

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for limited proceeding for recovery of incremental storm restoration costs related to Hurricane Michael, by Gulf Power Company.

DOCKET NO. 20190038-EI
ORDER NO. PSC-2020-0349-S-EI
ISSUED: October 8, 2020

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

GARY F. CLARK, Chairman
ART GRAHAM
JULIE I. BROWN
DONALD J. POLMANN
ANDREW GILES FAY

FINAL ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

BY THE COMMISSION:

BACKGROUND

On February 6, 2019, pursuant to Section 366.076(1), Florida Statutes (F.S.), and the provisions of the Stipulation and Settlement Agreement approved by Order No. PSC-2017-0178-S-EI,¹ Gulf Power Company (Gulf) filed its Petition for Limited Proceeding for Recovery of Incremental Storm Restoration Costs Related to Hurricane Michael. By Order No. PSC-2019-0221-PCO-EI, issued on June 3, 2019, we approved the requested 2019 Interim Storm Restoration Recovery Charge for a period of 60 months and required Gulf to submit documentation of the actual storm costs for review and true up of any excess or shortfall. Gulf submitted its actual storm costs on November 15, 2019. The Office of Public Counsel (OPC) was acknowledged as a party to this docket by Order No. PSC-2019-0087-PCO-EI, issued on March 6, 2019. The Federal Executive Agencies (FEA) was granted intervention by Order No. PSC-2019-0512-PCO-EI, issued on December 6, 2019. Gulf, OPC, and FEA (collectively, Signatories) filed a Joint Motion for Expedited Approval of a Stipulation and Settlement Agreement (Settlement Agreement) on August 25, 2020. The Settlement Agreement resolved all of the outstanding issues in this docket and proposed procedures for processing invoices from third-party storm restoration contractors in the future.

A final hearing was held on September 15, 2020, in which we considered whether approval of the Settlement Agreement was in the public interest. At the hearing, the direct

¹ Order No. PSC-2017-0178-S-EI, issued May 16, 2017, in Docket No. 20160186-EI, *In re: Petition for rate increase by Gulf Power Company* and Docket No. 20160170-EI, *In re: Petition for approval of 2016 depreciation and dismantlement studies, approval of proposed depreciation rates and annual dismantlement accruals and Plant Smith Units 1 and 2 regulatory asset amortization, by Gulf Power Company*.

testimony and exhibits of witnesses for Gulf, OPC, FEA, and Commission staff were entered into the record, along with a number of stipulated discovery responses. At the hearing, the Signatories discussed the highlights of the Settlement Agreement and how approval of the Settlement Agreement was in the public interest. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Signatories waived the filing of post-hearing briefs, and we voted to approve the Settlement Agreement.

We have jurisdiction pursuant to Sections 366.04, 366.05, and 366.06, Florida Statutes (F.S.).

Settlement Agreement

The Settlement Agreement has three parts: (1) Financial Terms contained in Sections 1-3; (2) Process Provisions contained in Section 4; and (3) Other Provisions contained in Sections 5-9. The Other Provisions are conditions associated with our approval of the Settlement Agreement itself, restrictions on the Signatories' ability to contest the Settlement Agreement, and each Signatories' right to pursue its position on any issue raised in this docket in future proceedings.

- The effective date of the Settlement Agreement is the date of the Final Order issued in this docket. [Section 1]
- An aggregate negotiated adjustment in the amount of \$5 million shall be made to reduce the amount recoverable by Gulf through the storm cost recovery mechanism. The adjustment includes \$2.25 million of costs recoverable in base rates, and \$2.75 million of costs reclassified as capital. This adjustment resolves all issues related to contractors' costs, employee payroll, and materials and supplies cost. [Section 2]
- Beginning with the 2021 storm season, Gulf will implement Paragraphs 5 through 20 of the Process Provisions contained in the Commission-approved settlement in Docket No. 20180049-EI, *In re: Evaluation of storm restoration costs for Florida Power and Light Company related to Hurricane Irma*. The threshold for the Initial Audit referenced in Paragraph 18 is \$150 million for Gulf. Gulf agrees to implement these Process Provisions before the 2021 storm season to help minimize the hourly contractor costs and equipment rental rates. [Section 4]
- The Other Provisions of Gulf's Settlement Agreement are: (1) that the Settlement Agreement will have no precedential value; (2) that the Settlement Agreement is contingent upon approval by the Commission in its entirety without modification; (3) that Signatories to the Settlement Agreement will support it before the Commission; and (4) that the Settlement Agreement resolves all outstanding issues, is in the public interest, and no signatory will file an appeal of any order issued in this docket. [Sections 5-9]

