

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for approval of standard interconnection agreement for non-export, parallel operators, by Tampa Electric Company.	DOCKET NO. 080254-EI ORDER NO. PSC-08-0658-TRF-EI ISSUED: October 7, 2008
---	---

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

MATTHEW M. CARTER II, Chairman
LISA POLAK EDGAR
KATRINA J. McMURRIAN
NANCY ARGENZIANO
NATHAN A. SKOP

ORDER APPROVING INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

BY THE COMMISSION:

BACKGROUND

On May 7, 2008, Tampa Electric Company (TECO) filed a petition for approval of a standard interconnection agreement for non-export, parallel generators, along with the associated Tariff Sheets 8.1110 through 8.1130. TECO filed the petition in response to the requests of large commercial customers that wish to interconnect and operate generators in parallel with TECO's system, without the capability to export power to TECO's distribution system. TECO's proposed non-export agreement is limited to generators with a capacity of 10 megavolt-amperes alternating current or less, that are used to offset a customer's electric load or as backup generation in the event of an electrical outage.

By Order No. PSC-08-0440-PCO-EI, issued July 9, 2008, we suspended TECO's proposed tariff. On August 15, 2008, TECO filed revisions to two proposed tariff sheets, specifically Tariff Sheets 8.1115 and 8.1120, to address suggested changes. TECO requests that, if approved, the tariff should become effective in the company's first billing cycle of the month following approval.

We have jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Sections 366.04, 366.05, and 366.81, Florida Statutes.

DECISION

TECO has been contacted by numerous commercial customers wishing to install backup generation since the 2004 hurricane season. Typically, backup generators are not interconnected to the utility's system. Instead, they are connected to the customer's load with a transfer switch

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

09447 OCT-7 08

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

that disconnects the customer's load from the utility's system prior to operation of the generator. In this case, no interconnection agreement is needed between the customer and the utility.

Recently, however, TECO has received requests from customers, including Publix grocery stores, hospitals, and other large commercial customers, who wish to install backup generation that will be interconnected and operated in parallel with TECO's system. This will allow the customer to parallel TECO's operation during a storm to maintain power for critical load and transfer this load to the backup generator if utility service is interrupted. In general, these generators are not large enough in capacity to supply power for the customer's entire load. TECO stated that the customers have no desire to export power to the grid.

To accommodate its customers, TECO filed its proposed interconnection agreement for non-export, parallel operators. TECO's proposed agreement is limited to generators with a capacity of 10 megavolt-amperes alternating current or less that are used to offset a customer's electric load or as backup generation in the event of an electrical outage. Under the agreement, the customer's generation will be operated in parallel with TECO's system, but the generator will be restricted from delivering power to the grid. TECO has proposed a \$500 interconnection fee for each customer signing an agreement.¹ Customers are also required to reimburse TECO by paying a contribution in aid of construction (CIAC) for any costs outside the meter to interconnect the generator to TECO's distribution system.

TECO stated that an interconnection agreement is needed to set the standards, procedures and limits for all customers wishing to operate backup generation in parallel with TECO's system. TECO's tariff currently includes interconnection agreements to address customers with generation from which power may be delivered to the grid. The proposed tariff will apply only to those customers that do not wish to export power to the grid.

There are two basic benefits to customers who install backup generation operated in parallel to the utility's system with no export capability. First, because the generation is operated in parallel to utility operations, customers can test run their generators to ensure reliability while continuing to operate their business without dropping any load. TECO stated that typically, customers must test run their generators at least monthly in order to maintain the warranties. Second, TECO provided information that the interconnection costs are lower if export to the grid is precluded, compared to interconnection with export capability. As noted above, customers are required to reimburse TECO for any interconnection expenses outside the customer's meter.

Many of the provisions of TECO's proposed tariff mirror those of the company's recently approved interconnection agreement for customer-owned renewable generators.² For example, the \$500 interconnection fee and safety standards are the same as those in the agreement for customer-owned renewable generation. TECO filed revisions to Tariff Sheets 8.1115 and 8.1120 to further ensure that the tariff language would be consistent with revisions made to TECO's

¹ The fee applies to each individual interconnection point; for example, the meter for a grocery store.

² See Order No. PSC-08-0624-TRF-EI, issued September 24, 2008, in Docket No. 080255-EI, In Re: Petition for approval of standard interconnection agreements for expedited interconnection of customer-owned renewable generation and associated net metering tariff, by Tampa Electric Company.

interconnection agreement for customer-owned renewable generation, where appropriate. The provisions of TECO's proposed parallel, non-export tariff appear to be reasonable. TECO also provided sufficient cost data to support its statement that the \$500 interconnection fee for each customer agreement is reasonable.

As of August 5, 2008, TECO had interconnected 37 non-export parallel operators. TECO stated that these customers have agreed to sign the new agreement upon our approval. TECO does not intend to charge these customers the \$500 interconnection fee under the proposed non-export tariff. Only new customers interconnected under the proposed tariff will be charged the \$500 fee. The existing 37 customers were charged CIAC for all costs TECO incurred to interconnect the customer's generation to TECO's distribution system. TECO provided an estimate of the typical costs incurred to interconnect parallel, non-export generators. Based on recent interconnections, TECO estimated a chargeable CIAC payment of \$16,600 to interconnect a customer's backup generation with non-export capability.

CONCLUSION

We approve TECO's proposed parallel, non-export tariff, as modified by TECO on August 15, 2008. We agree with TECO that an interconnection agreement is needed for customers wishing to operate backup generation in parallel with TECO's system without export capability. Such an agreement protects TECO, its ratepayers, and interested customers by setting the standards, procedures, and limits for customers wishing to interconnect backup generation. The agreement provides interested customers with a benefit by allowing parallel operation with the reduced interconnection costs associated with the restriction to export power to the grid. Further, we find that the provisions and fees of TECO's proposed parallel, non-export tariff are reasonable. The tariff shall be effective for the company's first billing cycle of the month following the date of our vote in this matter, and remain in effect, with revenues subject to refund, pending the outcome of any protest.

Based on the foregoing, it is


ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the standard interconnection agreement for non-export, parallel operators and the associated revised tariff sheets filed by Tampa Electric Company are approved as set forth above. It is further

ORDERED that if a timely protest is filed to this order approving the tariff, the tariff shall remain in effect, with revenues held subject to refund pending the outcome of the protest. It is further

ORDERED that this docket shall be closed upon issuance of a Consummating Order unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by our decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of this order.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 7th day of October, 2008.

ANN COLE
Commission Clerk

By: 

Hong Wang
Office of Commission Clerk

(S E A L)

MCB

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

The Commission's decision on this tariff is interim in nature and will become final, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed action files a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on October 28, 2008.

In the absence of such a petition, this Order shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.