

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Complaint and request for emergency relief against Verizon Florida, LLC for anticompetitive behavior in violation of Sections 364.01(4), 364.3381, and 364.10, F.S., and for failure to facilitate transfer of customers' numbers to Bright House Networks Information Services (Florida), LLC, and its affiliate, Bright House Networks, LLC.

DOCKET NO. 070691-TP

In re: Complaint and request for emergency relief against Verizon Florida, L.L.C. for anticompetitive behavior in violation of Sections 364.01(4), 364.3381, and 364.10, F.S., and for failure to facilitate transfer of customers' numbers to Comcast Phone of Florida, L.L.C. d/b/a Comcast Digital Phone.

DOCKET NO. 080036-TP

ORDER NO. PSC-09-0746-FOF-TP

ISSUED: November 13, 2009

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

MATTHEW M. CARTER II, Chairman  
LISA POLAK EDGAR  
NANCY ARGENZIANO  
NATHAN A. SKOP  
DAVID E. KLEMENT

ORDER CLOSING DOCKETS

BY THE COMMISSION:

Case Background

On November 16, 2007, Bright House Networks Information Services (Florida) LLC, and Bright House Networks, LLC (together, "Bright House") filed its Complaint and Request for Emergency Relief ("Petition") with the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission"). Bright House alleged that Verizon Florida, LLC, ("Verizon") was engaging in anticompetitive behavior in violation of Sections 364.01(4), 364.3381, and 364.10, Florida Statutes (F.S.), and is failing to facilitate the transfer of customers' numbers to Bright House upon request, contrary to Rule 25-4.082, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). We opened Docket No. 070691-TP to address Bright House's complaint.

On January 10, 2008, Comcast Phone of Florida, L.L.C. d/b/a Comcast Digital Phone ("Comcast") filed its Complaint and Request for Emergency Relief, with the Commission alleging that Verizon was engaging in anticompetitive behavior and violating the same Florida

DOCUMENT NUMBER: 0000

11294 NOV 13 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Statutes and Commission Rule as alleged by Bright House in Docket No. 070691-TP. We opened Docket No. 080036-TP to address Comcast's complaint.

Bright House had previously raised similar issues before the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"). On February 11, 2008, Bright House and Comcast filed a formal Accelerated Docket complaint with the FCC.

By Order No. PSC-08-0213-FOF-TP, this Commission's Docket Nos. 070691-TP and 080036-TP were consolidated for hearing. Procedural Order No. PSC-08-0235-PCO-TP was issued on April 10, 2008, in the consolidated dockets.

On June 23, 2008, the FCC issued its Memorandum Opinion and Order, FCC 08-159, which directed Verizon to cease its retention marketing program. Verizon subsequently petitioned the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit ("D.C. Circuit") for review of the FCC's Order. On August 7, 2008, Verizon filed a Motion for Continuance of the proceeding in our consolidated dockets. By Order No. PSC-08-0552-PCO-TP, issued on August 20, 2008, this Commission's Procedural Order was amended to grant Verizon's August 7, 2008 Motion for a Continuance. On February 10, 2009, the D.C. Circuit denied Verizon's petition for review of the FCC's June 23, 2008 Order.

In response to a query from our staff, petitioners Bright House and Comcast, as well as respondent Verizon, have stated that the consolidated dockets can be closed at this time.

#### **Discussion of Issues**

On September 24, 2009, our staff asked the parties whether any issues remain to be resolved in these dockets and suggested that, in the absence of such issues, staff would recommend that the dockets be closed. In a response dated that same day, Bright House replied:

As far as Bright House is concerned, this docket can be closed. Some state-specific legal and policy questions remain unresolved, but at this point they are academic because the federal courts have sustained the FCC's ruling banning the conduct about which we were complaining. While we reserve our right to file a new case in the future if conditions should change, again, at this point this docket can be closed.

On September 25, 2009, Verizon replied: "Verizon agrees that the dockets may be closed."

On September 29, 2009, Comcast replied: "Comcast agrees that the Comcast docket can now be closed without prejudice. Comcast reserves the right to initiate a new proceeding in the future if there are circumstances that merit the initiation of such a proceeding."

Upon review, we find that the petitioners' agreement that the dockets can be closed is akin to a voluntary dismissal. A plaintiff's right to take a voluntary dismissal is absolute. Fears

v. Lunsford, 314 So.2d 578, 579 (Fla. 1975). Once a timely voluntary dismissal is taken, the trial court loses its jurisdiction to act on a matter. Randle Eastern Ambulance Service, Inc. v. Vasta, 360 So.2d 68, 69 (Fla. 1978). A voluntary dismissal by a party under these circumstances is without prejudice. See Rule 1.420(1), Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. As such, Docket Nos. 070691-TP and 080036-TP shall be closed.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Docket Nos. 070691-TP and 080036-TP are hereby closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 13th day of November, 2009.



---

ANN COLE  
Commission Clerk

( S E A L )

CWM

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request:  
1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, within

fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water and/or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Office of Commission Clerk, and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.