DECISION

The standard for approval of a settlement agreement is whether it is in the public interest.² A determination of public interest requires a case-specific analysis based on consideration of the proposed settlement taken as a whole.³

The Settlement Agreement resolves all issues in this docket, and provides for significant improvements in both the real time management of restoration tasks as well as cost verification. Crew tracking, contractor invoicing, and utility billing review procedures will be improved through the smart phone Phase I and Phase II applications that are designed to better monitor and verify contractor charges during storm restoration events. The Settlement Agreement also provides for an outside audit of Gulf's requested storm restoration costs for the first named tropical storm whose damages exceed \$150 million prior to submittal for our approval. These procedures are the result of negotiations between OPC, FEA, and Gulf, and they are a reasonable attempt to address both the cost as well as discovery issues that are part of every storm restoration docket. The Financial Terms contained in the Settlement Agreement will result in Gulf's total storm restoration costs being reduced by \$5 million.

The Signatories represent a broad segment of Gulf's customer base, including both residential and commercial classes. Having carefully reviewed the Settlement Agreement, the exhibits entered into the record, and the testimony provided by Gulf, OPC, FEA, and Commission staff witnesses, we find that, taken as a whole, it provides a reasonable resolution of all issues raised in this docket. We find, therefore, that the Settlement Agreement, Attachment A hereto, is in the public interest, and we hereby approve it.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the Joint Motion of Gulf Power Company, the Office of Public Counsel, and Federal Executive Agencies to approve the Stipulation and Settlement Agreement is hereby granted. It is further

² Sierra Club v. Brown, 243 So. 3d 903, 910-913 (Fla. 2018); Order No. PSC-13-0023-S-EI, issued on January 14, 2013, in Docket No. 120015-EI, In re: Petition for increase in rates by Florida Power & Light Company; Order No. PSC-11-0089-S-EI, issued February 1, 2011, in Docket Nos. 080677 and 090130, In re: Petition for increase in rates by Florida Power & Light Company and In re: 2009 depreciation and dismantlement study by Florida Power & Light Company; Order No. PSC-10-0398-S-EI, issued June 18, 2010, in Docket Nos. 090079-EI, 090144-EI, 090145-EI, 100136-EI, In re: Petition for increase in rates by Progress Energy Florida, Inc., In re: Petition for limited proceeding to include Bartow repowering project in base rates, by Progress Energy Florida, Inc., In re: Petition for expedited approval of the deferral of pension expenses, authorization to charge storm hardening expenses to the storm damage reserve, and variance from or waiver of Rule 25-6.0143(1)(c), (d), and (f), F.A.C., by Progress Energy Florida, Inc., and In re: Petition for approval of an accounting order to record a depreciation expense credit, by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.; Order No. PSC-05-0945-S-EI, issued September 28, 2005, in Docket No. 050078-EI, In re: Petition for rate increase by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

³ Order No. PSC-13-0023-S-EI, at p. 7.

ORDER NO. PSC-2020-0349-S-EI
DOCKET NO. 20190038-EI
PAGE 4

ORDERED that the Stipulation and Settlement filed on August 25, 2020, referred to herein as the Settlement Agreement, attached hereto as Attachment A, and incorporated by reference, is hereby approved. It is further

ORDERED that in the event no timely appeal is filed, Docket No 20190038-EI shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 8th day of October, 2020.



