent delay, and promote the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of all aspects of the case.

During the 2003 Regular Session, the Florida Legislature enacted the Tele-Competition Innovation and Infrastructure Act (Tele-Competition Act or Act). The Act became effective on May 23, 2003.

On September 29, 2005, Alltel Florida, Inc. (ALLTEL), filed a petition pursuant to Section 364.164, Florida Statutes, and this docket has been opened to address this petition in the required time frame. By Order No. PSC-05-0959-PCO-TL, issued on October 7, 2005, certain controlling dates were established, but, an issues list was not established. The Order stated that a tentative issues list for this proceeding would be identified by separate order following an Issues Identification Meeting.

Shortly thereafter, the parties and our staff reached consensus on eight issues to be addressed in this proceeding. Upon review, these issues appear appropriate for our consideration. Therefore, the issues identified in Attachment A to this Order are approved for consideration in this proceeding. Prefiled testimony and prehearing statements shall address these issues.

Based upon the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner J. Terry Deason, as Prehearing Officer, that the issues listed in Attachment A shall be addressed in this proceeding. It is further


ORDERED that Order No. PSC-05-0959-PCO-TL, issued on October 7, 2005, is reaffirmed in all other respects.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10889 NOV 10 05

FPCO-COMMISSION CLERK

By ORDER of Commissioner J. Terry Deason, as Prehearing Officer, this 10th day of
November, 2005.


J. TERRY DEASON
Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

JPR/JLS

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code; or (2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

PROPOSED ISSUES

1. Will Alltel's rebalancing proposal remove the current support for basic local telecommunications services that prevents the creation of a more attractive competitive market for the benefit of residential consumers?
 - (A) What is a reasonable estimate of the level of support provided for basic local telecommunications services?
 - (B) Does the current level of support prevent the creation of a more attractive competitive local exchange market for the benefit of residential consumers?
 - (C) Will Alltel's rebalancing proposal benefit residential consumers as contemplated by Section 364.164, Florida Statutes? If so, how?
2. Will the effects of Alltel's rebalancing proposal induce enhanced market entry? If so, how?
3. Will Alltel's rebalancing proposal reduce intrastate switched network access rates to interstate parity over a period of not less than two years or more than four years?
4. Is Alltel's rebalancing proposal revenue neutral, as defined in Section 364.164(2), Florida Statutes?
5. Should Alltel's rebalancing proposal be granted or denied?
6. Should the IXC flow through procedures addressed in Docket No. 030961-TI and ordered in PSC-03-1469-FOF-TL, be applied to Alltel's rebalancing proposal?
7. Would the Commission's approval of Alltel's rebalancing proposal be consistent with the section 364.01(4)(a), Fla. Stat.?
8. Should the docket be closed?