ADAM J. TEITZMAN
Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
(850) 413-6770
www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.

WLT

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request:

- 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or
- 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water and/or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Office of Commission Clerk, and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

FILED 8/25/2020
DOCUMENT NO. 05042-2020
FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition by Gulf Power Company for
Limited Proceeding for Recovery of
Incremental Storm Restoration Costs Related
to Hurricane Michael.

Docket No: 20190038-EI

Date: August 25, 2020

**JOINT MOTION OF THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL, GULF POWER
COMPANY, AND FEDERAL EXECUTIVE AGENCIES FOR EXPEDITED
APPROVAL OF A STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.204(1), Florida Administrative Code (“F.A.C.”), the Office of Public Counsel (“OPC”), Gulf Power Company (“Gulf”), and Federal Executive Agencies (“FEA”) (unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the term “Party” or “Parties” means a signatory to this Joint Motion), by and through their respective undersigned counsel, hereby file this Joint Motion and request that the Florida Public Service Commission (“Commission”) review and approve on an expedited basis the Stipulation and Settlement Agreement (“Agreement”), provided as Attachment A to this Joint Motion, as a full and complete resolution of all matters presented in Docket No. 20190038-EI in accordance with Section 120.57(4), Florida Statutes (“F.S.”), and enter a final order reflecting such approval to effectuate implementation of the Agreement. In support of this motion, the Parties jointly state, as follows:

1. On February 6, 2019, Gulf filed a Petition for Limited Proceeding (“Petition”), pursuant to the provisions of the Stipulation and Settlement Agreement approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-2017-0178-S-EEI,¹ requesting that the Commission authorize commencement of interim recovery of incremental storm restoration costs related to Hurricane Michael and the replenishment of its retail storm reserve, maintained in accordance with Rule 25-

¹ Order No. PSC-2017-0178-S-EI, issued May 16, 2017, in Docket No. 160186-EI, *In re: Petition for rate increase by Gulf Power Company*; and Docket No. 160170-EI, *In re: Petition for approval of 2016 depreciation and dismantlement studies, approval of proposed depreciation rates and annual dismantlement accruals and Plant Smith Units 1 and 2 regulatory asset amortization, by Gulf Power Company*.

6.0143, F.A.C., through a surcharge on customers' electric bills. In the Petition, Gulf requested that the Commission approve implementation of the surcharge beginning 60 days following its filing.

2. On February 19, 2019, OPC filed its Notice of Intervention in this proceeding, and the Commission acknowledged OPC's Intervention on March 6, 2019, in Order No. PSC-2019-0087-PCO-EI.

3. On March 13, 2019, Gulf requested that the Commission suspend the 60-day timeframe set forth in the Settlement and requested that the Commission approve the storm restoration recovery charge to become effective with the first billing cycle in July 2019.

4. On April 16, 2019, FEA filed a Motion to Intervene in this proceeding, and the Commission granted OPC's Motion on December 6, 2019 in Order No. PSC-2019-0512-PCO-EI.

5. On June 3, 2019, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-2019-0221-PCO-EI approving Gulf's request to implement an interim storm restoration recovery charge, subject to refund, for incremental storm restoration costs related to Hurricane Michael. The Commission's Order also approved Gulf's related interim storm restoration recovery tariffs to become effective with the first billing cycle of July 2019.

6. On November 15, 2019, Gulf submitted a petition to the Commission requesting approval of its: (i) final/actual Recoverable Storm Amount; (ii) proposed Storm Restoration Recovery Surcharges; (iii) proposed Recovery Period; and (iv) proposed process for determining a one-time true-up to be applied to customer bills once the approved Recoverable Storm Amount for Hurricane Michael storm restoration costs and the actual revenues collected through the end of the Proposed Recovery Period are known. Gulf's November 15 petition included the supporting

direct testimony and exhibits of Gulf witnesses Paul A. Talley, Mitchell Goldstein, Tracy G. Clark, and Charles Shane Boyett.

7. On June 11, 2020, OPC submitted the direct testimony and exhibits of OPC witness Lane Kollen, and FEA submitted the direct testimony and exhibits of FEA witness Michael P. Gorman.

8. On June 26, 2020, Commission Staff submitted the direct testimony and exhibits of Staff witnesses Debra M. Dobiac and Carl Vinson.

9. On July 9, 2020, Gulf submitted its rebuttal testimony and supporting exhibits of Gulf witnesses Paul A. Talley and Mitchell Goldstein.

10. On August 5, 2020, Gulf filed a Notice of Adoption of Direct Testimony of Tracy G. Clark by Gulf witness Mitchell Goldstein.

11. OPC, FEA, and Gulf have engaged in extensive discovery throughout this proceeding. Through this process, OPC and FEA thoroughly reviewed and evaluated Gulf's Hurricane Michael storm restoration costs, and Gulf thoroughly reviewed and evaluated OPC's and FEA's positions related to those costs.

12. As a direct result of these efforts, OPC, FEA, and Gulf engaged in negotiations for the purpose of reaching a comprehensive stipulation and settlement of all issues pending in the docket, thereby avoiding the uncertainty associated with the outcome on the issues. These negotiations have culminated in the Agreement attached hereto as Attachment A.

13. OPC, FEA, and Gulf request that the direct testimony of Gulf witnesses Paul A. Talley, Mitchell Goldstein, Tracy G. Clark, and Charles Shane Boyett; the direct testimony of OPC witness Lane Kollen; the direct testimony of FEA witness Michael P. Gorman; the direct testimony of Staff witnesses Debra M. Dobiac and Carl Vinson, and the rebuttal testimony of Gulf witnesses

Paul A. Talley and Mitchell Goldstein be entered into the record, along with the stipulated Comprehensive Exhibit list and listed exhibits. Where applicable, confidential classification shall be maintained as determined by the Commission, Commission rules or applicable provisions of Chapter 366, F.S.

14. The Agreement sets forth in detail the financial and process issues to be resolved through this settlement. Importantly, while the financial issues addressed by the Agreement are premised upon issues raised during the litigation of this matter, the process issues, not part of the litigation, have been added to the Agreement in an effort to facilitate more efficient storm cost recovery proceedings in the future.

15. The Signatories to the Agreement request that, following the Commission's review of this Joint Motion and the Agreement, the Commission grant the Joint Motion and approve the Agreement in order to allow for orderly implementation thereof and to provide certainty to the parties and their respective constituents and customers with respect to the outcome of the proceedings.

16. The Commission has a "long history of encouraging settlements, giving great weight and deference to settlements, and enforcing them in the spirit in which they were reached by the parties." *In Re: Florida Power & Light Company*, Docket No. 20050045-EI, Order No. PSC-2005-0902-S-EI (FPSC Sept. 14, 2005). The proper standard for the Commission's approval of a settlement agreement is whether it is in the public interest. *Sierra Club v. Brown*, 243 So.3d 903, 910-913 (Fla. 2018) (citing *Citizens of State v. FPSC*, 146 So.3d 1143, 1164 (Fla. 2014)).

17. The Florida Supreme Court has explained that the "determination of what is in the public interest rests exclusively with the Commission." *Citizens*, 146 So.3d at 1173. The Commission has broad discretion in deciding what is in the public interest and may consider a

variety of factors in reaching its decision. *See In Re: The Woodlands of Lake Placid L.P.*, Docket No. 20030102-WS, Order No. PSC-2004-1162-FOF-WS, p. 7, (FPSC Nov. 22, 2004); *In Re: Petition for approval of plan to bring generating units into compliance with the Clean Air Act by Gulf Power Company*, Docket No. 19921155-EI, Order No. PSC-1993-1376-FOF-EI, p. 15 (FPSC Sept. 20, 2003). However, the Commission is not required to resolve the merits of every issue independently. *Sierra Club*, 243 So.3d at 913 (*citing Citizens*, 146 So.3d at 1153). Rather, a "determination of public interest requires a case-specific analysis based on consideration of the proposed settlement taken as a whole." *In re: Petition for Rate Increase by Gulf Power Co.*, Docket No. 20160186-EI, Order No. PSC-2017-0178-S-EI, 2017 WL 2212158, at *6 (FPSC May 16, 2017).

18. In this case, after an extensive review and evaluation of Gulf's Hurricane Michael storm restoration costs and activities, OPC, FEA, and Gulf entered into the Agreement which addresses issues raised during the course of the proceedings and process issues beyond the scope of these proceedings. The Agreement represents a reasonable and mutually agreeable compromise of competing positions and fully resolves all issues raised in this docket, and many matters beyond the scope of this docket.

19. Considered as a whole, the Agreement fairly and reasonably balances the interests of Gulf's customers and Gulf. Approving the Agreement is consistent with the Commission's long-standing policy of encouraging the settlement of contested proceedings in a manner that benefits the customers of utilities subject to the Commission's regulatory jurisdiction. Accordingly, OPC, FEA, and Gulf submit that the Agreement is in the public interest, and respectfully request that the Commission review and approve the Agreement in its entirety and without modification.

20. Pursuant to Rule 28-106.204(3), F.A.C., Gulf has conferred with OPC and FEA. These entities are signatories to the Agreement and have advised that they consent to Gulf's filing of this Joint Motion.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above, the OPC, FEA, and Gulf jointly and respectfully request that the Florida Public Service Commission expeditiously approve the Stipulation and Settlement Agreement provided as Attachment A to this Joint Motion.

Respectfully submitted this 25th day of August, 2020.

Russell A. Badders
Vice President & Associate General
Counsel
Gulf Power Company
One Energy Place
Pensacola, FL 32520
Phone: (850) 444-6550
Fax: (850) 444-6744
russell.badders@nexteraenergy.com

Kenneth M. Rubin
Assistant General Counsel
Gulf Power Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408
Telephone: (561) 691-2512
Facsimile: (561) 691-7135
ken.rubin@fpl.com

Jason A. Higginbotham
Senior Attorney
Gulf Power Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408
Telephone: (561) 691-7108
Facsimile: (561) 691-7135
jason.higginbotham@fpl.com

By: /s/ Russell A. Badders
Russell A. Badders
Florida Bar No.0007455

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition by Gulf Power Company
for Limited Proceeding for Recovery of
Incremental Storm Restoration Costs Related
to Hurricane Michael

Docket No: 20190038-EI
Date: August 24, 2020

STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT

WHEREAS, Gulf Power Company (“Gulf”), Citizens through the Office of Public Counsel (“OPC”), and Federal Executive Agencies (“FEA”) have signed this Stipulation and Settlement (the “Agreement”; unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the term “Party” or “Parties” means a signatory to this Agreement); and

WHEREAS, Hurricane Michael, a catastrophic Category 5 hurricane, ravaged the Northwest Florida Gulf Coast on October 10, 2018 in the eastern portion of Gulf’s service area. The storm was the third strongest (in terms of barometric pressure) and fourth strongest (in terms of wind speed) hurricane to ever make landfall in the continental U.S. It was the strongest storm to ever make landfall in Northwest Florida; and

WHEREAS, in response to Hurricane Michael, Gulf ultimately coordinated approximately 8,000 restoration personnel (approximately 1,000 Gulf employees and 7,000 external resources) – the largest restoration workforce that the Company has ever assembled. The restoration of service in the Panama City area, from the ground up in many cases, was much more extensive than the restoration work that was encountered in other areas across Gulf’s system; and

WHEREAS, Gulf’s preparation for and execution of its storm response enabled it to complete the major portion of restoration activities in just 13 days, at which time 99% of Gulf’s

customers who could receive electric service from Gulf had been restored. Due to the extensive damage caused by Hurricane Michael, Gulf's storm-related work extended into mid-2019.

WHEREAS, on February 5, 2019, pursuant to Section 366.076(1), Florida Statutes (F.S.), and the provisions of the Stipulation and Settlement Agreement approved by Order No. PSC-2017-0178-S-EI, Gulf filed its Petition for Limited Proceeding for Recovery of Incremental Storm Restoration Costs Related to Hurricane Michael; and

WHEREAS, by Order No. PSC-2019-0221-PCO-EI, issued on June 3, 2019, the Commission approved the requested 2019 Interim Storm Restoration Recovery Charge for a period of 60 months and required Gulf to submit documentation of the actual storm costs for review and true up of any excess or shortfall; and

WHEREAS, on November 15, 2019, Gulf petitioned the Commission for approval of the final/actual recoverable storm amount of \$295.0 million, and submitted the direct testimony and exhibits of witnesses Paul A. Talley, Mitchell Goldstein, Tracy G. Clark, and Charles Shane Boyett in support thereof; and

WHEREAS, the Office of Public Counsel intervened in this docket and, on June 11, 2020, filed the direct testimony and exhibits of Lane Kollen in support of its position; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Executive Agencies intervened in this docket and, on June 11, 2020, filed the direct testimony and exhibit of Michael P. Gorman in support of its position; and

WHEREAS, on July 9, 2020, Gulf filed the rebuttal testimony and exhibits of Gulf witnesses Paul A. Talley and Mitchell Goldstein; and

WHEREAS, the Parties engaged in significant discovery including the production of tens of thousands of pages of documents and hundreds of interrogatories; and

WHEREAS, after an extensive review and evaluation of the testimony and discovery, the Parties to this Agreement have reached a full and complete resolution of all matters in this docket; and

WHEREAS, the Parties have entered into this Agreement in compromise of positions taken in accord with their rights and interests under Chapters 350, 366, and 120, Florida Statutes, as applicable, and as a part of the negotiated exchange of consideration among the Parties to this Agreement each has agreed to concessions to the others with the expectation that all provisions of the Agreement will be enforced by the Commission as to all matters addressed herein with respect to all Parties regardless of whether a court ultimately determines such matters to reflect Commission policy, upon acceptance of the Agreement as provided herein and upon approval as in the public interest; and

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing and the covenants contained herein, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree:

FINANCIAL TERMS

1. This agreement will become effective on the date of the Final Order in Docket No. 20190038-EI (the "Implementation Date").
2. The Parties are not in agreement on the proper regulatory treatment of certain amounts claimed by Gulf as incremental to base rates and therefore recoverable through the storm cost recovery mechanism. It is OPC's and FEA's position that these disputed amounts are properly recoverable through base rates, and therefore not recoverable through the storm

cost recovery mechanism. To resolve this dispute on these amounts, OPC, FEA, and Gulf have mutually agreed in compromise, without any party conceding that any opposing party is correct, that an aggregate negotiated adjustment in the amount of \$5 million shall be made to reduce the amount recoverable by Gulf through the storm cost recovery mechanism. This adjustment includes \$2.25 million of costs recoverable in base rates, and not incremental to base rates, and \$2.75 million of costs being reclassified as capital. This adjustment resolves all issues raised related to contractors' costs, employee payroll, and materials and supplies costs.

3. The parties agree that interest on the Unamortized Reserve Balance will be calculated by Gulf monthly, in arrears, on a base that is net of Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes due to the temporary differences caused by the deferral of storm costs and due to the casualty loss deduction for the tax basis of plant in service that was damaged and retired, as well as all other tax savings due to the storm. The parties agree that Gulf will use a simple average of the daily commercial paper interest rates for the calculation of interest. The parties agree that Gulf will use the 30-day commercial paper interest rates as published by the Federal Reserve at <https://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/cp/rates.htm> or a comparable source for the daily commercial paper interest rates each month.

PROCESS PROVISIONS

4. Beginning with the 2021 storm season, Gulf will implement paragraphs 5 through 20 of the "Process Provisions" contained in the FPSC-approved settlement in Docket 20180049-EI for In re: Evaluation of storm restoration costs for Florida Power & Light Company related to Hurricane Irma. The threshold for the Initial Independent Audit referenced in paragraph 18 is \$150 million for Gulf. Gulf agrees to implement these "Process

Provisions” before the 2021 storm season to help minimize the hourly contractor costs and equipment rental rates.


OTHER PROVISIONS

5. Nothing in the Agreement will have precedential value.
6. The parties agree the direct testimony of Gulf witnesses Paul A. Talley, Mitchell Goldstein, Tracy G. Clark, and Charles Shane Boyett; the direct testimony of OPC witness Lane Kollen; the direct testimony of FEA witness Michael P. Gorman; and the rebuttal testimony of Gulf witnesses Paul A. Talley and Mitchell Goldstein shall be entered into the record along with the stipulated Comprehensive Exhibit List and the listed exhibits.
7. The provisions of this Agreement are contingent upon approval by the Commission in its entirety without modification. Except as expressly set out herein, no Party agrees, concedes, or waives any position with respect to any of the issues identified in the Prehearing Order, and this Agreement does not expressly address any specific issue or any position taken thereon. The Parties will support approval of this Agreement and will not request or support any order, relief, outcome, or result in conflict with it. No Party to the Agreement will request, support, or seek to impose a change to any provision of this Agreement. Approval of this Agreement in its entirety will resolve all matters and issues in this docket. This docket will be closed effective on the date that the Commission Order approving this Agreement is final, and no Party to this Agreement will seek appellate review of any order issued in this docket.
8. The Parties agree that approval of this Agreement is in the public interest.

9. This Agreement may be executed in counterpart originals, and a scanned .pdf copy of an original signature shall be deemed an original. Any person or entity that executes a signature page to this Agreement shall become and be deemed a Party with the full range of rights and responsibilities provided hereunder, notwithstanding that such person or entity is not listed in the first recital above and executes the signature page subsequent to the date of this Agreement, it being expressly understood that the addition of any such additional Party(ies) shall not disturb or diminish the benefits of this Agreement to any current Party.

In Witness Whereof, the Parties evidence their acceptance and agreement with the provisions of this Agreement by their signature.

GULF POWER COMPANY

By: 
Russell A. Badders
VP & Associate General Counsel
Gulf Power Company
One Energy Place
Pensacola, FL 32520

OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

By: 
J.R. Kelly
The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32899-1400

FEDERAL EXECUTIVE AGENCIES

By: 
Robert J. Friedman, Capt, USAF
USAF Utility Law Field Support Center
AFLOA/JAOE-ULFSC
139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1
Tyndall Air Force Base, FL 32403
Robert.friedman.5@us.af.mil

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: Petition for limited proceeding for recovery of)
incremental storm restoration costs related to)
Hurricane Michael, by Gulf Power Company) Docket No.: 20190038-EI

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail this 25th day of August, 2020 to the following:

Federal Executive Agencies
c/o Thomas A. Jernigan
AFCEC/JA
Robert J. Friedman, Capt, USAF,
AFLOA/JACE-ULFSC
Ebony M. Payton,
AFCEC/CN-ULFSC
Arnold Braxton, TSgt, USAF'
AFLOA/JACE-ULFSC
139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1
Tyndall Air Force Base, FL 32403
Thomas.Jernigan.3@us.af.mil
Robert.Friedman.5@us.af.mil
Ebony.Payton_ctr@us.af.mil
Arnold.Braxton@us.af.mil

Office of the General Counsel
Jennifer Crawford
Walt Trierweiler
Shaw Stiller
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
jcrawfor@psc.state.fl.us
SStiller@psc.state.fl.us
wtrierwe@psc.state.fl.us

Office of Public Counsel
J. R. Kelly/Thomas A. David
Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
david.tad@leg.state.fl.us
kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us


RUSSELL A. BADDERS
VP & Associate General Counsel
Florida Bar No. 007455
Russell.Badders@nexteraenergy.com
Gulf Power Company
One Energy Place
Pensacola FL 32520-0100
(850) 444-6550
Attorney for Gulf Power